



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 3, Issue 5, May 2014

Preparation and Characterization of New Carbon Paste Microelectrode Modified with potassium hydrotris{N-(2,6-xylyl)-2thioimidazolyl)}borate and Its Application in the Voltammetric Determination of Hg (II)

Gaber A. M. Mersal^{*,1,2} and Mohamed M. Ibrahim^{1,3}

¹Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Taif University, 888 Taif, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

²Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, South Valley University, Qena, Egypt

³Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Kafr El-Sheikh University, Kafr El-Sheikh 33516, Egypt.

Abstract: A new carbon paste microelectrode modified by potassium hydrotris{N-(2,6-xylyl)-2-thioimidazolyl)}borate was developed for the electrochemical determination of Hg(II) by square wave voltmmetry. The electrochemical behavior of Hg(II) was studied on the modified electrode in the absence and presence of potassium hydrotris{N-(2,6-xylyl)-2-thioimidazolyl)}borate using cyclic voltammetric techniques. At the surface of the prepared electrode, Hg(II) showed a well defined redox couple system, the anodic and cathodic peaks appeared at about 0.14 and 0.01 V (*vs.* SCE), respectively, with a potential difference (ΔEp) about 130 mV. Using square wave voltammetry, Hg(II) gave a well defined oxidation peak. The effect of different experimental parameters was optimized to get the highest peak current for the electrochemical determination of Hg(II) using square wave voltammetry. The effect of some interference due to the presence of other heavy metals on the peak height of Hg(II) was studied. Under the optimum conditions, a linear relationship existed between the currents and the concentrations of Hg(II) in the range from 1×10^{-6} M to 1×10^{-4} M with the detection limit of 1.7×10^{-7} M. This method was applied for the determination of Hg(II) different water samples and the resulted data was compared by the data obtained from the standard ICP-AES instrument.

Keywords: Carbon paste electrode, Hg(II), Cyclic voltammetry, Water analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

Environmental pollution is one of the most serious problems in our recent world. The most serious environmental problem is the pollution by heavy metals. Mercury(II) [(Hg)(II)] is one of the most toxic element in the environment, because it is highly reactive, extreme volatile and its relative solubility in water and living tissues. It is highly bioconcentrated through the food chain and cause serious damages to both nervous and immune systems [1]. Hg(II) and its compounds are accumulated in vital organs and tissues such as heart muscles, liver and brain and cause chronic diseases, kidney injury, respiratory failure, central nervous system disorders, brain damages, and can even death [2,3]. Mercury analysis is very important due to its high toxicity and water analysis is also very important because of the continuous contaminations of natural waters by industrial waste. So the development of highly sensitive method for the determination of trace amount of Hg(II) has received considerable attention. Different techniques have been employed for the determination of trace amount of Hg(II) such as potentiometry [4-5], inductivity coupled plasma [6-7] X-ray florescence spectroscopy [8-9], atomic adsorption spectroscopy (AAS) [10-12], spectrophotometry [13] and liquid-liquid extraction [14]. These methods have excellent sensitivity and good selectivity, but have different drawbacks such as time consuming used for analysis and very expensive instruments are required. Electrochemical methods including stripping voltammetric techniques such as anodic stripping voltammetry, cathodic stripping voltammetry, square wave voltammetry and chronocoulometry have recognized a powerful tool for measuring



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 5, May 2014

trace analysis of Hg(II) [15-30]. It have shown different advantages such as speed of analysis, higher selectivity and sensitivity, low coast, easy operation and the ability of analyzing element speciation. Chemically modified electrodes have been develop in the recent years for the electrochemical determination of heavy metals which have different advantages such as easy manufacture, no poison, renewable, fast response, high selectivity, low detection limit, stable in various solvent, longer life time and low cost. A number of chemically modified electrodes were developed for the electrochemical determination of Hg(II) such as Au-nanoparticles sol-gel pt-wire electrode [23] polypyrrole/carbonaceous nanospheres modified screen-printed electrode [31] and chemically carbon paste electrodes [32]. Carbon paste electrode (CPE) is one of the most popular chemically modified electrodes used with voltammetric techniques. This electrode prepared by mixing a graphite powder with a suitable binder and a material known as a modifier that improves the selectivity of the prepared electrode. In the literature survey some modifiers were used with carbon paste electrode for the electrochemical determination of Hg(II) such silica nanoparticles [32], chitosan [33], SBA-15 nanostructured silica organofunctionalised with 2-benzothiazolethiol [34], schiff base [24], and antimony [28]. The aim of this work was the construction a new carbon paste microelectrode modified with potassium hydrotris{N-(2,6-xylyl)-2-thioimidazolyl)}borate for the modification of carbon paste microelectrodes to increase the electrode selectivity and sensitivity for the electrochemical determination Hg(II).

