

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 3, Issue 3, March 2014

Efficient Domination number and Chromatic number of a Fuzzy Graph

Dr.S.Vimala¹ J.S.Sathya²

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Mother Teresa Women's University, Kodaikanal, India Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Mother Teresa Women's University, Kodaikanal, India

Abstract: A subset S of V is called a domination set in G if every vertex in V-S is adjacent to at least one vertex in S. A dominating set is said to be Fuzzy Total Dominating set if every vertex in V is adjacent to at least one vertex in S. Minimum cardinality taken over all total dominating set is called as fuzzy total domination number and is denoted by γ_{ft} (G). The minimum number of colours required to colour all the vertices such that adjacent vertices do not receive the same colour is the chromatic number χ (G). For any graph G a complete sub graph of G is called a clique of G. In this paper we find an upper bound for the sum of the fuzzy total domination and chromatic number in fuzzy graphs and characterize the corresponding extremal fuzzy graphs.

Keyword: Fuzzy Efficient Domination Number, Chromatic Number, Clique, Fuzzy Graphs

I.INTRODUCTION

Let $G(\mu, \sigma)$ be simple undirected fuzzy graph. The degree of any vertex u in G is the number of edges incident with u and is denoted by d(u). The minimum and maximum degree of a vertex is denoted by $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ respectively, P_n denotes the path on n vertices. The vertex connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum number of vertices whose removal results in a disconnected graph. The chromatic number χ is defined to be the minimum number of colours required to colour all the vertices such that adjacent vertices do not receive the same colour. For any graph G a complete sub graph of G is called a clique of G. The number of vertices in a largest clique of G is called the clique number of G.

A subset S of V is called a dominating set in G, if every vertex in V-S is adjacent to at least one vertex is S. The minimum cardinality taken over all minimal dominating sets in G is called the domination number of G and is denoted by γ . A dominating set S is said to be fuzzy total dominating set if every vertex in V is adjacent to at least one vertex in S. Minimum cardinality taken over all total dominating set is called as fuzzy total domination number and is denoted by γ_{ft} (G).

If X is collection of objects denoted generically by x, then a Fuzzy set \tilde{A} is X is a set of ordered pairs: $\tilde{A} = \{(x, \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x))/x \in X\}, \mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)$ is called the membership function of x in \tilde{A} that maps X to the membership space M (when M contains only the two points 0 and 1). Let E be the (crisp) set of nodes. A fuzzy graph is then defined by, $\tilde{G}(x_i, x_j) = \{(x_i, x_j), \mu_{\tilde{G}}(x_i, x_j)/(x_i, x_j) \in E \times E\}$. $H(x_i, x_j)$ is a Fuzzy Sub graph of $\tilde{G}(x_i, x_j)$ if $\mu_{\tilde{H}}(x_i, x_j) \leq \mu_{\tilde{G}}(x_i, x_j) \forall (x_i, x_j) \in E \times E, H(x_i, x_j)$ is a spanning fuzzy sub graph of $\tilde{G}(x_i, x_j)$ if the node set of $H(x_i, x_j)$ and $\tilde{G}(x_i, x_j)$ are equal, that is if they differ only in their arc weights.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 3, March 2014

The fuzzy definition of fuzzy graphs was proposed by Kaufmann [4], from the fuzzy relations introduced by Zadeh [9]. Although Rosenfeld [5] introduced another elaborated definition, including fuzzy vertex and fuzzy edges. Several fuzzy analogs of graph theoretic concepts such as paths, cycles connectedness etc. The concept of domination in fuzzy graphs was investigated by A.Somasundram, S.Somasundram [6]. A. Somasundram presented the concepts of independent domination, total domination, connected domination and domination in cartesian product and composition of fuzzy graphs([7][8]).

