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#### Abstract

Bis[(o-hydroxy-1-naphthaldiene)amino]-ethane 3, 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldazine 4 and thiosemicarbazone derivative $\mathbf{6}$ were prepared by ring opening of coumarin derivatives $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ with ethylenediamine, hydrazine hydrate and thiosemicarbazide. Acetylation of compounds 4 and $\mathbf{6}$ give 2-acetoxy-1-naphthaldazine 5 and triacetyl $\mathbf{7}$ derivatives. 5-Bromo salicylaldazine $\mathbf{1 1}$ was prepared via ring opening of 5 -bromo-3-benzoylamino coumarin $\mathbf{9}$ with hydrazine hydrate. The mass spectral fragmentation patterns of some prepared compounds were investigated to elucidate the structure of the synthesized compounds. Biological studies of some prepared compounds were carried out to investigate their antioxidant and anticancer activities. It was found that compounds $\mathbf{4 , 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ were active against antioxidant. Compounds $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ were also highly active against MCF-7 and HEPG-2 cell lines.
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## I. Introduction

Coumarins are present in natural and synthetic compounds possessing biological activity. They are acting at different stages of cancer formation. Some of them have cytostatic properties and the other have cytotoxic activity [1-3]. Two naturally occurring coumarins have been found to exhibit cytotoxicity against a panel of mammalian cancer cell lines [4]. In view of their importance as drugs, biologically active natural products and in other related applications, extensive studies have been carried out on the synthesis of coumarin compounds in recent years.
In a previous work, El-Deen et al [5-10] reported the synthesis of 3 -substituted coumarins ( $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{9}$ and 10) from 2hydroxynaphthaldehyde and 5-bromosalicylaldehyde with active methylene (such as ethylacetoacetate, diethylmalonate and n-benzoylglycine). This paper describes the ring opening of 3 -substituted coumarins ( $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{9}$ and 10) with nitrogen nucleophilic reagents. The electron impact (EI) ionization mass spectral fragmentation of the prepared compounds is also described.

## II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## 1. Chemistry

3-Acetyl benzocoumrin 2a and 3-ethoxycarbonylbenzocoumarin 2b were prepared via cyclocondensation of 2-hydroxyl-1-naphthaldehyde with active methylene (namely, ethylacetoacetate and diethylmalonate) in presence of piperidine. Reaction of 3-substituted-benzocoumrin 2a,b with ethylenediamine and hydrazine hydrate in ethanol under
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reflux yielded the corresponding 1,2-bis[(o-hydroxy-1-naphthaldiene)amino]-ethane $\mathbf{3}$ and 2-hydroxyl-1naphthaldazine 4 (Scheme1) respectively.





3


6

Scheme 1: Synthesis of compounds $\mathbf{2 , 3 , 4 , 5 , 6} 7$.

The nucleophilic attack of compound 2 at 2- and 4-positions leads to the formation of compounds $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ by ethylenediamine and hydrazine hydrate resulted in fission and ring opening as shown in Scheme 2.

where $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{COCH}_{3}, \mathrm{COOEt}$
Scheme 2: Reaction mechanism of compounds 3 and 4.
Treatment of 3-ethoxycarbonyl benzocoumarin 2b with thiosemicarbazide led to the formation of 2-hydroxy-1naphthaldehyde thiosemicarbazone 6. The 2-hydroxyl-1-naphthaldazine $\mathbf{4}$ and thiosemicarbazone $\mathbf{6}$ derivatives were
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transformed to 2-acetoxy-1-naphthaldazine 5 and triacetyl thiosemicarbazone $\mathbf{7}$ derivatives (Scheme1) via reflux with acetic anhydride. 5-Bromo-3-benzoylamino coumarin 9 and 3-benzoylamino benzocoumarin 10 were prepared via cyclocondensation of N -benzoylglycine $\mathbf{8}$ with aromatic aldehydes (namely, 5-bromo-2-hydroxyl benzaldehyde and 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde) in presence of fused sodium acetate and acetic anhydride under fusion. Hydrazinolyses of compounds $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ with hydrazine hydrate under reflux in ethanol to give the corresponding 5-bromosalicyladazine 11 and 2-hydroxyl-1-naphthaldazine 4 (Scheme 3) respectively.


