



e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710

# IJRSET

International Journal of Innovative Research in  
**SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY**



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 10, Issue 8, August 2021

**ISSN** INTERNATIONAL  
STANDARD  
SERIAL  
NUMBER  
INDIA

**Impact Factor: 7.569**

 9940 572 462

 6381 907 438

 [ijirset@gmail.com](mailto:ijirset@gmail.com)

 [www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com)

# Soil Stabilization with Fly Ash & Rice Husk

Pramod Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Prakash Kumar<sup>2</sup> Syad Rehan<sup>3</sup>, Pavan Lal Saini<sup>4</sup>, Vishnu Luhar<sup>5</sup>

B.Tech. Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, Arya College of Engineering and Research Centre, Jaipur, India<sup>1-4</sup>

Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Arya College of Engineering and Research Centre, Jaipur, India<sup>5</sup>

**ABSTRACT:** The objective of this paper is to upgrade expansive soil as a construction material using rice husk ash (RHA) and fly ash, which are waste materials. Remolded expansive clay was blended with RHA and flyash and strength tests were conducted. The potential of RHA-flyash blend as a swell reduction layer between the footing of a foundation and sub grade was studied. In order to examine the importance of the study, a cost comparison was made for the preparation of the sub-base of a highway project with and without the admixture stabilizations. Stress strain behavior of unconfined compressive strength showed that failure stress and strains increased by 106% and 50% respectively when the flyash content was increased from 0 to 25%. When the RHA content was increased from 0 to 12%, Unconfined Compressive Stress increased by 97% while CBR improved by 47%.

**KEYWORDS:** Soil Stabilization , Fly Ash , Rice Husk

## I. INTRODUCTION

Clays exhibit generally undesirable engineering properties. They tend to have low shear strengths and to lose shear strength further upon wetting or other physical disturbances<sup>1</sup>. They can be plastic and Compressible and they expand when wetted and shrink when dried. Some types expand and shrink greatly upon wetting and drying – a very undesirable feature. Cohesive soils can creep over time under constant load, especially when the shear stress is approaching its shear strength, making them prone to sliding. They Develop large lateral pressures. They tend to have low resilient modulus values<sup>2</sup>. For these reasons, clays are generally poor materials for foundations<sup>3</sup>. The annual cost of damage done to non-military engineering Structures constructed on expansive soils is estimated at \$220 million in the United Kingdom and many billions of dollars worldwide<sup>4</sup>.

Flyash<sup>5-7</sup> was successfully used for stabilizing expansive clays. The strength characteristics of flyash stabilized clays are measured by means of unconfined compressive strength (UCS) or California Bearing Ratio (CBR) values. Depending upon the soil type, the effective flyash content for improving the Engineering properties of the soil varies between 15 to 30%<sup>8-10</sup>. Rice Husk Ash (RHA) is obtained from the burning of rice husk.

## II. METHODOLOGY

Fly ash is residual material remained after combustion of coal in thermal power plant. Fly ash contains fine particles of silicon dioxide (SiO<sub>2</sub>), aluminium oxide, iron oxide and calcium oxide. Fly ash has been used in many civil engineering projects successfully. Fly ash provides stability to sub grade, reduce earth pressure and also improves stability of slopes. Usually fly ash is mixed with clayey soils to improve properties as these soils cannot be used directly for construction due to their unfavorable properties. RHA is a carbon neutral green product. Lots of ways are being thought of for disposing them by making commercial use of this RHA. RHA is a good super- pozzolan.

1. Natural soil.
2. 92 % Soil + 8% Fly ash
3. 86 % Soil + 14% Fly ash
4. 82 % Soil + 18% Fly ash
5. 76 % Soil + 24 % Fly ash



### 2.1 INDEX PROPERTIES OF SOIL Plasticity Index

Plasticity index of soil was 5 % which is numerical difference between liquid limit and plastic limit.

### 2.2 Type of soil

The type of soil was checked from plasticity chart. It was seen that soil which is taken for this study is intermediate plasticity. As per IS 1498 soil is classified as CI.

Engineering properties are indicated by index properties of in table 2.1.

