

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017

An Overview of Cellular Manufacturing

Jyoti Prakash Pathak¹

Department of Management, Galgotias University, Yamuna Expressway Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India¹

jp.pathak@Galgotiasuniversity.edu.in¹

ABSTRACT: Cellular manufacturing is a lean manufacturing strategy that lets businesses manufacture a range of materials with as less waste as possible for their consumers. The equipment and workstation in cellular development are organized in a series that supports smooth, minimum transport and delay of materials and components. A part flow is a situation where goods are transported one unit at a time, based on the customer's wishes. One part flow is a condition. The opposite is mass processing of batches and queues. The only problem is mass production. Flow performance instead of resource efficiency is the objective of a piece flow. Materials and materials should be manufactured from cellular systems to achieve a seamless flow during the manufacturing process. It also helps improve the speed and versatility of production. Cellular manufacturing forces the company to concurrently manufacture a varying type of features to minimize the production time for a component.

KEYWORDS: Cellular systems, Manufacturing, Cell production, Flexibility, Development, Design, Efficiency.

I. INTRODUCTION

Companies aim to develop and sustain a strategic edge in a competitive market today. Superior product efficiency (design consistency or consistent quality) or new product introductions (product versatility, pace of development) or manufacturing performance may achieve a market gain (product and volume flexibility, low cost, dependability, speedy delivery). With regard to the output efficiency, it is now generally agreed that manufacturers will satisfy their strategic obligations by introducing cell production[1]. Cellular manufacturing (CM) is a popular technique to remove much of the inefficiencies in practical load-type production environments. It is generally agreed to offer better advantages, such as shortened turnaround times and a work-in-process inventory, while improving product quality and staff efficiency, through the efficient introduction of CM[2]. Although the growing prevalence of CM is unmistakable, businesses typically do not understand the advantages which were seen as significant for the adoption of CM. Around half of all businesses that embrace CM are ever adopted effectively. You add that the aspirations of organizations and their real delivery of CM benefits differ considerably.

It is argued that the fact that research papers on cell manufacturing over the past 15 year focus on designing procedures to address the problems of cell forming (machine order/structure, family components grouping, work flow sequence) is an important explanation why the full benefits of CM were not obtained[3]. Variety of key societal shifts are now recognized as businesses move from practical production layouts to production cells. This societal changes, along with the requisite technological changes, would require clinicians to be careful of their possible effect on behavior, encouragement and retention of workers and hence the ultimate performance of the CM introduction[4].

It is now understood, that the success of CM depends on both technological and human factors. With a heavy focus on technological aspects, the current CM research agency, gives practitioners little systematic perspective on the many

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017

challenges of execution. This paper draws on the work of numerous researchers to construct an interconnected structure for adoption and includes the experience of a case study to validate the proposed framework[5]. The suggested roadmap is intended for professional's at all executive ranks, including senior management, project engineers, vendor and team leaders. This detailed implementation structure helps clinicians to better understand the different steps of the cell development process.

II.LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Foundation of Cellular Manufacturing:

The theory of the cellular development is that objects similar to those with the same design characteristics, like scale, form etc. can be produced in the same way. And development features like weight, diameter, surface finish, resistance, etc. These aspects should be together, so this will allow one not to work with individual pieces in groups. Working with multiple communities is therefore easier than working with specific pieces [6].By gathering parts similar to one group, including machinery, machines and tools, we can form groups which require these groups to be processed. But that's a major bonus for us. Consequently, collecting these many pieces to build one category would make it difficult for them to find a solution to the problem rather than finding many different problems. It is difficult to find a solution to this problem. That's time and effort saving. Cellular production is a method that can be tolerated in any strategy where there are basic parallels. It consists of a grouping of devices and pieces [7].

2. Characteristics of Cellular Manufacturing:

In cellular manufacturing, the cell can meet the entire production requirement. Furthermore, these cells are self-efficient and self-managed.

Manufacturing cell has got the following characteristics:

- Each cell has a particular set of family of components or products.
- Each cell is provided with all the machines and other facilities, which it needs to, complete its own particular family.
- Production targets for each cell are given as a whole and not separately of different individuals in the cell, and these targets are set by management in consultation with cell foreman and operators.
- Within the cells, there is a pool of labor with a certain degree of flexibility and a team of workers control each cell solely. The foreman or cell leader is in direct change of each cell, working solely for that group.
- Each cell does its own inspection and work scheduling.

3. Principle Keys of Cellular Manufacturing:

There are many things can make the lean cell better than the traditional job shop setup such as:

Flexibility: Flexibility is the main feature design criterion for the production system. Flexibility is the production cell. It is typical U-shaped, so that staff can switch from a machine to the machine with the shortest walking distance, loading and unloading portion. At least one-cycle automatic machinery in a cell makes it unattended to complete the cycle, which is then switched off until done automatically. Normal processing for a full component or assembly is included in the cell.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017

Decouplers in cells are applied in order to provide cell flexibility, quality management, output control and process latency between the process and manual tasks. The disconnecter holds one part, is processed and examined between each unit and is prepared to be pulled through a further operation. Disconnecting in the staffed cell lets the worker check a component while encouraging the worker to shift in the other direction. The fluid supplying the decoupler flows into the cell[8].