Scheme 3: Synthesis of compounds $\mathbf{9 , 1 0 , 1 1}$ and $\mathbf{4}$
2. Mass spectroscopy

The mass spectral decomposition modes [11,12] of 1,2-Bis[(o-hydroxy-1-naphthaldiene)amino]ethane 3, 2-hydroxy(or 2-acetoxy)-1-naphthaldazines 4, triacetyl thiosemicarbazone derivative $\mathbf{5}$ and 5-bromosalicyladazine $\mathbf{1 1}$ have been suggested and investigated.

## 1,2-Bis[(o-hydroxy-1-naphthaldiene)amino]ethane 3

The molecular ion of compound $\mathbf{3}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 368$, Fig. 1), underwent fragmentation via pathway A to produce a stable ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 184, corresponding to 2-hydroxyl- naphthaldiene amino methylene radical cation. The loss of hydroxyl group $(\mathrm{OH})$ from the stable ion with $m / z 184$ resulted in an ion at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 167$. The ion of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 167$ fragmented to give an ion at $m / z 141$, which lost a cyano group. The ion of $m / z 141$ underwent a loss of acetylene molecule to give a peak at $m / z$ 115.

Furthermore, the molecular ion of compound $\mathbf{3}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 368$, Scheme 4) underwent fragmentation via pathway B by loss of 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldiene amino to give a peak at $m / z$ 198. Loss of the ethylene molecule from the ion at $m / z 198$ gave a peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 170$. It is further lost a cyano, fromyl and two molecules of acetylene compound to give peaks at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $144,115,89$ and 63 , respectively.
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Fig. 1. Mass spectrum of compound 3.




m/z 115 (28.60\%)





Scheme 4: Main fragmentation pathway of compound 3.

## 2-Hydroxy (or 2-acetoxy)-1-naphaldazine (4 and 5)

The mass spectra of compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ showed intense molecular ion peaks at $m / z 340$ and 424 consistent with the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, respectively. The molecular ion of compound 4 (Fig. 2, m/z 340) fragmented via the pathway A and gave the stable ion of $m / z 170$. The stable fragment ion at $m / z 170$ was broken to give fragment ion at $m / z 153$ which lost the hydroxyl group $(\mathrm{OH})$. The fragmentation led to ions of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 127$ and 77 , respectively.


Fig. 2. Mass spectrum of compound 4.

ISSN: 2319-8753

# International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology 

## (An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

## Vol. 2, Issue 12, December 2013

Accordingly, the same molecular ion of $m / z 340$ fragmented via the pathway B to give a stable ion at $m / z 170$, and it underwent further loss of cyano group ( CN ) and gave peak at $m / z 144$. This fragmentation led to ions $m / z 115,89$ and 63 by losing formyl group ( CHO ) and two molecules of acetylene, respectively.
The molecular ion peak of compound 5 was observed at $m / z 424$ (Fig. 3). The loss of the ketene molecule $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)$ from the molecular ion peak at $m / z 424$ gave peak at $m / z 382$. The fragment ion of $m / z 382$ fragmented to give a peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 340$, corresponding to the molecular ion of compound $\mathbf{4}$ by losing the ketene molecule. The fragment of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 340$ broke further via a pathway similar to compound 4 (Scheme 5).


Fig. 3. Mass spectrum of compound 5.


Scheme 5: Main fragmentation pathway of compounds 4 and 5.
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## 5-Bromo salicyladazine 11

The molecular ion peak of compound 11 was observed at $m / z 396 / 398$, corresponding to the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. From the study of mass spectra of the compound 11 (Fig. 4), it was found that the molecular ion peak for compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ fragmented to produce ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 198. The loss of hydrogen atom from the ion of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 198$ gave a peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 197. The fragmented ion of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 197$ was broken to give a stable peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 117$, which then lost hydrogen bromide molecule. The fragmentation of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 117$ peak led to the ions at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 90$ and $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 74$, respectively (Scheme 6).


Fig. 4. Mass spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1 1}$.


Scheme 6: Main fragmentation pathway of compound 11.

