

The Analysis of the Fruition of Phantom Energy in the Light of Friedmann's Equations in a Dark Energy Model Universe

Pallavi Saikia

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Kokrajhar Govt. College, Kokrajhar, Assam, India

ABSTRACT: In Physical Cosmology and Astronomy, the hypothetical form of energy, which is extremely responsible for the exponential expansion of the universe, is regarded as dark energy. Phantom energy is a hypothetical form of dark energy satisfying the equation of state $\omega < -1$. It possesses negative kinetic energy, and predicts expansion of the universe in excess of that predicted by a cosmological constant, which leads to a Big Rip. The existence of phantom energy could cause the expansion of the universe to accelerate so quickly that a scenario known as the Big Rip, a possible end to the universe, occurs. In 1998, published observations of Type Ia supernovae by the High-Z Supernova Search Team followed by the Supernova Cosmology Project in 1999 suggested that the expansion of the universe is accelerating. The standard cosmological model indicates that the total mass-energy of the universe contains 4.9% ordinary matter, 26.8% dark matter and 68.3% dark energy. Measurements of cosmic microwave background (CMB) anisotropies indicate that the universe is close to flat. There are two proposed forms for dark energy, one is cosmological constant and the other is scalar fields such as quintessence. In standard cosmology, with the evolution of the universe, the matter density and thermodynamic pressure gradually decreases. Also in the course of evolution, the matter in the universe obeys (or violates) some restrictions or energy conditions. If the matter distribution obeys strong energy condition (SEC), the universe is in a decelerating phase while violation of SEC indicates an accelerated expansion of the universe. In the period of accelerated expansion, the matter may be either of quintessence nature or phantom nature depending on the fulfilment of the weak energy condition (WEC) or violation of it. Moreover, there are two independent Friedmann's equations for an isotropic universe. Here in this paper, the Friedmann's Equations have been introduced to analyse whether the bulk viscosity induces a big rip singularity on the Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) cosmologies or not by investigating the dissipative processes. At the end of the paper, we have done the investigation to seek for the possibility of occurrences of any singularity in the phantom era.

KEYWORDS: Big rip, Cosmology, Dark energy, Singularity.

I. INTRODUCTION

The astrophysical observations indicate that the universe media is not a Perfect fluid [1] and the viscosity is concerned in the evolution of the universe [2]-[4]. On the other hand, in the standard cosmological model, if the Equation of State (EOS) parameter ω is less than -1, the universe shows the future finite singularity called Big Rip [5]-[6]. Several ideas are proposed to prevent the big rip singularity as thought it un-physical, like by introducing quantum effects terms in the action or by including universe viscosity media for the Universe evolution. The universe at present should have a phase of deceleration in the context of standard cosmology. Modification of the geometry indicates introduction of some modified gravity theory (f(R) gravity, Brane scenario etc.) while change in the matter part indicates inclusion of some unknown kind of matters having large negative pressure so that strong energy condition (SEC) ($\rho + 3p > 0$) is violated. Such an unknown matter is known as dark energy (DE). In literature, there are various DE model to match with observational data. The simplest model representing differential equation is the Cosmological Constant which was introduced by Einstein himself. The critical boundary where universe make a transition from quintessence era to the phantom era is known as phantom divide line or phantom crossing. It is extraordinary that recent observations have

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 7, Issue 12, December 2018

confirmed that the Universe is undergoing a phase of accelerated expansion. Evidence of this cosmological expansion, coming from measurements of supernovae of type Ia (SNe Ia) [7] and independently from the cosmic microwave background radiation [8, 9], shows that the Universe additionally consists of some sort of negative pressure dark energy. The Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP), designed to measure the CMB anisotropy with great precision and accuracy, has recently confirmed that the Universe is composed of approximately 70 percent of dark energy [10]. A simple way to parameterize the dark energy is by an equation of state of the form