II. METHODOLOGY

Chemicals

All reagents were commercial grade materials and were used without further purification. Graphite powder and paraffin wax were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. H₃BO₃, H₃PO₄, NaH₂PO₄, Na₂HPO₄ CH₃COOH, and NaOH were obtained from Merck. HgCl₂ was obtained in a pure form Fluka.

Preparation of a bare carbon paste electrode (CPE) and carbon paste modified by potassium hydro tris(N-xylyl-thioimidazolyl)borate

A bare carbon paste electrode was prepared by mixing 65% graphite powder and 35% paraffin wax. Paraffin wax was heated till melting and then, mixed very well with graphite powder to produce a homogeneous paste. The resulted paste was then packed into the end of an insulin syringe (i.d.: 2mm). External electrical contact was established by forcing a copper wire down the syringe. CPE modified with potassium hydrotris{N-(2,6-xylyl)-2-thioimidazolyl)}borate was prepared by mixing 60% graphite powder and 30% paraffin wax with 10% potassium hydrotris{N-(2,6-xylyl)-2-thioimidazolyl)}borate. The surface of the electrode was polished with a piece of weighting paper and then rinsed with distilled water thoroughly.

Electrochemical Measurements

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and square wave voltammetry were performed using an Autolab potentiostat PGSTAT 302 (Eco Chemie, Utrecht, The Netherlands) driven by the General Purpose Electrochemical Systems data processing software (GPES, software version 4.9, Eco Chemie). Electrochemical cell with three electrodes was used; unmodified carbon paste electrode or carbon paste electrode modified with potassium hydrotris{N-(2,6-xylyl)-2-thioimidazolyl)}borate was used as a working electrode, SCE was used as a reference electrode while platinum wire was used as a counter electrode. The pH values were measured using a Metrohom pH-meter with a combined glass electrode. pH values were adjusted using 1 M NaOH solution.

III- RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cyclic voltammetric studies:

The electrochemical behavior of CPE modified by potassium hydrotris(N-xylyl-thioimidazolyl)borate in the absence and presence of Hg(II) was examined using different supporting electrolytes such as acetate, phosphate, HCl, HNO₃, borate and Britton-Robinson buffers. The best peak shape and highest peak current was obtained using acetate buffer. The effect of supporting electrolyte pH on the peak current signals for $1x10^{-3}$ M Hg(II) was studied using acetate buffer. As shown from Fig. 1 acetate buffer pH 4.5 give the best peak shape and the highest peak current. So for further studies acetate buffer with pH 4.5 was used.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 5, May 2014



Fig. 1. The effect of acetate buffer pH on the peak current of 1×10^{-3} M Hg(II).

The electrochemical behavior of Hg(II) at carbon past electrode modified by potassium hydrotris(N-xylyl-thioimidazolyl)borate was studied using a potential range from -0.3 to +0.8 V (*vs.* SCE) in 0.1 M acetate buffer (pH 4.5) with a scan rate of 30 mV/s (Fig 2). The resulted voltammograms for $1x10^{-5}$ M Hg(II) at carbon paste electrode modified by potassium hydrotris(N-xylyl-thioimidazolyl)borate, showed a well defined redox couple, the anodic peak appeared at about 0.14 V (*vs.* SCE) and the cathodic peak appeared at about 0.01 V with a 130 mV ΔEp (Fig. 2). The redox peaks due to the oxidation and reduction of Hg(II) ion. As ΔEp is a function of the rate of electron transfer, the lower ΔEp , the higher electron transfer rate. The results obtained greatly improved the voltammetric response of Hg(II) at CPE modified potassium hydrotris(N-xylyl-thioimidazolyl)borate .



