

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013

Theoretical and Numerical analysis of immobilised α-chymotrypsin under kinetic control

Vel Alagu, Alagu Eswari*

*Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Sri Ramakrishna Institute of Technology,

Pachapalayam, Coimbatore-641010, Tamilnadu, India.

Abstract: In this paper, a mathematical model for immobilized α -chymotrypsin under kinetic control steady-state conditions is discussed. The model is based on diffusion equations containing a non-linear term related to the reaction processes. Analytical expressions for concentrations are derived using Modified Adomian decomposition method. Satisfactory agreement is obtained in the comparison of approximate analytical solution and numerical simulation.

Keywords: Kinetically controlled peptide synthesis, Nucleophile, Internal diffusion and reaction, Non-linear equations, Modified Adomian decomposition method.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, concentration is devoted to enzymes and biocatalysts, particularly monophasic organic solvents [1-5]. Enzymes are normally tightly packed in cellular organelles or in enzyme cascades thus enabling catalytic processes to take place precisely when and where they are needed [6]. Since enzymes are usually insoluble in these systems, unless otherwise conveniently engineered, these are heterogeneous catalytic systems. When comparing the activity of enzymes various organic solvents, it is important to ensure that the roles of external and internal diffusion non-aqueous enzymatic systems do not change for different solvents [7].

These problems may be solved by the use of immobilized enzymes. Immobilization often stabilizes structure of the enzymes, thereby allowing their applications even under harsh environmental conditions [8, 9]. Many predictions of biocatalyst behavior are based on relatively simple physical or chemical interpretations, sometimes combined with knowledge from biocatalysts in aqueous systems. Thermodynamic approaches that consider the distribution of components among the various phases, and their solvation in the bulk (i.e. organic) phase have proved to be particularly useful [10, 11]. A number of applications for enzymes in organic solvents have been developed in chemical processing (particularly for the synthesis of optically active intermediates), food-related conversions and analyses [12].

The study of simultaneous diffusion and reaction is important in order to optimize the catalytic system, which is confirmed by the large number of publications dealing with description and mathematical modeling of this phenomenon [13–20]. Built a model to describe the action of immobilized a-chymotrypsin synthesizing di- or tripeptides in acetonitrile medium under kinetic control [21].

To the best of our knowledge, there are no analytical solutions reported for the molar concentrations of acyl donor and nucleophile. In this paper, we have derived the new analytical expression of concentration of acyl donor and nucleophile. Also we have provided the simple expression of rate consumption for each of the substrates. In addition our analytical results of the molar concentrations are compared with the numerical simulations using Matlab program.



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013

II. MATHEMATICAL MODELING

In acetonitrile medium under kinetic control, the action of α -chymotrypsin synthesizing di- or tripeptides can be expressed by the following enzyme-catalyzed reactions [21]:

Formation of product:

$$AcD + Nuc \rightarrow Pep + LG \tag{1}$$

Hydrolysis of acyl donor:

$$AcD + H_2O \rightarrow Hyp + LG$$

(2)

The rate equations of peptide and hydrolysis product from the equation. The above intrinsic kinetics can written as follows [21]:

$$\frac{d^2[AcD]}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{d[AcD]}{dr} = \frac{1}{D_{Aeff}}\frac{(k_{Synth}[Nuc] + k_{Hydr})[AcD][E_0]}{k_N + [Nuc]}$$
(3)

$$\frac{d^2[Nuc]}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d[Nuc]}{dr} = \frac{1}{D_{Neff}} \frac{k_{Synth}[Nuc][AcD][E_0]}{k_N + [Nuc]}$$
(4)

where [AcD] and [Nuc] are the molar concentrations of acyl donor and nucleophile, D_{Aeff} and D_{Neff} are the effective diffusion coefficient of acyl donor and nucleophile and E_0 is the amount of enzyme, k_{Synth} , k_{Hydr} and k_N are the kinetic constants. The boundary conditions for the above system of nonlinear reaction-diffusion equations are as follows [21]:

$$r = 0; \ \frac{d[AcD]}{dr} = 0, \ \frac{d[Nuc]}{dr} = 0$$
 (5)

$$r = R; [AcD] = [AcD]_B, [Nuc] = [Nuc]_B$$
(6)

where *R* is the particle radius, $[AcD]_B$ and $[Nuc]_B$ are the bulk molar concentrations of acyl donor and nucleophile in the reaction stream.

