

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 3, Issue 2, February 2014

# Development of Conventional Concrete and Self Curing Concrete Using PEG 4000 and Comparison of Strength Characteristics

S.Sidhardhan<sup>1</sup>, K.Kaja Mohideen<sup>2</sup>

Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Government College of Engineering, Tirunelveli, India<sup>1</sup>

B.E Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, Einstein College of Engineering, Tirunelveli, India<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT:** Recently water is becoming a scanty material day-by-day, there is a serious need to do some research investigation work for saving the water inmaking of concrete. Curing of concrete is maintaining sufficient moisture content in concrete during its early stages because it plays a major role on strength and durability characteristics. However, enough curing is not always practically possible in many cases. So, keeping importance to this, an attempt has been made to develop self curing concrete by using Super AbsorbingPolymers as self curing agents. Strength Characteristics of concrete containing self curing agent is investigated and compared withconventionally cured concrete. Polyethylene Glycol 4000 is used as self curing agent for this work. Self curing agent increases the water holding capacity of the concrete by diminishing evaporation of water from concrete..

KEYWORDS: Self Curing, Self Curing agent, Polyethylene Glycol 4000, Strength characteristics.

#### **I.INTRODUCTION**

Curing of concrete structures is very important to achieve required strength and durability requirements. In conventional curing, these requirements are achieved by external curing applied after the final setting of concrete. Self-curing is a technique that uses self curing agentwhich increases the water retention capacity of the concrete by diminishing the evaporation of water from concrete and which leads to a more effective hydration of cement and reduced self-desiccation.

Construction industries all over the world uses lot of water for the purpose of curing. Now a days the world facing serious water scarce issues, so we have to switch over to an alternative curing system called self curing and this technique is very useful in the areas where there is a water scarcity is a major problem.

The experimental program was designed to investigate the strength of selfcuring concrete by adding polyethylene glycol PEG4000 @ 0.5%, 1%, and 1.5% by weight of cement to the concrete. M30 grade of concrete is used for this entire study. The experimental programwas aimed to study the workability, compressive strength, and DurabilityTest.

#### **II.LITERATURE REVIEW**

**Manoj Kumar, D** (2013) Studied on Self Curing concrete with the self curing agent as Super absorbent polymers. M40 grade of mix is used for his entire investigation. Water retention capacity of self cured concrete is higher than that of conventionally cured concrete and it was found by mass loss with time. The optimum % of SAP is 0.3%. Compressive strength and split tensile strength of self cured concrete with the optimum dosage is giving higher strength than that of conventional cured concrete. Flexural strength of self cured concrete is lower than that of conventionally cured concrete. He found that there was a increase in the strength for the dosage from 0.2 % to 0.3 % and later it minimized. **EI-Dieb (2007)** Studied water retention capacity of concrete using water-soluble polymericglycolas self curing agent. Concrete weight loss with respect to time was carried out for finding out the water retention capacity of self cured concrete. Permeable voids percentage, water sorptivity, absorption percentage and water permeability tests are carried out for analysing the water transport through the concrete and the results are compared with the conventionally cured concrete.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 3, Issue 2, February 2014

**Sona K.S et.al (2014)**, studied internal curing technique for effective hydration process. He studied the effect of variation in strength and durability characteristics were studied for different dosage of self curing agent and then he compared with the conventionally cured concrete. The optimum dosage of SAP for maximum strength characteristics was found to be 0.5% of weight of cement for M25 and M30. He conclude that self curing concrete is the good solution for water scarcity areas and desert regions.

**Vedhasakthi K et.al (2014)** studied the investigation on strength characteristics and workability of normal and high strength concrete, both are casted with self curing agents studied and compared with conventionally cured concrete. Design mix of M20, M30 and M40 were adopted. Super plasticizer dosage such as 0.8%, 1% and 1.2% of weight of cement were used for different grades of concrete respectively. The optimum dosage of PEG is 0.3% which has the significant increase in the strength.