## 3. Biological assay

### 3.1. Antioxidant activity

The antioxidant of some 2-hydroxy-(or 2-acetoxy)-1-naphthaldazines (4 and 5), triacetyl thiosemicarbazon derivative 7 and 5-bromo salicyladazine 11 were determined by the scavenging of synthetic radicals 2,2-diphanyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) in polar organic sovent [13]. Methanol solutions of the test compounds were prepared. Absorbance measurements were recorded immediately with a Milton Ray spectronic 201 UV-visible spectrophotometer. The decrease in absorbance at 515 nm was determined continuously, with data being recorded at 1 min intervals until the absorbance stabilized ( 16 min ). Tocopherol was used as a reference standard at the same concentration of used tested compounds. The absorbance of the DPPH free radical with antioxidant was also measured as control and $95 \%$ methanol was used as blank. All the determinations were performed in three replicates and average. $\%$ scavenging of the DPPH free radical was measured using the following equation;
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\% DPPH radical-scavenging $=[($ Absorbance of control-Absorbance of test sample $) /$
(Absorbance of control) $] \times 100$
Tested samples had been submitted for qualitative evaluation of the antioxidant activity.
The provided samples had different antioxidant activity using DPPH radical scavenging method as shown by the following table 1.

| Compounds <br> No. | DPPH radical scavenging <br> activity |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | + |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | + |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{+ +}$ |

Table 1: Antioxidant activity of some prepared compounds 4, 5, $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
(-): \text { No activity } \quad(+): \text { Weak activity } \quad(++): \text { Moderate activity } \\
(+++): \text { Good activity } \quad(++++): \text { Strong activity }
\end{array}
$$

The results indicated that compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{7}$ were observed weak active, and also, that compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ was observed moderate active. The compound $\mathbf{5}$ was found to be inactive.

### 3.2. Anticancer activity

Cytotoxic and antitumor activity of prepared compounds $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4 , 5 , 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ were evaluated against cell lines MCF-7 and HePG-2 according to the method of Mosamann and Vijayan et al [14,15]. The drug vinblastine was used as standard. Inhibitory activity against breast carcinoma cells (MCF-7 cell line), and hepatocelluar carcinoma (HePG-2 cell line) was tested by using different concentrations of the samples ( $50,25,12.5,6.25,3.125$, and $1.56 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ), and cell viability (\%) was determined by colorimetric method. The $50 \%$ inhibrtory concentration ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) of the MCF-7 cell line was calculated from table 2 and (Fig. 5). The $50 \%$ inhibitory concentration $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ of the HEPG-2 cell line was collected from table 3 and (Fig. 6)

| Sample <br> conc. $(\mu \mathrm{g})$ | Viability\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 a}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Vinblastine <br> standard |  |
| 50 | 29.17 | 27.32 | 67.4 | 21.51 | 10.42 | 7.82 |  |
| 25 | 56.85 | 71.85 | 80.93 | 62.43 | 21.18 | 15.18 |  |
| 12.5 | 68.18 | 86.93 | 89.74 | 79.28 | 32.75 | 29.6 |  |
| 6.25 | 79.86 | 91.54 | 95.42 | 86.15 | 61.96 | 48.75 |  |
| 3.125 | 88.57 | 97.38 | 98.13 | 94.82 | 75.91 | 60.35 |  |
| 1.56 | 95.46 | 98.96 | 100 | 98.67 | 91.45 | 76.24 |  |
| 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |

Table 2: Evaluation of cytotoxicity of prepared compounds against cell line MCF-7
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Fig. 5. Evaluation of cytotoxcity of compounds $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ against cell line MCF-7.

| Sample <br> conc. $(\mu \mathrm{g})$ | $\mathbf{2 a}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Vinblastine <br> Standard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 a}$ Viability\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 30.75 | 38.42 | 25.42 | 6.42 | 19.65 | 14.38 |
| 25 | 43.92 | 79.56 | 56.73 | 13.97 | 37.46 | 16.13 |
| 12.5 | 74.14 | 92.37 | 71.86 | 18.86 | 48.62 | 42.25 |
| 6.25 | 85.57 | 97.94 | 89.54 | 27.59 | 64.29 | 45.13 |
| 3.125 | 93.04 | 100 | 96.28 | 41.62 | 83.84 | 55 |
| 1.56 | 77.16 | 100 | 98.71 | 69.46 | 91.28 | 72.13 |
| 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 4: Evaluation of cytotoxcity of prepared compounds against cell line HEPG-2


Fig. 6. Evaluation of cytotoxcity of compounds 2a, 3, 5, $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ against cell line HEPG-2.

