

(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

Seismic Analysis of Multi-Storey Building with E Shape RC Shear Wallat the Centre under Different Type of Soil

Mahdi Hosseini¹, Prof.N.V.Ramana Rao²

Ph.D. scholar student in Structural Engineering, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological

University Hyderabad (JNTUH), Hyderabad, Telengana, India¹

Professor, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Hyderabad (JNTUH), Hyderabad,

& Director of National Institute of Technology Warangal ,Telangana, India²

ABSTRACT: The usefulness of shear walls in the structural planning of multistory buildings has long been recognized. When walls are situated in advantageous positions in a building, they can be very efficient in resisting lateral loads originating from wind or earthquakes. Incorporation of shear wall has become inevitable in multi-storey building to resist lateral forces. The seismic motion that reaches a structure on the surface of the earth is influenced by local soil conditions. The subsurface soil layers underlying the building foundation may amplify the response of the building to earthquake motions originating in the bedrock. Three types soil are considered here:Hard soil,Medium soil,softsoil.In present work, Thirtystorey buildings with E shape shear walls at the centre have been modeled using software package ETABS for earthquake zone V in India. This paper aims to study the behaviour of reinforced concrete building by conducting dynamic analysis for most suited positions and location of shear wall under different type of soil. Estimation of structural response such as; storey displacements, storey moment ,storey shear, storey drift ,Pier Forces,column forces is carried out. Dynamic responses under zone V earthquake as per IS 1893 (part 1) : 2002 have been carried out. In dynamic analysis; Response Spectrum method is used.

KEYWORDS: Soft ,Medium &Hard Soil, E shape Shear Wall, Structural Response ,Dynamic Analysis

I. INTRODUCTION

Background

Reinforced concrete (RC) buildings often have vertical plate-like RC walls called Shear Wallsin addition to slabs, beams and columns. These walls generally start at foundation level and are continuous throughout the building height. Their thickness can be as low as 150mm, or as high as 400mm in high rise buildings. Shear walls are usually provided along both length and width of buildings. Shear walls are like vertically-oriented wide beams that carry earthquake loads downwards to the foundation.

Properly designed and detailed buildings with shear walls have shown very good performance in past earthquakes. The overwhelming success of buildings with shear walls in resisting strong earthquakes is summarised in the quote:

"We cannot afford to build concrete buildings meant to resist severe earthquakes without shear walls." Mark Fintel, a noted consulting engineer in USA.

Shear walls in high seismic regions require special detailing. However, in past earthquakes, even buildings with sufficient amount of walls that were not specially detailed for seismic performance (but had enough well-distributed reinforcement) were saved from collapse. Shear wall buildings are a popular choice in many earthquake prone countries, like Chile, New Zealand and USA. Shear walls are easy to construct, because reinforcement detailing of walls is relatively straight-forward and therefore easily implemented at site. Shear walls are efficient, both in terms of



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

construction cost and effectiveness in minimizing earthquake damage in structural and nonstructural elements (like glass windows and building contents).

Most RC buildings with shear walls also have columns; these columns primarily carry gravity loads(i.e., those due to self-weight and contents of building). Shear walls provide large strength and stiffness to buildings in the direction of their orientation, which significantly reduces lateral sway of the building and thereby reduces damage to structure and its contents. Shear walls should be provided along preferably both length and width. Special design checks are required to ensure that the net cross sectional area of a wall at an opening is sufficient to carry the horizontal earthquake force. Shear walls in buildings must be symmetrically located in plan to reduce ill-effects of twist in buildings. They could be placed symmetrically along one or both directions in plan. Buildings are designed primarily to serve the needs of an intended occupancy. One of the dominant design requirements is therefore the provision of an appropriate internal layout of buildings. Once the functional layout is established, one must develop a structural system that will satisfy the established design criteria as efficiently and economically as possible, while fitting into the architectural layout. The vital structural criteria are an adequate reserve of strength against failure, adequate lateral stiffness and an efficient performance during the service life of the buildings. In modern tall buildings, shear walls are commonly used as a vertical structural element for resisting the lateral loads that may be induced by the effect of wind and earthquakes. Shear walls of varying cross sections i.e. rectangular shapes to more irregular cores such as channel, T, L, barbell shape, E shape, box etc. can be used. Provision of walls helps to divide an enclose space, whereas of cores to contain and convey services such as elevator.

Geo-Technical Consideration Site selection:

The seismic motion that reaches a structure on the surface of the earth is influenced by local soil conditions. The subsurface soil layers underlying the building foundation may amplify the response of the building to earthquake motions originating in the bedrock.

For soft soils the earthquake vibrations can be significantly amplified and hence the shaking of structures sited on soft soils can be much greater than for structures sited on hard soils. Hence the appropriate soil investigation should be carried out to establish the allowable bearing capacity and nature of soil. The choice of a site for a building from the failure prevention point of view is mainly concerned with the stability of the ground. The very loose sands or sensitive clays are liable to be destroyed by the earthquake, so much as to lose their original structure and thereby undergo compaction. This would result in large unequal settlements and damage the building. If the loose cohesion less soils are saturated with water they are likely to lose their shear resistance altogether during ground shaking. This leads to liquefaction. Although such soils can be compacted, for small buildings the operation may be too costly and the sites having these soils are better avoided.

For large building complexes, such as housing developments, new colonies, etc. this factor should be thoroughly investigated and the site has to be selected appropriately. Therefore a site with sufficient bearing capacity and free from the above defects should be chosen and its drainage condition improved so that no water accumulates and saturates the ground especially close to the footing level.

Bearing capacity of foundation soil

- Three soil types are considered here:
- I. Hard- Those soils, which have an allowable bearing capacity of more than 10t/m2.
- II. Medium Those soils, which have an allowable bearing capacity less than or equal to 10t/m2
- **III.** Soft Those soils, which are liable to large differential settlement or liquefaction during an earthquake.

Soils must be avoided or compacted to improve them so as to qualify them either as firm or stiff. The allowable bearing pressure shall be determined in accordance with IS: 1888-1982 load test (Revision 1992). It is a common practice to increase the allowable bearing pressure by one-third, i.e. 33%, while performing seismic analysis of the materials like massive crystalline bedrock sedimentary rock, dense to very dense soil and heavily over consolidated cohesive soils, such as a stiff to hard clays. For the structure to react to the motion, it needs to overcome its own inertia, which results in an interaction between the structure and the soil. The extent to which the structural response may alter the characteristics of earthquake motions observed at the foundation level depends on the relative mass and stiffness



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

properties of the soil and the structure. Thus the physical property of the foundation medium is an important factor in the earthquake response of structures supported on it. There are two aspects of building foundation interaction during earthquakes, which are of primary importance to earthquake engineering. First, the response to earthquake motion of a structure founded on a deformable soil can be significantly different from that would occur if the structure is supported on a rigid foundation. Second, the motion recorded at the base of a structure or in the immediate vicinity can be different from that which would have been recorded had there been no building. Observations of the response of the buildings during earthquakes have shown that the response of typical structures can be markedly influenced by the soil properties if the soils are sufficiently soft. Furthermore, for relatively rigid structures such as nuclear reactor containment structures, interaction effects can be important, even for relatively firm soils because the important parameter apparently is not the stiffness of the soil, but the relative stiffness of the building and its foundation. In terms of the dynamic properties of the building foundation system, past studies have shown that the interaction will, in general, reduce the fundamental frequency of the system from that of the structure on a rigid base, dissipate part of the vibrational energy of the building by wave radiation into the foundation medium and modify the base motion of the structure in comparison to the free- field motion. Although all these effects are of practical significance.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Generally, the building configuration which is conceived by architects and then accepted by developer or owner may provide a narrow range of options for lateral-load resistant systems that can be utilized by structural engineers. By observing the following fundamental principles relevant to seismic responses, more suitable structural systems may be adopted (Paulay and Priestley, 1992):

1. To perform well in an earthquake, a building should possess simple and regular configurations. Buildings with articulated plans such as T and L shapes should be avoided.

