

An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization,

Volume 3, Special Issue 2, April 2014

Second National Conference on Trends in Automotive Parts Systems and Applications (TAPSA-2014)

On 21st& 22nd March, Organized by

Sri Krishna College of Engineering & Technology, Kuniamuthur, Coimbatore-641008, Tamilnadu, India

AGILE MANUFACTURING IN SMALL AND MEDIUM SCALE ENTERPRISES

Mr.T.Varun Kumar¹, Dr.B.Ganesh Babu², Dr.M.Saravanan³ and Dr.M.Murugan⁴

¹Research Scholar(PT), Dept. of Mechanical Engg., Roever College of Engg&Technology, Perambalur, INDIA

²Principal, Roever College of Engg & Technology, Perambalur, INDIA

³Principal, C.R College of Engineering, Madurai, INDIA

⁴Principal, RathinamInstitue of Technology, Coimbatore, INDIA

ABSTRACT:-Agile manufacturing is a term applied to an organization that has created the processes, tools, and training to enable it to respond quickly to customer needs and market changes while still controlling costs and quality. An enabling factor in becoming an agile manufacturer has been the development of manufacturing support technology that allows the marketers, the designers and the production personnel to share a common database of parts and products, to share data on production capacities and problems particularly where small initial problems may have larger downstream effects. Understand the roles and responsibilities of Six Sigma practitioners. Identify the benefits of Six Sigma as a business improvement methodology. The various process times for manufacturing a mono block pump were obtained from a pump manufacturing industry. A flow diagram showing the process is drawn to reflect the current state of the operation. This makes the redesigned process more effective and efficient. By reducing wastefulness the proportion of value adding time in the whole process rises and speed is increased. This project addresses the application of agile manufacturing concepts to the small scale pump manufacturing industry for increasing the production time and profit level.

Keywords: Agile Manufacturing (AM), Small Medium Enterprise (SME), Value Stream Mapping, Agilty.

1.0INTRODUCTON

India is one of the fast developing countries in the world. Indian economy is mainly driven by small and medium scaleenterprises. Because most of the SMEs are owned by Indian citizens. They face a huge challenge due to open economy. Higher productivity, good quality & flexibility to changing market needs are "*The need of the hour*" for SMEs. But usage of agile/lean manufacturing technique is not focused in SMEs.

Implementation of concepts like Agile/Lean Manufacturing in SMEs lack popularity due to the following reasons.

- Lack of training
- Lack of resources
- Huge cost involvement as experts needs to be hired
- Time consuming activity
- Efforts loses focus due to less ROI (Return on Investment)
- More efforts needed for documentation & analysis



An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization, Second National Conference on Trends in Automotive Parts Systems and Applications (TAPSA-2014) On 21st& 22nd March, Organized by

Sri Krishna College of Engineering & Technology, Kuniamuthur, Coimbatore-641008, Tamilnadu, India

Our project mainly focuses on making the implementation of Agile/Lean Manufacturing easy in SMEs. This will play a major role in increasing the quality of the products and productivity of those enterprises.

During the past three decades, majority of the countries have witnessed the globalisation of their markets. As a result of globalisation, the companies restricting their operations within the national markets have been forced to compete with the products and services offered by multinational companies. The other development is that, numerous companies operating in different countries have begun to enter into the markets operating in various countries.

These developments have resulted in the removal of trade barriers which were existing earlier along the borders of the countries. This phenomenon has been forcing the organisations to orient their strategies towards reaching world class manufacturing goals. However, this task is found to be challenging as world class manufacturing requirements demand high degree of quality of products and services at low prices. In order to face these challenges, the manufacturing frontiers have been advocating the adoption of lean manufacturing paradigm.

2.0AGILITY FROM LEAN

Manufacturing organisations are facing challenges from two directions. In one direction, newer manufacturing technologies emerge to make the existing ones outmoded. In the other direction, today's customers are demanding more and more new products and services within a short period of time. In order to meet these two challenges, today's manufacturing organisations are required to act quickly in accordance with the changing situations. On realising this trend, during the recent years, the manufacturing arena has been inclining towards the new type of paradigm. The researchers have named this paradigm as "Agile Manufacturing". Number of academicians and manufacturers view AM as a new approach. As a matter of fact, agility in manufacturing arena has been occurring as the spontaneous responsiveness to high degree of competition.