Several authors have studied the problem of obtaining an upper bound for the sum of a domination parameter and a graph theoretic parameter and characterized the corresponding extremal graphs. In [10], Paulraj Joseph J and Arumugam S proved that $\gamma+k \leq p$. In[9], Paulraj Joseph J and Arumugam S proved that γ_c (G)+ $\chi \leq$ p+1. They also characterized the class of graphs for which the upper bound is attained. They also proved similar results for γ and γ_t . In[14], Mahadevan G introduced the concept the complementary perfect domination number γ_{cp} and proved that $\gamma_{cp}(G)+\chi \leq 2n-2$, and characterized the corresponding extermal graphs. In[15], S.Vimala and J.S.Sathya proved that γ_t (G)+ χ (G)=2n-5. They also characterised the class of graphs for which the upper bound is attained. In this paper we obtain sharp upper bound for the sum of the fuzzy Efficient domination number and chromatic number and characterize the corresponding extremal fuzzy graphs. We use the following previous results.

Theorem 1.1 [1]: For any connected graph G, γ_e (G) $\leq n$

Theorem 1.2 [2]: For any connected graph G, $\chi(G) \le \Delta(G)+1$.

II. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1: For any connected fuzzy graph G, $\gamma_e(G) + \chi(G) \leq 2n$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_1$

Proof: $\gamma_e(G) + \chi(G) \le n + \Delta + 1 = n + (n-1) + 1 \le 2n$. If $\gamma_e(G) + \chi(G) = 2n$ the only possible case is $\gamma_e(G) = n$ and $\chi(G) = n$, Since $\chi(G) = n$, $G = K_n$, But for K_n , $\gamma_e(G) = 1$, so that $G \cong K_1$. Converse is obvious.

Theorem 2.2: For any connected fuzzy graph G, γ_e (G)+ χ (G)=2n-1 and the equality holds if and only if G \cong K₂

Proof: Assume that $\gamma_e(G) + \chi(G) = 2n-1$. This is possible only if $\gamma_e(G) = n$ and $\chi(G) = n-1$ (or) $\gamma_e(G) = n-1$ and $\chi(G) = n$.

Case (i) Let γ_e (G)=n and χ (G)=n-1.

Since $\chi(G) = n-1$, G contains a clique K on n-1 vertices. Let x be a vertex of G-K_{n-1}. Since G is connected the vertex x is adjacent to one vertex u_i for some i in K_{n-1} {u_i} is γ_e – set, so that $\gamma_e(G)=1$, we have n=1. Then $\chi = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists.

Case (ii) Let γ_e (G)=n-1 and χ (G)=n

Since $\chi(G)=n$, $G=K_n$, But for K_n , $\gamma_e(G)=1$, so that n=2, $\chi=2$ Hence $G\cong K_2$. Converse is obvious.

Theorem 2.3: For any connected fuzzy graph G, γ_e (G)+ χ (G)=2n-2 and the equality holds if and only if G \cong K₃

Proof: Assume that $\gamma_e(G) + \chi(G) = 2n-2$. This is possible only if $\gamma_e(G) = n$ and $\chi(G) = n-2$ (or) $\gamma_e(G) = n-1$ and $\chi(G) = n-1$ (or) $\gamma_e(G) = n-2$ and $\chi(G) = n$.

Case (i) Let γ_e (G)=n and χ (G)=n-2.

Copyright to IJIRSET



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 3, March 2014

Since χ (G)= n-2, G contains a clique K on n-2 vertices. Let S={x,y} \in V-S. Then $\langle S \rangle = K_2$ or $\overline{K_2}$

Subcase (a) Let $\langle S \rangle = K_2$ Since G is connected, x is adjacent to some u_i of K_{n-2} . Then $\{x, u_j\}$ is γ_e – set for some $i \neq j$, so that γ_e (G)=2 and hence n=2. But χ (G)=n-2=0. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists.

Subcase (b) Let $\langle S \rangle = \overline{K_2}$ Since G is connected, x is adjacent to some u_i of K_{n-2} . Then y is adjacent to the same u_i of K_{n-2} . Then $\{u_j, x, y\}$ γ_e - set, so that $\gamma_e(G)=1$ and hence n=1. But $\chi(G)=n-2$ =negative value. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists, or y is adjacent to u_j of K_{n-2} for $i \neq j$. In this case $\{u_i, y\}$ γ_e - set, so that $\gamma_e(G)=0$. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists.