2. Symmetry in plans should be provided, wherever possible. Lack of symmetry in plan may lead to significant torsional response, the reliable prediction of which is often difficult.

3. An integrated foundation system should tie together all vertical structural elements in both principal directions. Foundation resting on different soil condition should preferably be avoided.

4. Lateral force resisting systems with significantly different stiffness such as shear walls and frames within one building should be arranged in such a way that at every level of the building, symmetry in lateral stiffness is not grossly violated. Thus, undesirable torsional effects will be minimized.

5. Regularity in elevation should prevail in both the geometry and the variation of story stiffness.

III. METHODOLOGY

Essentials of Structural Systems For Seismic Resistance

The primary purpose of all structural members used in buildings is to support gravity loads. However, buildings may also be subjected to lateral forces due to wind and earthquakes. The effects of lateral forces in buildings will be more significant as the building height increases. All structural systems will not behave equally under seismic excitation. Aspects of structural configuration, symmetry, mass distribution and vertical regularity must be considered. In addition to that, the importance of strength, stiffness and ductility in relation to acceptable response must be evaluated in structural system (Paulay and Priestley, 1992).

The first task of the structural designer is to select the appropriate structural system for the satisfactory seismic performance of the building within the constraints dictated by architectural requirements. It is better where possible to discuss architect and structural engineer for alternative structural configuration at the earliest stage of concept development. Thus, undesirable geometry is not locked into the system before structural design is started.



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

Irregularities in buildings contribute to complexity of structural behavior. When not recognized, they may result in unexpected damage and even collapse of the structures. There are many possible sources of structural irregularities. Drastic changes in geometry, interruptions in load path, discontinuities in both strength and stiffness, disruption in critical region by openings and unusual proportion of members are few of the possibilities. The recognition of many of these irregularities and of conceptions for remedial measures for the mitigation of their undesired effects relies on sound understanding of structural behavior.

Response Spectrum Method

In order to perform the seismic analysis and design of a structure to be built at a particular location, the actual time history record is required. However, it is not possible to have such records at each and every location. Further, the seismic analysis of structures cannot be carried out simply based on the peak value of the ground acceleration as the response of the structure depend upon the frequency content of ground motion and its own dynamic properties. To overcome the above difficulties, earthquake response spectrum is the most popular tool in the seismic analysis of structures. There are computational advantages in using the response spectrum method of seismic analysis for prediction of displacements and member forces in structural systems. The method involves the calculation of only the maximum values of the displacements and member forces in each mode of vibration using smooth design spectra that are the average of several earthquake motions.

This chapter deals with response spectrum method and its application to various types of the structures. The codal provisions as per IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2002 code for response spectrum analysis of multi-story building is also summarized.

Response Spectra

Response spectra are curves plotted between maximum response of SDOF system subjected to specified earthquake ground motion and its time period (or frequency). Response spectrum can be interpreted as the locus of maximum response of a SDOF system for given damping ratio. Response spectra thus helps in obtaining the peak structural responses under linear range, which can be used for obtaining lateral forces developed in structure due to earthquake thus facilitates in earthquake-resistant design of structures.

Usually response of a SDOF system is determined by time domain or frequency domain analysis, and for a given time period of system, maximum response is picked. This process is continued for all range of possible time periods of SDOF system. Final plot with system time period on x-axis and response quantity on y-axis is the required response spectra

pertaining to specified damping ratio and input ground motion. Same process is carried out with different damping ratios to obtain overall response spectra.

Design Of Earthquake Resistant Structure Based On Codal Provisions

General principles and design philosophy for design of earthquake-resistant structure are as follows:

a) The characteristics of seismic ground vibrations at any location depends upon the magnitude of earth quake, its depth of focus, distance from epicenter, characteristic of the path through which the waves travel, and the soil strata on which the structure stands. Ground motions are predominant in horizontal direction.

b) Earthquake generated vertical forces, if significant, as in large spans where differential settlement is not allowed, must be considered.

c) The response of a structure to the ground motions is a function of the nature of foundation soil, materials size and mode of construction of structures, and the duration and characteristic of ground motion.

d) The design approach is to ensure that structures possess at least a minimum strength to withstand minor earthquake (DBE), which occur frequently, without damage; resist moderate earthquake without significant damage though some nonstructural damage may occur, and aims that structures withstand major earthquake (MCE) without collapse. Actual forces that appeared on structures are much greater then the design forces specified here, but ductility, arising due to inelastic material behavior and detailing, and over strength, arising from the additional reserve strength in structures over and above the design strength are relied upon to account for this difference in actual and design lateral forces.

e) Reinforced and pre-stressed members shall be suitably designed to ensure that premature failure due to shear



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

or bond does not occur, as per IS:456 and IS:1343.

f) In steel structures, members and their connections should be so proportioned that high ductility is obtained.

g) The soil structure interaction refers to the effect of the supporting foundation medium on the motion of structure. The structure interaction may not be considered in the seismic analysis for structures supporting on the rocks. h) The design lateral forces shall be considered in two orthogonal horizontal directions of the structures. For structures, which have lateral force resisting elements in two orthogonal directions only, design lateral force must be considered in one direction at a time. Structures having lateral resisting elements in two directions other than orthogonal shall be analyzed according to clause 2.3.2 IS 1893 (part 1) : 2002. Where both horizontal and vertical forces are taken into account, load combinations must be according to clause2.3.3 IS 1893 (part 1) : 2002.

i) When a change in occupancy results in a structure being re-classified to a higher importance factor (I), the structure shall be confirm to the seismic requirements of the new structure with high importance factor.

Response Spectrum Method (Dynamic Analysis)

General Codal Provisions

Dynamic analysis should be performed to obtain the design seismic force, and its distribution to different levels along the height of the building and to various lateral load resisting elements, for the following buildings:

• Regular buildings- Those are greater than 40 m in height in zone IV, V and those are greater than 90 m height in zones II,III, and

• Irregular buildings-All framed buildings higher than 12 m in zone IV and V, and those are greater than 40 m in height in zone II and III.

Dynamic analysis may be performed either by time history method or by the response spectrum method. However in either method, the design base shear V_B shall be compared with a base shear V_B calculated using a fundamental period *Ta*. When V_B is less than V_B all the response quantities shall be multiplied by V_B/Vb

The values of damping for a building may be taken as 2 and 5 percent of the critical, for the purpose of dynamic analysis of steel and reinforced concrete buildings, respectively.

Modes To Be Considered

The number of modes to be considered in the analysis should be such that the sum of the total modal masses of all modes considered is at least 90% of the total seismic mass and the missing mass correction beyond 33%. If modes with natural frequency beyond 33 Hz are to be considered, modal combination shall be carried out only for modes up to 33 Hz.

Computation of Dynamic Quantities

Buildings with regular ,or nominally irregular plan configuration may be modeled as a system of masses lumped at the floor levels with each mass having one degree of freedom, that of lateral displacement in the direction of consideration.

Details of The Building

IV. MODELING OF BUILDING

A symmetrical building of plan 38.5m X 35.5m located with location in zone V, India is considered. Four bays of length 7.5m& onebays of length 8.5m along X - direction and Four bays of length 7.5m& onebays of length 5.5m along Y - direction are provided. Shear Wall is provided at the center core of building model.