$$\varpi = \frac{p}{\rho}$$

where p is the spatially homogeneous pressure and ρ , the energy density of the dark energy [11]. A value of $\varpi < -\frac{1}{3}$ is required for cosmic expansion, and corresponds to a cosmological constant [12]. The particular case of $\varpi = -\frac{2}{3}$ is extensively analyzed in [13]. However, a note on the choice of the imposition $\varpi > -1$ is in order. It should be noted that the dark energy density is positive, $\rho > 0$. Matter with the property $\varpi < -1$ has been denoted phantom energy. Apart from the null energy condition violation phantom energy possesses other strange properties, namely, phantom energy probably mediates a long-range repulsive force [14], phantom Thermodynamics leads to a negative entropy (or negative temperature) [15, 16], and the energy density increases to infinity in a finite time [17, 18], at which point the size of the Universe blows up in a finite time. This is known as the Big Rip. To an observer on Earth this corresponds to observing the galaxies being stripped apart, the Earth being itself ripped from its gravitational attraction to the Sun, before being eventually ripped apart, followed by the dissociation of molecules and atoms, and finally of nuclei and nucleons. However, it has been shown that in certain models the presence of phantom energy does not lead to the above-mentioned [19]-[25]. It was also shown that quantum corrections may prevent [26], or at least delay, the Big Rip by taking into account the back reaction of conformal quantum fields near the singularity [27]-[29], and the universe may end up in a de-Sitter phase before the scale factor blows up. (It has also been shown that quantum effects, without a negative kinetic term, could also lead to a super-accelerated phase of inflation, with a weak energy condition violating, on average and not just in fluctuations, dark energy equation of state $\omega < -1$ on cosmological scales [30, 31]). In an interesting paper it was shown that the masses of all black holes tend to zero as the phantom energy universe approaches the Big Rip.

The existence of an exotic cosmic fluid with negative pressure which constitutes about the 70 percent of the total energy of the universe, has been perhaps the most surprising discovery made in cosmology. This dark energy is supported by the astrophysical data obtained from Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WAMP) (MAP) and high red-shift surveys of super novae. The dark energy is considered to be a fluid categorized by a negative pressure and usually represented by the equation of state

$$\omega = \frac{p}{\rho}$$

where ω lies very close to -1, majority of the possibility is that it lies below the value -1. Now dark energy with $\omega < -1$, the phantom component of the universe leads to uncommon cosmological scenarios as it was pointed out by Cadwell in [32]. Initially, there was a violation of the dominant energy condition (DEC). The energy density grows up to infinity in a finite time, which leads to a big rip, characterized by a scale factor blowing up in this finite time. These sudden future singularities are, nevertheless, not necessarily produced by a fluids violating DEC. Barrow showed with explicit examples which develop a big rip singularity at a finite time even if the matter fields satisfy the strong-energy conditions $\rho > 0$ and $p + 3\rho > 0$.

The role of dissipative processes in the evolution of early universe has also been extensively studied. In the case of isotropic and homogeneous cosmologies, any dissipation process in FRW cosmology is scalar and therefore may be modelled as a bulk viscosity within a thermo dynamical approach. There is a well-known result of the FRW cosmological solutions, corresponding to universes filled with perfect fluid and bulk viscous stresses is the possibility of violating DEC[33].