As evidence to this statement, the performance of today's mobile phones manufacturing companies can be mentioned. It is a common observation that these companies have been introducing several models very frequently. During the recent years, many automobile manufacturing companies have also been evolving new models at a quicker speed.Researchers had been examining AM issues clearly for more than a decade.

Agile Manufacturing = Flexible

Manufacturing System + Lean

The study of literature in this direction reveals that the birth of AM principles is marked by the constitution of 'Agility Forum' by a group of researchers. Thereafter aa few researchers have contributed very valuable AM principles. Some of the meanings and definitions enlisted by them are presented below: Agility means a manufacturing system with

Copyright to IJIRSET



An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization,

Volume 3, Special Issue 2, April 2014

Second National Conference on Trends in Automotive Parts Systems and Applications (TAPSA-2014)

On 21st& 22nd March, Organized by

Sri Krishna College of Engineering & Technology, Kuniamuthur, Coimbatore-641008, Tamilnadu, India

extraordinary capabilities to meet the rapidly changing needs of the marketplace. Agile manufacturing is a vision of manufacturing that is a development from the concept of lean manufacturing. The requirement to become more flexible and responsive to customers led to the concept of agile manufacturing as a variation from the lean concept. This situation persists because majority of the manufacturers are lacking knowledge on AM criteria. Rather they progress towards agility by attempting to implement various criteria using unfocussed and crude methods. This approach results in retarded growth of agility in organisations.

3.0 DESIGNING AN USER FRIENDLY TOOL FOR FLOW ANALYSIS

- Selection of pilot company
- Study of the process
- Video shoot of the process
- Extract information from video by using Subtitle Edit Software
- Design template for calculation using a statistical software
- Analysis using statistical software
- Presentation to management
- Training to their employees

3.1SELECTION OF PILOT COMPANY

The first step involves the selection of pilot company to implement the new tool designed for flow analysis. Another important process before this is to select the field in which the flow analysis has to be done. So we decided to choose pump manufacturing sector. As this project's main aim is to implement the flow analysis tool in small and medium scale industries, we selected pump assembly in SME.

3.2 STUDY OF THE PROCESS

To know about the flow analysis, the assembly process of pump has to be studied. So we have to take a keen look on the assembly process undergone in the company. This will give us a brief idea about the flow of process in the selected company.

3.3 VIDEO SHOOT OF THE PROCESS:

The main aim of video shooting of the process is to replace the traditional method of calculating the assembly process time by using stopwatch. This traditional approach is a time consuming method and also less accurate. To overcome this time consuming method instead of using stopwatch, video camera can be used. It required less skilled labour and also minimizes the time. This involves the video shooting of all the assembly process.



An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization, Volume 3, Special Issue 4, April 2014 Second National Conference on Trends in Automotive Parts Systems and Applications (TAPSA-2014) On 21st& 22nd March, Organized by

Sri Krishna College of Engineering & Technology, Kuniamuthur, Coimbatore-641008, Tamilnadu, India





3.4 EXTRACT INFORMATION FROM VIDEO BY USING SUBTITLE EDIT SOFTWARE

After shooting the flow process, it should be arranged according to process flow. To calculate the process time, software called "subtitle edit" is used. By using the process flow as input to the software, videos are sub-titled according to the flow process. After the sub-title process, exporting of the subtitle text with time to notepad. Then this should be transferred to an excel sheet. By using the basic formulas, the process time of the process can be found in the excel sheet. This will help us to find the time taken for any process that is video shot.



Fig. 2 VideosImporeted to Software



An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization,

Volume 3, Special Issue 2, April 2014

Second National Conference on Trends in Automotive Parts Systems and Applications (TAPSA-2014)

On 21st& 22nd March, Organized by

Sri Krishna College of Engineering & Technology, Kuniamuthur, Coimbatore-641008, Tamilnadu, India

3.5DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF TEMPLATE FOR CALCULATION USING STATISTICAL SOFTWARE

A template is created to calculate the value or non-value added processes. This sheet is created by using the statistical software namely Microsoft Excel. After creating the template, the subtitle text is imported into it. From this the cycle time can be found. Then another template is created to find non-value and value added processes. This can be done by importing the cycle time from the first template.