Fig.2. Cyclic voltammetric behavior for 1x10⁻⁵ M Hg(II) in carbon paste electrode modified by modified by potassium hydrotris(N-xylyl-thioimidazolyl)borate, scan rate 30mV/s.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 5, May 2014

Effect of scan rate

The effect of scan rate on carbon paste electrode modified by modified by potassium hydrotris(N-xylyl-thioimidazolyl)borate was studied using cyclic voltammetry at different scan rate values (from 10 to 30 mV/s) Fig. 3. By increasing the scan rate value the oxidation and reduction peak current increased. By plotting the scan rate value against the peak current for anodic and cathodic peak current for Hg(II), a linear relation was obtained (Fig. 4).



Fig 3. Cyclic voltammograms for 1x10⁻³ M Hg(II) using different scan rate values (from 10 to 30).



Fig 4. The relation between scan rate and peak current values for anodic (a) and cathodic peak current (b) for 1×10^{-3} M Hg(II).



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 5, May 2014

The effect of scan rate on the peak current height of Hg(II) ions was also studied using linear sweep voltammetric techniques. By increasing the scan rate values (from 5 to 75 mV/s) the oxidation peak current increased (Fig. 5a). By plotting the relation between the square root of scan rate and the corresponding peak current a linear relation was obtained (Fig. 5b). This result showed the electrode process was diffusion controlled.



Fig 5. a) Linear Sweep voltammograms for 1×10^{-3} M Hg(II) using different scan rate values (from 5 to 75), b) plotting the relation between the square root of scan rate and peak current for 1×10^{-3} M Hg(II).



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 5, May 2014

Square wave voltammetric Studies :

The electrochemical behavior of Hg(II) at a bare CPE electrode and carbon paste electrode modified by potassium hydrotris{N-(2,6-xylyl)-2-thioimidazolyl)}borate was studied using acetate buffer at different pH values (4.5) using square wave voltammetry. Fig 6. showed the resulted voltammograms for $1x10^{-3}$ M Hg(II) ions. Fig. 6 showed the square wave voltammograms for Hg(II) using at a bare CPE electrode and carbon paste electrode modified by potassiumhydrotris(N-xylyl-thioimidazolyl)borate.



Fig. 6. The square wave voltammograms for Pb(II) using a bare carbon paste electrode (CPE) (a) and carbon paste electrode modified with potassiumhydrotris(N-xylyl-thioimidazolyl)borate (b).

It is clear that the anodic peak current for Hg(II) in the presence of a potassium hydrotris{N-(2,6-xylyl)-2-thioimidazolyl)}borate is much higher than the peak current of Hg(II) in case of a bare carbon paste electrode. This enhancement in the oxidation peak current due to the incressent of Hg(II) concentration in the electrode surface by the complex formation between Hg(II) and potassium hydrotris{N-(2,6-xylyl)-2-thioimidazolyl)}borate ligand according to the following scheme 1.



Scheme 1. Complex formation between Hg(II) and potassium hydrotris{N-(2,6-xylyl)-2-thioimidazolyl)}borate ligand



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 5, May 2014

Effect of square wave voltammetric parameters

The effect of different square wave voltammetric parameters was examined on the peak height of 1×10^{-3} M Hg(II) as shown in Fig. 7. Fig. 7a shows the influence of square wave frequency on the peak current of 1×10^{-3} M Hg(II) using different values from 8 to 20 Hz. By increasing the square wave frequency, the oxidation peak current increased up to 12 and after that by increasing the square wave frequency, the oxidation peak current decreased. A linear part was observed from 8 to 12 Hz for Hg(II). Thus for further study, 12 Hz square wave frequency was selected for further investigations. The effect of square wave pulse amplitude on the peak current of 1×10^{-3} M for Hg(II), using 12 Hz square wave frequency is shown in Fig. 7b. The pulse amplitude ranged from 1.0 to 85 mV. The peak current increased linearly from 1.0 to 55 mV for Hg(II). Therefore, a 55 mV will be the optimum square wave pulse amplitude height and will be used in the next work.



Fig. 7a. The influence of square wave frequency on the peak current of 1x10⁻³ M Hg(II) using different values from 8 to 20 Hz.