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 7, Issue 12, December 2018

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Albert Einstein was the first person to put forward the explanation for dark energy. According to Einstein, Space has some amazing properties. The cosmological constant was first proposed by Einstein as a mechanism to obtain a solution of the gravitational field equation that would lead to a static universe, effectively using dark energy to balance gravity. Observations made by Edwin Hubble in 1929 showed that the universe appears to be expanding and not static at all. In 1980, Alan Guth and Alexei Starobinsky proposed that a negative pressure field, similar in concept to dark energy, could drive cosmic inflation in the very early universe. Inflation postulates that some repulsive force, qualitatively similar to dark energy, resulted in an enormous and exponential expansion of the universe slightly after the Big Bang. The term dark energy, echoing Fritz Zwicky's "dark matter" from the 1930s, was coined by Michael Turner in 1998. During the 1980s, most cosmological research focused on models with critical density in matter only, usually 95% cold dark matter and 5% ordinary matter (baryons). These models were found to be successful at forming realistic galaxies and clusters. In particular, the model required a value for the Hubble constant lower than preferred by observations, and the model under-predicted observations of large-scale galaxy clustering. These difficulties became stronger after the discovery of anisotropy in the cosmic microwave background by the COBE spacecraft in 1992, and several modified CDM models came under active study through the mid-1990s: these included the Lambda-CDM model and a mixed cold/hot dark matter model. The first direct evidence for dark energy came from supernova observations in 1998 of accelerated expansion in Riess and Perlmutter. The Lambda-CDM model then became the leading model. Soon after, dark energy was supported by independent observations: in 2000, the BOOMERanG and Maxima cosmic microwave background experiments observed the first acoustic peak in the CMB, showing that the total (matter+energy) density is close to 100% of critical density. Then in 2001, the 2dF Galaxy Redshift Survey gave strong evidence that the matter density is around 30% of critical. Much more precise measurements from WMAP in 2003–2010 have continued to support the standard model and give more accurate measurements. Phantom energy is a hypothetical form of dark energy satisfying the equation of state. One application of phantom energy in 2007 was to a cyclic model of the universe.

III. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

The bulk viscosity introduces dissipation by only redefining the effective pressure, P_{eff} , according to

$$P_{eff} = p + \Pi = p - 3\xi H \quad (1)$$

where $\Pi = -3\xi H$

and Π =bulk viscous pressure, ξ = co-efficient of bulk viscosity, H = Hubble Parameter.
The equation of energy balance is

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(p + \rho + \Pi) = 0 \quad (2)$$

IV. ECKART THEORY

The FRW metric for a homogeneous and isotropic universe is given by

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2(dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)) \quad (3)$$

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor and t represents the cosmic time. In the following, we use the units $8\pi G = 1$. In the first order thermodynamic theory of Eckart, the field equations in the presence of bulk viscous stresses are

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = H^2 = \frac{\rho}{3} \quad (4)$$

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 7, Issue 12, December 2018

and

$$\dot{H} + H^2 = \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{1}{6}(\rho + 3P_{eff}) \quad (5)$$

with

$$P_{eff} = p + \Pi \quad (6)$$

where

$$\Pi = -3\xi H \quad (7)$$

We have the conservation equation as

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p + \Pi) = 0 \quad (8)$$

Let us first explain the Friedmann's Equations.

V. FRIEDMANN'S EQUATIONS

There are two independent Friedmann's equations for an isotropic universe. The first equation of Friedmann is as:

$$\frac{\dot{a}^2 + kc^2}{a^2} = \frac{8\Pi G\rho + \Lambda c^2}{3}$$

which is derived from the '00' component of Einstein's field equations.

The second equation of Friedmann is

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\Pi G}{3}\left(\rho + \frac{3p}{c^2}\right) + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3}$$

which is derived from the first equation together with the trace of Einstein's field equations.

Since there are two independent Friedmann's equations for modelling a homogeneous, isotropic universe, out of which, let us assume that the dark energy component obeys the second Friedmann's Equation

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\Pi G}{3}\left(\rho + \frac{3p}{c^2}\right) + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3} \quad (9)$$

which is derived from the first equation together with the trace of Einstein's field equations.

G, Λ and c are universal constants.

G= Newton's gravitational constant.

Λ = Cosmological constant.

c= Speed of light in vacuum.

Using the first equation, the second equation can be re-expressed as

$$\dot{\rho} = -3H\left(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}\right) \quad (10)$$

which eliminates Λ and expresses the conservation of mass- energy,

$$T_{;\beta}^{\alpha\beta} = 0$$

Now from equation (10) using (4), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} 6H\dot{H} &= -3H\left(3H^2 + \frac{p}{c^2}\right) \\ \Rightarrow p &= -3c^2.H^2 - 2c^2.\dot{H} \end{aligned}$$

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 7, Issue 12, December 2018

where

$0 \leq c \leq 3 \times 10^8$ m/sec (in vacuum).