Case (ii) Let γ_e (G)=n-1 and χ (G)=n-1.

Since χ (G)= n-1, G contains a clique K on n-1 vertices. Let x be a vertex of G-K_{n-1}. Since G is connected, x is adjacent to one vertex u_i for some i in K_{n-1}, so that {u_i} is γ_e -set of G γ_e (G) = 1, we have n=2. Then χ = 1, which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists.

Case (iii) Let γ_e (G)=n-2 and χ (G)=n

Since $\chi(G)=n$, $G=K_n$, But for K_n , $\gamma_e(G)=1$, so that n=3, $\chi=3$ Hence $G\cong K_3$. Converse is

obvious.

Theorem 2.4: For any connected fuzzy graph G, $\gamma_e(G) + \chi(G) = 2n-3$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong P_3, K_4$

Proof: Assume that $\gamma_e(G) + \chi(G) = 2n-3$. This is possible only if $\gamma_e(G) = n$ and $\chi(G) = n-3$ (or) $\gamma_e(G) = n-1$ and $\chi(G) = n-2$ (or) $\gamma_e(G) = n-2$ and $\chi(G) = n-1$ (or) $\gamma_e(G) = n-3$ and $\chi(G) = n-3$.

Case (i) Let γ_e (G)=n and χ (G)=n-3.

Since χ (G)= n-3, G contains a clique K on n-3 vertices. Let S={x,y,z} \in V-S. Then $\langle S \rangle = K_3$, $\overline{K_3}$, K₂ \cup K₁,P₃

Subcase (i) Let $\langle S \rangle = K_3$. Since G is connected, x is adjacent to some u_i of K_{n-3} . Then $\{y, u_j\}$ is γ_e - set, so that γ_e (G)=2 and hence n=2. But χ (G)=n-3=negative value. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists.

Subcase (ii) Let $\langle S \rangle = \overline{K_3}$ Since G is connected, one of the vertices of K_{n-3} say u_i is adjacent to all the vertices of S or two vertices of S or one vertex of S. If u_i for some i is adjacent to all the vertices of S, then $\{u_i\}$ in K_{n-3} is a γ_e -set of G, so that γ_e (G)=1 and hence n=1. But $\chi(G)=n-3=$ negative value. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists. Since G is connected u_i for some i is adjacent to two vertices of S say x and y and z is adjacent to u_j for $i \neq j$ in K_{n-3} , then $\{u_i, z\}$ in K_{n-3} is γ_e -set of G, so that γ_e (G)=2 and hence n=2. But $\chi(G)=n-3=$ negative value. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists. If u_i for some i is adjacent to x and u_j is adjacent to z, then $\{u_i, y_i, z\}$ for $i \neq j \neq k$ in K_{n-3} is γ_e -set of G. so that γ_e (G)=3 and hence n=3. But $\chi(G)=n-3=0$. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists.

Subcase (iii) Let $\langle S \rangle = P_3 = \{x, y, z\}$. Since G is connected, x(or equivalently z) is adjacent to u_i for some i in K_{n-3}. Then $\{z, u_i\}$ is a γ_e -set of G. so that γ_e (G)=2 and hence n=2. But $\chi(G)$ =n-3 is the negative value. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists. If u_i is adjacent to y then $\{u_j, y\}$ is a γ_e -set of G. so that γ_e (G)=2 and hence n=2. But $\chi(G)$ =n-3 is the negative value. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists.