**Table 2.1 Geotechnical Properties of soil**

SR.NO	PROPERTIES	VALUE	CONFIRMING TO IS CODE
1.	Specific gravity(G)	2.60	IS 2720 : Part 3 : Section 1 : 1980
2.	Maximum dry density(MDD)	2.124 gm/cc	IS 2720 : Part VII: 1980
3.	Optimum moisture content(OMC)	8.4 %	IS 2720 : Part VII: 1980
4.	Natural moisture content	8.6 %	IS 2720 : Part 2 : 1973
5.	Liquid limit	24	IS 2720 : Part 5 : 1985
6.	Plastic limit	19	IS 2720 : Part 5 : 1985

### 2.3 Compaction

The tests were performed in accordance with ASTM D 1557. The specimens were of 102mm diameter and 116mm height. The degree of compaction of soil influences several of its engineering properties such as CBR value, compressibility, stiffness, compressive strength, permeability, shrink, and swell potential. It is, therefore, important to achieve the desired degree of relative compaction necessary to meet the required soil characteristics.

### 2.4 UCS

The UCS tests were performed in accordance with ASTM D 2166. The sample sizes were of 40mm diameter and 80mm length. At the Optimum Moisture Content (OMC) and maximum dry unit weight values of the natural soil, the tests were performed.

### 2.5 CBR

The CBR tests were conducted in accordance with ASTM D 1883. The sample sizes were of 152mm diameter and 126mm length. At the OMC and maximum dry unit weight values of the natural soil, the tests were performed.

### 2.6 Swelling

Consolidation test (ASTM D 2435) setup was used for determining the cyclic swell-shrink behavior of the soil. The sample sizes were 76mm and 50mm in diameter and height respectively. The samples were prepared at Proctor's dry densities. The RHA was mixed with 15% flyash and compacted to dry unit weight of 5.5kN/m<sup>3</sup> at a moulding water content of 120%. The compacted admixture was cured for 14 days and placed over the expansive soil. The efficacy of RHA as a cushioning layer between the foundation and subgrade was also tested using the consolidation test.

## III. CONCLUSION

- 1 Stress strain behaviour of unconfined compressive strength showed that failure stress and strains increased by 106% and 50% respectively when the fly ash content was increased from 0 to 25%.
2. When the RHA content was increased from 0 to 12%, CBR improved by 47%.
3. The optimum RHA content was found at 12% for both UCS and CBR tests.
4. The vertical movement of clay soils with cushioning material stabilizes after 3 cycles of swelling
5. When the RHA content was increased from 0 to 12%, CBR improved by 47%.
6. The optimum RHA content was found at 12% for both UCS and CBR tests.



#### REFERENCES

- [1] Mitchell, J.K., “Practical Problems from surprising soil Behavior,” J. Geotech. Eng., 1986, Vol.
- [2] Drumm, E.C., Reeves. J.S., Madgett, M.R., and Trolinger, W.D., “Subgrade Resilient Modulus
- [3] Liu, C., and Evett, J., “Soils and Foundations”, 2008, Pearson-Prentice Hall, Seventh Edition,
- [4] Gourly, C.S., Newill, D., and Shreiner, H.D., “Expansive soils: TRL’s research strategy.
- [5] Athanasopoulou, A. (2014). Addition of lime and fly ash to improve highway subgrade soils. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 26(4), 773-775
- [6] Senol, A., Edil, T.B., Shafique, Md.S.B., Acosta, H.A., & Benson, C.H. (2006). “Soft subgrades stabilization by using various fly ashes.” Resources, Conservation and Recycling,
- [7] Sezer, A, Inan, G., Yilmaz, H.R., & Ramyar, K. (2006). “Utilization of a very high lime fly ash for improvement of clay.” Building and Environment, 41, 150–155.
- [8] Sharma N.K., Swain, S.K., Sahoo, U.C. (2012).” Stabilization of a Clayey Soil with Fly Ash and Lime: A Micro Level Investigation”. GeotechGeolEng, 30, 1197–1205.
- [9] T.B. Edil, H.A. Acosta & C.H. Benson. (2006).” Stabilizing Soft Fine-Grained Soils with Fly Ash”. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 18(2), 283-294.
- [10] V .Babu Jaya Prakash, Surya. P.V.V, Satyanarayana Manikantha, Moin Abdul (2016) ” Engineering Properties of Black cotton soil Modified with Fly ash and Cement.” International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology (IJETT) – Volume 35 Number 10 - May 2016





**Impact Factor:  
7.569**



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 9940 572 462  6381 907 438  [ijirset@gmail.com](mailto:ijirset@gmail.com)



[www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com)

Scan to save the contact details