Load Combinations

As per IS 1893 (Part 1): 2002 Clause no. 6.3.1.2, the following load cases have to be considered for analysis: 1.5 (DL + IL) 1.2 (DL + IL ± EL)



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

1.5 (DL \pm EL) 0.9 DL \pm 1.5 EL Earthquake load must be considered for +X, -X, +Y and -Y directions. Table 1 : Details of The Building

Building Parameters	Details
Type of frame	Special RC moment resisting frame fixed at the base
Building plan	38.5m X 35.5m
Number of storeys	30
Floor height	3.5 m
Depth of Slab	225 mm
Size of beam	(300 × 600) mm
Size of column (exterior)	(1250×1250) mm up to story five
Size of column (exterior)	(900×900) mm Above story five
Size of column (interior)	(1250×1250) mm up to story ten
Size of column (interior)	(900×900) mm Above story ten
Spacing between frames	7.5-8.5 m along x - direction 7.5-5.5 m along y - direction
Live load on floor	4 KN/m2
Floor finish	2.5 KN/m2
Wall load	25 KN/m
Grade of Concrete	M 50 concrete
Grade of Steel	Fe 500
Thickness of shear wall	450 mm
Seismic zone	V



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017



Figure 1.Plan of the building



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017



Figure 2.3D view showing shear wall location

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Table 2: Lateral Loads	of Structure in Soft Soil	, Medium Soil and Hard Soil in	X –Direction for load cases EQXP
------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------------

			SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III
Story	Elevation	Location	X-Dir	X-Dir	X-Dir
	m		kN	kN	kN
30TH	111	Тор	734.6607	1065.8278	1308.7739
29TH	107.5	Тор	748.8055	1080.7416	1327.0871
28TH	104	Тор	700.8398	1011.5134	1242.0789
27TH	100.5	Тор	654.4617	944.5763	1159.8842
26TH	97	Тор	609.671	879.9306	1080.503
25TH	93.5	Тор	566.4679	817.5761	1003.9353
24TH	90	Тор	524.8523	757.5128	930.1811
23RD	86.5	Тор	484.8242	699.7407	859.2405
22ND	83	Тор	446.3836	644.2599	791.1133



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

21ST	79.5	Тор	409.5306	591.0704	725.7997
20TH	76	Тор	374.265	540.1721	663.2995
19TH	72.5	Тор	340.587	491.565	603.6129
18TH	69	Тор	308.4965	445.2492	546.7398
17TH	65.5	Тор	277.9935	401.2246	492.6802
16TH	62	Тор	249.0781	359.4912	441.4341
15TH	58.5	Тор	221.7501	320.0491	393.0015
14TH	55	Тор	196.0097	282.8983	347.3825
13TH	51.5	Тор	171.8567	248.0387	304.5769
12TH	48	Тор	149.2913	215.4703	264.5849
11TH	44.5	Тор	128.3134	185.1932	227.4063
10TH	41	Тор	110.1585	158.8837	195.0998
9TH	37.5	Тор	93.2966	134.4658	165.1161
8TH	34	Тор	76.6939	110.5369	135.7328
7TH	30.5	Тор	61.7167	88.9506	109.2262
6TH	27	Тор	48.3649	69.7071	85.5962
5TH	23.5	Тор	37.6855	54.2268	66.5873
4TH	20	Тор	28.1087	40.3798	49.584
3RD	16.5	Тор	19.1315	27.4835	33.7481
2ND	13	Тор	11.8759	17.0604	20.9492
1ST	9.5	Тор	6.342	9.1107	11.1874
PLINTH	6	Тор	1.3978	1.8992	2.3321
Base	0	Тор	0	0	0

A plot for Lateral Loads of Structure in Soft Soil, Medium Soil and Hard Soil in X –Direction for load cases EQXP has been shown here



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017



Graph 1:Lateral Loads of Structure in Soft Soil , Medium Soil and Hard Soil in X –Direction

			SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III
Story	Elevation	Location	X-Dir	X-Dir	X-Dir
	m		kN/m	kN/m	kN/m
30TH	111	Тор	172953.339	173639.107	173639.107
29TH	107.5	Тор	327254.392	327711.095	327711.095
28TH	104	Тор	444924.118	445211.158	445211.158
27TH	100.5	Тор	530105.692	530277.174	530277.174
26TH	97	Тор	590667.978	590764.639	590764.639
25TH	93.5	Тор	633562.623	633611.951	633611.951
24TH	90	Тор	664160.849	664180.566	664180.566
23RD	86.5	Тор	686302.445	686303.777	686303.777
22ND	83	Тор	702657.154	702647.2	702647.2
21ST	79.5	Тор	715067.826	715051.103	715051.103
20TH	76	Тор	724816.109	724795.53	724795.53
19TH	72.5	Тор	732811.079	732788.555	732788.555
18TH	69	Тор	739719.634	739696.455	739696.455
17TH	65.5	Тор	746057.058	746034.134	746034.134
16TH	62	Тор	752252.191	752230.209	752230.209
15TH	58.5	Тор	758697.633	758677.166	758677.166
14TH	55	Тор	765794.668	765776.264	765776.264
13TH	51.5	Тор	773989.455	773973.712	773973.712

Table 3: Stiffness of Structure in Soft Soil, Medium Soil and Hard Soil in X -Direction for load cases EQXP



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

12TH	48	Тор	783882.482	783870.122	783870.122
11TH	44.5	Тор	795870.555	795862.623	795862.623
10TH	41	Тор	813399.858	813390.213	813390.213
9TH	37.5	Тор	832552.458	832535.911	832535.911
8TH	34	Тор	857870.998	857850.356	857850.356
7TH	30.5	Тор	891839.923	891817.354	891817.354
6TH	27	Тор	939154.717	939132.284	939132.284
5TH	23.5	Тор	1007354.759	1007326.596	1007326.596
4TH	20	Тор	1089305.176	1089266.604	1089266.604
3RD	16.5	Тор	1217443.451	1217396.932	1217396.932
2ND	13	Тор	1432837.445	1432783.259	1432783.259
1ST	9.5	Тор	1866169.209	1866102.995	1866102.995
PLINTH	6	Тор	2409798.589	2409707.467	2409707.467
Base	0	Тор	0	0	0

Table 4: Stiffness of Structure in Soft Soil , Medium Soil and Hard Soil in Y -Direction for load cases EQYP

			SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III
Story	Elevation	Location	Y-Dir	Y-Dir	Y-Dir
	m		kN/m	kN/m	kN/m
30TH	111	Тор	116081.044	116577.808	116577.808
29TH	107.5	Тор	227134.567	227515.505	227515.505
28TH	104	Тор	325289.284	325574.238	325574.238
27TH	100.5	Тор	409057.523	409262.479	409262.479
26TH	97	Тор	479661.571	479802.208	479802.208
25TH	93.5	Тор	538628.011	538718.202	538718.202
24TH	90	Тор	587701.998	587753.394	587753.394
23RD	86.5	Тор	628596.387	628618.427	628618.427
22ND	83	Тор	662891.088	662891.242	662891.242
21ST	79.5	Тор	691990.14	691974.226	691974.226
20TH	76	Тор	717119.319	717091.823	717091.823
19TH	72.5	Тор	739344.806	739309.172	739309.172
18TH	69	Тор	759602.203	759561.067	759561.067
17TH	65.5	Тор	778730.008	778685.395	778685.395
16TH	62	Тор	797505.172	797458.651	797458.651
15TH	58.5	Тор	816680.403	816633.205	816633.205
14TH	55	Тор	837026.241	836979.36	836979.36
13TH	51.5	Тор	859371.155	859325.433	859325.433



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

12TH	48	Тор	884720.614	884676.795	884676.795
11TH	44.5	Тор	913640.643	913599.703	913599.703
10TH	41	Тор	951607.325	951561.757	951561.757
9TH	37.5	Тор	992308.273	992251.544	992251.544
8TH	34	Тор	1044407.058	1044341.611	1044341.611
7TH	30.5	Тор	1110394.829	1110322.308	1110322.308
6TH	27	Тор	1198282.023	1198203.898	1198203.898
5TH	23.5	Тор	1321932.941	1321840.31	1321840.31
4TH	20	Тор	1468099.344	1467985.226	1467985.226
3RD	16.5	Тор	1692303.93	1692168.422	1692168.422
2ND	13	Тор	2048530.453	2048369.731	2048369.731
1ST	9.5	Тор	2698550.737	2698353.921	2698353.921
PLINTH	6	Тор	3496978.337	3496729.493	3496729.493
Base	0	Тор	0	0	0

