

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

Effect of Process Parameters on Weld Penetration Shape Factor in ASAW Based Surfacing

Hari Om¹, Sunil Pandey²

Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, YMCA University of Science & Technology, Faridabad -

121006, India¹

Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi -110016, India²

ABSTRACT: Control of bead geometry and dilution is of utmost importance during overlay cladding. Bead geometry is decided by its Width, Reinforcement and Penetration depth. Weld penetration shape factor (WPSF) is another term that provides the information about penetration pattern. Many high deposition arc welding processes are in use for cladding and surfacing for decades but dilution remains more or less a problem while using these processes. In the present work, advance submerged arc welding (ASAW), a relatively improved process has been used for cladding AISI 308L stainless steel over mild steel substrate plate to study the effect of ASAW parameters on WPSF. Mathematical equation has been developed using response surface methodology (RSM) to predict WPSF in terms of ASAW parameters. During the analysis, it was found that wire feed rate, open circuit voltage and preheating current affect significantly WPSF.

KEYWORDS: Advanced Submerged Arc Welding, Weld Cladding, Weld Penetration Shape Factor, Response Surface Methodology, Central Composite Design.

I. INTRODUCTION

Cladding is a form of surfacing application where a relatively thick layer of a corrosion resistant alloy is deposited on a plain carbon or low alloy steel substrate[1, 2]. Corrosive and high temperature atmospheric conditions demand engineering components to show satisfactory corrosion and/or heat resistant properties. In order to provide long life to carbon steel components at relatively low cost, austenitic stainless steel overlay cladding is done to make them corrosion resistant. Literature reveals that many austenitic stainless steel grades are used for cladding carbon or low-alloy steels [3-8]. Among the fusion welding processes, Gas Metal Arc Welding, Shielded Metal Arc Welding, Gas Tungsten Arc Welding, Flux Cored Arc Welding and Submerged Arc Welding have been used for cladding [3, 5, 7-14]. In the present work, Advanced Submerged Arc Welding process, due to its certain merits over conventional Submerged Arc Welding process, is used for cladding AISI 308L stainless steel on mild steel plate. Amathematical model has been developed to study the effect of ASAW process parameters on weld penetration shape factor (WPSF).Weld penetration shape factor is defined as the ratio of bead width and depth of penetration. It is also called the coefficient of internal shape [7, 15]. Mathematically, WPSF can be represented as WPSF = W/P, where W and P are the Bead Width and Depth of Penetration respectively as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1:Weld bead geometry



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

II. ADVANCED SUBMERGED ARC WELDING

Advanced Submerged Arc Welding (ASAW) is a recently developed welding process in the Welding Research Laboratory of Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (Sunil Pandey, 2004, Patent application number: 2533 / DEL / 2008 Dated: November 07, 2008). Its working principle is based on conventional submerged arc welding process with a difference that the continuously fed wire electrode is electrically preheated with the help of a separate auxiliary power source before it enters into arc region. The contact tube through which the continuous wire electrode is passed is made into two parts which are separated by a dielectric gap. With the help of main power source, welding current is passed through the electrode and the base metal in order to create arc for melting. The auxiliary power source as stated is used to preheat certain length of electrode wire. The schematic diagram of the ASAW setup is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Schematic diagram for Advance Submerged Arc Welding (ASAW) setup

ASAW process, in this way, fetches the energy required to bring the electrode wire in molten state in two steps i.e. through resistance preheating of electrode wire as well as by providing welding current between the electrode and the base metal to create arc. It has been established from the previous research that a striking reduction in welding current due to preheating of electrode is noted during welding which in turn lessens arc force. This leads to lower penetration depth and thus reduced weld dilution and make the process more suitable for surfacing applications [16]

III. EXPERIMENTATION

A. Experimental setup

The experimental runs were performed on Advanced Submerged Arc Welding equipment. A direct current constant potential (DCCP) power source with a rated current capacity of 600 amperes at 60% duty cycle with mechanized welding head was used for the experimentation.