6	1000	-	-	-	TEST	Subtitle to tim	e record [Compatibil	ty Mode) - Mice	neft Ecol				00.0	ł
	Mone inset Page Layout	Forestas	Data R	eview V	lew .								8-01	K
P	A Cut Anal	то • (А) - - Он • <u>А</u>	() = = = = = = 	₩ (\$~) 38 (# () 24)	Wrag Wrag Merg	Test e & Center * G	General S - % + 1% Number	Condition Families	i Fornat Cel • as Table • Styles • Styles	rivert Orlete Format Color	Σ AutoSum * A Fit * Z 2 Oear * Fit Extra	ra Finda in Select		
	Security Warning Data connections have be	en disabled	Options.											K
	R1 • (? &													ş
12			· · ·	- 019	· · ·	-								Ā
	8	C	D	E	F	G	н і	J	K L	M N	0 P	0	R S	l
1														
2 3 4 5	Operation	Nachine	Duration 840	⇒	Duration									
6	LOAD		5		0									
7	WINDING		29		0									
8	NSTALL		8		0									
9	WINDING		25		0									
10	TE		6		0									
11	UNPACK		7		0									
12	MOVE		1		0									
13	ecp													
14														
15	,													
10	·													
Rea	IF RON SUBTITLE Chargeover_ 4y	A03935 1	DUTPUT	AW DATA								107% (-		5

Fig. 3 Creating the Templ

3.6 PROCESS AND CYCLE TIME

Here we have listed the process cycle time for the production of parts and they are:

Process	(Sec)
Coil forming part 1	81
Stator winding part 1	265
Coil forming part 2	81
Stator winding part 2	213
Starting and running winding	
Insulation lead out and binding	312
Winding final testing	69



An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization, Second National Conference on Trends in Automotive Parts Systems and Applications (TAPSA-2014) On 21st& 22nd March, Organized by

Sri Krishna College of Engineering & Technology, Kuniamuthur, Coimbatore-641008, Tamilnadu, India

Stator core pressing in to body	64
Stator final assembly	257
Pump pre assembly with stator and	
Rotor	284
Pump final inspection	99
Pump final assembly	250
Labeling	21
Name plate fixing	47
Plastic wrapping	30
Final packing	119

