

### **Engineering and Technology**

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 3, Issue 4, April 2014

# An Efficient Secure Data Transmission for **Adaptive Hello Messaging Scheme in Manet**

R.Gokila<sup>1</sup>, S.Manikandan<sup>2</sup>

PG Scholar, Department of ECE, PSN College of Engineering and Technology, Tamilnadu, India<sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of ECE, PSN College of Engineering and Technology, Tamilnadu, India<sup>2</sup>

Abstract: In mobile ad-hoc networks, a hello protocol is exchanged between the nodes. It leads to excessive generally used to maintain neighbor relationship between bandwidth and energy consumption. Two hello protocols the nodes. According to this, each node broadcasts hello were discussed to restrain the inefficient hello packets [4]: a packets at regular intervals to discover its intermediate reactive hello protocol and a event based hello protocol. nodes to forward a packet. When the node moves into an Reactive hello protocol exhibits hello messages only to the inactive region, the transmission of hello packets to those demanding nodes using control packets (req-reply) which nodes get wasted which results in energy loss. In this leads to network overhead. Event based hello protocol paper, We implement a dynamic hello protocol to provides hello messages to the nodes which are in suppress those inessential hello packets without affecting communication range based on threshold time. High the link failure detection. Our simulation results implies that the proposed design decreases the energy consumption and delay without any major difference in throughput.

Index terms-Hello protocol, Mobile ad-hoc network, Neighbor relationship, Energy consumption.

#### I. **INTRODUCTION**

Mobile Ad-hoc Networks (MANET) does not lie on a fixed infrastructure for its operation. It is an autonomous transitory association of mobile nodes which communicates each other over wireless links. Nodes that rely within each other's send range can communicate directly and are responsible for dynamic route discovery. To enable connection between several nodes which are not in range, neighboring nodes acts as routers. These nodes are often energy constrained. In this energy constrained, dynamic, distributed multi-hop network, nodes organize themselves dynamically to provide route establishment. Eventhough mobile devices are in off condition, the energy is consumed by No sleep energy bugs [9] .Similarly in MANET, the energy of nodes get drained when they move out of range. Many energy conserving hello messaging schemes were introduced [6] following the dynamic network topology [8]. Those schemes doesn't describes the time of execution [6].Ad-hoc On Demand Distance Vector(AODV) is used for route discovery and maintenance in which the control packets of route request (RREQ) and route reply (RREP) are

threshold results in less delay whereas low threshold results in neighbor information loss.

This paper proposes a dynamic hello interval for route establishment by restraining the hello messages. It regulates the hello interval conservatively without reducing the probability of link failure detection. We implement an average event interval i.e. the time gap between two continuous events (transmission or reception of packets) to notice the communication between nodes. The broadcast of hello packets get wasted when a node doesn't participate in communication. Our proposed system uses a constant risk level for suppression of hello messages. If we are increasing the event interval, the hello interval can also increase without increasing risk of sending a packet through an unavailable link.Therfore hello messages get restrained. Simulation results show that our proposed scheme reduces energy consumption without any overhead.

#### **OVERVIEW OF AODV** П

AODV is an on-demand routing protocol where routes are established only as needed. When a route is needed, it uses a route discovery process to understand a route. Once it determines a route, it is maintained as long as it requires by a maintenance procedure.It uses a software state approach to maintain routes: if a route is not in use, it expires after a specified time. It may use one of the two methods for link failure detection:link layer feedback or hello messages.Due to difficulty in obtaining link layer feedback, it uses hello messages.

Copyright to IJIRSET



### **Engineering and Technology**

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 3 , Issue 4 , April 2014

#### A. Hello messages

Network connectivity may be determined through the reception of broadcast control messages. Any broadcast control message also serves as a hello message, indicating the presence of a neighbor as shown in fig 1. When a node receives a hello message from its neighbor, it creates or refreshes the routing table entry to the neighbor. To maintains connectivity, if a node has not sent any broadcast control message within a specified interval, a hello message is locally broadcast. This results in at least one hello message transmission during every time period. Failure to receive an hello message from a neighbor for several time intervals indicates that neighbor is no longer within transmission range, and connectivity has been lost.



