

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal) Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 8, Issue 12, December 2019

CNN Model for Land Use Land Cover Classification

Kavita Bhosle¹, Vijaya Musande²

Department of Computer Engineering, Maharashtra Institute of Technology, Aurangabad, MH, India¹

Department of Computer Engineering, MGM's Jawaharlal Nehru Engineering College, Aurangabad, MH, India²

ABSTRACT: Deep learning Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) model is now becomes popular for many applications. It is used for classification, identification of object. The main advantage Deep learning CNN is that it can be used for unstructured video, audio, image data. Remote sensing data is highly unstructured data. Hyperspectral standard Indian Pines data has been used for the study of land use land cover classification. It has been observed that classification accuracy is 82.9 and test loss is 51.11 percent.

KEYWORDS: Convolutional Neural network, Deep learning, Land Use Land Cover.

I. INTRODUCTION

Remote sensing data gives us more information so that we can use it any many applications. Mono chromatic, multispectral, hyperspectral are the categories of remote sensing data [1]. Mono chromatic contains only one band, multispectral contains few number of bands and hyperspectral contains hundreds of bands. Many researchers used hyperspectral data for classification of objects present on earth [2] [3] [4]. Hyperspectral data contains many narrow useful bands. Multispectral gives us less accurate result than Hyperspectral data. Reduction techniques are used by many researchers to extract information from Hyperspectral data [5].

II. RELATED WORK

As Hyperspectral data is affected by atmosphere. Atmospheric correction is required in order to remove affected bad bands. Researchers used QUACK (Quick Atmospheric Correction) method [6]. Here we have used standard Indian Pines data set consist of 16 classes [7]. Alfalfa of 46 samples, Corn-notill os 1428 samples, Corn-mintill of 830 samples, Corn of 237 samples, Grass-pasture 483 samples, Grass-trees of 730 samples, Grass-pasture-mowed of 28 samples, Hay-windrowed of 478 samples, Oats of 20 samples, Soybean-notill of 972 samples, Soybean-mintill of 2455 samples, Soybean-clean of 593 samples, Wheat of 205 samples, Woods of 1265 samples, Buildings-Grass-Trees-Drives of 386 samples, Stone-Steel-Towers of 93 samples are the classes or labels. Many researches has been implemented deep learning neural network for classification, some have used Convolutional neural network and some have used support vector machine and random forest method [8] [9].

III. METHOD USED

We have used standard Indian Pines data set consist of many number of classes. Method used in this study is deep learning CNN. Numbers of bands are reduced or information is extracted using principal component Analysis (PCA). Accuracy has been increased using PCA [10]. Dataset has been processed before applying model. We have converted data set into patches. Similarly ground truth is also divided into number of patches same as dataset has been divided [11]. Then we have model for training purpose. PCA converts data into 30 patches.

We have used sequential model consist of Conv2D as first layer with relu activation function and 3 x 3 filter. Number of filters applied is 3 times number of PCA component that is 90. Second layer of CNN uses same number of



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 8, Issue 12, December 2019

parameters as first layer used. Regularization has been used by dropping output of first two layers. Threshold used is 0.25. After dropping image, image has been flattened so that we can apply next layer as fully connected layer. In fully connected layer we have used 6 times number of PCA component that is 180 numbers of nodes in hidden layer with relu activation function to get more accuracy. Next to fully connected layer again using regularization techniques, few node output has been dropped out with threshold 0.5. Last layer output layer has been used with 16 nodes same as number of classes and softmax function has been used as number of classes or output is more than 2. Fig 1 shos Indian pines dataset with ground truth of 16 classes [12] [13] [14].



Fig. 1. (a) Indian pines dataset with ground truth (b) Output image after classification

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

CNN method has been implemented using python in tensorflow environment [15]. It has been observed that classification accuracy is 82.9 and test loss is 51.11 percent. Table 1 shows precision, recall, f1- score for all 16 classes. It has been observed that precision and recall of wheat is maximum 100 % and Grass-trees and Hay-windrowed classes are 99 %.

Tuble 1.1 Teelston, Recan, 11 Score and support of an elasses						
	Precision	recall	f1-score	support		
Alfalfa	0.91	0.91	0.91	11		
Corn-notill	0.73	0.75	0.74	357		
Corn-mintill	0.75	0.82	0.78	208		
Corn	0.83	0.9	0.86	59		
Grass-pasture	0.98	0.96	0.97	121		
Grass-trees	0.99	0.96	0.98	183		
Grass-pasture-mowed	1	1	1	7		
Hay-windrowed	0.99	0.99	0.99	120		
Oats	0.83	1	0.91	5		
Soybean-notill	0.64	0.82	0.72	243		
Soybean-mintill	0.86	0.68	0.76	614		

Table 1. Precision, Recall, F1-score and support of all classes



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 8, Issue 12, December 2019

Soybean-clean	0.68	0.74	0.71	148
Wheat	1	1	1	51
Woods	0.98	0.97	0.98	316
Buildings-Grass-Trees-Drives	0.79	0.95	0.86	97
Stone-Steel-Towers	0.96	1	0.98	23
avg / total	0.84	0.83	0.83	2563

Figure2 showed that comparative graph of all classes and average of precision, recall and f1-score. Following are the equation of precision, recall of class R. C represent other than R class.