1.00	· George			- Andrews		kaizen	dentification too	- Meresoft E	cel	_			19	ALC: N
Hame	Intel	Page Layout	Formulas Data	Review	Vew									8 -
A Cut La Copi Tron	ust Painter	end + B Z U + () Teet	∞ - (A' A') * - Δ+ Δ+ *	• • • •	Wap fed	enter •	General S - % +	tal di Con Fora	ational Fore uting * at Tab	at Cell in · Syles ·	Ingent Delete Form	Σ AutoSum · A → Rit · Z ∠ Cear · Rit Fettor	na Peca w Seet	
			- 4		diana a				240		6345			
ecurity Wa	rning Somea	dive content has	been disabled.	Options.										
F21	• (h												
A	8	c		D		100	G	H				x		
			1				Centre	100	initian initian	(Casharana)		from fail	-	
- 1	Federal		1				Code	Value	Addee	Customer a	willing to pay the	sum (s)		
- 1	Custos	ende Talet				-	10	Notes	- Washing	Castrier S	wang to pay or	-0		
	CUSIO	THE P LANL			971	-		Cliste	a titeste					
- 1	Tarnat	Turia Time:			1.1 m		100	Victoria	intenting.	In he check	of d ab fill in location			
	- migar	Trie there.					1.1.1	and the second second	And the set of the set	10000000	and and a soperat			
	Tolara	are Time:			E-B-man		100	2 animire	2.751 Piece	Compart Class				
	Tolera	nce Time:			Ed sec		ça	Peridok	Activities	Current Stat	1	0		
	Tolera	nce Time:			Current St	ate	<u>pa</u>	Perdok	Adutes	Current Stat		o after Waste	Elemination	
perator	Tolera Station	Number	Operation		Current St	ate Co	re Total time	Potential	Potential	Remark		after Waste Time (s)	Elemination Total time per	Op
perator	Tolera Station	Number	Operation		Current St Tim	ate Col	e Total time	Potential (s) +	Potential per Op.	Remark		o after Waste Time (s)	Elemination Total time per Op.	Op r
perator ज	Station	Number	Operation		Current St Tim	ate Cor	re Total time • per Op. • 81.0	Potential (s) + (Potential per Op.	Remark		0 after Waste Time (\$) 5.0 28.0	Elemination Total time per Op.	Op r CP1
perator भ भ भ	Station	Number	Operation (cat) wrcsus		Current St Tim (5)	ate Col 3.0 MB 29.0 PW 8.0 MB	pe Total time per Op. + 81.0	Potential (S) v (Potential per Op.	Remark		0 after Waste Time (s) 5.0 29.0 8.0	Elemination Total time per Op.	0p r 0P1 0P1
perator ज भ भ भ	Tolera Station	Number	Operation LOAD MICING MICING MICING		Current St Tim	ate Col 3.0 M8 29.0 Hw 8.0 M8 25.0 M8	pe Total time per Op. • 81.0	Potential ((s) x	Potential ser Op.	Remark		0 after Waste Time (s) 5.0 29.0 8.0 25.0	Elemination Total time per Op.	0P 1 0P1 0P1 0P1
perator দ গ গ গ গ	Tolera Station	Number	Operation LOAD VINCING NSTALL VINCING TIE		Current St Tim (5)	210 2 Col 3.0 MB 29.0 MB 29.0 MB 29.0 MB 29.0 MB 29.0 MB 29.0 MB	se Total time per Op 81.0	Potential ((s) v	Potential ser Op.	Remark		0 after Waste Time (s) 50 280 80 250 80	Elemination Total time per Op.	0p r 0P1 0P1 0P1 0P1
perator म म म म म म म	Tolera Station	Number	Operation LOND WRENG NGTALL WRENG TE UNPACK		Current St V (5)	ate 8 Col 9 Col 9 0 Ne 25.0 Ne 8.0 Ne 25.0 Ne 8.0 Ne 7.0 Ne	se Total time per Op. (* #1.0	Potential ((s) v	Potential ser Op.	Remark		0 after Waste Time (s) 50 28.0 8.0 7.0	Elemination Total time per Op.	000 1 001 001 001 001 001
Perator	Tolera Station	Number	Operation LOAD WERKS WERKS WERKS TE UARACK MOJE		Current St	210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210	se Total time per Op. (* #1.0	Potential ((5) v	Potential ser Op.	Remark		0 after Waste Time (s) 50 280 80 250 80 70 10	Elemination Total time per Op. WOLLET	0P 0P1 0P1 0P1 0P1 0P1 0P1 0P1