**Dahyabhai, et.al (2014)** studied on Self curing in construction industry. Normally PEG 4000 is used as self curing agent but he used PEG 600 and PEG 1500 as self curing agent. The optimum dosage of PEG 600 is found to be 1% of weight of cement for M25 grade of concrete. The Optimum dosage of PEG 1500 is found to be 1% of weight of cement for M25 grade of concrete

#### **III. MATERIALS USED**

**Polyethylene Glycol**:Polyethylene glycol is a condensation polymer of ethylene oxide andwater with the general formula H(OCH2C2)<sub>n</sub>OH, where n is the averagenumber of repeating oxyethylenegroups typically from 4 to about 180. PEG is water-soluble in nature and it is a clear liquid.

Table 3.1 Properties of PEG 4000			
S.No	Particulars	Values	
1	Specific Gravity	1.12	
2	Molecular Weight	4000	
3	PH	7	

**Cement**: Cement used in thisstudy was 53 grade ordinary Portlandcement confirming IS: 12269: 1987.

Table 5.2 Properties of Cement			
S.No	Test Particulars	Values	
1	Specific Gravity	3.15	
2	Consistency	32	
3	Initial setting time (mins)	40	
4	Final setting time (mins)	590	

#### Table 3.2 Properties of Cement

**Fine Aggregate**: The fine aggregate used was obtained from our college campus. The fine aggregate conforming to zone III according to IS: 383-1970 was used for this study.

Table 5.5 Troperties of The Aggregate			
S.No	Particulars	Values	
1	Specific Gravity	2.67	
2	Grading Zone	III	
3	Fineness Modulus	2.72	
4	Туре	River Sand	
5	Total water absorption	1 %	
6	Net water absorption	0.86 %	

**Coarse Aggregate**: The coarse aggregate is according to IS: 383-1970 was used. Locallyavailable aggregate from quarries in Tirunelveli were used in this study. Single size aggregate of 20 mm size was used for the entire study.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 3, Issue 2, February 2014

#### Table 3.4 Properties of Coarse aggregate

S.No	Particulars	Values
1	Specific Gravity	2.76
2	Fineness Modulus	6.81
3	Туре	Crushed
4	Water absorption	0.5 %
5	Moisture Content	Nil

#### **Mix Design**

#### **Table 3.5 Mixproportions for various Dosages**

Dosage (%)	Cement (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	C.A (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	F.A (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Chemical (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
0	432.5	1080.57	728.50	0
0.5	362	1159.67	839.46	1.64
1	362	1157.66	837.90	3.29
1.5	362	1154.20	835.60	5.00

#### **IV. METHODOLOGY**

Compressive strength tests were carried out at the end of 7, 14 and 28 days for the concrete cube specimens of size 150 mm, using aCompression Testing Machine (CTM) as per IS: 516-1959. The compressive strength results presented in the report are an average f two readings.

Durability tests were carried out at the end of 14 days for the concrete cube specimens of size 150 mm, using a CompressionTesting Machine (CTM).

Mass Loss tests were carried out at the end of 1, 7, 14 and 28 daysfor the concrete cube specimens of size 150mm, using a weighingmachine.

#### V. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION AND RESULT ANALYSIS

#### **Compressive Strength Test:**

Totally we made 36 cubes for the Compressive Test. In that each 9 cubes are the addition of 0%, 0.5%, 1%, 1.5% of Polyethylene Glycol4000. The results of compressive strength for different mixes were presented intable and graph

Description	St	Strength (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )		
	7 days	14 days	28 days	
Conventional	2/ 88	37 77	38.22	

Table 5.1 Comparison of Compressive Strength for various dosages

r			/	
	7 days	14 days	28 days	
Conventional	24.88	37.77	38.22	
PEG4000(0.5%)	28.44	38.93	40.24	
PEG4000(1%)	24.88	30.75	37.33	
PEG4000(1.5%)	17.77	27.55	36.08	



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 3, Issue 2, February 2014

### Graph for Comparison of Compressive Strength for various dosages of PEG 4000



Fig. 5.1 Compressive Strength at 7 days



Fig. 5.2 Compressive Strength at 14 days



Fig. 5.3 Compressive Strength at 28 days

It was found that from the results the dosage of 0.5% of PEG 4000has achieved more strength than conventional concrete at the end of 7, 14,28 days. It was found from the graph, 0.5% of PEG is the optimum dosage for self-curing concrete.