Product family: the customer may require more products, a different color mix, change in the product design, so cell system design can make many different types of product with a small setup time, but with the job shop system it will take a lot of time to produce a new production[9].

Multifunctional and multi-process workers: Multifunctional means that a staff is able to carry out installation, installation prevention, quality management, preventive repair, trouble solving and continuous development activities. A worker may execute multiple processes and/or perform assembly tasks in order to be multi-process. A cell has multi-process staff that can run different iterations or processes of more than one kind. They also conduct inspection and repair functions, which render them multifunctional. Staff can decipher the time of installation. Manufacturing and assembly cells eliminate the job shop concept of one person/ one machine. Therefore, increase worker productivity and utilization[10].

4. Benefits of Cellular Manufacturing:

Applying the concept of cellular manufacturing has a lot of benefits to the manufacturing which include:

- Reducing the number of product defects, it can be found the errors immediately and corrected.
- Reducing cycle time variability and line –balance constraints.
- Reducing WIP and finished goods inventories, producing only enough products to satisfy customer demand. • Reducing or eliminating setup time.
- The flow will be smooth and faster through the operation.
- Reducing material handling costs and time.
- Reducing in manufacturing space required.
- Quality feedback between manufacturing and assembly operation is faster.

III. CONCLUSION

The goal of this review is to get a basic understanding about the cellular manufacturing and how it can help the companies to improve its production and to reduce its waste. Therefore, the best Lean manufacturing idea uses a cellular manufacturing system that can help us eliminate waste. In addition, it has several productive ways of improving efficiency. This paper has shown the basic principle of cell development, like targets and cellular production advantages, which can be accomplished in order to satisfy the necessary customers.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Chu, G. Graf, and D. W. Rosen, "Design for additive manufacturing of cellular structures," *Comput. Aided. Des. Appl.*, 2008, doi: 10.3722/cadaps.2008.686-696.
- [2] D. W. Rosen, "Computer-Aided Design for Additive Manufacturing of Cellular Structures," *Comput. Aided. Des. Appl.*, 2007, doi: 10.1080/16864360.2007.10738493.
- [3] L. N. Pattanaik and B. P. Sharma, "Implementing lean manufacturing with cellular layout: A case study," *Int. J.*

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017

- Adv. Manuf. Technol.*, 2009, doi: 10.1007/s00170-008-1629-8.
- [4] A. G. Evans, J. W. Hutchinson, and M. F. Ashby, "Multifunctionality of cellular metal systems," *Progress in Materials Science*. 1998, doi: 10.1016/S0079-6425(98)00004-8.
- [5] M. Scheffler and P. Colombo, *Cellular Ceramics: Structure, Manufacturing, Properties and Applications*. 2006.
- [6] "Reorganizing the factory: Competing through cellular manufacturing," *J. Manuf. Syst.*, 2002, doi: 10.1016/s0278-6125(02)80175-3.
- [7] R. Sundar, A. N. Balaji, and R. M. Satheesh Kumar, "A review on lean manufacturing implementation techniques," in *Procedia Engineering*, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2014.12.341.
- [8] S. do C. Silva and A. C. Alves, "A Framework for Understanding Cellular Manufacturing Systems," in *E-Manufacturing: Business Paradigms and Supporting Technologies*, 2004.
- [9] M. Abou-El-Enein *et al.*, "Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) manufacturing of advanced therapy medicinal products: A novel tailored model for optimizing performance and estimating costs," *Cytotherapy*, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.jcyt.2012.09.006.
- [10] M. Matsumoto, N. Nakamura, and T. Takenaka, "Business constraints in reuse services," in *IEEE Technology and Society Magazine*, 2010, doi: 10.1109/MTS.2010.938104.
- [11] S.Balamurugan, Dr.P.Visalakshi, V.M.Prabhakaran, S.Charanyaa, S.Sankaranarayanan, "Strategies for Solving the NP-Hard Workflow Scheduling Problems in Cloud Computing Environments", *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 8(16): 345-355, 2014
- [12] K.Deepika, P.Andrew, R.Santhya, S.Balamurugan, S.Charanyaa, "A Survey on Approaches Developed for Data Anonymization", *International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology* Vol 1, Issue 4, December 2014.
- [13] Gagandeep Singh Narula, Dr. Vishal Jain, Dr. S. V. A. V. Prasad, "Use of Ontology to Secure the Cloud: A Case Study", *International Journal of Innovative Research and Advanced Studies (IJIRAS)*, Vol. 3 No. 8, July 2016, page no. 148 to 151 having ISSN No. 2394-4404.