Perator P N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Station	Number	Operation LOAD ARCHIC A		Current St	210 CO 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	se Total time • per Op. • 81.9	Potential I (s) x	Potential ser Op.	Remark		6 after Waste Time (s) 50 280 80 250 80 70 10 80 70 10 80	Elemination Total time per Op. #WALKE	0p 1 0P1 0P1 0P1 0P1 0P1 0P1 0P1
Perator M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	Tolera Station	Number	Operation Icon Mittels Mittel		Current St	210 2 Col 3.0 MB 29.0 NW 8.0 MB 25.0 MB 25.0 MB 1.0 MB	se Total time per Op. • 81.9	Potential (5) ×	Potential Ser Op.	Remark		6 after Waste Time (s) 5.0 29.0 8.0 25.0 8.0 7.0 1.0 eVaLUT eVALUT	Elemination Total time per Op.	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000
Perator P P P P P P P P P P P P	Tolera Station	Number	Operation LOAD WRENG NSTALL WRENG TE CAPACK MOVE #09		E3 asc	ate Col 3.0 ke 29.0 he 8.0 ke 25.0 ke 7.0 ke 1.0 ke	se Total time per Op. (* #1.0	Potential (5)	Potential ser Op.	Remark		6 after Waste 50 286 80 250 80 70 10 #VALUE #VALUE #VALUE	Elemination Total time per Op. WALKE	
Perator M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	Tolera Station	Number	Operation LOAD MERKI MERKI MERKI MARKX MOXE POP		L3 sec	ate Col 29.0 hw 8.0 ka 25.0 ka 7.0 ka 1.0 ka 25.0 ka	se Total time • per Op. • #1.0	Perduc Potential I (5) • 1	Potential ser Op. 0	Remark		6 after Waste 50 29.0 80 25.0 80 70 10 FVALUE FVALUE FVALUE	Elemination Total time per Op.	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000
Perator M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	Tolera Station	Number	Operation LCAD VerCHIG VerCHIG TE UAPACK HOVE eve		E3 ac	ate Col 29.0 Per 8.0 MB 25.0 MB 7.0 MB 1.0 MB	pa Total time per Op. (n pt.) pt.) pt.)	Potential (() () () () () () () () () (Potential ser Op. 0	Remark	я я	6 after Waste 5.0 29.0 8.0 7.5 1.0 eVALUE eVALUE eVALUE eVALUE	Elemination Total time per Op. 9094280	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000
Perator P N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Tolera Station	Number	Operation LOAD WEINE WEINE WEINE WEINE WEINE WEINE WEINE WEINE		ES ac	ate Col 3.0 k8 29.0 her 8.0 k8 25.0 k8 5.0 k8 7.0 k8 1.0 k8 1	pa Per Op. • #1.9	Perduc Potential (5) × 1	Potential ser Op. 0.0	Remark	я (У	6 after Waste 5.0 29.0 8.0 25.0 8.0 7.0 1.0 #VALUE #VALUE #VALUE #VALUE #VALUE #VALUE	Elemination Total time per Op. WOLLET	000 r 001 001 001 001 001 001 00
Perator P N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Tolera Station	Number	Operation Load NetCHIC NETALL NETALL NETAL		Edjac Current St Tim (9)	210 Core 3.0 km 29.0 km 40 km 10	pa Total time per Op. = 27.9 y	Potential (() () () () () () () () () (Potential per Op. 0	Remark	я я	6 after Waste Time (\$) 5.0 28.0 8.0 7.0 1.0 #VALUE #VALUE #VALUE #VALUE #VALUE	Elemination Total time per Op. (*	
PPErator PP PP PP PP PP PP PP PP PP PP PP PP PP	Tolera Station	Number	Operation ICon INTERS I		Edjac Current St Tim * (9)	ate Cov 5.0 No 5.0 No 6.0	pa Total time per Op. v BLO v	Potential (() () () () () () () () () (Potential ser Op.	Remark		6 after Waste Time (s) 50 238 60 250 80 75 10 404408 404 404	Elemination Total time per Op. #W4LIRT	
Iperator PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI PI	Tolera Station	Number	Operation (Dat) NetRel		El jac Current St Tim (6)	ate Cor 3.0 ke 25.0 ke 5.0 ke 5.0 ke 1.0 ke 4.0 ke 25.0 ke 4.0 ke 25.0 ke 4.0 ke 25.0 ke 4.0 ke 25.0 ke 2	pa Total time per Op. * ##.#	Perduc	Potential ser Op.	Remark		0 after Waste Time (s) 5.0 23.0 8.0 7.0 7.0 1.0 #VALUE #VALUE #VALUE #VALUE #VALUE #VALUE #VALUE #VALUE #VALUE #VALUE	Total time per 000.	000 r 000 000 000 000 000 000 0