 Table 5: StoreyDisplacment of Structure in Soft Soil , Medium Soil and Hard Soil in X -Direction with load combination (DL+LL+EQXP)

			SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III
	Load				
	Case/Comb	Directi	MaximumStoreyDispla	MaximumStoreyDispla	MaximumStoreyDispla
Story	0	on	cment	cment	cment
			mm	mm	mm
30TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	274.19	395.964	486.221
29TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	269.728	389.515	478.302
28TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	264.919	382.567	469.769
27TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	259.657	374.964	460.434
26TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	253.868	366.6	450.163
25TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	247.513	357.419	438.89
24TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	240.58	347.404	426.592
23RD	DLLLEQXP	Х	233.074	336.561	413.277
22ND	DLLLEQXP	Х	225.011	324.916	398.977
21ST	DLLLEQXP	Х	216.418	312.505	383.737
20TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	207.328	299.376	367.616
19TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	197.776	285.582	350.677
18TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	187.804	271.18	332.994
17TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	177.454	256.233	314.639
16TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	166.77	240.804	295.694
15TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	155.798	224.96	276.237



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

14TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	144.586	208.769	256.356
13TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	133.184	192.305	236.14
12TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	121.647	175.646	215.683
11TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	110.036	158.879	195.094
10TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	98.413	142.096	174.485
9TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	86.888	125.455	154.051
8TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	75.495	109.005	133.851
7TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	64.327	92.879	114.05
6TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	53.499	77.244	94.851
5TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	43.16	62.316	76.52
4TH	DLLLEQXP	Х	33.492	48.357	59.379
3RD	DLLLEQXP	Х	24.501	35.376	43.439
2ND	DLLLEQXP	Х	16.413	23.697	29.099
1ST	DLLLEQXP	Х	9.503	13.72	16.847
PLINT					
Н	DLLLEQXP	Х	3.583	5.173	6.352
BASE	DLLLEQXP	Х	0	0	0

A plot for StoreyDisplacment of Structure in Soft Soil, Medium Soil and Hard Soil in X -Direction with load combination (DL+LL+EQXP) has been shown here



Graph 2:StoreyDisplacment of Structure in Soft Soil, Medium Soil and Hard Soil in X -Direction



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

Table 6: StoreyDisplacment of Structure in Soft Soil , Medium Soil and Hard Soil in Y–Direction with load combination (DL+LL+EQYP)

			SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III
	Load				
	Case/Comb	Directi	MaximumStoreyDispla	MaximumStoreyDispla	MaximumStoreyDispla
Story	0	on	cment	cment	cment
			mm	mm	mm
30TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	265.397	383.274	470.638
29TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	258.816	373.766	458.962
28TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	251.96	363.862	446.801
27TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	244.831	353.563	434.155
26TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	237.381	342.801	420.939
25TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	229.584	331.538	407.11
24TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	221.429	319.759	392.645
23RD	DLLLEQYP	Y	212.915	307.462	377.545
22ND	DLLLEQYP	Y	204.049	294.657	361.821
21ST	DLLLEQYP	Y	194.847	281.366	345.501
20TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	185.33	267.62	328.622
19TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	175.523	253.458	311.231
18TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	165.46	238.924	293.385
17TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	155.175	224.071	275.146
16TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	144.708	208.956	256.585
15TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	134.104	193.642	237.781
14TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	123.409	178.199	218.818
13TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	112.677	162.701	199.787
12TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	101.963	147.229	180.789
11TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	91.33	131.874	161.934
10TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	80.84	116.727	143.334
9TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	70.608	101.952	125.191
8TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	60.651	87.574	107.536
7TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	51.063	73.73	90.536
6TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	41.94	60.557	74.361
5TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	33.411	48.241	59.237
4TH	DLLLEQYP	Y	25.627	37.002	45.436
3RD	DLLLEQYP	Y	18.541	26.771	32.874
2ND	DLLLEQYP	Y	12.32	17.788	21.843
1ST	DLLLEQYP	Y	7.115	10.273	12.615
PLINT	DLLLEQYP	Y	2.572	3.713	4.56



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

Н					
BASE	DLLLEQYP	Y	0	0	0

A plot for StoreyDisplacement of Structure in Soft Soil, Medium Soil and Hard Soil in Y -Direction with load combination (DL+LL+EQYP) has been shown here



For both X and Y directions, the behaviour of the graph is similar for modelin Soft Soil, Medium Soil and Hard Soil as shown. The order of maximum storey displacement in both the directions for the models is same.

Table 7: StoreyDrifts	of Structure in Soft Soil	Medium Soil and Hard Soil in 2	X -Direction with	th load combination
-		(DL+LL+EQXP)		

			SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III
Story	Elevation	Location	X-Dir	X-Dir	X-Dir
	m				
30TH	111	Тор	0.001275	0.001843	0.002263
29TH	107.5	Тор	0.001374	0.001985	0.002438
28TH	104	Тор	0.001503	0.002172	0.002667
27TH	100.5	Тор	0.001654	0.00239	0.002935
26TH	97	Тор	0.001816	0.002623	0.003221
25TH	93.5	Тор	0.001981	0.002861	0.003514
24TH	90	Тор	0.002145	0.003098	0.003804
23RD	86.5	Тор	0.002304	0.003327	0.004086
22ND	83	Тор	0.002455	0.003546	0.004354



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

		1			
21ST	79.5	Тор	0.002597	0.003751	0.004606
20TH	76	Тор	0.002729	0.003941	0.00484
19TH	72.5	Тор	0.002849	0.004115	0.005053
18TH	69	Тор	0.002957	0.004271	0.005244
17TH	65.5	Тор	0.003053	0.004408	0.005413
16TH	62	Тор	0.003135	0.004527	0.005559
15TH	58.5	Тор	0.003203	0.004626	0.00568
14TH	55	Тор	0.003258	0.004704	0.005776
13TH	51.5	Тор	0.003296	0.00476	0.005845
12TH	48	Тор	0.003318	0.004791	0.005883
11TH	44.5	Тор	0.003321	0.004795	0.005888
10TH	41	Тор	0.003293	0.004755	0.005838
9TH	37.5	Тор	0.003255	0.0047	0.005771
8TH	34	Тор	0.003191	0.004607	0.005657
7TH	30.5	Тор	0.003094	0.004467	0.005485
6TH	27	Тор	0.002954	0.004265	0.005237
5TH	23.5	Тор	0.002762	0.003988	0.004897
4TH	20	Тор	0.002569	0.003709	0.004554
3RD	16.5	Тор	0.002311	0.003337	0.004097
2ND	13	Тор	0.001974	0.002851	0.0035
1ST	9.5	Тор	0.001539	0.002218	0.002721
PLINTH	6	Тор	0.000698	0.001006	0.001235
Base	0	Тор	0	0	0

A plot for StoreyDrifts of Structure in Soft Soil , Medium Soil and Hard Soil in X -Direction with load combination (DL+LL+EQXP) has been shown here



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017



Graph 4:StoreyDrifts of Structure in Soft Soil , Medium Soil and Hard Soil in X –Direction

			SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III
Story	Elevation	Location	Y-Dir	Y-Dir	Y-Dir
	m				
30TH	111	Тор	0.00188	0.002717	0.003336
29TH	107.5	Тор	0.001959	0.00283	0.003475
28TH	104	Тор	0.002037	0.002942	0.003613
27TH	100.5	Тор	0.002129	0.003075	0.003776
26TH	97	Тор	0.002228	0.003218	0.003951
25TH	93.5	Тор	0.00233	0.003365	0.004133
24TH	90	Тор	0.002433	0.003514	0.004314
23RD	86.5	Тор	0.002533	0.003659	0.004492
22ND	83	Тор	0.002629	0.003797	0.004663
21ST	79.5	Тор	0.002719	0.003927	0.004823
20TH	76	Тор	0.002802	0.004046	0.004969
19TH	72.5	Тор	0.002875	0.004153	0.005099
18TH	69	Тор	0.002939	0.004244	0.005211
17TH	65.5	Тор	0.00299	0.004319	0.005303
16TH	62	Тор	0.00303	0.004375	0.005373