Writing,

$$-3c^2 = A$$

and

$$-2c^2 = B$$

where c is the velocity of light. Although c is a function of time, but here for the problem considered in this paper, we shall take specified value for c , so that c can be treated as a constant. Therefore, we have,

$$p = AH^2 + B\dot{H} \tag{11}$$

Substituting (11) in equation (8) and using equations (4) and (7), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} (2 + B)\dot{H} + (3 + A)H^2 &= 3\xi H \\ \Rightarrow \dot{H} + \left(\frac{3 + A}{2 + B}\right)H^2 &= \left(\frac{3}{2 + B}\right)H\xi \\ \Rightarrow \dot{H} + EH^2 &= DH\xi \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where

$$E = \frac{3 + A}{2 + B}$$

and

$$D = \frac{3}{2 + B}$$

Thus the equation (12) becomes,

$$\frac{dH}{dt} - DH\xi = -EH^2 \tag{13}$$

$$\Rightarrow H(t) = \frac{\exp[D \int \xi(t) dt]}{\int E[\exp[D \int \xi(t) dt] dt] + F} = \frac{\exp[D \int \xi(t) dt]}{F + E \int [\exp[D \int \xi(t) dt] dt]} \tag{14}$$

where F is the constant of integration.

Again from the equation (4), we can find the expression for the scalar factor $a(t)$ which is as follows:

$$\log a - \log K = \int \left[\frac{D \int \xi(t) dt}{F + E \int [\exp[D \int \xi(t) dt] dt]} \right] \tag{15}$$

where $\log K$ is the new constant of integration.

Thus,

$$a(t) = K[F + E \int [\exp[D \int \xi(t) dt] dt]]^{\frac{2}{3}} \tag{16}$$

where K is a new constant of integration.

Also

$$E = \frac{3 + A}{2 + B} = \frac{3 - 3c^2}{2 - 2c^2} = \frac{3}{2}$$

since c is the velocity of light which is a function of time i.e., t . Thus, for a given $\xi(t)$, we have the expression for $a(t)$ as in equation (16), we can have the expression for $p(t)$ and $\rho(t)$ as follows:

Since

$$\rho = 3H^2$$

Therefore

$$\rho(t) = 3H^2(t) = 3 \left[\frac{\exp[D \int \xi(t) dt]}{F + E \int [\exp[D \int \xi(t) dt] dt]} \right]^2 \tag{17}$$

Again from equation (11), using (4) and (5), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) &= AH^2(t) + B\dot{H}(t) \\ \Rightarrow p(t) &= -3c^2 \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + B \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where the values of the scale factor $a(t)$ and its derivative $\dot{a}(t)$ are obtained from the equation (16). Now from equation (12), for the case $E = 0$, we have,

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 7, Issue 12, December 2018

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{H} &= D\xi H \\ \Rightarrow \xi &= \frac{1}{D} \cdot \frac{\dot{H}}{H} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Now substituting this expression into equation (8), we have,

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H \left[(3 + A)H^2 + \left(B - \frac{3}{D} \right) \dot{H} \right] = 0$$

Here, we have taken

$$E = 0$$

i.e.,

$$A = -3$$

Also

$$B = -2c^2$$

and

$$D = \frac{3}{2 + B} = \frac{3}{2 - 2c^2}$$

Therefore, we have from,

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H \left[(3 - 3)H^2 + \left(B - \frac{3}{D} \right) \dot{H} \right] = 0$$

As

$$\dot{\rho} = 6H\dot{H} \quad (20)$$

$$\Rightarrow \int d\rho = 3H^2 + \text{constant} \quad (21)$$

Comparing this expression with (4), we have that the above constant in equation (21), is zero. Again from equation (16) we have,

$$a(t) = K \left[F + \int_2^3 \left[\exp \left[\frac{3}{2-0} \int \xi(t) dt \right] dt \right] \right]^{\frac{2}{3}} \quad (22)$$

Thus we shall have the expression for the scale factor a(t), defined by the field equations as shown in equation (22). So, for a given value of a(t), we can write H and obtain the expressions for the energy density ρ from equation (4), which is obtained in equation (17) and the bulk viscosity from equation (19).