Subcase (iv) Let $\langle S \rangle = K_2 \cup K_1$ Let xy be the edge and z be the isolated vertex of $K_2 \cup K_1$ Since G is connected, there exists a u_i in K_{n-3} is adjacent to x and z. Then $\{u_i, y\}$ is γ_e -set of G, so that γ_e (G)=2 and hence



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 3, March 2014

n=1. But $\chi(G)=n-3=negative$ value. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists. If z is adjacent to u_j for some $i \neq j$ then $\{y, u_j\}$ for $i \neq j$ is γ_e -set of G, so that $\gamma_e(G)=2$ and hence n=2. But $\chi(G)=n-3=negative$ value. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists.

Case (ii) Let γ_e (G)=n-1 and χ (G)=n-2.

Since $\chi(G)=n-2$, G contains a clique K on n-2 vertices. Let $S=\{x,y\}\in V-S$. Then $\langle S \rangle = K_2$ or $\overline{K_2}$

Subcase (a) Let $\langle S \rangle = K_2$ Since G is connected, x(or equivalently y) is adjacent to some u_i of K_{n-2} . Then $\{y,u_j\}$ for some $i \neq j$ is γ_e - set, so that $\gamma_e(G)=2$ and hence n=3. But $\chi(G)=n-2=1$ for which G is totally disconnected, which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists.

Subcase (b) Let $\langle S \rangle = \overline{K_2}$ Since G is connected, x is adjacent to some u_i of K_{n-2} . Then y is adjacent to the same u_i of K_{n-2} . Then $\{u_i\}$ is γ_e - set, so that γ_e (G)=1 and hence n=2. But $\chi(G)$ =n-2=0. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists. Otherwise x is adjacent to u_i of K_{n-2} for some i and y is adjacent to u_j of K_{n-2} for $i \neq j$. In this $\{u_i, y\}$ γ_t - set, so that γ_e (G)=2 and hence n=3. But $\chi(G)$ =1 for which G is totally disconnected. Which is a contradiction. In this case also no fuzzy graph exists.

Case (iii) Let γ_e (G)=n-2 and χ (G)=n-1.

Since $\chi(G)=n-1$, G contains a clique K on n-1 vertices. Let x be a vertex of K_{n-1} . Since G is connected the vertex x is adjacent to one vertex u_i for some i in K_{n-1} so that $\{u_i\} \gamma_e$ -set of G $\gamma_e(G)=1$, we have n=3 and $\chi = 2$. Then $K=K_2=uv$. If x is adjacent to u_i , then $G \cong P_3$.

Case (iv) Let γ_{e} (G)=n-3 and χ (G)=n

Since $\chi(G)=n$, $G=K_n$, But for K_n , $\gamma_e(G)=1$, so that n=4, $\chi = 4$ Hence $G\cong K_4$. Converse is obvious.

Theorem 2.5: For any connected fuzzy graph G, $\gamma_e(G) + \chi(G) = 2n-4$ and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong P_4, K_5$, K_4 -e or the graph in figure 2.1



Proof: Assume that $\gamma_e(G) + \chi(G) = 2n-4$. This is possible only if $\gamma_e(G) = n$ and $\chi(G) = n-4$ (or) $\gamma_e(G) = n-1$ and $\chi(G) = n-3$ (or) $\gamma_e(G) = n-2$ and $\chi(G) = n-2$ (or) $\gamma_e(G) = n-3$ and $\chi(G) = n-1$ (or) $\gamma_e(G) = n-4$ and $\chi(G) = n-1$.

Case (i) Let γ_e (G)=n and χ (G)=n-4.

Since $\chi(G)$ =n-4, G contains a clique K on n-4 vertices. Let S = {v₁, v₂, v₃, v₄}. Then the induced subgraph <s> has the following possible cases K₄, \overline{K}_4 ,P₄,P₃UK₁,K₂UK₂,K₃UK₁,K_{1,3}

In all the above cases, it can be verified that no new fuzzy graphs exists.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 3, March 2014

Case(ii) Let γ_e (G)=n-1 and χ (G)=n-3.

