

e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2320-6710

DIA

International Journal of Innovative Research in SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

800

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 10, Issue 3, March 2021

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA

Impact Factor: 7.512

9940 572 462

6381 907 438

 \odot







| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.512|

|| Volume 10, Issue 3, March 2021 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2021.1003073

Weight Estimation of Camouflage Combat UAV with Surveillance Application

Ayushi Parekh¹, Mansi Rupareliya², Jainam Doshi³, Abhishek Poshiya⁴, Mansha Kumari⁵

U.G. Students, Department of Aeronautical Engineering, Parul Institute of Engineering and Technology,

Vadodara, Gujarat, India^{1,2,3,4}

Assistant Professor, Department of Aeronautical Engineering, Parul Institute of Engineering and Technology,

Vadodara, Gujarat, India⁵

ABSTRACT: UAV which means **Unmanned** Aerial Vehicle, the name itself conveys that it does not have any onboards human pilot to control the aircraft, but is always under real-time human control with varying level of autonomous equipment and is controlled from ground station. UAV within it contains various application among which we specifically are interested in two areas namely surveillance and combat.Surveillance basically means monitoring, searching or keeping an eye on specific purpose. Surveillance UAV finds its use in areas where human cannot reach easily as it can risk their lives to danger.An unmanned combat aerial vehicle (U.C.A.V) is a special type of unmanned aerial vehicle (U.A.V) that usually carries aircraft ordinances such as missiles and/or bombs thatare used for drone strikes and other militaryoperations among which we are specifically using ATGMs.The aim of this project is to merge both of these application in a single UAV as in the main aim still remains monitoring with an advance feature of camouflage UCAV instead of only UCAV which means targeting equipment will be evident only during sudden or unexpected emergency case.

KEYWORDS: Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV), weight estimation, empty weight fraction, fuel fraction, weight fraction, MATLAB software, Aspect ratio, Drag coefficient, Specific fuel consumption.

I. INTRODUCTION

In modern era, most of commercial aircraft's are operated on autonomous system like for take-off, flying to a specific destination and landing. Even Missiles are operated without any human indulging in it and can lock target thousands of kilometer from its launch point. As well as Inter-planetary mission and satellite orbiting mission are also done without any on-board human. Still defence industry continuous to buy aircraft's that have pilots on board, reason being sudden change in mission plans, avoidingrisk of wrong attacks , delayed actions etc..., as well as many a times wrong information attacks and sudden attacks lead to great losses.

Core element of any warfare is to maintain the rank in defence i.e., try to obtain as less life losses as possible, because if there will be no people left then even the most sophisticated or finely operating equipment/weapons would make no sense of their existence.so here UAV can play a vital role by reducing the above mentioned problem to a certain extend. Aircraft's have certain limitation on the operation considering the suitability of the condition on which it flies where as UAV can freely operate on any required condition without any hesitation.



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.512|

|| Volume 10, Issue 3, March 2021 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2021.1003073

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Comparative study

Comparative study is basically comparing few aircraft or UAV's that fall under your desired category. Here is comparative study based on our requirement.

UAV's	MQ-1 PREDATOR	IAI-HERON	YABON SMART EYE	IAI-SEARCHER	MQ-9 REAPER	TAI- ANKA
ENDURANCE (HR)	24	27	120	12	14	24
RANGE (Km)	1250	200	1300	200	1500	1443
WEIGHT (Kg)	513	769.4	450	206	2223	700
MTOW (Kg)	1043	1150	1000	435	4760	1500
ALTITUDE (ft)	25000	28000	23950.13	18000		30000
WING AREA (M^2)	11.45	13.12		4.9		
LENGTH (M)	8.23	8.477	7	5.849	11	
ASPECT RATIO	19	21		15		
PAYLOAD (Kg)	640	250	550	120	1700	200
MAX. SPEED (M/S)	60.27	61.73	61.67	56.58	133.889	
MACH NO. (MAX. SPEED)	0.17	0.18	0.179	0.16	0.393	0.17
WING SPAN (M)	14.8	16.6	21	8.57	20	17.3
CHORD LENGTH (M)		0.79		0.57		
WE/Wto	0.491	0.67	0.45	0.65	0.467	0.46

Table 1. Comparative study

Therefore, based on the study,

Range = 200km Endurance = 19.5hr Altitude = 27000ft Payload = 115kg

B. Weight estimation

An accurate estimation of weight of the airplane is required for the designing of an airplane. A good estimation of the gross weight is necessary for further progress in designing. The gross weight (W_{TO}) is expressed as the sum of the crew weight(Wcrew), payload weight(W_{pl}), fuel weight(W_F) and the empty weight(W_E) of the aircraft [1].