The initial rate of consumption of each of the substrates is given by

$$Rate_{A} = \frac{4\pi R^{2}}{M_{P}} D_{Aeff} \frac{d[AcD]}{dr}\Big|_{r=R}$$

$$(7)$$

$$Rate_{N} = \frac{4\pi R^{2}}{M_{P}} D_{Neff} \frac{d[Nuc]}{dr}\Big|_{r=R}$$
(8)

By introducing the following dimensionless parameters

$$U = \frac{[AcD]}{[AcD]_B}; \quad V = \frac{[Nuc]}{[Nuc]_B}; \quad x = \frac{r}{R}; \gamma_1 = \frac{R^2 k_{Hydr} [AcD]_B E_0}{D_{Aeff} k_N}; \alpha_1 = \frac{k_{Synth} [Nuc]_B}{k_{Hydr}} \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{[Nuc]_B}{k_N};$$
$$\gamma_2 = \frac{R^2 k_{Synth} [AcD]_B [Nuc]_B E_0}{D_{Neff} k_N}$$

Copyright to IJIRSET

www.ijirset.com

6643



Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013

The Eqs. (3) and (4) reduced to the following forms:

$$\frac{d^2U}{dx^2} + \frac{2}{x}\frac{dU}{dx} = \frac{\gamma_1 U(1+\alpha_1 V)}{1+\alpha_2 V}$$

$$\frac{d^2V}{dx^2} + \frac{2}{x}\frac{dV}{dx} = \frac{\gamma_2 UV}{1+\alpha_2 V}$$
(10)

The boundary conditions in dimensionless form are as follows:

$$\frac{dU}{dx} = 0, \ \frac{dV}{dx} = 0 \qquad \text{at} \quad x = 0 \tag{11}$$

$$U = 1, V = 1$$
 at $x = 1$ (12)

The dimensionless form of rate consumption are as follows:

$$\frac{Rate_A}{\mu} = \frac{dU}{dx}\Big|_{x=1}$$
(13)

$$\frac{Rate_N}{\eta} = \frac{dV}{dx}\bigg|_{x=1}$$
(14)

where
$$\mu = \frac{4\pi R^2}{M_P} D_{Aeff} [AcD]_B$$
 and $\eta = \frac{4\pi R^2}{M_P} D_{Neff} [Nuc]_B$ (15)

III. ANALYTICAL DETERMINATION OF THE CONCENTRATIONS OF OF THE ACYL DONOR AND NUCLEOPHILE UNDER STEADY-STATE

The Adomian decomposition method (ADM) is a creative and effective method for exactly solving the differential equations of various kinds. It is important to note that a large amount of research work has been devoted to the application of the ADM to a wide class of linear and nonlinear, ordinary or partial differential equations. The ADM decomposes a solution into an infinite series which converges rapidly to the exact solution. The convergence of the ADM has been investigated by a number of authors [22-27]. In order to solve the boundary value problem, Eq. 9–12, we used the Adomian decomposition method.

The basic principle of this method is described in Appendix A. Detailed derivations of the dimensionless concentrations U and V of the acyl donor and nucleophiles are described in Appendix B. As a result, we have obtained

$$U(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{36} \left[A - 6l_1 \right] (x^2 - 1) + \frac{1}{120} A (x^4 - 1)$$
(16)

$$V(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{36} \left[B - 6m_1 \right] (x^2 - 1) + \frac{1}{120} B(x^4 - 1)$$
(17)

where

Copyright to IJIRSET

www.ijirset.com

6644



Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013

$$l_{1} = \gamma_{1} \frac{1 + \alpha_{1}}{1 + \alpha_{2}}, \ m_{1} = \frac{\gamma_{2}}{1 + \alpha_{2}} \text{ and } A = l_{1}^{2} + \frac{m_{1}^{2}}{\gamma_{2}} (\gamma_{1}\alpha_{1} - \alpha_{2}l_{1}), \ B = m_{1}(\frac{m_{1}^{2}}{\gamma_{2}} + l_{1})$$
(18)