Fig. 7b. The effect of square wave pulse amplitude on the peak current of 1×10^{-3} M Hg(II) using 12 Hz square wave frequency. The pulse amplitude ranged from 1.0 to 85 mV.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 5, May 2014

The effect of step potential (1- 10mV) on the peak height of 1×10^{-3} M Hg(II) ions is depicted using 12 Hz square wave frequency and 55 mV square wave pulse amplitude and the other experimental parameters as shown in Fig 7. As the step potential increases, the peak height increases linearly up to 7 mV, after that the increasing in the peak height is not pronounced (Fig. 7c). So step potential with 7 mV was selected for further studies



Fig 7c. The effect of step potential (1-10 mV) on the peak height of 1x10⁻³ M Hg(II) ions using 12 Hz square wave frequency and 55 mV square wave pulse amplitude.

Effect of Initial potential

The effect of initial potential on the peak current height of 1×10^{-3} M Hg(II) ions was investigated using a wide range of potential from -2.0 V to 0.0 V using 12 Hz square wave frequency, 55 mV square wave pulse amplitude and 7 mV step potential. As shown from Fig. 8 by increasing the initial potential to more positive values, the peak current for 1×10^{-3} M Hg(II) increased till -1.6 V after this value the peak current decreased. So, -1.6 V was selected as an initial potential for further studies, where a lower base line was observed in this potential.



Fig. 8. The effect of initial potential on the peak current height of 1×10^{-3} M Hg(II) ions.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 5, May 2014

Calibration curve and Detection limit

To examine the readability of the prepared electrode under investigation, the following optimum conditions were used for the square wave determination of Hg(II) ions: acetate buffer (pH 4.5), 12 Hz square wave frequency, 55 mV square wave pulse amplitude and 7 mV step potential. The effect of concentration on the peak current of Hg(II) ions was examined from 1×10^{-6} M to 1×10^{-4} M, the resulted calibration curve showed a linear ranges in the concentration range used with a correlation coefficient of 0.994 and a relative standard deviation (RSD) of 8.73×10^{-8} (Fig. 9).

Limit of detection: The detection limit is characteristic of limit test. It is lowest amount of analyt present in sample that can be detected but not necessarily quantities, under stated condition. The lower detection limit (LOD) for Hg(II) was calculated based on the standard deviation of the response (SD) and the slope of the calibration curve (m) at levels approximating the LOD according to the formula: LOD = 3(SD/m) and it was found to be 1.7×10^{-7} M for Hg(II).



Fig. 9 Calibration plots for different Hg(II) ions concentration from 1x10⁻⁶ M to 1x10⁻⁴ M using the optimum conditions mentioned before

Reproducibility

To examine the readability of the prepared electrode under investigation, the produced peak current of 1×10^{-5} M Hg(II) using the optimum conditions mentioned above was examined by successive ten measurements. The RSD was calculated and it was found to be 5.63 %, and this value indicates that this method gives a good reproducibility for the obtained results.

Effect of some Interferences

The effect of various metal ions on the simultaneous determination of 1×10^{-4} M Hg(II) was studied. The studied metal ions includes Cd(II), Pb(II) Zn(II), Fe(II), Mg(II), Al(III), Cu(II), Ni(II) and Mn(II). The concentration of added metal ions ranged from 1×10^{-4} to 1×10^{-4} M. Addition of 1×10^{-4} M form Cd(II) and Pb(II) to 1×10^{-4} M Hg(II) showed no effect where Cd(II) and Pb(II) showed a separate signals at about -0.77 V and -0.44 V for Cd(II) and Pb(II), respectively (Fig. 10), and these peaks are far away from the oxidation peak for Hg(II). Addition of other metal ions showed a small error about + 7% was observed.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 5, May 2014



Fig. 10. The effect of 1×10^{-4} M from Cd(II) and Pb(II) ions on the peak current signals for 1×10^{-4} M from Hg(II) ions.

Analytical Applications

To study the validity of the prepared carbon paste electrode modified by potassiumhydrotris(N-xylyl-thioimidazolyl)borate, the proposed method was applied for the simultaneous determination of Hg(II) in water samples. Using the optimum conditions and calibration curve the obtained results are represented in Table 1.

