Structural Optimization & Analysis of Heavy Steel Beam for Reduction of Material & Stress Using ABAQUS FE Package
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ABSTRACT: This paper presents a procedure to obtain the optimal design and structure analysis of Heavy beam for reduced stress and material. Structural Optimization is used to obtain an optimized shape, and size for specified loads and constraints within available design space. Typically, heavy beam is the main structural part of handling/articulating heavy load system like heavy Load transporter, crane, Tower lifter, etc. The heavy load is transported and articulated (0-90deg) through the Beam by pair of hydraulic cylinders for launching purpose. Every extra pound of beam weight increases the total weight of vehicle, which causes increasing manufacturing cost, lower fuel efficiency and reduces vehicle payload capacity. With this concept of reducing weight and stress reduction, the optimized model of heavy beam is modeled and analyzed. Four models of beam are considered and modeled in ABACUS package. With the optimized parameters, optimized Model is developed and analyzed, stress analysis is carried out and the results are obtained.
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1. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with design optimization of Heavy beam with the aim of reducing weight and stress for constant load, various constrains and design space. Optimization is a technique that deals with computing minima or maxima of functions subjected to design variables or constrains. Since in any practical situation, effort required for an optimal solution can be expressed as a function of certain design variables, the optimization techniques can be used to obtain the best results. The present work is carried out regarding the design optimization of a heavy beam structure by considering different models and the results obtained are analyzed and tabulated for comparison. The whole challenging task, starting with pre processing, solving and post processing is completed using ABAQUS 6.10 FE package.

II. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

Heavy beam / Lift Beam is the main structural part of handling heavy load in heavy load handling vehicle, tipper, crane, etc. During transportation the load is mounted in horizontal position over supports on heavy/lift beam. While in operation, the heavy load is articulated (0-90deg) through the beam. The beam is lifted by hydraulic cylinders and it is getting maximum deflection at tip (front) portion because of cantilever type condition at articulation beginning mode. The weight is on higher side in the original design. The higher weight affects the performance of launching system or heavy load handling system. Hence optimization of weight is required.
III. OBJECTIVE

The main objectives of the work is
- To reduce beam weight.
- To determine the critical point having highest stress and deflection.
- To optimize the design of beam structure in terms of reducing weight and stresses.

IV. METHODOLOGY

The methodology of work is outlined below
- Geometric modeling of four models of heavy beam is done using ABAQUS 6.10.
- Static analysis for four models of beam for same geometric features, boundary condition and loading conditions. In order to solve the problem of the project, a detailed finite element analysis is proposed to determine the total deformation and von-misses stress in static condition using the analysis software of abacus workbench.
- After analyzing the four models, a fifth model (optimized) is developed and analyzed.

V. DESIGN PARAMETER DETAILS

The design parameters are listed below

**Body Specifications for five Models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Load on heavy beam</td>
<td>14.99 tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>SAILMA 550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic Modulus</td>
<td>2.1E+11 N/m^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson's Ratio</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Density</td>
<td>7850 kg/m^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield Stress</td>
<td>550E+06 N/m^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate Tensile stress</td>
<td>650E+06 N/m^2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. LOADING CONDITION

The beam has to design and model for the following loading condition.
- **Case I:** Articulation start mode.
- **Case II:** Five deg articulated mode
- **Case III:** Articulation end mode (vertical position)
VII. DESIGN CONSTRAINTS

- Height
- Width

VIII. MODELING AND ANALYSIS

8.1. Modeling
The geometries under consideration are generated in the abacus package. It is a powerful program used to create complex designs with great precision. The five models are considered as viz., Model I, Model II and Model III, Model IV, Model V (optimized).

8.2. Geometric Model of Beam
Geometric model of beam is depicted below and is generated in abacus package. The material of beam is steel with 550MPa of yield strength. The other properties of beam material are mentioned in Para 5.

8.3. Loading:
The heavy beam model is loaded by static forces is 14.99 tons. The load is assumed as a concentrated force.

8.4. Boundary condition
Heavy Beam is supported by tilt cylinder and rear hinge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constraints</th>
<th>DOF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cylinder hinge support</td>
<td>12345 =0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam hinge support</td>
<td>12345 =0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.5 Meshing
Quadrilateral Element (S4R) is used for meshing and meshing convergence has been done. S4R 4-node is general-purpose shell, reduced integration, hourglass control, finite membrane strains, robust and is suitable for a wide range of applications.