The Equations (16) and (17) represent the new analytical expression of concentration of acyl donor and nucleophile for all possible values of parameters. The analytical expressions of the rate consumption of each substrate are as follows:

$$\frac{Rate_{A}}{\mu} = -\frac{1}{18} \left[A - 6l_{1} \right] + \frac{1}{30} A \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{Rate_{A}}{\mu} = -\frac{1}{18} \left[B - 6m_{1} \right] + \frac{1}{30} B \tag{20}$$

IV. SIMULATION

The diffusion equations (9) and (10) for the boundary conditions (11) and (12) are solved numerically. We have used the function pdex4 in MATLAB software to solve numerically the initial-boundary value problems for the nonlinear differential equations. This numerical solution is compared with our analytical results in Figs. (1-3) and (4-5). Upon comparison, it gives a satisfactory agreement for all possible values of the dimensionless parameters, α_1 , α_2 , γ_1 and γ_2 . The MATLAB program is also given in Appendix *C*.

V. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

In fig 1-3, Normalized concentration profile of substrate U for various values of the diffusion parameter γ_1 and α_1 is plotted using Eqn. (16). From these figures it is observed that the value of concentration is approach to 1 ($U \approx 1$) or uniform for all small values of the parameters α_1, α_2 and γ_2 . When increasing the value of diffusion parameter γ_1 and α_1 , the concentration decreases. Normalized concentration profile of substrate V for various values of the diffusion parameter γ_1 and γ_2 is plotted using Eqn. (17).



Fig. 1. Plot of dimensionless concentration of acyl donor U versus dimensionless distance x. The concentration was computed for various values of the dimensionless parameters γ_1 when the values of α_1, α_2 and γ_2 are fixed. The curves are plotted using equation (16). (—) denotes the analytical results and (•••) denotes the numerical simulations.

Copyright to IJIRSET





Fig. 2. Plot of dimensionless concentration of acyl donor U versus dimensionless distance x. The concentration was computed for various values of the dimensionless parameters α_1 and for some fixed values of γ_1 , α_2 and γ_2 . The Scurves are plotted using equation (16). (--) denotes the analytical results and (•••) denotes the numerical simulations.



Fig. 3. Plot of dimensionless concentration of acyl donor U versus dimensionless distance x. The concentration was computed for various values of the dimensionless parameters γ_1 , α_1 and for some fixed values of α_2 and γ_2 . The curves are plotted using equation (16). (—) denotes the analytical results and (•••) denotes the numerical simulations.

Copyright to IJIRSET



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, **Engineering and Technology** (An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013

In fig. 4-5, it is observed that the value of concentration is uniform for all small values of the parameters α_1 and α_2 . When increasing the value of diffusion parameter γ_2 , the concentration decreases. It is evident that the concentration is high for minimum values of the diffusion parameters. Concentration decreases as the values of the diffusion parameters increases.



Fig. 4. Plot of dimensionless concentration of nucleophiles V versus dimensionless distance x. The concentration was computed for various values of the dimensionless parameters γ_2 and for some fixed values γ_1 , α_1 and α_2 . The curves are plotted using equation (17). (—) denotes the analytical results and (•••) denotes the numerical simulations.



Fig. 5. Plot of dimensionless concentration of nucleophiles V versus dimensionless distance x. The concentration was computed for various values of the dimensionless parameters γ_1 and γ_2 and for some fixed values of α_1 and α_2 . The curves are plotted using equation (17). (--) denotes the analytical results and (• • •) denotes the numerical simulations. Copyright to IJIRSET



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013

Figs. 6 and 7, shows the dimensionless rate of consumptions R_A / μ versus dimensionless parameters γ_1 and γ_2 respectively for the substrate U. Figs. 8 and 9, represents the dimensionless rate consumptions R_N / η versus dimensionless parameters γ_1 and γ_2 respectively for the substrate V. From fig.6 and 8, it is clear that the rate consumption increases as the values of γ_1 increases for some fixed values of α_1 and α_2 . In fig. 7 and 9, it is clear that the rate consumption is linearly constant for all values of the parameter γ_1 as the value of dimensionless parameter γ_2 increases. Also it is evident that the rate consumption increases, when the value of γ_1 increases.