Again if

$$\xi = 0, \frac{1}{D} \frac{\dot{H}}{H} = 0$$

Then we have,

$$H = \text{constant} = H_0 \text{ (say)} \quad (23)$$

Again, from equation (4), we have,

$$\log a = H_0 \int dt = H_0 t \quad [\text{By equation (23)}]$$

Thus the well known de-Sitter scale factor a(t) is obtained as:

$$a(t) = e^{H_0 t}$$

and for

$$c = 0,$$

the Friedmann equation (11) gives pressure

$$p = 0$$

As there are three independent equations for the four unknown functions a(t), $\rho(t)$, $\xi(t)$ and p(t), we can have the solutions of the field equations in terms of $\xi(t)$ or a(t),

Now, we shall examine if there is any possibility of the existence of cosmological models with viscous matters causing to the formation of a big rip singularity.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 7, Issue 12, December 2018

VI. THE CASE FOR ($E \neq 0$) or ($c \neq 0$)

Firstly, let us consider the case for $c \neq 0$.

If the viscous fluid satisfies DEC, then the condition $0 \leq c \leq 3 \times 10^8$ must be satisfied.

Although the value of E is calculated to be

$$E = \frac{3 + A}{2 + B} = \frac{3 - 3c^2}{2 - 2c^2} = \frac{3}{2}$$

The condition $0 \leq E \leq 1.5$ must be satisfied i.e., $E \in [0; 1.5]$. Thus, for $E > 0$, we have a Phantom Cosmology.

From Thermodynamics, if $\xi > 0$ and if $E < 0$, equation (16) implies that we can have no singularity at a finite value of the cosmic time. From equation (19), the well known standard case for a perfect fluid i.e., $\xi = 0$ takes the form as:

$$a(t) = K \left[F + \frac{3}{2}t \right]^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

The scale factor may be written as

$$a(t) = KF \left[1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{F} t \right]^{\frac{2}{3}} = a_0 \left[1 + \frac{3}{2} H_0 t \right]^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

Writing,

$$a_0 = KF$$

as another constant and

$$\frac{1}{F} = H_0$$

Also the energy density is given by equation (4). Thus we have,

$$\rho = a_0 \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} H_0 t \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} = a_0 (1 + Jt)^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

where

$$J = \frac{3}{2} H_0$$

a constant. Therefore,

$$\dot{a} = a_0 H_0 (1 + Jt)^{-\frac{1}{3}}$$

Therefore

$$\rho = \frac{H_0}{\left(1 + \frac{3}{2} H_0 t\right)}$$

But

$$\rho = 3H^2 = 3 \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 = \frac{3H_0^2}{\left(1 + \frac{3}{2} H_0 t\right)^2} \frac{\rho_0}{\left(1 + \frac{3}{2} H_0 t\right)^2} \quad (24)$$

where

$$\rho_0 = 3H_0^2$$

In order to have

$$H(t_0 = 0) = H_0 > 0$$

If $E < 0$, the cosmic time

$$t_{br} = -\frac{2}{3} H_0 > t_0 = 0$$

we do not have any big rip singularity at a finite value of cosmic time t_{br}

In the special case of

$$\xi(t) = \xi_0 = \text{Constant.}$$

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 7, Issue 12, December 2018

We get,

$$a(t) = K \left[F + \frac{3}{2(D\xi_0)} e^{D\xi_0 t} \right]^{\frac{2}{3}} \quad (25)$$