The results of $50 \%$ inhibitory concentration $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ data are summarized in table 4 .
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| Compound | Tumor type / cell line |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MCF-7 | HEPG-2 |
| $\mathbf{2 a}$ | 31.20 | 22.5 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 37.30 | 39.5 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 750.00 | - |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 32.60 | 30.4 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | - | 2.7 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 8.70 | 12.1 |
| Vinblastine <br> standard | 6.10 | 4.6 |

Table 4: $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g})$ values of prepared compounds after 72 h continuous exposure of tumor cell lines
The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ Values is the concentration that induces $50 \%$ growth inhibition compared with untreated control cells. MCF-7: Human breast carcinoma cell line.
HEPG-2: Human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line.

Compound 7 was found to be more active than standard antitumor drug Vinblestine against HePG-2 cell line and it can be used as drug. In comparison with standard antitumor drug Vinblestine, Compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ was found to be more active against MCF-7 and HEPG-2 cell lines than the other prepared compounds.

## III. EX PERIMENTAL

NMR spectra were recorded on general electric QE3000 instrument and chemical shifts were given with respect to TMS. IR spectra were recorded on PerkinElmer 1420 spectrometer and Biorad FTS7 ( KBr ). Mass spectra were recorded on a GC/MS with CI (chemical ionization) and a Hewlett-Packard MS-Engine thermospray and ionization by electron impact at 70 eV . The accelerating voltage was 6 kV ; the temperature of the ion source was $\sim 200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the emission current $\sim 100 \mathrm{~mA}$. Microanalyses were conducted using an Elemental analyzer 1106. Melting points were determined on a Reichet hot stage.

## 3-Acetylbenzo coumarin 2a and 3-Ethoxycarbonylbenzocoumarin 2b

A mixture of 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde ( 0.01 mol ) and active methylene (such as ethyl acetoacetate and diethylmalonate $(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ in presence of piperedine $(1 \mathrm{ml})$ was fused in a hot plate at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and acidified with hydrochloric acid ( 2 N ). The Crude product was filtered off, washed with water, dried purified by recrystallization with ethanol to give 2 .

Compound 2a as yellow crystals; yield $76 \%$, m.p. $189^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR spectrum ( KBr ), $\mathrm{v}^{2} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 1730,11695(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ of the pyrane and ketone), $1605,1585(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1250,1130(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}\right.$ ): $\delta, 1.31-1.34\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.29-4.32(\mathrm{q}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 7.31-8.61 (m, 6H, Ar-H), $9.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{pyran}) \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%): 239\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,27.80\right), 238\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 27.80\right)$, $224(27.80), 223(44.40), 222(38.90), 195(33.30), 191(22.20), 190(27.80), 168(38.90), 167(38.90), 160(16.70)$, $159(27.80), 158(27.80), 157(27.80), 156(33.30), 144(22.80), 142(27.80), 141(22.20), 140(27.80), 139(50.00)$, $128(44.40)$, 116(5.60), 115(22.20), 93(50.00), 92(27.80), 84(88.90), 83(83.80), 82(55.60), 81(33.30), 75(33.30), 74(33.30), 72(55.60), 71(44.40), 70(88.90), 69(66.70), 67(55.60), 66(33.30), 65(77.80), 64(77.80), 63(50.00), 61(33.30), $60(66.70), 59(50.00), 58(27.80), 57(61.10), 55(100), 53(44.40), 51(38.90)$. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ wi(calc.): $75.63 \% \mathrm{C}$, $4.20 \% \mathrm{H}$.; wi(found): $75.46 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.01 \% \mathrm{H}$.

Compound $\mathbf{2 b}$ as pale yellow crystals, yield $75 \%$, m.p. $142^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}): 1755,1725(\mathrm{CO}$ of ester and pyran ring ), 1615, 1605, $1585(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1295,113,1020(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta, 11.31-1.34\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.29-4.32(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), $7.31-8.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right.$, Ar- H), $9.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{pyran}) \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%): 269\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,12.60\right), 268\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 63.10\right)$, $267\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-1,5.70\right), 241(1.80), 240(10.30), 239(5.20), 239(5.20), 225(1.70), 224(8.50), 223(51.60), 222(5.80)$,
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197(13.30), 196(83.10), 195(22.80), 194(6.60), 169(5.80), 168(39.30), 167(6.20), 166(4.20), 152(2.80), 151(6.80), $150(3.20), 140(19.60), 139(100)$, $138(27.20)$, $137(9.80)$, 128(3.4), 127(5.30), 126(3.20), 115(2.00), 114(3.60), $113(11.00)$, 112(11.00), 111(11.30), 99(2.60), 98(7.20), 97(4.80), 89(13.80), 88(6.30), 87(10.60), 86(9.20), 77(4.30), $76(3.90), 75(8.00), 74(7.80), 70(23.70), 69(23.20), 68(6.80), 65(4.40), 64(7.40), 63(29.60), 62(13.20), 51(6.30)$, $50(7.20)$ for. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ wi(calc): $71.64 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.47 \% \mathrm{H}$; wi(found): $71.46 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.38 \% \mathrm{H}$.