Fig. 6. Plot of dimensionless rate consumption R_A / μ versus dimensionless parameter γ_1 . The rate consumption was computed for various values of the dimensionless parameters γ_2 and for some fixed values of α_1 and α_2 . The curves are plotted using equation (19). (•••) denotes the analytical result.

Copyright to IJIRSET



Engineering and Technology (An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013



Fig. 7. Plot of dimensionless rate consumption R_A / μ versus dimensionless parameter γ_2 . The rate consumption was computed for various values of the dimensionless parameters γ_1 and for some fixed values of α_1 and α_2 . The curves are plotted using equation (19). (•••) denotes the analytical results.



Fig. 8. Plot of dimensionless rate consumption R_N / η versus dimensionless parameter γ_1 . The rate consumption was computed for various values f

the dimensionless parameters γ_2 and for some fixed values of α_1 and α_2 . The curves are plotted using equation (20). (•••) denotes the analytical results.

Copyright to IJIRSET



Engineering and Technology (An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013



Fig. 9. Plot of dimensionless rate consumption R_N / η versus dimensionless parameter γ_2 . The rate consumption was computed for various values of the dimensionless parameters γ_1 and for some fixed values of α_1 and α_2 . The curves are plotted using equation (20). (••••) denotes the analytical results.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we obtained analytical expressions of concentration of acyl donor and nucleophile for all possible values of dimensionless parameters. The closed analytical expressions of concentrations are obtained using modified Adomian decomposition method. Furthermore, on the basis of the outcome of this work, it is possible to calculate the approximate amounts of rate consumption used for immobilized a-chymotrypsin catalyzed peptide synthesis in acetonitrile medium for all possible values of the parameters. This method is an extremely simple method and it is also a promising method to solve other non-linear equations. The information gained from this theoretical model can be useful for the kinetic analysis of the experimental results and the product distribution.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author A. Eswari is very thankful to the Management, Dr. R. Joseph Xavier, the Principal, and Prof. K. Kanagasabapathy, the HOD, Science and Humanities (Mathematics), Sri Ramakrishna Institute of Technology, Coimbatore-641010, Tamil Nadu, India for their encouragement.

REFERENCES

[1] V.M. Paradkar, J.S. Dordick, Aqueous like activity of α-chymotrypsin dissolved in nearly anhydrous organic solvent, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116, 5009 (1994).