Precision of class R= (True Positive of R)/ (True Positive of R +Addition of all wrongly classified as R but was C)

Recall R= (True Positive of R)/ (True Positive of R +Addition of all wrongly classified as C but was R)

F1 Score= (2 * precision * recall) / (precision + recall)



Fig. 2. Comparative graph of all classes and average of precision, recall and f1-score

V. CONCLUSION

This study shows that for classification, identification of object, deep learning Convolutional neural network method gives significance result. The main advantage Deep learning CNN is that it can be used for unstructured video, audio, image data. Remote sensing data is highly unstructured data. Hyperspectral standard Indian Pines data has been used for the study of land use land cover classification. It has been observed that classification accuracy is 82.9 and test loss is 51.11 percent. Precision and recall of wheat is maximum 100 % and Grass-trees and Hay-windrowed classes are 99 %.

REFERENCES

- [1] Baoxuan Jin, Peng Ye, Xueying Zhang, Weiwei Song, Shihua Li. (2019). Object-Oriented Method Combined with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks for Land-Use-Type Classification of Remote Sensing Images. Springer Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12524-019-00945-3(0123456789().,-volV)(0123456789,-().volV)
- [2] D. Kingma and J. Ba, Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980, Dec 2014. Available: https://arxiv:org/abs/1412:6980



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 8, Issue 12, December 2019

- Duchi John, Hazan Elad, Singer Yoram (2011). Adaptive Subgradient Methods for Online Learning and Stochastic Optimization. Journal of Machine Learning Research 12 (2011) 2121-2159
- [4] G.F. Byrnep .F.crapperk.K.Mayo. (1980).Monitoring land-cover change by principal component analysis of multitemporal landsat data. Journal of Remote Sensing of Environment Volume 10, Issue 3, Pages 175-184 https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(80)90021-8
- [5] Kavita Bhosle &Vijaya Musande. (2017). Stress Monitoring of Mulberry Plants by Finding REP using Hyperspectral Data. The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, Volume XLII-1/W1.
- [6] Mathieu Fauvel, Jocelyn Chanussot, Jon Atli Benediktsson. (2009). Kernel Principal Component Analysis for the Classification of Hyperspectral Remote Sensing Data over Urban Areas. Hindawi Publishing Corporation EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing Volume, Article ID 783194, 14 pages doi:10.1155/2009/783194
- [7] Baumgardner, M. F., Biehl, L. L., Landgrebe, D. A. (2015). 220 Band AVIRIS Hyperspectral Image Data Set: June 12, 1992 Indian Pine Test Site 3. Purdue University Research Repository. doi:10.4231/R7RX991CQi Yue & Caiwen Ma. (2018). Hyperspectral data classification based on flexible Momentum deep convolution neural network. Springer Multimed Tools Appl 77:4417–4429, DOI 10.1007/s11042-017-4734-6
- [8] S. B. Carr, L. S. Bernstein, S. M. Adler-Golden. (2015). The Quick Atmospheric Correction (QUAC) Algorithm for Hyperspectral Image Processing: Extending QUAC to a Coastal Scene International Conference on Digital Image Computing: Techniques and Applications (DICTA) Year: 2015 10.1109/DICTA.2015.7371314
- [9] Vijaya Musande & Anil Kumar & Karbhari Kale. (2012). Cotton Crop Discrimination Using Fuzzy Classification Approach. Springer J Indian Soc Remote Sens 40(4):589–597.
- [10] Vijaya Musande, Anil Kumar, P.S. Roy, Karbhari Kale. (2015). Evaluation of fuzzy-based classifiers for cotton crop identification. Taylor & Francis Geocarto International, 28:3, 243-257.
- [11] Vijaya Musande, Anil Kumar, Karbhari Kale and P. S. Roy. (2012). Temporal Indices Data for Specific crop Discrimination using Fuzzy based Noise Classifier, International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, Volume XXXIX-B8, XXII ISPRS Congress, 25 August – 01 September 2012, Melbourne, Australia
- [12] Xiaodong Yu1, Hongbin Dong (2018). PTL-CFS based deep convolutional neural network model for remote sensing classification. Springer Computing https://doi.org/10.1007/s00607-018-0609-6
- [13] Xin Pan & Jian Zhao .(2017). A central-point-enhanced convolutional neural network for high-resolution remote-sensing image classification. Taylors and francies International Journal of Remote Sensing
- [14] Yanfei Zhong, Feng Fei, Liangpei Zhang. (2016). Large patch convolutional neural networks for the scene classification of high spatial resolution imagery. J. Appl. Remote Sens. 10(2), 025006, doi: 10.1117/1.JRS.10.025006.
- [15] Zheng Du, Young-Seon Jeong, Myong K. Jeong, Seong G. Kong. (2012). Multidimensional local spatial autocorrelation measure for integrating spatial and spectral information in hyperspectral image band selection. Springer's Applied Intelligence, Volume 36, Issue 3, 542–552.