B. Material selection and identification of process parameters limits

A 'single bead on plate' technique was adopted to deposit beads of SFA/AWS 5.9 class ER308L stainless steel single bare wire electrode of 3.15 mm diameter on 300 mm x 75 mm x 12 mm mild steel plates. A compatible agglomerated flux of class AWS SFA A-5.23 was used to shield the weld metal from atmosphere. The bead was deposited at the centre of each plate along its length in order to have equal heat dissipation rates on both sides of the bead.

Independent ASAW parameters i.e. wire feed rate F, travel speed S, open circuit voltage Vo and nozzle to plate distance D and preheating current IP were carefully chosen for the present work.

The decision on working limits of selected parameters was based on numerous trial runs. Low and high levels of each parameter were finalized by inspecting the resulting bead on plate carefully and only those beads were accepted which did not show any visible welding defects during trial experiments. The limiting values of parameters are shown in Table 1.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

C. Design matrix and experimental procedure

The five levels of each independently controllable ASAW parameters were selected and coded as -2, -1, 0, +1 and +2 as given in Table 1 and then design matrix consisting 30 test conditions based on half fraction rotatable central composite design (CCD) was formed as illustrated in Table 2 using Design Expert 8 statistical software.

Stainless steel beads thus deposited on mild steel base plates according to design matrix were allowed to cool in still air up to room temperature. In order to maintain the uniformity in bead properties and their chemical composition, start and stop portions of 50 mm length were discarded off by cutting both ends of plate. Three bead on plate specimens of about 15 mm width (one at centre and two at both the ends) were then taken out from remaining length of plate. After careful mounting with Bakelite, the specimens were polished sequentially using finer grades of polishing papers. Important bead zones were exposed by etching the specimens with 2% Nital solution. Bead width and penetration were measured by scanning the specimens. Resulting Weld Penetration Shape Factor (WPSF) corresponding to each test experimental run is also presented in Table 2.

Duccess nonemeter	Unita	Notation	Coded	Parameter levels					
r rocess parameter	Units	Notation	Notation	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	
Wire Feed Rate	mm/sec	F	А	20	24	28	32	36	
Travel Speed	mm/sec	S	В	2.5	4	5.5	7	8.5	
Open Circuit Voltage	Volts	V_o	С	30	33	36	39	42	
Nozzle to plate distance	mm	D	D	18	21	24	27	30	
Preheat current	Amp.	I_P	E	0	42	84	126	168	

Table 1: Par	ameters and	their	values	at	various	levels	s
1 4010 1.141	unicters und	unon	raiaeo	uu	, and a s	10101	

IV. DEVELOPMENT OF MODEL

A. Response surface methodology

Response surface methodology (RSM) is useful for modelling and analysis of problems that include number of variables and where objective is to optimize the multiple responses[17, 18]. Response function Y can be expressed in terms of process parameters as

$$Y = f(F, S, V_o, D, I_P)$$

The model includes the main effects and interaction effects of all the factors in the form of first or second order polynomial correlations. Second order polynomial, which was assumed for the current study, is represented by following general equation.

$$y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_{ii} x_i^2 + \sum_{i$$

Where β_0 , β_{ii} and β_{ij} are constant regression coefficients and x_i and x_j are the process variable and ϵ is the error term of the model [19].

B. Estimating the adequacy of developed models and significance of coefficients

The adequacy of the model was established by using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) technique[7]. The outcomes of ANOVA for the WPSF are given in Table 3. This table shows details of degrees of freedom (DF), sum of squares (SS), mean square (MS), F- Ratio and Probability of larger F- value or simply P-value. Calculated F-value for the model was found larger than tabulated F-value at 95 % confidence level which indicates the adequacy of the model. The "Pred R-Squared" of 0.7418 is also found in reasonable agreement with the "Adj R-Squared" of 0.7908.Figure 3 a normal probability vs. Studentized residuals plot for all the observations of WPSF. It can be determined that the assumption of a normal distribution is judicious since all the plotted points follow a straight line.