Copyright to IJIRSET

www.ijirset.com

6650



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013

- [2] G. Bell, P. J. Halling, B. D. Moore, J. Partridge, D.G. Rees, Biocatalyst behaviour in low-water Systems, Trends Biotechnol. 13, 468 (1995).
- [3] P. Adlercreutz, in: A. M. P. Koskinen, A. M. Klibanov (Eds.), Enzymatic Reactions in Organic Media, Chapman & Hall, Glasgow, UK, 1996, p. 9, Chap. 2.
- [4] Z. Yang, A. J. Russell, in: A. M. P. Koskinen, A. M. Klibanov (Eds.), Enzymatic Reactions in Organic Media, Chapman & Hall, Glasgow, UK, 1996, p. 43, Chap. 3.
- [5] A. M. Klibanov, Why are enzymes less active in organic solvents than in water? Trends Biotechnol. 15, 97 (1997).
- [6] R.H. Wijffels, R.M. Buitelaar, C. Bucke, J. Tramper, Working Party on Immobilized Biocatalysts, Guidelines for the characterization of immobilized Biocatalysts, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 5, 304-307 (1983).
- [7] S. Kamat, E. J. Beckman, A. J. Russell, Role of diffusion in nonaqueous enzymology. I. Theory, Enzyme Microb.Technol. 14, 265 (1992).
- [8] J. Cabral, L. Boross, Tramper J. Applied biocatalysis. Chur: Harwood Academic Publishers, (eds) 1994.
- [9] S. D'Souza Immobilized enzymes in bioprocess, Curr. Sci. 77, 69-77 (1999).
- [10] E. N. Vulfson, Enzymatic synthesis of food ingredients in low-water media, Trends Food Sci. Technol. 4, 209-215 (1993).
- [11] J. Tramper, M. H. Vemme, H. H. Beeftink and U. von Stockar, eds (1992) Biocatalysis in Non- Conventional Media, Elsevier.
- [12] A. M. P. Koskinen and A. M. Klibanov, eds., Enzymatic Reactions in, Organic Media, Blackie Academic & Professional, (1996).
- [13] D. Vasic-Racki, M. Gjumbir, Intraparticle mass-transfer resistance and apparent time stability of immobilized yeast alcohol dehydrogenase, Bioproc. Eng. 2, 59 (1987).
- [14] A.V. Gusakov, A Fortran Program for Evaluation of the Effectiveness Factor of Biocatalysts in the Presence of External and Internal Diffusional Limitations, Biocatal. Biotransform. 1, 301 (1988).
- [15] S. Guzy, G.M. Saidel, N. Lotan, Packed-bed immobilized enzyme reactors for complex process, Bioproc. Eng. 4 239 (1989).
- [16] V. K. Jayaraman, A simple method of solution for a class of bioreaction-diffusion problems, Biotechnol. Lett. 13, 455 (1991).
- [17] S. Zvirblis, E. Dagys, A. Pauliukonis, Intraparticle Diffusion Describing Factor, Biocatal. Biotransform. 6, 247 (1992).
- [18] P. Bernard, D. Barth, Internal Mass Transfer Limitation During Enzymatic Esterification in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide and Hexane, Biocatal. Biotransform. 12, 299 (1995).
- [19] S. Sato, T. Murakata, T. Suzuki, M. Chiba, Y. Goto, Esterification activity in organic medium of lipase immobilized on silicas with differently controlled pore size distribution, J. Chem. Eng. Jpn. 30, 654 (1997).
- [20] B. A. Bedell, V. V. Mozhaev, D. S. Clark, J. S. Dordick, Testing for diffusion limitations in salt- activated enzyme catalysts operating in organic solvents, Biotechnol. Bioeng. 58, 654 (1998).
- [21] Raul J. Barros, Ernst Wehtje, Patrick Adlercreutz, Modeling the performance of immobilized α- chymotrypsin catalyzed peptide synthesis in acetonitrile medium, J. molecular Catalytic B: Enzymatic. 11, 841-850 (2001).
- [22] G. Adomian, Convergent series solution of nonlinear equations, J. Comp. Appl. Math. 11, 225–230 (1984).
- [23] G. Adomian, Solving the mathematical models of neurosciences and medicine, Math. Comp. Simul. 40, 107–114 (1995).
- [24] G. Adomian, M. Witten, Computation of solutions to the generalized Michaelis-Menten equation, Appl. Math. Lett. 7, 45–48 (1994).
- [25] M. Danish, S. Kumar, Approximate explicit analytical expressions of friction factor for flow of Bingham fluids in smooth pipes using Adomian decomposition method, Commun. Nonlinear. Sci. Numer. Simul. 16, 239–251 (2011).
- [26] G. Hariharan, K. Kannan, A comparison of Haar wavelet and Adomian decomposition method for solving onedimensional reaction–diffusion equations, Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. 2, 50–61 (2010).
- [27] AM. Wazwaz, The decomposition method applied to systems of partial differential equations and

Copyright to IJIRSET



(A.4)

(A.6)

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science,

Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013

to the reaction-diffusion brusselator model, Appl. Math. Comput. 110, 251-264 (2000).

APPENDIX A: BASIC CONCEPT OF MODIFIED ADOMIAN DECOMPOSITION METHOD

Consider the nonlinear differential equation in the form [23]:

$$y'' + \frac{2n}{x}y' + \frac{n(n-1)}{x^2}y + F(x, y) = g(x); n \ge 0$$
(A.1)
with initial condition
$$y(0) = A, y'(0) = B$$
(A.2)

v(0) = A, v'(0) = B

where F(x, y) is a real function, g(x) is the given function and A and B are constants. We propose the new differential operator, as below

$$L = x^{-n} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} x^n y \tag{A.3}$$

So, the problem (A.1) can be written as, Ly = g(x) - F(x, y).