Table 8:	StoreyDrifts	of Structure in Soft Soil	, Medium Soil and Hard Soil in	Y -Direction	with load combi	nation
	-		(DL+LL+EQYP)			



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

15TH	58.5	Тор	0.003055	0.004412	0.005418
14TH	55	Тор	0.003066	0.004428	0.005437
13TH	51.5	Тор	0.003061	0.00442	0.005428
12TH	48	Тор	0.003038	0.004387	0.005387
11TH	44.5	Тор	0.002997	0.004328	0.005314
10TH	41	Тор	0.002924	0.004222	0.005184
9TH	37.5	Тор	0.002845	0.004108	0.005044
8TH	34	Тор	0.002739	0.003955	0.004857
7TH	30.5	Тор	0.002606	0.003764	0.004621
6TH	27	Тор	0.002437	0.003519	0.004321
5TH	23.5	Тор	0.002224	0.003211	0.003943
4TH	20	Тор	0.002024	0.002923	0.003589
3RD	16.5	Тор	0.001778	0.002567	0.003152
2ND	13	Тор	0.001487	0.002147	0.002637
1ST	9.5	Тор	0.001155	0.001663	0.00204
PLINTH	6	Тор	0.000523	0.000754	0.000926
Base	0	Тор	0	0	0

A plot for StoreyDrifts of Structure in Soft Soil, Medium Soil and Hard Soil in Y -Direction with load combination (DL+LL+EQYP) has been shown here



Graph 5:StoreyDrifts of Structure in Soft Soil , Medium Soil and Hard Soil in Y -Direction



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

As per Indian standard, Criteria for earthquake resistant design of structures, IS 1893 (Part 1) : 2002, the story drift in any story due to service load shall not exceed 0.004 times the story height. The height of the each storey is 3.5 m. So, the drift limitation as per IS 1893 (part 1) : 2002 is 0.004 X 3.5 m = 14 mm. The model show a similar behaviour for storey drifts as shown in graph.

Table 9: StoreyMoment of Structure in Soft Soil, Medium Soil and Hard Soil in X -Direction with load combination

			1.2(DL+LL+EQXP		
C1	El	1	SUIL TYPE I	SUIL LYPE II	SUIL LYPE III
Story	Elevation	Location	X-Dir	X-Dir	X-Dir
	m	_	kN-m	kN-m	kN-m
30TH	111	Тор	461302.62	461302.62	461302.62
29TH	107.5	Тор	993977.5463	993977.5463	993977.5463
28TH	104	Тор	1526652	1526652	1526652
27TH	100.5	Тор	2059327	2059327	2059327
26TH	97	Тор	2592002	2592002	2592002
25TH	93.5	Тор	3124677	3124677	3124677
24TH	90	Тор	3657352	3657352	3657352
23RD	86.5	Тор	4190027	4190027	4190027
22ND	83	Тор	4722702	4722702	4722702
21ST	79.5	Тор	5255377	5255377	5255377
20TH	76	Тор	5788052	5788052	5788052
19TH	72.5	Тор	6320727	6320727	6320727
18TH	69	Тор	6853402	6853402	6853402
17TH	65.5	Тор	7386077	7386077	7386077
16TH	62	Тор	7918752	7918752	7918752
15TH	58.5	Тор	8451427	8451427	8451427
14TH	55	Тор	8984101	8984101	8984101
13TH	51.5	Тор	9516776	9516776	9516776
12TH	48	Тор	10049451	10049451	10049451
11TH	44.5	Тор	10582126	10582126	10582126
10TH	41	Тор	11114264	11114264	11114264
9TH	37.5	Тор	11657622	11657622	11657622
8TH	34	Тор	12200980	12200980	12200980
7TH	30.5	Тор	12744338	12744338	12744338
6TH	27	Тор	13287696	13287696	13287696
5TH	23.5	Тор	13830115	13830115	13830115
4TH	20	Тор	14400583	14400583	14400583
3RD	16.5	Тор	14971051	14971051	14971051
2ND	13	Тор	15541519	15541519	15541519



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

1ST	9.5	Тор	16111987	16111987	16111987
PLINTH	6	Тор	16341549	16341549	16341549
Base	0	Тор	16531220	16531220	16531220

A plot for StoreyMoment of Structure in Soft Soil, Medium Soil and Hard Soil in X -Direction with load combination 1.2(DL+LL+EXP)has been shown here



Graph 6:StoreyMoment of Structure in Soft Soil , Medium Soil and Hard Soil in X -Direction Table 10: StoreyMoment of Structure in Soft Soil , Medium Soil and Hard Soil in Y -Direction with load combination 1 2(DL+LL+EOYP)

			SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III
Story	Elevation	Location	Y-Dir	Y-Dir	Y-Dir
	m		kN-m	kN-m	kN-m
30TH	111	Тор	-500286	-500286	-500286
29TH	107.5	Тор	-1077976	-1077976	-1077976
28TH	104	Тор	-1655665	-1655665	-1655665
27TH	100.5	Тор	-2233355	-2233355	-2233355
26TH	97	Тор	-2811045	-2811045	-2811045
25TH	93.5	Тор	-3388734	-3388734	-3388734
24TH	90	Тор	-3966424	-3966424	-3966424
23RD	86.5	Тор	-4544114	-4544114	-4544114
22ND	83	Тор	-5121804	-5121804	-5121804
21ST	79.5	Тор	-5699493	-5699493	-5699493
20TH	76	Тор	-6277183	-6277183	-6277183
19TH	72.5	Тор	-6854873	-6854873	-6854873
18TH	69	Тор	-7432562	-7432562	-7432562
17TH	65.5	Тор	-8010252	-8010252	-8010252



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

16TH	62	Тор	-8587942	-8587942	-8587942
15TH	58.5	Тор	-9165632	-9165632	-9165632
14TH	55	Тор	-9743321	-9743321	-9743321
13TH	51.5	Тор	-10321011	-10321011	-10321011
12TH	48	Тор	-10898701	-10898701	-10898701
11TH	44.5	Тор	-11476390	-11476390	-11476390
10TH	41	Тор	-12053498	-12053498	-12053498
9TH	37.5	Тор	-12642774	-12642774	-12642774
8TH	34	Тор	-13232049	-13232049	-13232049
7TH	30.5	Тор	-13821325	-13821325	-13821325
6TH	27	Тор	-14410600	-14410600	-14410600
5TH	23.5	Тор	-14998857	-14998857	-14998857
4TH	20	Тор	-15617533	-15617533	-15617533
3RD	16.5	Тор	-16236210	-16236210	-16236210
2ND	13	Тор	-16854887	-16854887	-16854887
1ST	9.5	Тор	-17473563	-17473563	-17473563
PLINTH	6	Тор	-17722524	-17722524	-17722524
Base	0	Тор	-17928224	-17928224	-17928224

A plot for Storey Moment of Structure in Soft Soil , Medium Soil and Hard Soil in Y -Direction with load combination 1.2(DL+LL+EYP)has been shown here



Graph 7:StoreyMoment of Structure in Soft Soil , Medium Soil and Hard Soil in Y -Direction



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

Table 11: StoreyShear of Structure in Soft Soil , Medium Soil and Hard Soil in X -Direction with load combination1.2 (DL+LL+EQXP)