We can rewrite this equation into the form

$$a(t) = a_0 \left[1 + \frac{3H_0}{2\xi_0} \cdot \frac{3}{2} (1-c^2) \left(e^{\left(\frac{3(1-c^2)\xi_0 t}{2} - 1 \right)} \right) \right] \quad (26)$$

from which we obtain the energy density

$$\rho(t) = \rho_0 \frac{e^{3\xi_0 t}}{1 + \frac{3H_0}{2\xi_0} \left((1-c^2) \left(e^{\left(\frac{3(1-c^2)\xi_0 t}{2} - 1 \right)} \right)^2 \right)} \quad (27)$$

where

$$\rho_0 = 3H_0^2$$

As earlier, for

$$\begin{aligned} E &< 0 \\ E &= \frac{3+A}{2+B} < 0 \\ \text{i.e.,} \quad \Rightarrow E &= -2c^2 - 1 > -3c^2 \\ \Rightarrow c &> \pm 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since $A = -3c^2$ and $B = -2c^2$

i.e., for $E < 0$ or $c > \pm 1$ and (c is not less than zero), we have no big rip singularity at a finite value of cosmic time,

$$t_{br} = \frac{2}{3\xi_0} I_n \left(1 - \frac{H_0}{\xi_0} \right) > t_0 = 0$$

Now we take the condition

$$\xi(t) = \xi(\rho(t))$$

to fix the unknown problem by using any additional condition on the system of the field equations Now from equation (12), we have,

$$\dot{H} + \frac{3}{2}H^2 = DH \cdot \alpha\sqrt{3H}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \alpha\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{H} &= -\frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{3\alpha}}{1-c^2} - 1 \right) (t - t_0) + \frac{1}{H_0^*} \end{aligned}$$

where H_0^* is the constant of integration and thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{H_0^*} &= \frac{1}{H_0} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{H} &= \frac{1}{H_0} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{3\alpha}}{1-c^2} - 1 \right) (t - t_0) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where

$$H_0 = H(t - t_0)$$

and t_0 correspond to the time where dark component begins to become dominant.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 7, Issue 12, December 2018

Also

$$a(t) = a_0 \left(1 - \frac{t-t_0}{t_{br}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3\left(\frac{\sqrt{3\alpha}}{1-c^2}\right)^{-1}}} \quad (29)$$

where

$$a_0 = a(t - t_0)$$

Now to have the occurrence of a big rip in the future cosmic time, then we have the following constraint on the parameter α . Thus

$$1 - c^2 > \sqrt{3\alpha} \quad (30)$$

leading the scale factor to blow up to infinity at a finite time $t_{br} > t_0$, whose expression is

$$t_{br} = \frac{2}{3\left(\frac{\sqrt{3\alpha}}{1-c^2}\right)^{-1}} H_0^{-1} \quad (31)$$

In terms of time t_{br} , the Hubble Parameter is given by

$$H(t) = H_0 \left(1 - \frac{t-t_0}{t_{br}}\right)^{-1} \quad (32)$$

From equation (4) and the parameterized equations (31) and (32), for the scale factor and the Hubble Parameter respectively, we shall obtain the expression for the increasing density of the dark component in terms of the scale factor

$$\rho(a) = 3H_0^2 \left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{3\left(\frac{\sqrt{3\alpha}}{1-c^2}\right)^{-1}} \quad (33)$$

Now substituting this in equation (15), we can replace it.

The bulk viscosity is given by

$$\xi(t) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{3\alpha}}{1-c^2}\right) H_0 \left(1 - \frac{t-t_0}{t_{br}}\right)^{-1} \quad (34)$$

VII. THE CASE FOR (E = 0)

Now it is noticed that the structure of equation (12) changes, if $E = 0$ and ξ is an arbitrary function of density, since the quadratic term in H appears. Nevertheless, if $\xi \sim \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}$ the structure of equation (12) is the same for any value of E in the range $0 \leq E \leq 2$, except in the case when

$$\frac{\sqrt{3\alpha}}{1-c^2} = 1$$

where equation (12) becomes

$$\dot{H} = 0$$

i.e., $H = \text{constant}$.