The inverse operator L^{-1} is therefore considered a two-fold integral operator, as below.

$$L^{-1}(.) = x^{-n} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} x^{n} (.) dx dx$$
(A.5)

Applying L^{-1} of (A.5) to the first three terms $y'' + \frac{2n}{x}y' + \frac{n(n-1)}{x^2}y$ of Equation (A.1), we find

$$L^{-1}\left(y'' + \frac{2n}{x}y' + \frac{n(n-1)}{x^2}y\right) = x^{-n} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} x^n \left(y'' + \frac{2n}{x}y' + \frac{n(n-1)}{x^2}y\right) dx dx$$
$$= x^{-n} \int_{0}^{x} (x^n y' + nx^{n-1}y) dx$$
$$= y - y(0)$$

By operating L^{-1} on (A.4), we have $y(x) = A + L^{-1}g(x) - L^{-1}F(x, y)$

The modified Adomian decomposition method introduce the solution y(x) and the nonlinear function F(x, y) by infinity series

$$y(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n(x),$$
 (A.7)

and
$$F(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$$
 (A.8)

where the components $y_n(x)$ of the solution y(x) will be determined recurrently and the Adomian polynomials A_n of F(x, y) are evaluated [14-15] using the formula

Copyright to IJIRSET



Engineering and Technology (An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013

$$A_n(x) = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} N\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^n y_n)\right) |_{\lambda=0}$$
(A.9)

By substituting (A.7) and (A.8) into (A.6),

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n(x) = A + L^{-1}g(x) - L^{-1}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$$
(A.10)

Through using modified Adomian decomposition method, the components $y_n(x)$ can be determined as

$$y_{0}(x) = A + L^{-1}g(x)$$
(A.11)

$$y_{n+1}(x) = -L^{-1}(A_{n}), n \ge 0$$
which gives

$$y_{0}(x) = A + L^{-1}g(x)$$

$$y_{1}(x) = -L^{-1}(A_{0})$$

$$y_{2}(x) = -L^{-1}(A_{1})$$

$$y_{3}(x) = -L^{-1}(A_{2})$$
(A.12)

From (A.9) and (A.12), we can determine the components $y_n(x)$, and hence the series solution of y(x) in (A.7) can be immediately obtained.

APPENDIX B: SYSTEMATIC SOLUTION FOR THE CONCENTRATIONS OF ACYL DONOR AND NUCLEOPHILE

The solution of Eqns. (9) and (10) allows us to predict the concentration of acyl donor and nucleophile. We write Eqns. (9) and (10) in the operator form and derive its general solution using Modified Adomian decomposition method. The operator form is

$$L\begin{bmatrix} U(x)\\ V(x) \end{bmatrix} = N\begin{bmatrix} U(x)\\ V(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1(1+\alpha_1 V(x))\\ \gamma_2 V(x) \end{bmatrix} \frac{U(x)}{1+\alpha_2 V(x)}$$
(B.1)

where $L = x^{-1} \frac{d^{-1}}{dx^{2}} x$. Applying the inverse operator L^{-1} to both sides of Eqn. (B.1) yields, in agreement with Eqs. (A.6), (A.8) and (A.10).

$$\begin{bmatrix} U(x) \\ V(x) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} U_n(x) \\ V_n(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_U \\ P_V \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} Q_U \\ Q_V \end{bmatrix} + L^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 (1 + \alpha_1 V(x)) \\ \gamma_2 V(x) \end{bmatrix} \frac{U(x)}{1 + \alpha_2 V(x)}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} P_U \\ P_V \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} Q_U \\ Q_V \end{bmatrix} + L^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} A_{n,U} \\ A_{n,V} \end{bmatrix}$$
(B.2)

where P_U , P_V , Q_U and Q_V are the integration constant and the Adomain polynomial coefficients $A_{n,i}(x)$ (i = U, V)can be obtained using Eq. (A.9). By equating the terms of Eq. (B.2) and using the boundary conditions (11) and (12), we get