			(DD DD DQ		
			SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III
Story	Elevation	Location	X-Dir	X-Dir	X-Dir
	m		kN	kN	kN
30TH	111	Тор	-881.5928	-1278.9934	-1570.5287
		Bottom	-881.5928	-1278.9934	-1570.5287
29TH	107.5	Тор	-1780.1594	-2575.8833	-3163.0332
		Bottom	-1780.1594	-2575.8833	-3163.0332
28TH	104	Тор	-2621.1672	-3789.6994	-4653.5279
		Bottom	-2621.1672	-3789.6994	-4653.5279
27TH	100.5	Тор	-3406.5212	-4923.191	-6045.3889
		Bottom	-3406.5212	-4923.191	-6045.3889
26TH	97	Тор	-4138.1264	-5979.1077	-7341.9925
		Bottom	-4138.1264	-5979.1077	-7341.9925
25TH	93.5	Тор	-4817.8879	-6960.199	-8546.7149
		Bottom	-4817.8879	-6960.199	-8546.7149
24TH	90	Тор	-5447.7107	-7869.2143	-9662.9322
		Bottom	-5447.7107	-7869.2143	-9662.9322
23RD	86.5	Тор	-6029.4997	-8708.9032	-10694.0208
		Bottom	-6029.4997	-8708.9032	-10694.0208
22ND	83	Тор	-6565.1601	-9482.0151	-11643.3568
		Bottom	-6565.1601	-9482.0151	-11643.3568
21ST	79.5	Тор	-7056.5968	-10191.2996	-12514.3164
		Bottom	-7056.5968	-10191.2996	-12514.3164
20TH	76	Тор	-7505.7148	-10839.5061	-13310.2758
		Bottom	-7505.7148	-10839.5061	-13310.2758
19TH	72.5	Тор	-7914.4193	-11429.3841	-14034.6113
		Bottom	-7914.4193	-11429.3841	-14034.6113
18TH	69	Тор	-8284.6151	-11963.6831	-14690.6991
		Bottom	-8284.6151	-11963.6831	-14690.6991
17TH	65.5	Тор	-8618.2073	-12445.1526	-15281.9153
		Bottom	-8618.2073	-12445.1526	-15281.9153
16TH	62	Тор	-8917.101	-12876.5421	-15811.6362
		Bottom	-8917.101	-12876.5421	-15811.6362
15TH	58.5	Тор	-9183.2011	-13260.6011	-16283.2381
		Bottom	-9183.2011	-13260.6011	-16283.2381
14TH	55	Тор	-9418.4127	-13600.079	-16700.097
		Bottom	-9418.4127	-13600.079	-16700.097



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

13TH	51.5	Тор	-9624.6408	-13897.7254	-17065.5893
		Bottom	-9624.6408	-13897.7254	-17065.5893
12TH	48	Тор	-9803.7903	-14156.2898	-17383.0911
		Bottom	-9803.7903	-14156.2898	-17383.0911
11TH	44.5	Тор	-9957.7665	-14378.5216	-17655.9787
		Bottom	-9957.7665	-14378.5216	-17655.9787
10TH	41	Тор	-10089.9567	-14569.182	-17890.0985
		Bottom	-10089.9567	-14569.182	-17890.0985
9TH	37.5	Тор	-10201.9125	-14730.541	-18088.2378
		Bottom	-10201.9125	-14730.541	-18088.2378
8TH	34	Тор	-10293.9453	-14863.1853	-18251.1172
		Bottom	-10293.9453	-14863.1853	-18251.1172
7TH	30.5	Тор	-10368.0053	-14969.926	-18382.1886
		Bottom	-10368.0053	-14969.926	-18382.1886
6TH	27	Тор	-10426.0433	-15053.5745	-18484.904
		Bottom	-10426.0433	-15053.5745	-18484.904
5TH	23.5	Тор	-10471.2659	-15118.6467	-18564.8088
		Bottom	-10471.2659	-15118.6467	-18564.8088
4TH	20	Тор	-10504.9963	-15167.1024	-18624.3095
		Bottom	-10504.9963	-15167.1024	-18624.3095
3RD	16.5	Тор	-10527.954	-15200.0825	-18664.8072
		Bottom	-10527.954	-15200.0825	-18664.8072
2ND	13	Тор	-10542.2051	-15220.5551	-18689.9463
		Bottom	-10542.2051	-15220.5551	-18689.9463
1ST	9.5	Тор	-10549.8156	-15231.4879	-18703.3712
		Bottom	-10549.8156	-15231.4879	-18703.3712
PLINTH	6	Тор	-10551.4929	-15233.767	-18706.1697
		Bottom	-10551.4929	-15233.767	-18706.1697
Base	0	Тор	0	0	0
		Bottom	0	0	0

A plot for StoreyShear of Structure in Soft Soil, Medium Soil and Hard Soil in X -Direction with load combination 1.2(DL+LL+EXP) has been shown here



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017



Graph 8:StoreyShear of Structure in Soft Soil , Medium Soil and Hard Soil in X -Direction

Column Forces

Table 12: column axial force, P for structure with the load combination 1.2 (DL+LL+EQXP) &1.2 (DL+LL+EQYP) in soft ,medium &hard soil

	TABLE: Colu	mn Forces			SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III
Story	Column	Unique Name	Load Case/Combo	Station	Р	Р	Р
				m	<u>kN</u>	<u>kN</u>	<u>kN</u>
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQXP	0	-24381.5444	-25446.3503	-26235.5482
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQXP	1.45	-24313.5757	-25378.3816	-26167.5794
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQXP	2.9	-24245.6069	-25310.4128	-26099.6107
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQYP	0	-23345.1752	-23949.6572	-24397.697
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQYP	1.45	-23277.2065	-23881.6884	-24329.7283
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQYP	2.9	-23209.2377	-23813.7197	-24261.7595

Table 13:column Moment, M for structure with the load combination 1.2 (DL+LL+EQXP)&1.2 (DL+LL+EQYP) in soft ,medium &hard soil

T/	ABLE: Colu	umn Forces			SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III	SOIL TYPE III
Story	Column	Unique Name	Load Case/Combo	Station m	M2 <u>kN</u> -m	M3 <u>kN</u> -m	M2 kN-m	M3 <u>kN</u> -m	M2 kN-m	M3 <u>kN</u> -m
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQXP	0	-239.9922	1271.7973	-325.927	1862.7469	-389.6526	2300.9465
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQXP	1.45	-142.186	1095.4925	-206.7527	1610.877	-254.632	1993.0377
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQXP	2.9	-44.3799	919.1878	-87.5785	1359.0072	-119.6113	1685.1289
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQYP	0	1153.6344	-157.4043	1686.2828	-200.7817	2081.2226	-232.9453
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQYP	1.45	974.8851	-107.0072	1406.1652	-125.3418	1725.9364	-138.9369
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQYP	2.9	796.1358	-56.6101	1126.0477	-49.9019	1370.6502	-44.9285



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

Table 13:columnShear, V for structure with the load combination 1.2 (DL+LL+EQXP)&1.2 (DL+LL+EQYP) in soft ,medium &hard soil

T	ABLE: Colu	imn Forces			SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III	SOIL TYPE III
Story	Column	Unique Name	Load Case/Combo	Station	V2	V3	V2	V3	V2	V3
				m	<u>kN</u>	<u>kN</u>	<u>kN</u>	<u>kN</u>	<u>kN</u>	<u>kN</u>
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQXP	0	121.5895	-67.4525	173.7034	-82.1892	212.3509	-93.1177
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQXP	1.45	121.5895	-67.4525	173.7034	-82.1892	212.3509	-93.1177
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQXP	2.9	121.5895	-67.4525	173.7034	-82.1892	212.3509	-93.1177
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQYP	0	-34.7566	123.2754	-52.0275	193.1845	-64.8334	245.025
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQYP	1.45	-34.7566	123.2754	-52.0275	193.1845	-64.8334	245.025
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQYP	2.9	-34.7566	123.2754	-52.0275	193.1845	-64.8334	245.025

Table 13:columnTorsion, T for structure with the load combination 1.2 (DL+LL+EQXP)&1.2 (DL+LL+EQYP) in soft ,medium &hard soil