Fig 1.Broadcast of Hello messages

Two variables control the determination of connectivity using HELLO INTERVAL hello messages: and ALLOWED HELLO LOSS.HELLO INTERVAL specifies the maximum time interval between the transmission of hello messages ALLOWED\_HELLO \_LOSS specifies the maximum number of periods of HELLO\_INTERVAL to wait without receiving a hello message before detecting a loss of connectivity to a neighbor. The recommended value for HELLO \_INTERVAL is one second and for ALLOWED \_HELLO LOSS is two. In other words, if a hello message is not received from a neighbor within two seconds of the last message, a loss of connectivity to that neighbor is determined.

#### **B.** Route Discovery

When a source needs to send packets to a destination, it first must determine a path for communication. The source node begins route discovery by broadcasting a route request (RREQ) message containing the IP address of the destination. When an intermediate node receives the RREQ, it records the reverse route toward the source and checks whether it has a route to the

destination. If a route to the destination is not known, the intermediate node rebroadcasts the RREQ. When the destination, or an intermediate node with recent information about a route to the destination, receives the RREQ, a route reply (RREP) is generated.

The RREP is unicast back to the source using the reverse route created by the RREQ. For example, in this figure two nodes have recent information about the destination because hello messages are being used. These two nodes unicast a RREP to the source. As the RREP propagates toward the source, a *forward route* to the destination is created at each intermediate hop. When a RREP reaches the source, the source records the route to the destination and begins sending data packets to the destination along the discovered path. If more than one RREP is received by the source, the route with the lowest hop count to the destination is selected.

#### C.Route Maintenance

When a link breaks along an active path, the node upstream of the break detects the break and creates a route error (RERR) message. The RERR message lists all destinations that are now unreachable, due to the link break. The node then sends the RERR message toward the source. Each intermediate hop deletes any broken routes and forwards the RERR packet toward the source. When the source receives the RERR packet, it determines whether it still needs the route to the destination. If so, the source creates a RREQ and begins the route discovery process again.

#### III. TIME ANALYSIS OF LINK CONNECTIVITY

The basic principle behind the local link connectivity shows that when a node moves out of range, its intermediate nodes will detects its link failure before the transmission of packet. Figure 2 explains the time analysis of local link connectivity. Traditional scheme updates its routing information through the intermediate nodes immediately after detecting a link failure. But there is no need of updation until its intermediate node is in need to transmit a packet. During $T_w$ , multiple hello packets are broadcasting.



### **Engineering and Technology**

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 3, Issue 4, April 2014



 $T_d$  - Time for detection of unavailable link  $T_w$  - Time gap between the link failure is detected and the link is needed

The average  $T_d$  is given as

$$T_d = (ALLOWED\_HELLO\_LOSS*0.5) *$$
  
HELLO\_INTERVAL (1)

Also if a node moves beyond the coverage area where its neighboring nodes are not in active communication and still broadcasting hello packets continuosly, the battery of the nodes get drained. To overcome this, those hello packets should be suppressed by finding an exact hello interval.

### A.Design of dynamic hello interval

Let us consider an event interval whose transmission of packets occurs between two sender and its neighbor. There are two possible situations emerges when the neighbor node moves out of range. (1) The sender is in need to transfer packet. (2) The sender is not in need to transfer. Case (1) causes a link failure. In case (2) there is no need for updating the routing information. To overcome the link failure, the sender must have the routing information before forwarding packet. The а HELLO\_INTERVAL should be set by referring to the event interval to reduce the risk of packet transmission through unavailable route. Analyze the distribution of packet transmission of 50 nodes. We find many event intervals are less than a constant HELLO\_INTERVAL (1sec) and the hello interval is not changed, if it is less than constant HELLO\_INTERVAL.The Cumulative Distributed Function of

50 nodes is shown in the figure. In that, all the traffics follows an exponential distribution where x>1.