## 1,2-Bis[(o-hydroxy-1-naphthaldiene)amino]-ethane $\mathbf{3}$ and 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldazine $\mathbf{4}$

A mixture of $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $/$ or $\mathbf{2 b}(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ and strong base (namely, ethylenediamine and hydrazine hydrate $(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ in ethanol ( 30 ml ) was heated under reflux for 2 hr . The reaction mixture was cooled and poured into ice-diluted hydrochloric acid ( 1 N ). The product formed was collected by filtration, washed with water, dried and purified by recrystallization with dimethylformamide to give 3 and 4.

Compound 3 as yellow crystals; yield $61 \%$, m.p. $389^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr})$ : $3454(\mathrm{OH}), 1632(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 1615,1605,1585(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$, 1259, 1039(C-O) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta, 3.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right.$ ), 7.23-8-51 (m, 12H, Ar-H), 9.93 (S, 2H, $2 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}), 12.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right): \delta, 169-10(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OH}), 150.03(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 131.49,129.22,128.89$, $126.64,126.20,122.81,120.02$, (C-aromatic), $33.25\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%): 369\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,8.20\right), 368\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 26.30\right)$, $367\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-1,13-60\right), 225(1.40), 223(1.20), 212(2.70), 211(2.20), 210(1.40), 199(6.20), 198(16.60), 197(25.00)$, 196(16.30), 195(4.40), 185(15.50), 184(100), 183(51.60), 182(61.60) 181(24.90), 172(10.90), 171(16.90), 170(41.70), $169(20.90), 167(16.20), 158(5.10), 157(31.80), 156(18.60), 154(12.30), 152(6.70), 144(6.80), 144(6.80), 143(4.90)$, $130(2.80), 129(11.60), 128(23.70), 127(24.50), 126(11.40), 116(6.50), 115(28.60), 114(15.50), 113(4.50), 103(2.20)$, 102(4.50), 101(5.80), 89(6.50), 88(4.20), 78(3.50), 77(11.40), 76(5.50), 75(5.90), 65(4.10), 64(2.10), 63(9.90), 62(6.30), $55(1.70), 54(6.70), 51(6.70), 50(5.50)$ for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ wi (calc.) : $78.26 \% \mathrm{C}, 5.43 \% \mathrm{H}, 7.61 \% \mathrm{~N}$; wi (found) : $78.05 \% \mathrm{C}$, $5.22 \% \mathrm{H}, 7.51 \mathrm{~N}$.

Compound 4 as yellow crystals, yield $56 \%$, m.p. $289^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR(KBr): $3465(\mathrm{OH}), 1633(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 1605,1589(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1233$, $1035(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right): \delta, 7.20-8.60(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 9.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{xCH}=\mathrm{N}), 12-86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{OH})$ ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta, 168.13(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OH}), 150.24(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 131.50,129.23,128.90,126.84,126.23,122.91,120.21$ (aromatic) ppm. MS: m/z(\%): 341( $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,4.50\right), 340\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 30.50\right), 339\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-1,12.30\right), 323(16.20), 171(29.70), 170(100)$, $169(36.40)$, 154(13.60), 152(9.70), 144(4.50), 143(10.40), 142(8.40), 141(7.10), 140(3.90), 139(5.20), 127(5.80), $126(7.80), 117(1.90), 116(13.50), 115(47.40), 114(29.00), 113(9.70), 98(4.50), 97(2.60), 89(9.10), 88(7.10), 87(5.20)$, $85(5.80), 77(6.50), 73(8.40), 70(7.10), 69(5.20), 65(7.10), 64(6.50), 63(13.6), 62(7.10), 57(5.80), 55(6.50), 51(12.3)$, 50 (10.40),for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ wi (calc.) : $77.65 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.70 \% \mathrm{H}, 8.24 \% \mathrm{~N}$, wi (found) : $77.42 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.52 \% \mathrm{H}, 8.01 \% \mathrm{~N}$.