IX. MODEL –I

The model-I of beam structure is modeled abacus and shown in fig 2. The side plate thickness is 12mm and Top plate thickness is 16mm. The mass of beam is 4.98 tons. The heavy load is supported on beam by saddle at front and trunion at rear. The beam model is loaded by static concentrated forces. The three cases like articulation start case, 5deg lift case and articulation end case has been considered for beam analysis. The stresses plot for three cases is given in fig 3.
The model-II of beam structure is modeled using abacus and shown in fig 4(a). The side plate thickness is 10 mm and Top plate thickness is 12mm. The mass of beam is 4.23 tons. The stress and deflection plot for three cases is given in fig 4(b). The cut provided at rear portion of beam and mass reduced by 0.75 ton
Fig. 4 (a) Model-II of heavy beam, (b) Von-Misses stress & deflection at critical point location of Model-II

X1. MODEL – III

The model-III of beam structure is modeled abacus and shown in fig 5(a). The side plate thickness is 10 mm and Top plate thickness is 10mm. The cut has been made at front side of beam the mass of beam is 4.35 tons. The stress and deflection plot for three cases is given in fig 5(b).

Fig.5 (a) Model-III of heavy beam (b) Von-Misses stress and deflection at critical point location of Model-III

XII. MODEL – IV

The model-IV of beam structure is modeled abacus and shown in fig 6(a). The side plate thickness is 10 mm and Top plate thickness is 10mm. The mass of beam is 4.21 tons. The stress and deflection plot for three cases(case-I:- articulation start, case II:- five deg articulated, case-III:- articulation end) are given in fig 6(b).Four cut division made on beam for reduction of weight based on stress concentration and beam weight is reduced by 0.77 ton.
The optimized design of heavy beam structure model-V is modeled abacus and shown in fig 7. The plate thickness of complete model is 10 mm. Design by size optimization is considered for three load cases followed by adjustment of cut outs for maintaining C. G. location of heavy Beam. The mass of beam is 3.98 tons. The cut outs also made based on material distribution & stress concentration and weight of beam is reduced by one tone & maximum stress reduced by 23 mpa for articulation start mode. The stresses plot for three cases is given in fig 8, 9, and 10.

Fig. 6 (a) Model-IV of heavy beam (b) Von-Misses stress distribution and critical point location of Model-IV

XIII. MODEL –V (OPTIMIZED)

Fig. 7 Model-V of heavy beam

Fig. 8 Von-Misses stress distribution and critical point location of Model-V-articulation start mode.
XIV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The weights of the models are shown in the Table.1 and Fig 11 and the weight of the optimized model is 3.98 tons. It is giving a saving in weight of one tone (20%) comparing with Model-I.

**Case I:** Articulation start mode.
**Case II:** Five deg articulated mode
**Case III:** Articulation end mode (vertical position)
Table 1. Comparisons of Mass, total deformation and von-misses stress values of five models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SL NO</th>
<th>PLATE THICKNESS (mm)</th>
<th>MASS (tons)</th>
<th>VON-MISES STRESS (mm)</th>
<th>MAXDEFORMATION (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Side plate</td>
<td>Top plate</td>
<td>Case: I</td>
<td>Case: II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODEL-I</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>209.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODEL-II</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>207.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODEL-III</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>214.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODEL-IV</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>248.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODEL-V</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>234.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>optimized</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>187.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.11 model vs. mass

**XV. CONCLUSIONS**

By conducting the FEM Analysis on the five Models of beam and by using optimization technique weight reduction and stress reduction is done.

The following are the conclusions made from the investigation:

- By comparing the four Model parameters, Optimized Model is generated.
- For the Optimized Model stress analysis is carried out and the von-misses stress (case-I) is 187.6MPa and total deformation is 18.76mm is obtained.
Weight reduction of optimized model comparing with the other four models is 15.1%, 12.6%, 15.4% and 20.08% respectively.

By weight reduction, the material cost and fabrication cost is reduced for the beam/vehicle.
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