In order to assess the reliability of the new electrode the investigated water samples were also determined using, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy ICP-AES, independent standard methods. The results obtained were presented in Table 1. The measurements data showed a good agreement with those obtained by standard ICP-AES for the investigated water samples.

| No. of sample | Hg(II) [M] Added | Proposed Method | ICP-AES | Relative error (%) |
|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | |
| 1 | 1×10^{-6} | 1.02×10^{-6} | 0.96x10 ⁻⁶ | 5.88 |
| 2 | $3x10^{-6}$ | 2.89x10 ⁻⁶ | 2.68x10 ⁻⁶ | 7.26 |
| 3 | 5x10 ⁻⁶ | 4.79x10 ⁻⁶ | 5.1x10 ⁻⁶ | -6.47 |
| 4 | 7x10 ⁻⁶ | 6.67x10 ⁻⁶ | 7.16x10 ⁻⁶ | -7.34 |
| 5 | 9x10 ⁻⁶ | 9.65x10 ⁻⁶ | 9.34x10 ⁻⁶ | 3.21 |
| 6 | 1x10 ⁻⁵ | 1.10x10 ⁻⁵ | 1.17x10 ⁻⁵ | -6.36 |
| 7 | 3x10 ⁻⁵ | 2.87x10 ⁻⁵ | 2.94x10 ⁻⁵ | -2.44 |
| 8 | 5x10 ⁻⁵ | 5.14x10 ⁻⁵ | 5.02x10 ⁻⁵ | 2.14 |
| 9 | 7x10 ⁻⁵ | 7.32x10 ⁻⁵ | 7.21x10 ⁻⁵ | 1.50 |
| 10 | 9x10 ⁻⁵ | 9.19x10 ⁻⁵ | 9.03x10 ⁻⁵ | 1.74 |
| 11 | 1×10^{-4} | $1.07 \text{x} 10^{-4}$ | 1.02×10^{-4} | 4.67 |

Table 1. Determination of Hg(II) in water samples by the proposed method (n=5) and the reference method, ICP-AES instrument