The statistical significance of the coefficients can be established by using the *t*- test and F-test. Coefficients having F-values less than or equal to the standard tabulated F-value at 95% confidence level, are considered non-significant and can be ignored without affecting much the accuracy of the proposed model [20-24]. Table 3shows also the P-values for individual parameters in the model. Underlined values, where P-values are more than 0.05, depict non-significant parameters and are consequently dropped from the model.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

Run No.	F, mm/s	S, mm/s	V _o , Volts	D, mm	I _P , Amps	WPSF
1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	3.75
2	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	2.72
3	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	3.56
4	1	1	-1	-1	1	2.90
5	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	4.28
6	1	-1	1	-1	1	5.07
7	-1	1	1	-1	1	4.94
8	1	1	1	-1	-1	3.65
9	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	3.30
10	1	-1	-1	1	1	3.12
11	-1	1	-1	1	1	3.38
12	1	1	-1	1	-1	2.65
13	-1	-1	1	1	1	5.87
14	1	-1	1	1	-1	3.28
15	-1	1	1	1	-1	3.49
16	1	1	1	1	1	3.38
17	-2	0	0	0	0	4.30
18	2	0	0	0	0	2.68
19	0	-2	0	0	0	4.00
20	0	2	0	0	0	3.33
21	0	0	-2	0	0	2.99
22	0	0	2	0	0	4.66
23	0	0	0	-2	0	3.13
24	0	0	0	2	0	3.80
25	0	0	0	0	-2	2.97
26	0	0	0	0	2	4.02
27	0	0	0	0	0	4.16
28	0	0	0	0	0	3.63
29	0	0	0	0	0	3.03
30	0	0	0	0	0	3.54

Table 2: Design matrix for experimentation

V. RESULTS

A. Development of Final mathematical models

Following equations have been finalized keeping significant parameter coefficients and omitting insignificant parameter coefficients. Equation 2 represents regressions in terms of coded factors, where B, C and E are the coded symbols for welding speed S, open circuit voltage V_o and preheat current I_P . Equation 3 shows correlation in terms of actual factors.

1) Final Correlation in Terms of Coded Factors:

$$\frac{1}{\text{WPSF}} = +0.28 + 0.03 \times \text{A} + 0.012 \times \text{B} - 0.035 \times \text{C} - 0.021 \times \text{E} + 0.011 \times \text{B} \times \text{E} \qquad \dots (2)$$

2) Final Correlation in Terms of Actual Factors:

$$\frac{1}{\text{WPSF}} = +0.5667 + 7.5345 \times 10^{-3} \times F - 6.3753 \times 10^{-3} \times S - 11.552 \times 10^{-3} \times V_0 - 1.458 \times 10^{-3} \times I_P + 0.1729 \times 10^{-3} \times S \times I_P \qquad \dots (3)$$



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

Source	DF	SS	MS	F-Value	P-value	%Contri- bution	Significance
Model	5	0.066987	0.013397	22.93	< 0.0001		significant
A-Wire Feed Rate, F, mm/s	1	0.021799	0.021799	37.31	< 0.0001	26.91282	
B-Travel Speed, S, mm/s	1	0.003588	0.003588	6.14	0.02060	4.430103	
C-Open Circuit Voltage, Vo, Volts	1	0.028826	0.028826	49.33	< 0.0001	35.58803	
E-Preheat Current, I _P , Amps	1	0.010874	0.010874	18.61	0.00020	13.4243	
$B \times E$ - Interaction between S and I_P	1	0.001899	0.001899	3.25	0.08400	2.344856	
Residual	24	0.014000	0.000584			17.28395	
Lack of Fit	21	0.009962	0.000474	0.35	0.9383		not significant
Pure Error	3	0.004062	0.001354				
Cor Total	29	0.081000				100	
R-Squared	0.8269		Adjust R- Squared	0.7908			
Adequate Precision	18.188		Predicted R- Squared	0.7418			