Since $\chi(G)=n-3$, G contains a clique K on n-3 vertices. Let $S=\{x,y,z\}\in V-S$. Then $\langle S \rangle = K_3$, $\overline{K_3}$, $K_2 \cup K_1, P_3$

Subcase (i) Let $\langle S \rangle = K_3$. Since G is connected, x is adjacent to some u_i of K_{n-3} . Then $\{x, u_j\}$ for some $i \neq j$ is γ_e - set, so that $\gamma_e(G)=2$ and hence n=3. But $\chi(G)=n-3=0$. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists.

Subcase (ii) Let $\langle S \rangle = \overline{K_3}$ Since G is connected, one of the vertices of K_{n-3} say u_i is adjacent to all the vertices of S or two vertices of S or one vertex of S. If u_i for some i is adjacent to all the vertices of S, then $\{u_i\}$ in K_{n-3} is γ_e -set of G. so that γ_e (G)=1 and hence n=2. But $\chi(G)=n-3=$ negative value. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists. If u_i for some i is adjacent to two vertices of S say x and y then G is connected, z is adjacent to u_j for $i \neq j$ in K_{n-3} , then $\{x, y, u_j\}$ in K_{n-3} is γ_e -set of G, so that $\gamma_e(G)=3$ and hence n=4. But $\chi(G)=4-3=1$ for which G is totally disconnected. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists. If u_i for some i is adjacent to z, then $\{x, z, u_j\}$ for $i \neq j \neq k$ in K_{n-3} is γ_e -set of G. so that $\gamma_e(G)=3$ and hence n=4. But $\chi(G)=1$ for which G is totally disconnected. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists. If u_i for some i negative value is adjacent to z, then $\{x, z, u_j\}$ for $i \neq j \neq k$ in K_{n-3} is γ_e -set of G. so that $\gamma_e(G)=3$ and hence n=4. But $\chi(G)=1$ for which G is totally disconnected. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists.

Subcase (iii) Let $\langle S \rangle = P_3 = \{x, y, z\}$. Since G is connected, x(or equivalently z) is adjacent to u_i for some i in K_{n-3}. Then $\{z, u_i\}$ is γ_e -set of G. so that γ_e (G)=2 and hence n=3. But χ (G)=n-3=1. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists. If u_i is adjacent to y then γ_e (G)=0 and hence n=1. But χ (G)=negative value. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists.

Subcase (iv) Let $\langle S \rangle = K_2 \cup K_1$ Let xy be the edge and z be a isolated vertex of $K_2 \cup K_1$ Since G is connected, there exists a u_i in K_{n-3} is adjacent to x and z also adjacent to same u_i Then no γ_e - set exists. So that γ_e (G)=0 and χ (G)=n-3=negative value. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists. If z is adjacent to u_j for some $i \neq j$ then $\{y, u_j\}$ for $i \neq j$ is a is a γ_e -set of G. so that γ_e (G)=2 and hence n=3. But χ (G)=n-3=0. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists.

Case (iii) Let γ_e (G)=n-2 and χ (G)=n-2.

Since χ (G)= n-2, G contains a clique K on n-2 vertices. Let S={x,y} \in V-S. Then $\langle S \rangle = K_2$ or $\overline{K_2}$

Subcase (a) Let $\langle S \rangle = K_2$. Since G is connected, x(or equivalently y) is adjacent to some u_i of K_{n-2}. Then $\{y,u_i\}$ is γ_e - set, so that $\gamma_e(G)=2$ and hence n=4. But $\chi(G)=n-2=2$. Then $G\cong P_4$.

Subcase (b) Let $\langle S \rangle = \overline{K_2}$, since G is connected, x is adjacent to some u_i of K_{n-2} . Then y is adjacent to the same u_i of K_{n-2} . Then $\{u_i\}$ is γ_e - set, so that γ_e (G)=1 and hence n=3. But $\chi(G)$ =n-2=1. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists, or y is adjacent to u_j of K_{n-2} for $i \neq j$. In this case no γ_e - set exists, so that γ_e (G)=0 and hence n=2. But $\chi(G)$ =0. Which is a contradiction. Hence no fuzzy graph exists.