Fig.4Cycle time Calculation Using Excel Sheet



An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization,

Volume 3, Special Issue 2, April 2014

Second National Conference on Trends in Automotive Parts Systems and Applications (TAPSA-2014)

On 21st& 22nd March, Organized by

Sri Krishna College of Engineering & Technology, Kuniamuthur, Coimbatore-641008, Tamilnadu, India



Fig.5Value and Non -Value added time is Identified





3.7 PRESENTATION TO MANAGEMENT

After finding the solution to the problem, all the non-value added process is reduced. Then it should be presented to the management of that company to get their approval. This includes the explanation of all the procedures done by us to reduce the non-value added time.

3.8 TRAINING TO THEIR EMPLOYEES

After getting the approval, the proposed method is to be implemented with the help of employees. This can be done by conducting training sessions to the employees for making them to understand the new techniques and use it.



An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization,

Volume 3, Special Issue 4, April 2014

Second National Conference on Trends in Automotive Parts Systems and Applications (TAPSA-2014)

On 21st& 22nd March, Organized by

Sri Krishna College of Engineering & Technology, Kuniamuthur, Coimbatore-641008, Tamilnadu, India

4. 0 IMPLEMENTATON OF AGILE IN SME

In small medium enterprise level many of the workers are lack about agility and following agile manufacturing is costlier and no owners come forward to practice the system. SME is one of the backbone to our nation and most of Indian owners have practicing the SME. If we providing a simple tool in an easier way, many of SME will come forward and follow the system which leads to the drastic change in the quality and production environment. Thus considering this factor we have created a effective and dynamic tool for the lead time reduction in the pump industry.

5.0 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This project can be extended by trying to formulate a Value Stream Mapping (VSM) for each sections present in a particular SME. Through the so far done process the NVA (Non Value Added) process are identified and are eliminated to decrease the cycle time. The cycle time can be immediately reduced, by removing the bottlenecks in the system. All the data needed for VSM has been gathered. A future state map should be developed along with a current state map. The future and current state maps are compared and the bottlenecks are identified and focus will be on to eliminate those and implement the future state map in the SME and reduce the lead time. This project will bring a great improvement in the SME economy which ultimately helps the country's economy grow. This also helps in popularizing the field of Lean techniques which brings a major increase in productivity. A company's sustainability mainly depends upon the QCDM (Quality, Cost, Delivery and Morale) factor. This also has been improved to a considerable extent.

REFERENCES

1.Anderson, R., Eriksson, H. and Torstensson, H. (2006), "Similarities and deifferences between TQM ,Six Sigma and Lean", The TQM Magazine, Vol.18, No.3, pp.282-296.

2.Ashley, S., (1997), "Rapid - response design", Mechanical Engineering- CIME, Vol. 119, No.12, p. 72(3).

3.Assen, M.F., Hans, E.W and Velde, S.L., (2000), "An agile planning and control framework for customer-order driven discrete parts manufacturing environments", International Journal of Agile Management Systems, Vol.2, No.1, pp. 16-23.

4.Christopher, M and Towill.D.R., (2000), "Supply chain migration from lean and functional to agile and customised", Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, Vol.5, No.4, pp. 206-213.

5.Malek,L.A, Das,S.K and Wolf,C, (2000), "Design and implementation of flexible manufacturing solutions in agile enterprises", International Journal of Agile Management Systems, Vol.2, No.3, pp187-195.

6.Onuh,S.O and Hon, K.K.B., (2001)," Integration of rapid prototyping technology into FMS for agile manufacturing" Integrated Manufacturing Systems, Vol 12, No3, pp. 179 – 186.

7.Owusu, Y.A. (1999), "Importance of employee involvement in world class agile management systems", International Journal of Agile Management Systems, Vol.1, No.2, pp.107-115.

8.Paixao,A.C and Marlow,P.B., (2003) "Fourth generation ports – a question of agility", International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management, 33, No.4, pp 355-376.

9.Parkinson, S., (1999), "Agile Manufacturing", Work Study, Vol.48, No.4, pp.134-137.

10.Process Benchmarking through Lean Six Sigma for ERP Sustainability in Small & Medium Enterprises ,Rashmi Jha1 and A. K. Saini2,Submitted in May 2011; Accepted in July 2011.

11.Lixia Chen & BoMeng, "The Application of Value Stream Mapping Based Lean Production System", International Jornal of Business and Management (2010) Vol.5 pp203-208.

12. Fawaz A. Abdulmalek&JayantRajgopal" Analyzing the benefits of lean manufacturing and value stream mapping via simulation: A Process Sector case study", International journal of Production economics(2007) 107:pp223-226.