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 3, Issue 5, May 2014

IV. CONCLUSION

A new carbon paste microelectrode modified by potassium hydrotris{N-(2,6-xylyl)-2-thioimidazolyl)}borate was developed for the electrochemical determination of Hg(II) by square wave voltmmetry. The electrochemical behaviour of the prepared electrode was characterized by cyclic voltammetry. The effect of different experimental parameters was optimized to get the highest peak current for the electrochemical determination of Hg(II) using square wave voltammetry. This method was applied for the determination of Hg(II) in water sample.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was financial supported by Taif University, under the project number 2659/434/1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Clarkson, T. W., Crit. Rev. Clin. Lab. Sci. Vol. 34 369-403, 1997.
- [2] McKeown-Eyssen, G. E., Ruedy, J. and Neims, A., Am. J. Epidemiol. Vol. 118, pp. 470-479, 1983.
- Onyido, I., Norris, A. R. and Buncel, E., Chem. Rev. Vol. 104, pp. 5911-5930, 2004. [3]
- Ensafi, A. A, Meghdadi, S. and Allafchian, A. A., J. Korean Chem. Soc. Vol. 51, pp. 324-330, 2007. [4]
- [5] Ensafi, A. A., Meghdadi, S. and Allafchian, A. A., IEEE Sens. J. Vol. 8, pp. 248-254, 2008.
- [6]
- Christopher, S. J., Long, S. E., Rearick, M. S. and Fassett, D. J., Anal. Chem. Vol. 73, pp. 2190–2199, 2001. Wuilloud, J. C. A, Wuilloud, R. G., Silva, M. F., Olsina, R. A. and Martinez, L. D., Spectrochim. Acta B. Vol. 57, pp. 365–374, 2002. [7]
- [8] Lau, O. W. and Ho, S. Y. Anal. Chim. Acta, Vol. 280, pp. 269-277, 1993.
- [9] Xia, K., Skyllberg, U. L., Bleam, W. F., Bloom, P. R., Nater, E. A. and Helmke, P. A., Environ.Sci. Technol. Vol. 33, pp. 257-261, 1999.
- [10] Hafez, M. A. H., Kenawy, I. M. M., Akl, M. A. and Lashein, R. R., Talanta Vol. 53, pp. 749-760, 2001.
- [11] Kopysc, E., Pyrzynska, K., Garbos, S. and Bulska, E., Anal. Sci. Vol. 16, pp. 1309–1312, 2006.
- Lopez-Garcia, I., Rivas, R. E. and Hernandez-Cordoba, m., Anal. Bioanal. Chem. Vol. 396, pp. 3097-3102, 2010. [12]
- [13] Ma, W. X., Liu, F., Li, K. A., Chen, W. and Tong, S. Y., Anal. Chim. Acta Vol. 416, pp. 191-196, 2000.
- [14] Nambiar, D. C., Patil, N. N. and Shinde, V. M., Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. Vol. 360, pp. 205-207, 1998.
- [15] Rumlerova-Lipsova, D., Barek, J., Drasar, P., Zelenka, K. and Peckova, K., Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., Vol. 2, pp. 235-247, 2007.
- Nurulhaidah D., Yusof, N., A., Tee, T. W., Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., Vol. 6, pp. 2798-2807, 2011. [16]
- [17]
- Rajawat, D. S., Srivastava, S. and Satsangee, S. P., Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., Vol. 7, pp. 11456 11469, 2012. Sipos, L., Golimowski, J., Valenta, P., Nuernberg, H. W. and Fresenius, Z., Anal. Chem., 298, pp. 1–8, 1979. [18]
- [19] Nurnberg, H. W., Valenta, P., Sipos, L. and Branica, M., Anal. Chim. Acta Vol. 115, pp. 25-42, 1980.
- Gong, J., Zhou, T., Song, D., Zhang, L. and Hu, X., Anal. Chem. Vol. 82, pp. 567–573, 2010. Bonfil, Y., Brand, M. and Kirowa-Eisner, E., Anal. Chim. Acta, Vol. 424, pp. 65–76, 2000. [20]
- [21]
- [22] Ensafi, A. A., Allafchian, A. R., Saraji, M. and Farajmand, B., Talanta, Vol. 99, pp. 335-341. 2012.
- Chen, C., Du, Y., Li, J., Yang, X. and Wang, E., Analytica Chimica Acta Vol. 738, pp. 45-50, 2010. [23]
- Afkhami, A., Bagheri, H., Khoshsafar, H., Saber-Tehrani, M., Tabatabaee, M. and Shirzadmehr, A., Analytica Chimica Acta Vol. 746, pp. [24] 98-106.2012
- [25] Sánchez, A., Morante-Zarcero, S., Pérez-Quintanilla, D., Sierra, I. and Hierro, I., Sensors and Actuators B Vol. 163, pp. 38–43, 2012.
- Hezard, T., Fajerwerg, K., Evrard, D., Collière, V., Behra, P. and Gros, P., Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry Vol. 664, pp. 46-52, 2012. [26] Bernalte, E., Sánchez, C. M. and Gil, E. P., Analytica Chimica Acta Vol. 689, pp. 60-64, 2011. [27]
- Ashrafi, A. M. and Vytřas, K., Talanta Vol. 85, pp. 2700-2702, 2011. [28]
- [29] Fink, L. and Mandler, D., Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry Vol. 649, pp. 153-158, 2010.
- Khun, N. W. and Liu, E., Electrochimica Acta Vol. 54, pp. 2890-2898, 2009 [30]
- Wei, Y., Yang, R., Liu, J. and Huang, X., Electrochimica Acta Vol. 105, pp. 218-223, 2013. [31]
- [32] Sánchez, A., Morante-Zarcero, S., Pérez-Quintanilla, D., Sierra, I. and Hierro, I., Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical Vol. 163, pp. 38-43, 2012.
- [33] Janegitz, B. C., Figueiredo-Filho, L. C. S., Marcolino-Junior, L. H., Souza, S. P. N., Pereira-Filho, E. R. and Fatibello-Filho, O., Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry Vol. 660, pp. 209-216, 2011.
- [34] Yantasee, W., Lin, Y., Fryxell, G. E. and Busche, B. J., Analytica Chimica Acta Vol. 502, pp. 207-212, 2004.