VIII. CONCLUSION

Here in this paper, it is shown that the power law solution, found by Barrow [34] within the framework of the non-causal thermodynamics, for dissipative universe with

$$\xi = \alpha \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

yields cosmologies which present big rip singularity when the constraint given in equation (30) holds. If we consider that the dark component is quintessence, i.e. $0 \leq \sqrt{3\alpha} \leq \frac{2}{3}$

with a sufficiently large bulk viscosity will make this quintessence behaves like a phantom energy. However, it is not clear for us that how it can be interpreted with a radiation fluid e.g., with a large bulk viscosity leading to high negative pressures and increasing densities. At the boundary between the quintessence sector and the phantom sector, i.e.

$E = 0$ or

$$c = 0$$

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 7, Issue 12, December 2018

there also exist cosmologies with a big rip singularity supporting the findings of Cataldo, M. et al [4] and Brevik, I. [3] with the inclusion of sufficiently large bulk viscosity. Our work will be beneficial in solving the puzzle of dark energy for further research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jaffe, R. T., Banday, J. A., Eriksen, K. H., Gorski, M. K., Hansen K. F. "Evidence of vorticity and shear at large angular scales in the WMAP data: a violation of cosmological isotropy?" *Astrophys.J.* arXiv: astro-ph/0503213 (2005).
- [2] Brevik, I. and Gorbunova, O. "Dark energy and viscous cosmology", *General Relativity and Quantum Cosmology*, arXiv: gr-qc/0504001v2 (2005).
- [3] Brevik, I., Gorbunova, O. and Shaido, A. Y. "Viscous FRW cosmology in modified gravity", *General Relativity and Quantum Cosmology*, arXiv: gr-qc/0508038v1 (2005).
- [4] Cataldo, M., Cruz, N. and Lepe, S. "Viscous dark energy and Phantom evolution", *Physical Letters B*, Vol. 619, pp. 5-10, (2005).
- [5] Caldwell, R.R., Kamionkowski, M., and Weinberg, N.N. "Phantom energy and cosmic doomsday", *Physical Review Letter*, Vol. 91, arXiv: astro-ph/0302506v1 (2003).
- [6] Nojiri, S., Odintsov, D. S. and Tsujikawa, S. "Properties of singularities in (Phantom) dark energy universe", *Physics Review*, arXiv: hep-th/0501025v2 (2005).
- [7] Grant, A. et al. "The farthest known supernova: support for an accelerating universe and a glimpse of the epoch of deceleration", *Astrophysics Journal*, arXiv: astro-ph/0104455v1 (2001).
- [8] Perlmutter, S., Turner, S. M. and White, M. "Constraining dark energy with SNe Ia and large-scale structure", *Physical Review Letter*, Vol.83, pp.670-673, (1999), [arXiv: astro-ph/9901052].
- [9] Bennett, C. L. et al. "First year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) observations: preliminary maps and basic results", *Astrophysics Journal*, [arXiv: astro-ph/0302207] (2003).
- [10] Hinshaw, G. et al. "First year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) observations: the angular power spectrum", *Astrophysical Journal*, [arXiv: astro-ph/0302217] (2003).
- [11] Cai, R. and Wang, A. "Cosmology with interaction between Phantom dark energy and dark matter and the coincidence problem", arXiv: hep-th/0411025v4 (2005).
- [12] Carmelli, M. "Accelerating universe, cosmological constant and dark energy", [arXiv: astro-ph/0111259].