 $W_{T0} = W_{crew} + W_{pl} + W_F + W_E$

$$W_{T0} - W_F - W_E = W_{crew} + W_{pl}$$

$$W_{T0}[1-(\frac{W_F}{W_{T0}}) - (\frac{W_E}{W_{T0}}) = W_{crew} + W_{pl}$$

| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.512|



|| Volume 10, Issue 3, March 2021 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2021.1003073

$$W_{T0} = \frac{W_{crew} + W_{pl}}{[1 - (\frac{W_F}{W_{T0}}) - (\frac{W_E}{W_{T0}})]}$$

But, in UCAV there is no crew members. So, $W_{crew} = 0$

$$\begin{split} W_{T0} = & \frac{W_{pl}}{\left[1 - \left(\frac{W_F}{W_{T0}}\right) - \left(\frac{W_E}{W_{T0}}\right)\right]} \\ \text{Here, } (\frac{W_F}{W_{T0}}) = \text{fuel fraction & } (\frac{W_E}{W_{T0}}) = \text{empty weight fraction} \end{split}$$

C. Empty weight fraction estimation

$$(\frac{W_E}{W_{T0}}) = A^* (W_{T0})^C$$

Where, W_{T0} = gross weight. The quantities A and C depend on the type of the airplane.

$W_e/W_0 = A W_0^C K_{es}$	A	С
Sailplane-unpowered	0.86	- 0.05
Sailplane-powered	0.91	- 0.05
Homebuilt-metal/wood	1.19	- 0.09
Homebuilt-composite	0.99	- 0.09
General aviation-single engine	2.36	-0.18
General aviation-twin engine	1.51	-0.10
Agricultural aircraft	0.74	- 0.03
Twin turboprop	0.96	- 0.05
Flying boat	1.09	- 0.05
Jet trainer	1.59	-0.10
Jet fighter	2.34	-0.13
Military cargo/bomber	0.93	- 0.07
Jet transport	1.02	- 0.06

 K_{vs} = variable sweep constant = 1.04 if variable sweep = 1.00 if fixed sweep

Table 2. Empty weight fraction [1]

Our aircraft falls under homebuilt-composite category of aircraft's. And so the values of A=0.99 & C=-0.09. **D. Fuel fraction estimation**

Only part of the aircraft's fuel supply is available for performing the mission ("mission fuel"). The other fuel includes reserve fuel as required by civil or military design specifications, and also includes "trapped fuel," which is the fuel which cannot be pumped out of the tanks. The required amount of mission fuel depends upon the mission to be flown, the aerodynamics of the aircraft, and the engine's fuel consumption. The aircraft weight during the mission affects the drag, so the fuel used is a function of the aircraft weight [1].

• Fuel fraction:

$$(\frac{W_F}{W_{T0}}) = 1.06^* [1 - (\frac{W_8}{W_0})]$$



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.512|

|| Volume 10, Issue 3, March 2021 ||

	Mission	Engine start warm up	Taxii	Take- off	Climb	Decent	Landing taxi, Shut down
	Aircraft	1	2	3	4	7	8
1.	Homebuilt	0.998	0.998	0.998	0.995	0.995	0.995
2.	Single Engine	0.995	0.997	0.998	0.992	0.993	0.993
З.	Twin Engine	0.992	0.996	0.996	0.990	0.992	0.992
4.	Agricultural	0.996	0.995	0.996	0.998	0.999	0.998
5.	Business Jets	0.990	0.995	0.995	0.980	0.990	0.992
6.	Regional TBP's	0.990	0.995	0.995	0.985	0.985	0.995
7.	Transport Jets	0.990	0.990	0.995	0.980	0.990	0.992
8.	Military Trainers	0.990	0.990	0.990	0.980	0.990	0.995
9.	Fighters	0.990	0.990	0.990	0.96 - 0.90	0.990	0.995
10.	Mil. Patrol, bomber , transport	0.990	0.990	0.995	0.980	0.990	0.992
11.	Flying boats, Amphibious	0.992	0.990	0.996	0.985	0.990	0.990
12.	Supersonic cruise	0.990	0.998	0.995	0.92 - 0.87	0.985	0.992

DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2021.1003073

Table 3. Weight fraction [5]

From the above Table 3 the weight fraction is:

$$\left(\frac{W_1}{W_0}\right) = 0.998, \qquad \left(\frac{W_2}{W_1}\right) = 0.998, \qquad \left(\frac{W_3}{W_2}\right) = 0.998, \quad \left(\frac{W_4}{W_3}\right) = 0.995, \\ \left(\frac{W_7}{W_6}\right) = 0.995, \\ \left(\frac{W_8}{W_7}\right) = 0$$

- Weight fraction for cruise $(\frac{W_5}{W_4})$ & Loiter $(\frac{W_6}{W_5})$ $(\frac{W_5}{W_4}) = e^{(\frac{-R*CJ}{V(L/D})}$ where, R= Range, E= Endurance $(\frac{W_6}{W_5}) = e^{(\frac{-E*CJ}{V(L/D})}$ V= cruise velocity in ft/sec, $C_j = \frac{(C_{bhp}*V)}{\mathbb{Z}*550}$ The value of R and E are taken from the comparative study.
- Specific fuel consumption

$$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{split} Propeller: & C_{\rm bhp}V \medskip / (550\eta_p) \\ \medskip Typical: & C_{\rm bhp} and \ \eta_p \end{split}$$

L/D Estimation

$$\frac{L}{D} = \frac{1}{\frac{q*Cd0}{W/S} + \frac{W/S}{q*\pi*AR*e}}$$

Where,

q = dynamic pressure,

e = Oswald's efficiency,

 $Cd_0 = coefficient$ of drag at zero angle of attack,

W/S = wing loading,



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.512|

|| Volume 10, Issue 3, March 2021 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2021.1003073

AR = aspect ratio,

 $e = 1.78*(1-0.045*(AR^{0.68}))-0.64$

 $W\!/S = q^* \; sqrt \; (\pi * e * AR * C_{d0} \;)$

Sailplane equivalent * aspect ratio - 4.464 (best L/D)^{0.69}

	Cruise	Loiter
Piston-prop (fixed pitch)	0.4/0.8	0.5/0.7
Piston-prop (variable pitch)	0.4/0.8	0.5/0.8
Turboprop	0.5/0.8	0.6/0.8

Table 4. Specific fuel consumption [1]

The value of Aspect Ratio and Drag Coefficient at zero lift are taken from Table 5 and Table 6 given below.

Propeller aircraft	Equivalent aspect ratio
Home built	6.0
General aviation - single engine	7.6
General aviation - twin engine	7.8
Agriculture aircraft	7.5
Twin turboprop	9.2
Flying boat	8.0

Aircraft type	C _{do}
High - subsonic jet	0014-0.020
Large turboprop	0.018-0.024
Single engine piston aircraft with fixed gear	0.020-0.030
Single engine piston aircraft with retractable gear	0.025-0.040
Agricultural aircraft without spray system	0.60
Agricultural aircraft with spray system	0.070-0.080

Table 5. Aspect ratio [1]

Table 6. Drag coefficient for different aircraft

$$(\frac{W_8}{W_0}) = (\frac{W_1}{W_0}) * (\frac{W_2}{W_1}) * (\frac{W_3}{W_2}) * (\frac{W_4}{W_3}) * (\frac{W_5}{W_4}) * (\frac{W_6}{W_5}) * (\frac{W_7}{W_6}) * (\frac{W_8}{W_7})$$

The Iterative analysis shown above is done through **MATLAB** software, when the assumed value of W_{T0} (taken during the calculation of empty weight fraction) and obtained value of W_{T0} at the end comes out to be nearby then the calculated value of W_{T0} becomes the final approximate value of takeoff weight, which in this case comes out to be **908.9027 kg**



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.512|

|| Volume 10, Issue 3, March 2021 ||

Iteration	Assumed $W_{T0}(kg)$	$\frac{W_E}{W_{T0}}$	W _{T0} Calculated(kg)
1	550	0.5611	1125.7
2	600	0.5567	1079
3	650	0.5527	1040
4	700	0.5490	1006.6
5	750	0.5456	977.5053
6	800	0.5424	951.9365
7	850	0.5395	929.2327
8	900	0.5367	908.9027

DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2021.1003073

Table 7. Iterative Analysis

From the empty weight fraction & fuel fraction, we get the value of empty weight and fuel weight which comes out to be **487.8325 kg & 306.0701 kg** respectively.