Fig 3. Distribution of event interval

The cdf of x can be written as

 $F(x,\beta) = 1 - e^{-x/\beta}$  where x>1 (2)

By using exponential distribution, we can predict the probability that an interval is larger than a given interval. This result provides an upper bound. So the probability that an event occurs after a given interval can be neglected. This analysis can be used to adjust the hello interval conservatively.

Traditional hello protocol uses a default the probability of failure of detection of an unavailable link  $(P_{FD})$  which can be varied with respect to  $\beta$ . Here we use  $P_{FD}$  as a fixed value  $(=P_{FD})$  and make it into a variable. Thus the hello interval can be adjusted with respect to the event interval dynamically. We can equate

Therefore,

 $F(\mathbf{x},\beta) = P_{FD}.$  $1 - e^{-x/\beta} = P_{FD}$ 

It can be written as

 $\mathbf{x} = -\beta \ln \left( 1 - P_{FD} \right) \tag{4}$ 

As the  $\beta$  increases, the event interval also increases. We can use this  $\beta$ to estimate the correct hello interval to minimize the unwanted hello packets.  $P_{FD}$  will be smaller in equation (4) since it is the upper bound of an exponential distribution.

Copyright to IJIRSET

(3)



### **Engineering and Technology**

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 4, April 2014

#### **IV. EVALUATION**

Our proposed scheme modified the AODV and DYMO.We call this as AODV with dynamic hello(AODV-DH) and DYMO with dynamic hello(DYMO-DH).this section depicts the effect of the proposed scheme on energy use,throughput,Hello packet ratio within various parameters such as node intensity,mobile speed and node density.



Figure 4 shows the comparision of energy consumption between DYMO and DYMO-DH.Each node initially has 150 joules. After certain time, DYMO consumes energy quickly. Since the proposed scheme reduces the number of inessential hello messages, energy is saved due to less transmissions and receptions.



Fig 5.Hello packet overhead

Figure 5 shows the Hello packet ratio. As the number of nodes increases, the hello packet ratio also increases since the number of hello packet broadcasters and the number of received packets increases.



Fig 6. Throughput

Figure 6 depicts the throughput for various speeds and  $P_{FD}$ . since the number of affected links is small, high  $P_{FD}$  does not make any major changes in throughput. That is successive packets will be forwarded through a valid link. Due to quick



### Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 3, Issue 4, April 2014

the existing scheme. Thus high throughput can be obtained.



Figure 7 shows the comparision of cdf over number of flows. The cdf of active nodes during  $\mathbf{x}$  seconds are shown. When the number of flows is 10 and x=3sec,85% of nodes do not involve in communication in the recent 3 sec. This reveals that large number of nodes uses longer hello interval.

#### **CONCLUSION** V.

This brief aims at reducing the energy consumption by restraining the inessential hello messages. Considering the event interval, the hello interval can be increased without reducing the probability of link failure detection, which reduces the delay and hidden energy consumption.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge the contributions of the students, faculty of PSN College of Engineering and Technology for helping in the design of test circuitry, and for tool support. The authors also thank the anonymous reviewers for their thoughtful comments that helped to improve this paper. The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive critique from which this paper greatly benefited.

#### REFERENCES

[1] Ian D.Chakeres and E.M.Belding-Royer, "The Utility of Hello Messages for Determining Link Connectivity", 2003.

[2] E. Belding-Royer and S. D. C. Perkins, "Ad hoc on-demand distance vector (AODV) routing," July 2003.

reset to a default value, there is no throughput drop compared to [3] C. Gomez, M. Catalan, X. Mantecon, J. Paradells, and A. Calveras, "Evaluating performance of real ad-hoc networks using AODV with hello message mechanism for maintaining local connectivity," in Proc.2005 IEEE International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile

Radio Communications, vol. 2, pp. 1327-1331.