	TABLE: Colu	imn Forces			SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III
Story	Column	Unique Name	Load Case/Combo	Station	т	т	т
				m	<u>kN</u> -m	<u>kN</u> -m	<u>kN</u> -m
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQXP	0	-42.3525	-61.1008	-75.004
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQXP	1.45	-42.3525	-61.1008	-75.004
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQXP	2.9	-42.3525	-61.1008	-75.004
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQYP	0	46.1375	66.66	81.8788
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQYP	1.45	46.1375	66.66	81.8788
1ST	C34	67	12DLRLLEQYP	2.9	46.1375	66.66	81.8788

Pier Forces

Table 14: Pier Axial Force, P for structure with the load combination 1.2 (DL+LL+EQXP) &1.2 (DL+LL+EQYP) in soft ,medium&hard soil

TABLE: Pie	er Forces			SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III
Story	Pier	Load Case/Combo	Location	Р	Р	Р
				kN	kN	<u>kN</u>
1ST	P3	12DLRLLEQXP	Тор	-6497.8574	-3330.9739	-983.1011
1ST	P3	12DLRLLEQXP	Bottom	-6627.7949	-3460.9114	-1113.0386
1ST	P3	12DLRLLEQYP	Тор	-13631.3189	-13631.3189	-13631.3189
1ST	P3	12DLRLLEQYP	Bottom	-13761.2564	-13761.2564	-13761.2564

Table 15: Pier Moment, M for structure with the load combination 1.2 (DL+LL+EQXP)&1.2 (DL+LL+EQYP) insoft , medium & hard soil

TABLE: Pie	er Forces			SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III	SOIL TYPE III
Story	Pier	Load Case/Combo	Location	M2	M3	M2	M3	M2	M3
				<u>kN</u> -m	kN-m				
1ST	P3	12DLRLLEQXP	Тор	-17.4689	1861.0597	-25.2213	3005.3081	-30.9703	3853.6925
1ST	P3	12DLRLLEQXP	Bottom	21.225	6530.9935	30.6443	8971.8027	37.6294	10781.7386
1ST	P3	12DLRLLEQYP	Тор	21.4569	-716.6361	30.9946	-716.6361	38.0596	-716.6361
1ST	P3	12DLRLLEQYP	Bottom	138.7803	1031.4388	200.3734	1031.4388	246.0468	1031.4388



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

Table 16: Pier Shear Force, V for structure with the load combination 1.2 (DL+LL+EQXP)&1.2 (DL+LL+EQYP) in soft ,medium&hard soil

TABLE: Pie	er Forces			SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III	SOIL TYPE III
Story	Pier	Load Case/Combo	Location	V2	V3	V2	V3	V2	V3
				<u>kN</u>	<u>kN</u>	<u>kN</u>	<u>kN</u>	<u>kN</u>	<u>kN</u>
1ST	P3	12DLRLLEQXP	Тор	1334.2668	11.0554	1704.7127	15.9616	1979.4418	19.5999
1ST	P3	12DLRLLEQXP	Bottom	1334.2668	11.0554	1704.7127	15.9616	1979.4418	19.5999
1ST	P3	12DLRLLEQYP	Тор	499.45	33.521	499.45	48.3939	499.45	59.4249
1ST	P3	12DLRLLEQYP	Bottom	499.45	33.521	499.45	48.3939	499.45	59.4249

Table 17: Pier Torsion, T for structure with the load combination 1.2 (DL+LL+EQXP)&1.2 (DL+LL+EQYP) in soft ,medium&hard soil

TABLE: Pi	ier Forces			SOIL TYPE I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III
Story	Pier	Load Case/Combo	Location	Т	Т	т
				<u>kN</u> -m	<u>kN</u> -m	<u>kN</u> -m
1ST	P3	12DLRLLEQXP	Тор	-17.3115	-24.9942	-30.6914
1ST	P3	12DLRLLEQXP	Bottom	-17.3115	-24.9942	-30.6914
1ST	P3	12DLRLLEQYP	Тор	35.9013	51.8336	63.6486
1ST	P3	12DLRLLEQYP	Bottom	35.9013	51.8336	63.6486

TABLE18: Modal Load Participation Ratios

	F											
Case	Item Type	Item	Static	Dynamic								
			%	%								
Modal	Acceleration	UX	99.99	94.54								
Modal	Acceleration	UY	99.97	91.83								
Modal	Acceleration	UZ	0	0								

According to IS-1893:2002 the number of modes to be used in the analysis should be such that the total sum of modal masses of all modes considered is at least 90 percent of the total seismic mass. Here the minimum modal mass is 91.83 percent.

Table 19: Modal Participating Mass Ratios

Case	Mode	Period sec	UX	UY	UZ	RX	RY	RZ
Modal	1	6.375	0	0	0	0	0	0.7717
Modal	2	6.21	0.7557	0	0	0	0.2514	0
Modal	3	5.792	0	0.7165	0	0.2936	0	0
Modal	4	2.102	0	0	0	0	0	0.1043
Modal	5	1.901	0.1165	0	0	0	0.4355	0
Modal	6	1.575	0	0.1415	0	0.3632	0	0
Modal	7	1.212	0	0	0	0	0	0.0421
Modal	8	0.983	0.0469	0	0	0	0.0811	0
Modal	9	0.815	0	0	0	0	0	0.0227
Modal	10	0.714	0	0.0603	0	0.116	0	0
Modal	11	0.604	0.0263	0	0	0	0.078	0
Modal	12	0.589	0	0	0	0	0	0.0141



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

Here the minimum modal mass for accelerations Ux and Uy is 94.54 % and 91.38 % respectively.

	Table 20: Modal Periods and Frequencies											
TABLE: Modal P	eriods and Frequ	encies SOIL TYPE	I	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE II	SOIL TYPE III	SOIL TYPE III					
Case	Mode	Period	Frequency	Period	Frequency	Period	Frequency					
		sec	cyc/sec	sec	cyc/sec	sec	cyc/sec					
Modal	1	6.375	0.157	6.375	0.157	6.375	0.157					
Modal	2	6.21	0.161	6.21	0.161	6.21	0.161					
Modal	3	5.792	0.173	5.792	0.173	5.792	0.173					
Modal	4	2.102	0.476	2.102	0.476	2.102	0.476					
Modal	5	1.901	0.526	1.901	0.526	1.901	0.526					
Modal	6	1.575	0.635	1.575	0.635	1.575	0.635					
Modal	7	1.212	0.825	1.212	0.825	1.212	0.825					
Modal	8	0.983	1.017	0.983	1.017	0.983	1.017					
Modal	9	0.815	1.226	0.815	1.226	0.815	1.226					
Modal	10	0.714	1.401	0.714	1.401	0.714	1.401					
Modal	11	0.604	1.656	0.604	1.656	0.604	1.656					
Modal	12	0.589	1.697	0.589	1.697	0.589	1.697					

Mode 1 is having maximum time period of 6.375 sec and 0.157 cyc/sec Frequency which is same for all three type of soils.

Mode shapes of shear wall



Figure 3: Mode shape 1 for shear wall



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017



Figure 4: Mode shape 2 for shear wall



Figure 5: Mode shape 3 for shear wall



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017



Figure 6: Mode shape 4 for shear wall



Figure 7: Mode shape 5 for shear wall



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017



Figure 8: Mode shape 6 for shear wall



Figure 9: Mode shape 7 for shear wall



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017



Figure 10: Mode shape 8 for shear wall



Figure 11: Mode shape 9 for shear wall



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017



Figure 12: Mode shape 10 for shear wall



Figure 13: Mode shape 11 for shear wall



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017



Figure 14: Mode shape 12 for shear wall

DISCUSSION ON RESULTS

The result obtained from the analysis models will be discussed and compared as follows: It is observed that

- The time period is 6.375Sec for structure and it is same for different type of soil.
- The Frequency is 0.157 cyc/sec and it is same for different type of soil.
- It is observed that

The percentage of displacement in X& Y direction is more by 44.5 % of the model in medium soil and 77.5 % of model in hard soil compared with model in soft soil.