## 2- hydroxyl-1-naphthaldehyde thiosemicarbazone 6

A mixture of $\mathbf{2 b}(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$, thiosemicarbazide $(0.02 \mathrm{~mol})$ and anhydrous potassium carbonate $(0.03 \mathrm{~mol})$ in ethanol ( 50 ml ) was heated under reflux for 3 hr . The reaction mixture was cooled and poured into ice-diluted hydrochloric acid $(1 \mathrm{~N})$. The resulting solid was filtered off, washed with water, dried and purified by recrystallization with ethanol to give 6 as pale yellow crystals, yield $63 \%$, m.p. $272^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}): 3551-2981$ (br. OH ), $3423,3165\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3285(\mathrm{NH})$, $1625(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 1326(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}), 1215,1039(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): ~ \delta, 7.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.312-8.41(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$, $9.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}), 10.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 11.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): 192.32(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}), 146.38(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})$, $139.20(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$, 130.33, 129.28, 127.71, 126.22, 124.69, 123.38, 122.62, 118.24(C-aromatic) ppm. MS: m/z(\%): 246 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,1.20\right), 245\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 9.7\right), 224(53.80), 223(30.80), 171(53.80), 150(38.50), 149(69.20), 141(38.50), 140(46.20)$, $116(38.50), 115(100), 102(15.40), 101(61.50), 98(23.10), 97(76.90), 92(30.80), 41(46.20), 84(46.20), 83(46.20)$, 82(38.50), 78(53.80), 77(15.40), 73(23.10), 63(15.40), 60(76.90), 59(38.50), 58(61.50), 56(23.10), 52(75.90), 51(92.30).for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OS}$. wi (calc.): $58.77 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.34 \% \mathrm{H}, 17.14 \% \mathrm{~N}$, wi (found) $58.57 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.34 \% \mathrm{H}, 17.02 \% \mathrm{~N}$.

2-acetoxy-1-naphthaldazine 5 and 4-(2-acetroxy naphthaldazine-1-yl)-1,3-diacetylthiosemicarbazide 7
A solution of $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{6}(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ in acetic anhydride ( 30 ml ) was heated under reflux for 2 hr , then cooled and poured into ice-water. The solid obtained was filtered off, washed with water, dried and purified by recrystallization with ethanol to give 5 and 7.
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Compound 5 was pale yellow crystals, yield $71 \%$, m.p. $171^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}): 1756(\mathrm{CO}$ ester), 1628 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ ), 1605, 1586(C=C), 1285, 1151, 1031, (C-O) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta, 2.30-2.51$ (br. $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{XCOCH}_{3}$ ), 7.43-8.165 (m, 12H, Ar-H), $9.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{XCH}=\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right): \delta, 169.93(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 158.19(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}), 150.28(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 131.51,129.29$, 128.42, 126.88, 126.26, 122.92, 120.24(C-aromatic), 21.42( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ppm. MS: m/z(\%): $425\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,15.00\right)$, $424\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+18.20\right)$, 383(2.60), 382(8.50), 381(4.00), 367(1.80), 366(5.90), 366(5.90), 365(20.00), 364(7.30), 341(4.30), $340(13.00)$, $339(3.50)$, $324(12.90)$, $323(49.90)$, 322(11.60), 321(7.80), 295(2.70), 294(0.90), 268(1.20), 212(1.30), $211(1.30), 197(1.00), 196(1.60), 195(2.40), 183(2.50), 182(1.10), 172(7.10), 171(34.10), 170(100), 169(31.70)$, 168(6.60), 154(11.20), 153(8.00), 152(9.30), 145(2.10), 144(6.30), 143(8.50), 142(7.80), 141(9.20), 140(8.80), $139(4.60), 128(7.00), 127(11.30)$, $126(7.80)$, 116(7.40), 115(55.10), 144(35.60), 113(10.00), 90(1.20), 89(6.20), $88(7.60), 87(4.70), 77(6.70), 76(3.60), 75(4.40), 65(2.80), 64(3.40), 63(8.00), 62(3.20), 57(4.00), 56(2.00), 55(2.50)$, 51(5.10), 50(13.70).for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ wi (calc.): $73.58 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.72 \% \mathrm{H}, 6.60 \% \mathrm{~N}$, wi (found): $73.37 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.54 \% \mathrm{H}, 6.52 \mathrm{~N} \%$

[^0]5-Bromo-3- benzoylamino coumarin 9 and 3-Benzoylamino benzocoumarin 10
A mixture of N -benzoylglycine ( $\mathbf{8}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 2-hydroxyaldehydes (such as 5-bromo-2-bydroxy benzoldehyde and 2-hydroxyl-1- naphthaldehyde) $(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$, fused sodium acetate $(0.03 \mathrm{~mol})$ and acetic anhydride $(0.03 \mathrm{~mol})$ was fused in a hot-plate for 5 min . The reaction mixture was heated on a water bath for 2 hr , then cooled and poured onto water. The resulting solid was filtered off, washed with water, dried and purified by recrystallization with acetic and to give 9 and 10.