[4] V. C. Giruka and M. Singhal, "Hello protocols for ad-hoc networks: overhead and accuracy tradeoffs," in *Proc. Sixth IEEE International Symposium* on a World of Wireless Mobile and Multimedia Networks, pp. 354–361.

[5] G. Iannello, F. Palmieri, A. Pescape, and P. S. Rossi, "End-to-end packet channel Bayesian model applied to heterogeneous wireless networks," in Proc. 2005 IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference, pp. 484-489.

[6] C.-M. Chao, J.-P. Sheu, and I.-C. Chou, "An adaptive quorum-based energy conserving protocol for IEEE 802.11 ad hoc networks," IEEE Trans. Mobile Computing, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 560-570, May 2006.

[7] J. Hui and M. Devetsikiotis, "The use of metamodeling for VoIP over Wi-Fi capacity evaluation," IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1-5, Jan. 2008

[8] R. Oliveira, M. Luis, L. Bernardo, R. Dinis, and P. Pinto, "The impact of node's mobility on link-detection based on routing hello messages," in Proc. 2010 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference, pp.1-6.

[9] A. Pathak, A. Jindal, Y. C. Hu, and S. P. Midkiff, "What is keeping my phone awake? Characterizing and detecting no-sleep energy bugs in smartphone apps," in Proc. 2012 International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services, pp. 267-280.

[10] SwathiDhawan, VinodSaroha and RichaDhawan, an International Journal on Review on Performance Issues of Routing Protocols of Mobile Ad-hoc Networks, 2013.

Mochasoft, server." [11] "Mocha FTP Available: http://www.mochasoft.dk/freeware/ftpd.htm.

[12] Teresa Albero-Albero, Salvador Santonja-Climent, Víctor-M.Sempere-Payá, JordiMataix-Oltra,"AODV Performance Evaluation and Proposal of Parameters Modification for Multimedia Traffic on Wireless Ad hoc Networks". [13] S.Basagni, I.Chlamtac, V. Syroutik, and B. Woodward. A distance effect routing algorithm for mobility (DREAM). In proceedings of the 4th annual ACM/IEEE Int. Conf. on Mobile Computing and networking (MOBICOM) .98, pages 76-84, Dallas, TX, USA, 1998.

[14] P.Bose, P.Morin, I.Stojmenovi, and J.Urrutia, Routing with guaranteed delivery in ad-hoc wireless networks. ACM DIAL M, Aug. 1999, 48-55; Wireless Networks, 7, 6, 2001, 609-616.

[15] Ian D. Chakeres and Elizabeth M. Belding-Royer. The Utility of Hello Messages for Determining Link Connectivity. Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Wireless Personal Multimedia Communications (WPMC) 2002, Honolulu, Hawaii, October 2002.

[16] T. Camp, J. Boleng, and V. Davies. Mobility Models for Ad- Hoc Network. Wireless Communication & Mobile Computing (WCMC): Special issue on Mobile Ad Hoc Networking: Research, Trends and Applications,2(5):483-502, 2002

[17] Matthew Gast, Matthew S. Gast 802.11 Wireless Networks: The Definitive Guide Publisher: O'Reilly Publication 2002-04.

#### BIOGRAPHY

R.Gokila has received B.E degree in Electronics and Communication Engineering from Anna University, chennai 2012. She is currently pursuing Master of Engineering in Communication Systems in PSN College of Engineering and Technology under Anna University, Chennai. Her areas of



## **Engineering and Technology**

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 3 , Issue 4 , April 2014

interest in research are Wireless Networks and Digital Electronics.

**S.Manikandan** has received B.E degree in Electronics and Communication Engineering from the Jeyamatha college of engineering and technology, Tirunelveli District in 2008and M.E–Applied Electronics in Hindustan Engineering College, Chennai in the year 2010. He is working as an Asst.Professor in the department of ECE, PSN College of Engineering and Technology, Tirunelveli. He has published papers in various international and national journals. His areas of interest are Digital Electronics, Analog and Digital Communication, and Wireless Networks.