- [13] Gonzalez-Diaz, F. P. "Stable accelerating universe with no hair", *Physics Review* [arXiv: hep-th/0203210] (2002).
- [14] Amendola, L. "Phantom energy mediates a long-range repulsive force", *Physical Review Letter*, Vol.93, [arXiv: hep-th/0409224] (2004).
- [15] Brevik, I., Nojiri, S., Odintsov, D. S. and Vanzo, L. "Entropy and universality of Cardy-Verlinde formula in dark energy universe", *Physics Review*, Vol. 70, [arXiv: hep-th/0401073] (2004).
- [16] Nojiri, S. and Odintsov, D. S. "The final state and Thermodynamics of dark energy universe", *Physics Review*, Vol.70, [arXiv: hep-th/0408170] (2004).
- [17] Caldwell, R. R., Kamionkowski M. and Weinberg, N. N. "Phantom energy and cosmic doomsday", *Physical Review Letter*, [arXiv:astro-ph/0302506] (2003).
- [18] Caldwell, R. R. "A phantom menace: cosmological consequences of a dark energy component with super-negative equation of state", *Physics Letter*, Vol.545, pp.23-29, [arXiv:astro-ph/9908168] (2002).
- [19] Gonzalez-Diaz, F. P. "You need not be afraid of Phantom energy", *Physics Review*, Vol. 68, [arXiv: astro-ph/0305559] (2003).
- [20] Bouhmadi-Lopez, M. and Jimenez A. J. "Escaping the big rip?" [arXiv: astro-ph/0404540].
- [21] Wu P. and Yu, H. "Avoidance of big rip in Phantom cosmology by gravitational back reaction", [arXiv: astro-ph/0407424].
- [22] Guo Z. and Zhang, Y. "Interacting Phantom energy", *Physics Review*, Vol. 71, [arXiv: astro-ph/0411524] (2005).
- [23] Curbelo, R., Gonzalez T. and Quiros, I. "Interacting Phantom energy and a avoidance of the big rip singularity", [arXiv: astro-ph/0502141].
- [24] Elizalde, E., Nojiri, S. and Odintsov, D. S. "Late-time cosmology in (Phantom) scalar-tensor theory: dark energy and the cosmic speed-up", *Physics Review*, Vol. 70, [arXiv: hep-th/0405034] (2004).
- [25] Nojiri, S. and Odintsov, D. S. "Quantum escape of sudden future singularity", *Physics Letter*, Vol.595, pp.1-8, [arXiv: hep-th/0405078] (2004).
- [26] Nojiri, S., Odintsov, D. S. and Tsujikawa, S. "Properties of singularities in (Phantom) dark energy universe", [arXiv: hep-th/0501025].
- [27] Elizalde, E., Nojiri, S., Odintsov, D. S. and Wang, P. "Dark energy: vacuum fluctuations, the effective Phantom phase and holography", [arXiv: hep-th/0502082].
- [28] Onemli, K. V. and Woodard, P. R. "Super-acceleration from mass less, minimally coupled", *Classical Quantum Gravity*, Vol.19, (2002), [arXiv: gr-qc/0204065].
- [29] Onemli K. V. and Woodard, P. R. "Quantum effects can render $w < -1$ on cosmological scales", *Physics Review*, Vol. 70, (2004), [arXiv: gr-qc/0406098].
- [30] Babichev, E., Dokuchaev, V. and Eroshenko, Y. "Black hole mass decreasing due to Phantom energy accretion", *Physical Review Letter*, [arXiv: gr-qc/0402089] (2004).
- [31] Caldwell, R.R., Kamionkowski, M., Weinberg, N.N. *Physical Review Letter*, (2003).
- [32] Nojiri, S., Odintsov, S. "Final state and thermodynamics of a dark energy universe", *Physics Review*, Vol.70, (2004).
- [33] Barrow, J.D., *Physics Letter B*, Vol.180, pp-335 (1987); Barrow, J.D. "Nuclear physics B", Vol. 310, pp-743 (1988).
- [34] Barrow, J.D. "String-driven inflation, in the formation and evolution of cosmic strings", CUP, Cambridge, pp 449-464, (1990).