#### **Durability Test :**

The Conventional concrete cubes as well as self-cured cubes (28 days Curing) wereimmersed in salty water. The percentage of salt usedwas10% by weight of water. Cubes were cured in salty waterfor14 days. After 14 days they were tested underUniversal testing machine. Theresults found are to be shown in Table 5.2



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 3, Issue 2, February 2014

#### Table 5.2Compressive strength after 14 days immersionin salty water

S.	Description	Compressive
No		Strengh(N/mm <sup>2</sup> )
1	Conventional	37.12
2	PEG4000(0.5%)	39.34
3	PEG4000(1%)	36.19
4	PEG4000(1.5%)	34.29



#### Fig. 5.4 Compressive strength after 14 days immersionin salty water

It was found from the graph, 0.5% of PEG gives good strength thanconventional concrete in case of Durability.

#### Mass LossTest :

Mass Loss tests were carried out at the end of 1, 7, 14 and 28 daysfor the concrete cube specimens of size 150mm, using a weighingmachine.



Table 5.3Mass Loss with respect to Time

Fig. 5.4 Mass Loss with respect to Time



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 3, Issue 2, February 2014

#### VI. CONCLUSION

The following are the conclusions drawn from the above experimental investigation:

- 1. The optimum dosage of PEG4000 for maximum Compressivestrength was found to be 0.5% for grades of concrete.
- 2. Self-Curing can be applied to simple as well as complex shapes.
- 3. The self-curing agent Polyethylene Glycol wasfound to be moreeffective. Desired strength test results were obtained by usingPolyethylene Glycol 4000 as Self curing agent.
- 4. From the compressive strength results, it was found that self-curing concrete has given more strength than that of conventionally cured concrete.
- 5. It was found that self-curing can be achieved in High Strength Concrete and there is significant increase in the strength of High Strength Self Curing Concrete than Conventionally Cured High Strength Concrete
- 6. Water retention capacity for the concrete mixes incorporating selfcuring agent is higher compared to conventional concrete mixes, as found by the weight loss with time.
- 7. Self-curing concrete resulted in better hydration with time under drying condition compared to conventional concrete.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Stella Evangeline., Self-Curing Concrete and its Inherent properties, Stella Evangeline Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Applications ISSN : 2248-9622, Vol-4, Issue 8 (Version 7), August 2014, pp.66-71
- 2. Mohan Raj A, An Experimental investigation Of Eco-Friendly Self Curing Concrete incorporated with polyethylene glycol, ISSN 2393-8021, International Advanced research journal in Science, Engineering and Technology Vol. 1, Issue 2, October 2014
- 3. Vedhasakthi.K, Saravanan.M, Development of Normal Strength and High Strength Self Curing Concrete using Super absorbing Polymers (SAP) and Compression of Strength Characteristics, eISSN 2319-1163, International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology
- 4. Nirav R Kholia, Effect on Concrete by Different Curing Method and Efficiency of Curing Compounds- A Review, eISSN 0976-3945, Kholia et al, International Journals of Advanced Engineering and Technology.
- 5. Neville, A.M., 1996, Properties of Concrete, Fourth and Final Edition, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, USA.
- 6. ACI Committee 305R- "Hot eather Concreting", Reported by ACI Committee 305, ACI Manual of Concrete Practice, 2009.
- 7. M.V.Jagannadha Kumar, Strength Characteristics of self-curing concrete IJRET , Vol: 1, Issue: 1, pp 51 57, 2012 43
- 8. Nirav R Kholia, Prof. Binita A Vyas, Effect on concrete by different curing method and efficiency of curing compounds International Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology, pp: 57-60, 2013
- 9. Kovler, K ; et al , "Pre-soaked lightweight aggregates as additives for internal curing of high-strength concrete", Cement, Concrete and Aggregates, No 2, Dec. 2004, pp 131 -138.
- 10. Lura, P, "Autogenous Deformation and Internal Curing of Concrete," Ph.D. Thesis, Technical University Delft, Delft, The Netherlands, 2003.