Compound 9 as yellow crystals; yield $73 \%$, m.p. $265^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}), 3225(\mathrm{NH}), 1725,1689(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of pyrane and amide), 1610, 1605, 1593(C=C), 1215, 1037(C-O) cm ${ }^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta,: 7.32-7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-$ pyrane), $9.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%): 345\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2,68.20\right), 344\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,38.50\right), 343\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 72.80\right), 337$ ( 12.50 ), $336(11.20), \quad 335(12.30), \quad 334(11.40), 299(18.0), \quad 297(23.80), 254(17.20), 253(63.80), 252(62.90), 251(63.30)$, 250(62.20), 226(52.90), 225(51.60), 224(52.50), 223(51.80), 199(8.02), 198(9.20), 196(7.20), 194(11.30), 183(7.00), 182(4.00), 181(11.50), 180(5.00), 172(7.00), 169(22.50), 167(22.30), 166(22.40), 155(8.00), 154(7.00), 152(11.20), $150(6.00)$, 149(13.00), 139(11.60), 131(11.00), 117(11.60), 116(11.30), 115(6.00), 106(8.30), 105(100), 104(20.40), $103(4.50), 91(11.40), 90(11.00), 89(12.80), 88(5.10), 84(97.20), 83(15.40), 78(2.80), 77(38.80), 76(12.30), 75(5.90)$, 74(2.80), 63(3.80), 62(4.50), 56(7.50), 55(5.70), 51(13.30), $50(10.50)$. For $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NBrO}_{3}$, wi (calc.) :55.98\% C, $2.91 \%$ $\mathrm{H}, 4.08 \% \mathrm{~N}$; wi (found) $55.78 \% \mathrm{C}, 2,79 \% \mathrm{H}, 4.01 \% \mathrm{~N}$.

Compound 10 as yellow crystals; yield $72 \%$, m.p. $252^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}), 3227(\mathrm{NH}), 1729,1697(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of pyrane and amide). 1612, 1605, 1595(C=C), 1225, 1037 (C-O) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right): \delta,: 7.01-7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-$ pyrane $), 10.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%): 316\left(\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,3.10\right), 315\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 15.20\right), 314\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-1,1.70\right), 287(0-90)\right.$, 286(0.30), 268(0.60), 267(0.20), 223(0.50 ) ,212(0.80), 211(0.10), 196(1.00), 195(0.30), 183(0.30), 182(1.10), 172(0.40), $171(0.90), 168(0.80), 154(1.40), 153(1.80), 152(1.10), 128(1.10), 127(5.60), 126(3.40), 125(1.10), 106(8.20), 105(100)$, 104(20.90), 78(3.80), 77(47.30), 76(11.10), 75(2.80), 65(0.30), 63(2.10), 62(1.00), 51(12.50), 50(4.90). for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$, wi (calc): $76.19 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.13 \% \mathrm{H}, 4.44 \% \mathrm{~N}$; wi (found): $76.03 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.01 \% \mathrm{H}, 4.22 \% \mathrm{~N}$.
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## 5- Bromo-salicyladazine 11 and 2- Hydroxyl-1-naphthaldazine 4

A mixture of hydrazine hydrate ( 0.03 mol ) and coumarin derivatives $\mathbf{9}$ and/or $\mathbf{1 0}(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ in ethanol ( 50 ml ) was heated under reflux for $2-3 \mathrm{hr}$, then cooled and acidified with diluted hydrochloric and ( $2 \%$ ). The resulting product was collected by filtration washed with water, dried and recrystallised from benzene to give $\mathbf{1 1}$ and 4.