Table 3: ANOVA test for the fitted model

B. Direct effect of ASAW parametrs on WPSF

Regression model equations 2 and 3 show the influence of ASAW parameters on the WPSF and Figure 2(a) represents graphically the individual effect of each parameter on WPSF. In Figure 4(a), A, B, C and E are the coded notations for Wire feed rate F, Travel speed S, Open circuit voltage V_0 , and Preheating current IP respectively. It is clearly indicated that WPSF decreases when F and S are increased and it increase when V_0 and I_P are increased. With the help of ANOVA as shown in table 3, percentage contribution of each ASAW parameter can be evaluated. It can be seen that the parameter which affects the WPSF in the most influential manner is open circuit voltage V_0 (%contribution = 35.58) and second comes the wire feed rate F (%contribution = 26.91). Then follow the preheating current I_P and Travel speed S (%contribution = 13.42 and 4.43 respectively). In this way travel speed S is influences WPSF the least. It is to be noted that F is directly controlled by the main welding current.

C. Interaction effect of ASAW parameters on WPSF

Equations 2&3and Figure 4(b) illustrate that only two ASAW parameters i.e. Travel speed S and Preheating current I_P have interaction effect on WPSF. As can be seen in Figure 4 (b), at lower level (4.0 mm/s) of travel speed S, rate of increase WPSF with respect to Preheating current I_P is significantly slow as compared to higher level (7.0 mm/s) of the same. In other word, it can be said that for lower level (42 A) of Preheating current I_P , effect of travel speed is negligible while at higher level (126 A) of I_P , travel speed S shows a strong negative effect on WPSF. Surface plots shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6 illustrate the combined effects of two ASAW parameters simultaneously in different combinations. Plots have been drawn for parameter ranges from -1 to +1 since accuracy of prediction is highest in this range.



Figure 3: (a) Normal probability vs. Studentized residuals plot, (b) Actual WPSF vs. predicted WPSF curve



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014



Figure 4: (a)Direct effect of individual ASAW variables on WPSF, (b) Interaction effect of welding speed S and preheating current I_P on WPSF



Figure 5: Surface plots showing combined effect of wire feed rate F and (a)Welding speed S (b) open circuit voltage V_0 (c) preheating current I_P on WPSF



Figure 6: Surface plots showing combined effect of (a) welding speed S and open circuit voltage V_O (b) Welding speed S and preheating current I_P (c) open circuit voltage V_O and preheating current I_P

VI. CONCLUSION

- 1. Mathematical model for WPSF in terms of ASAW parameters has been developed.
- 2. Wire feed rate and open circuit voltage are found to have great influence on WPSF as compared to other ASAW parameters
- 3. By increasing the wire feed rate and decreasing the open circuit voltage during cladding, decreased values of WPSF can be achieved.
- 4. An increase in preheating current, which is a special feature of ASAW, WPSF increases.
- 5. WPSF should be maximized for cladding operations, thus lower levels of wire feed rate and travel speed and higher levels of open circuit voltage and preheating current should be used.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 6, June 2014

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Murugan and R. S. Parmar, "Mathematical Models for Bead Geometry Prediction in Austenitic Stainless Steel Surfacing by Mig Welding," Joining Materials, vol. 7(2/3), pp. 71-80, 1995.
- [2] Welding, Brazing and Soldering vol. 6. USA: ASM International, 1993.
- [3] P. K. Ghosh, P. C. Gupta, and V. K. Goyal, "Stainless Steel Cladding of Structural Steel Plates Using the Pulsed Current Gmaw Process," Welding Journal, vol. 77, pp. 307s- 314s, 1998.
- [4] A. S. Shahi and S. Pandey, "Effect of Auxiliary Preheating of the Filler Wire on Quality of Gas Metal Arc Stainless Steel Claddings," Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance, vol. 17, pp. 30-36, 2008.
- [5] T. Kannan and J. Yoganandh, "Effect of Process Parameters on Clad Bead Geometry and Its Shape Relationships of Stainless Steel Claddings Deposited by Gmaw," The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, vol. 47, pp. 1083-1095, 2010.
- [6] A. S. Shahi and S. Pandey, "Modelling of the Effects of Welding Conditions on Dilution of Stainless Steel Claddings Produced by Gas Metal Arc Welding Procedures," Journal of Materials Processing Technology, vol. 196, pp. 339-344, 2008.
- [7] P. K. Palani and N. Murugan, "Optimization of Weld Bead Geometry for Stainless Steel Claddings Deposited by Fcaw," Journal of Materials Processing Technology, vol. 190, pp. 291-299, 2007.
- [8] P. K. Palani, N. Murugan, and B. Karthikeyan, "Process Parameter Selection for Optimising Weld Bead Geometry in Stainless Steel Cladding Using Taguchi's Approach," Materials Science and Technology, vol. 22, pp. 1193-1200, 2006.