Copyright to IJIRSET



Engineering and Technology (An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_0(x) \\ V_0(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_U \\ P_V \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} Q_U \\ Q_V \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(B.3)

The first two Adomain polynomial coefficients $A_{n,i}(x)$ (i = U, V) are

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{0,U} \\ A_{0,V} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 (1 + \alpha_1) \\ \gamma_2 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_2} = \begin{bmatrix} l_1 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(B.4)

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{1,U} \\ A_{1,V} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} l_1 U_1(x) + \frac{m_1}{\gamma_2} (\gamma_1 \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 l_1) V_1(x) \\ m_1 U_1(x) + \frac{m_1^2}{\gamma_2} V_1(x) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} A(x^2 - 1) \\ B(x^2 - 1) \end{bmatrix}$$
(B.5)

where
$$A = l_1^2 + \frac{m_1^2}{\alpha_4} (\gamma_1 \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 l_1), B = m_1 (\frac{m_1^2}{\gamma_2} + l_1)$$
 (B.6)

From the above equations we obtain $U_1(x), V_1(x)$ and $U_2(x), V_2(x)$ as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_1(x) \\ W_1(x) \end{bmatrix} = L^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A_{0,U} \\ A_{0,W} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(x^2 - 1)}{6} \begin{bmatrix} l_1 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(B.7)

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_{2}(x) \\ W_{2}(x) \end{bmatrix} = L^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A_{1,U} \\ A_{1,W} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{vmatrix} A\left(\frac{x^{4}-1}{20}-\frac{x^{2}-1}{6}\right) \\ B\left(\frac{x^{4}-1}{20}-\frac{x^{2}-1}{6}\right) \end{vmatrix}$$
(B.8)

Adding Eqs. (B.3), (B.7) and (B.8) we obtain Eqns. (16) and (17) in the text.

APPENDIX C

The Matlab program to find the numerical solution of equations (9) and (10): function pdex4 m = 2; x = linspace(0,1); t=linspace(0,100000); sol = pdepe(m,@pdex4pde,@pdex4ic,@pdex4bc,x,t); u1 = sol(:,:,1); u2 = sol(:,:,2); figure plot(x,u1(end,:)) title('u1(x,t)') xlabel('Distance x') ylabel('u1(x,2)') %-------

figure

Copyright to IJIRSET



Engineering and Technology (An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013

plot(x,u2(end,:)) title('u2(x,t)') xlabel('Distance x') ylabel('u2(x,2)')*%*_____ function [c,f,s] = pdex4pde(x,t,u,DuDx)c = [0; 0];f = [1; 1] .* DuDx;a1=2.2;a2=30;a3=30;a4=1; F=-(a1*u(1)*(1+a2*u(2)))/(1+a3*u(2));F1=-(a4*u(1)*u(2))/(1+a3*u(2));s=[F; F1]; % ----function u0 = pdex4ic(x)u0 = [1;1];% ----function [pl,ql,pr,qr]=pdex4bc(xl,ul,xr,ur,t) pl = [0;0];ql = [1; 1];pr = [ur(1)-1; ur(2)-1];qr = [0; 0];**APPENDIX D: NOMENCLATURE** Effective diffusion coefficient of acyl donor (cm^2/s) D_{Aeff} Effective diffusion coefficient of nucleophile (cm^2/s) D_{Neff} AcD Concentration of acyl donor (mM) Nuc Concentration of nucleophile (mM) Hyp Concentration of hydrolysis product (mM) [Pep] Concentration of peptide product (mM) Kinetic constant ($\mu \mod \min^{-1} \mod CT^{-1}$) k_{Hvdr} k_N Kinetic constant (mM) Kinetic constant ($ml min^{-1} mg CT^{-1}$) k_{Svnth} Amount of enzyme ($mg min^{-1}$) E_0 LG Leaving group (ethanol or methanol) $|AcD|_{R}$ Molar concentration of acyl donor (mM) $[Nuc]_{R}$ Molar concentration of nucleophile (mM) Distance from the centre of the particle r R Particle radius (cm)