Compound 11 as pale orange crystal; yield $53 \%$. m.p. $210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}), 3495(\mathrm{OH}), 1635(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 1613,1605(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$, 1285, 1037(C-O) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta, 7.41-8.71$ (m, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $9.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{XCH}=\mathrm{N}), 11.36$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{XOH}$ ) ppm. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%): 400\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+4,10.5\right), 399\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+3,8.80\right), 398\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2,19.10\right), 397\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,16.80\right), 396\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 8.80\right), 395\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-1\right.$, $9.20)$, $383(4.20)$, $382(2.20)$, $381(8.00)$, $380(3.60)$, $379(3.30)$, $378(2.40)$, $345(1.90), 343(2.40), 285(4.30), 280(5.70)$, $238(1.50), 237(1.50), 227(4.60), 226(2.90), 225(4.00), 201(13.40), 200(8.70), 199(17.40), 198(7.90), 197(5.10)$, (196(2.50), 183(2.5), 182(2.50), 180(240), 173(6.20), 172(4.40), 171(7.10), 170(5.10), 157(2.70), 155(2.00), $146(2.80), 145(7.10), 144(5.40), 143(7.50), 140(16.00), 139(9.90), 138(40.40), 137(9.80), 119(10.20), 118(13.20)$, $117(100), 116(23.80), 113(6.70), 112(2.90), 111(16.40), 106(3.90), 105(39.30), 104(4.70), 103(5.80), 103(5.80)$, $102(15.20), \quad 91(9.00), \quad 90(22.40), \quad 89(19.30), 88(5.80), 78(2.80), 77(28.50), \quad 76(16.00), 75(22.50), \quad 65(14.60)$, 64(16.10),63(33.70), 62(13.30), 53(11.40), 51(26.30), 50(21.90). For $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$; wi (calc.):42.42\% C, $2.53 \% \mathrm{H}$, $7.07 \% \mathrm{~N}$; $w i$ (found): $42.22 \% \mathrm{C}, 2.42 \% \mathrm{H}, 7.01 \% \mathrm{~N}$.

## IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusions, we have described the preparation and biological activities of naphthaldazine derivatives, thiosemicarbazon and salicylaldazine derivatives which showed inhibitor activity against MCF-7 cell line and HEPG-2 cell line. The antioxidant activities of some prepared compounds were also evaluated. The biological data revealed that with slight modifications in the structure $\mathbf{7}$ can plan for the drug design.
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[^0]:    Compound 7 as pale yellow crystals; yield $68 \%$, m.p. $164^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}): 1745(\mathrm{CO}$ of ester) 1698-1687(br.CO of ketone), $1629(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 1610,1605,1589(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1339(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}), 1205,1037(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta, 2.31-2.44$ (br. s, 6 H , $\left.2 \mathrm{XNCOCH}_{3}\right), 2.51\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 7.30-8.41(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}), 10.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta, 143.47(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}), 172.67,170.10,169.66(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 146.32(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 138.00(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}), 130.36,129.38,127.77$, $126.29,124.78,123.48,122.65,118.25$ (C-aromatic), 23.09, 21.60, $21.49\left(3 \mathrm{XCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%): 372\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,2.90\right)$, $371\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+11.30\right), 370\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-1,3.30\right), 329(6.40)$, 328(17.00), 327(5.60), 288(2.10), 287(7.50), 286(21.10), 285(3.90), $271(1.80), 270(8.40), 269(3.40), 268(1.40), 246(1.20), 245(8.30), 244(11.80), 243(10.50), 228(9.50), 227(11.10)$, $225(5.40), 214(1.90), 213(1.40), 212(3.50), 203(5.30), 202(5.00), 201(6.30), 200(7.30), 188(3.60), 187(15.70)$, 186(5.90), 185(9.80), 171(10.80), 170(41.80), 169(100), 168(20.60), 157(3.90), 156(2.20), 145(3.20), 144(15.80), $143(4.10), 141(4.20), 140(4.50), 129(4.10), 128(12.30), 127(10.50), 119(30.30), 118(15.90), 115(22.70), 114(8.90)$, $102(8.40), 101(5.20), 100(3.60), 89(2.80), 88(2.40), 87(2.20), 77(4.00), 76(11.40), 75(6.20), 65(1.30), 64(0.90)$, 63(4.70), $51(2.20), 50(1.10)$. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$, wi (calc. ): $58.22 \% \mathrm{C}, 4.58 \% \mathrm{H}, 11.32 \% \mathrm{~N}$; wi (found) : $58.07 \% \mathrm{C}$, $4.44 \% \mathrm{H}, 11.13 \% \mathrm{~N}$.