MATLAB R2018a		- D	X
HOME PLOTS AFPS EDITOR PLBLIGH VEW		🚊 🕐 🔹 Search Documentation 🛛 🔎	Login
👷 🛅 🔐 Fred Files 😤 🔬 Inset 🗟 🊈 💽 🕑 🖉 😥 Run Sectors 🖉			
Concase + CAGaTo + Comment (6 an -)			
New Open Save			
			- 7
			• 0
Command Window 🕑 🖉 Editor - C\Ubers\Mansi Rupareliya\Documents\project\Unitited31.m		Workspace	0
Called route Constant wood Constant and Cons	.⊎ x		۲
		Name - Value	
1 - clear All	~	a 0.9900	^
12.7994 2- clc		A 0.0040	
3 Weight estimation for homebuilt composite		al 27000 AL 82296e+03	
4 Nestinated data		AR 6	
WPL = 5 - 8=200; %in km		b 10.7677	
6- r= 656168; %in ft		c 1.7946	
115 7		C 0.5700	
8 - En= 19.5; %in hr		cbhp1 0.4000	
9- en= 70200; %in sec		cbhp2 0.5000	
WT0 = 10		Cd0 0.0250	
11 - AL#8229.6; %in m		g1 0.1584	
908,9027 al= 27000; %in ft		C/1 4.3993e-05	
13		QZ 0.1980	
14 - 7=53.1; %in m/s	-	CI2 5.4991e-05	
15 - v= 174,2126; hin ft/s		en 70200	
WF = 16 - Vmax=59: %in m/s		En 19,5000	
306.0701 17 - wmax=193.57; %in ft/s		tpw0 0.0647	
306.0701 18 - vm=131.979; %in mph		LD 12.7994	
19		mp1 0.8000	
20 - 1910-0.000		mp2 0.8000	
WE = 20 - #10-0.550; 21 - #21+0.990;		g 29,4395	
an 1993 A 660.		Q 7205513	- 1
487.8325 23 - #43=0.995;		r 656168 R 200	
25 - #5-0,555; 24 - #76=0,995;		n 200 nho 0.0019	
25 - W07=0.995;		Rho 0.5111	
		S 19.3238	
26		1742126	
47.0355 27 - Bho= 0.5111; %in kg/m*3	-	₩V 53.1000	
28 - rho=0.00194; hin slug/ft"3		tm 131.9790	
29	-	193.5700	
fs = 30 − Q=0.5*Eho*(V*2);% in N/m*2 M a1 − α=0.5*Eho*(V*2);% in N/m*2		Vmax 59	
其 " y 31 - g=0.5*rho*(y*2): k in lh/ft*2	¥.	1.9980 0.9980	v

Figure 1. MATLAB coding

III. CONCLUSION

Weight estimation is the most essential part of aircraft design and this paper gives a clear vision on how to perform weight estimation provided it gives estimated values of takeoff weight, empty weight and fuel weight of their desired unmanned aerial vehicle whichcomes out to be908.9027 kg, 487.8325 kgand306.0701 kg respectively.

REFERENCES

[1] Daniel P Raymer, "AIRCRAFT DESIGN: A Conceptual Approach " AIAA Book, ISBN:0-930403- 51 -7 , Washington, D.C. 20024

[2] WINGS OF AERO,2020. Weight Estimation of an Aircraft-Part 4 || Gross Weight, Fuel Tank Volume Available at: (https://youtu.be/PnNbReuOUqE).



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.512|

|| Volume 10, Issue 3, March 2021 ||

DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2021.1003073

[3] Smyth, S. and Raymer, G., "Aircraft Conceptual Design," Course Notes, Lockheed Corp., 1980.

[4] Jackson, A., "Preliminary Design Weight Estimation Program," AeroCom_x0002_mander Div., Rept. 511-009, 1971.

[5] Herbst, W. B. and Krogull, B., "Design for Air Combat," AIAA Paper 72-749, AIAA 4th Aircraft Design, Flight Test, and Operations Meeting, Los Angeles, CA, Aug. 1972.

[6] Roskam, J., Airplane Design, Roskam Aviation and Engineering Corp., Ott_x0002_awa, KS, 1985.



Impact Factor: 7.512





INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY





www.ijirset.com