- [9] D. J. Kotecki, "Dilution Control in Single Wire Stainless Steel Submerged Arc Cladding," Welding Journal, vol. 65, pp. 35s-45s, 1996.
 [10] U. D. Mallya and H. S. Srinivas, "Bead Characteristics in Submerged Arc Strip Cladding," Welding Journal, pp. 30-36, 1989.
 [11] P. K. Palani and N. Murugan, "Development of Mathematical Models for Prediction of Weld Bead Geometry in Cladding by Flux Cored Arc Welding," International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, vol. Vol. 30, pp. 669-676, 2006.
- [12] J. Tušek and M. Suban, "High-Productivity Multiple-Wire Submerged-Arc Welding and Cladding with Metal-Powder Addition," Journal of Materials Processing Technology, vol. 133, pp. 207-213, 2003.
- [13] L. Shan-Ping, K. Oh-Yang, K. Tae-Bum, and K. Kwon-Hu, "Microstructure and Wear Property of Fe-Mn-Cr-Mo-V Alloy Cladding by Submerged Arc Welding," Journal of Materials Processing Technology, vol. 147, pp. 191-196, 2004.
- [14] P. K. Palani and N. Murugan, "Sensitivity Analysis for Process Parameters in Cladding of Stainless Steel by Flux Cored Arc Welding," Journal of Manufacturing Processes, vol. 8, pp. 90-100, 2006.
- [15] J. Cornu, Advanced Welding Systems vol. 2. London:: IFS Publication, 1988.
- [16] D. K. Shukla, "Dilution Control through Advanced Submerged Arc Welding," Ph.D., Mechanical Engineering IIT Delhi, 2009.
- [17] A. I. Khuri and J. A. Cornell, Response Surfaces: Designs and Analyses: Marcel Dekker Incorporated, 1996.
- [18] A. I. Khuri and S. Mukhopadhyay, "Response Surface Methodology," Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics, vol. 2, pp. 128-149, 2010.
- [19] D. C. Montgomery, Design and Analysis of Experiments, 5th Ed., 5th ed.: John Wiley & sons Inc, Singapore, 2006.
- [20] S. Pandey, "Welding Current and Melting Rate in Submerged Arc Welding: A New Approach," Australasian Welding Journal Supplements, vol. 49, pp. 33 - 42, 2004.
- [21] B. Fnides, M. A. Yallese, T. Mabrouki, and J. F. Rigal, "Application of Response Surface Methodology for Determining Cutting Force Model in Turning Hardened Aisi H11 Hot Work Tool Steel," Sadhana, vol. 36, pp. 109-123, 2011.
- [22] H. Om, S. Pandey, and D. Rathod, "Mathematical Modeling of Haz in Submerged Arc Welding Process Using Factorial Design Technique"," in Trends and Advances in mechanical Engineering (TAME- 2012), YMCA University of Science & Technology, Faridabad, India, pp. 616-626, 2012
- [23] H. Om and S. Pandey, "Effect of Heat Input on Dilution and Heat Affected Zone in Submerged Arc Welding Process," Sadhana, vol. 38, pp. 1369-1391, 2013.
- [24] V. Gunaraj and N. Murugan, "Application of Response Surface Methodology for Predicting Weld Bead Quality in Submerged Arc Welding of Pipes," Journal of Materials Processing Technology, vol. 88, pp. 266-275, 1999.