Case (iv) Let γ_e (G)=n-3 and χ (G)=n-1.

Since χ (G)= n-1, G contains a clique K on n-1 vertices. Let x be a vertex of G-K_{n-1}. Since G is connected the vertex x is adjacent to one vertex u_i for some i in K_{n-1}, Then {u_i} is γ_e – set, so that $\gamma_e(G) = 1$, we have n=4 and $\chi = 3$. Then K=K₃ Let u₁,u₂,u₃ be the vertices of K₃. Then x must be adjacent to only one vertex of G-K₃. Without loss of generality let x be adjacent to u₁. If d(x)=1, then G \cong G₁.(in Fig 2.1). If d(x)=2 that is x is adjacent to u₂ or u₃. Then $\gamma_e(G) = 1$, we have n=4 and $\chi = 3$. Then G \cong K₄ – e

Case (v) Let γ_e (G)=n-4 and χ (G)=n

Since $\chi(G)=n$, G=K_n, But for K_n, $\gamma_e(G)=1$, so that n=5, $\chi = 5$. Hence G \cong K₅. Converse is obvious.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 3, March 2014

III.CONCLUSION

In this paper, upper bound of the sum of Efficient domination and chromatic number is proved. In future this result can be extended to various domination parameters. The structure of the graphs had been given in this paper can be used in models and networks. The authors have obtained similar results with large cases of graphs for which $\gamma_e(G) + \chi(G) = 2n-5$, $\gamma_e(G) + \chi(G) = 2n-6$, $\gamma_e(G) + \chi(G) = 2n-7$

REFERENCES

[1] Teresa W. Haynes, Stephen T. Hedemiemi and Peter J. Slater "Fundamentals of Domination in graphs", Marcel Dekker, Newyork 1998.

[2] Hanary F and Teresa W. Haynes, "Double Domination in graphs", ARC Combinatoria 55, pp. 201-213. 2000

[3] Haynes, Teresa W., "Paired domination in Graphs", Congr. Number 150, 2001

[4] Mahadevan G, Selvam A, "On independent domination number and chromatic number of a graph", Acta Ciencia Indica, preprint 2008

[5] Paulraj Joseph J. and Arumugam S. "Domination and connectivity in graphs", International Journal of Management and systems, 8 No.3: 233-236, 1992

[6] Kaufmann.A., "Introduction to the theory of Fuzzy Subsets", Academic Press, Newyork. 1975

[7]Rosenfield, A., Fuzzy graphs In: Zadeh, L.A., Fu, K.S., Shimura, M.(Eds), "Fuzzy sets and their applications" (Academic Press, New York)

[8]Somasundaram.A, Somasundaram,S, "Domination in Fuzzy Graphs - I", Pattern Recognition Letters, 19, pp-787-791.,1998

[9]Somasundaram.A, , "Domination in Fuzzy Graphs – II", Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, 20. 2004 [10]Somasundaram.A, "Domination in Product of Fuzzy Graphs", International Journal of Uncertainity, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Syatems, 13(2), pp.195-205. 2005

[11]Zadeh,L.A. "Similarity Relations and Fuzzy Ordering", Information sciences, 3(2),pp.177-200. 1971

[12] Mahadevan G, "On domination theory and related concepts in graphs", Ph.D. thesis, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, India. 2005

[13] Paulraj Joseph J. and Arumugam S. "Domination and colouring in graphs". International Journal of Management and Systems, Vol.8 No.1, 37-44. 1997

[14] Paulraj Joseph J, Mahadevan G, Selvam A. "On Complementary Perfect domination number of a graph", Acta Ciencia India, vol. XXXIM, No.2,847, 2006.

[15] Vimala S, Sathya J.S, "Graphs whose sum of Chromatic number and Total domination equals to 2n-5 for any n>4", Proceedings of the Heber International Conference on Applications of Mathematics and statistics, Tiruchirappalli pp 375-381, 2012