It is observed that

 \succ The maximum storey drift in X-direction occurred at storey 11th for the model in hard ,medium and soft soil.

- > The percentage of storey drift in X- direction is decreased by placing shear wall as shown below :-
- ▶ 44.5 % of model in medium soil compared with model in soft soil.
- > 77.4 % of model in hard soil compared with model in soft soil.
- It is observed that

> The column axial force is various with type of soil and placing of the shear wall.column axial force in soft soil>medium soil>hard soil.

It is observed that

- > The column moment in Y-direction is influenced by the type of soil and placing of shear wall.
- The column moment M2 in X-direction for soft Soil >Medium soil > Hard soil.
- The column moment M3 in X-direction for soft Soil <Medium soil < Hard soil.
- The column moment M2 in Y-direction for soft Soil <Medium soil < Hard soil.
- The column moment M3in Y-direction for soft Soil >Medium soil > Hard soil.

It is observed that

- The column Shear V2 in X-direction for soft Soil <Medium soil < Hard soil.
- > The column Shear V3 in X-direction for soft Soil >Medium soil > Hard soil.
- The column Shear V2 in Y-direction for soft Soil >Medium soil > Hard soil.



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

The column Shear V3 in Y-direction for soft Soil < Medium soil < Hard soil. It is observed that

 \triangleright The column Torsion T, in X-direction for soft Soil >Medium soil > Hard soil.

 \triangleright The column Torsion T, in Y-direction for soft Soil <Medium soil < Hard soil.

It is observed that

Shear Wall forces (Pier Forces)

Pier axial forces in X direction for soft Soil <Medium soil < Hard soil ≻

⊳ Pier Moment M2 in X direction for soft soil >medium soil > hard soil .

 \triangleright Pier Moment M3 in X direction for soft soil <medium soil < hard soil.

Pier Moment M2 in Y direction for soft soil < Medium soil < hard soil.

Pier Moment M3 in Y direction for soft soil >Medium soil > hard soil .

۶ Pier Shear Forces V2 in X direction for soft soil < Medium soil < hard soil.

⊳ Pier Shear Forces V3 in X direction for soft soil >Medium soil > hard soil.

 \triangleright Pier Torsion in X direction for soft soil >Medium soil > hard soil.

 \triangleright Pier Torsion in Y direction for soft soil < Medium soil < hard soil.

It is observed that

There is considerable difference in storey shear force in x-direction with a type of soils and structures. \geq

 \triangleright The value of the storey shear force in x-direction decreases with increase in storey level.

 \geq The value of the storey shear force in x-direction for the structure in soft soil is more compared with the structure in hard and medium soil.

It is observed that

 \geq The value of the lateral loads in x-direction decreases with increase in storey level.

 \triangleright The value of the lateral loads in x-direction for the structure in soft soil is less compared with the structure in medium soil and hard soil.

lateral loads in X-direction for the structure in soft soil <Medium soil < hard soil. \triangleright

It is observed that

There is not difference in a storey moment in x-direction with different type of soils. \geq

 \triangleright There is not difference in a storey moment in y-direction withdifferenttype of soils.

It is observed that

The value of the Stiffness of Structure in Soft Soil, Medium Soil and Hard Soil in X -Dir for load cases EOXP is same.

The value of the Stiffness of Structure in Soft Soil, Medium Soil and Hard Soil in Y -Dir for load cases EQYP is same.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions are made from the present study:-

The shear wall and it is position has a significant influenced on the time period. The time period is not influenced by the type of soil..

shear is effected marginally by placing of the shear wall, grouping of shear wall and type of soil. The shear is * increased by adding shear wall due to increase the seismic weight of the building.

Provision of the shear wall, generally results in reducing the displacement because the shear wall increases the * stiffness of the building. The displacement is influenced by type and location of the shear wall and also by changing soil condition. The better performance for model with soft soil because it has low displacement.

As per code, the actual drift is less than permissible drift. The parallel arrangement of shear wall in the center core and outer periphery is giving very good result in controlling drift in both the direction. The better performance for model with soft soil because it has low storey drift.



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

 \diamond The shear force resisted by the column frame is decreasing by placing the shear wall and the shear force resisted by the shear wall is increasing. This can be concluded indirectly by observing the maximum column shear force and moment in both directions.

The moment resisting frame with shear walls are very good in lateral force such as earthquake and wind force. The shear walls provide lateral load distribution by transferring the wind and earthquake loads to the foundation. And also impact on the lateral stiffness of the system and also carries gravity loads.

• It is evident that shear walls which are provided from the foundation to the rooftop, are one of the excellent mean for providing earthquake resistant to multistory reinforced building with different type of soil.

• For the columns located away from the shear wall the Bending Moment is high and shear force is less when compared with the columns connected to the shear wall.

* It is observed that the column axial force is various with type of soil and placing of the shear wall.

• It is observed that the column shear force in x-direction is influenced by the type of soil and placing of the shear wall.

• It is observed that the column torsion is influenced by the type of soil and placing shear wall.

 \diamond It is observed that the column moment in y-direction is influenced by the type of soil and placing of shear wall.

• It is observed that the Pier shear force inx& y-direction is influenced with a different type of soil and placing shear wall.

✤ It is observed that the pier Torsion inx& y-direction influenced with a different type of soil and placing shear wall.

• It is observed that the value of storey moment inx& y-direction is same for the model with a different type of soil and placing shear wall.

• It is observed that thepierMomentinx&y-direction is influenced with a different type of soil and placing shear wall.

• It is observed that the Pier Axial Force is various with type of soil and placing of the shear wall.

• It is observed that the value of stiffness in x& y-direction is same for the model with a different type of soil and placing shear wall.

REFERENCES

[1]. Duggal, S.K., "Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures" Oxford University Press, New Delhi 2010

[2]. Chopra, A.K., "Dynamics of Structures: Theory and Application to Earthquake Engineering", Pearson Education, 4th edition, 2012.

[3]. Bureau of Indian Standars, IS 456 : 2000, "Plain and Reinforced Concrete-Code of practice", New Delhi, India.

[4]. Bureau of Indian Standards: IS 13920 : 1993, "Ductile detailing of reinforced concrete structures subjected to seismic forces— Code of Practice", New Delhi, India.

[5]. Bureau of Indian Standards: IS 875(part 1) : 1987, "Dead loads on buildings and Structures", New Delhi, India.

[6]. Bureau of Indian Standards: IS 875(part 2): 1987, "Live loads on buildings and Structures", New Delhi, India.

[7]. Bureau of Indian Standards: IS 1893 (part 1) : 2002, "Criteria for earthquake resistant design of structures: Part 1 General provisions and buildings", New Delhi, India.

[8] Anand, N. ,Mightraj, C. and Prince Arulraj, G. "Seismic behaviour of RCC shear wall under different soil conditions" Indian geotechnical conference, Dec – 2010, pp 119-120.

[9]. Anshuman, S., DipenduBhunia, BhavinRamjiyani,"Solution of shear wall location in multistory building", International journal of civil and structural engineering, Vol. 4, Issue 5, pp. 22-32, 2011.



(A High Impact Factor & UGC Approved Journal)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

[10].Chandiwala, A., "Earthquake Analysis of Building Configuration withDifferent Position of Shear Wall", International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering ISSN 2250-2459, ISO 9001:2008 Certified Journal, Volume 2, Issue 12, December 2012
 [11].Chandurkar, P.P., Dr. Pajgade, P.S., "Seismic analysis of RCC building with and without shear wall", International Journal of Modern Engineering Research, Vol. 3, Lorge 2, pp. 1820–2013

Engineering Research. Vol. 3, Issue 3, pp. 1805-1810, 2013. [12]. Rahangdale, H., Satone, S.R., "Design and analysis of multi-storied building with effect of shear wall", International journal of engineering research and application", Vol. 3, Issue 3, pp. 223-232, 2013.