

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2015

# Spectroscopic Studies on Li<sub>2</sub>O-K<sub>2</sub>O-MgO-BaO-B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Glasses

G.Srinivas<sup>1</sup>, Ramesh Boda<sup>1</sup>, J. Siva Kumar<sup>2</sup>, Md. Shareefuddin<sup>2</sup>, M.N. Chary<sup>2</sup>, R. Sayanna<sup>2</sup>

Research Scholar, Department of Physics, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India<sup>1</sup>

Professor, Department of Physics, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT**:Mixed alkali alkaline earth oxide borate glasses of composition  $(15-x)Li_2O-(15-x)K_2O-(15-x)MgO-(15-x)BaO-(40+4x)B_2O_3$  with x = 0, 2.5, 5, 7.5, and 10 mol% were prepared bymelt quenching method. X-ray diffractions studies on all the glass samples were made recorded at room temperature. Peak free X-ray spectra confirmed the amorphous nature of the glasses. Spectroscopic studies like Optical absorption and FTIR are carried out at room temperature.Optical absorption spectra are recorded in the wavelength range from 200nm -1000nm.From the optical absorption studies the values of the optical band ( $E_{opt}$ ) for indirect, direct transition and Urbarch energy ( $\Delta E$ ) have been evaluated. The values of  $E_{opt}$ ,  $\Delta E$  and density also show non linear behaviour with the compositional parameter, which also support the mixed alkali-alkaline effect in the present glass system.From FTIRspectra it isevident that present glasses consists of trigonal BO<sub>3</sub> and tetrahedral BO<sub>4</sub> structural units. The band~1360 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicated the presence of tetrahedrally coordinated boron in the glass structure. The bands at 924cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1024cm<sup>-1</sup> suggest the presence of tri, tetra, penta and diborate units of BO<sub>4</sub> groups. The absence of band ~806cm<sup>-1</sup> indicated the absence of boroxol rings in present glass system. The bands around 694cm<sup>-1</sup> might be due to formation of bridges by oxygen between two trigonal atoms.

KEYWORDS: borate glasses melt quenching, Optical absorption, band gap, Urbach energy, FTIR spectroscopy.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Glasses are super cooled liquids, transparent, and amorphous in nature. They are inorganic product of fusion which has cooled to a rigid condition without any crystallization. The main distinction between glass and crystals is the presence of long-range order in the crystal structure [1]. The optimization of such properties as a function of composition and other processing parameters requires a good knowledge of the microscopic glassy structure. For many years, glasses containing transition metal ions have attracted attention because of their potential applications in electrochemical, electronic, and electro-optic devices. A host of borate rich glasses containing alkaline earth oxides along with ZnO, PbO, TeO2 Bi2O3, MgO, CaO, SrO, and BaO as glass modifiers are optimistic materials for their probable applications in the fields of optical communications (optical fibers), laser hosts, optical filters, X- and  $\gamma$ -ray absorbers, photonic devices ,and so forth. Infrared spectroscopy (IR) is an important tool for understanding the structure and dynamics of amorphous materials. It is also used to assign the observed absorption peaks to the proper vibration of the atoms in geometric grouping.

### **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The oxide borate glasses of composition  $(15-x) \text{Li}_2\text{O}-(15-x)\text{K}_2\text{O}-(15-x)\text{MgO}-(15-x)\text{BaO}-(40+4x)\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  with x = 0, 2.5, 5, 7.5, and 10 mol% were prepared bymelt quenching method. The starting materials were merck (gr grades)Boric acid(H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>), Lithium oxide (Li<sub>2</sub>O), Potassium carbonate (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>), Magnesium oxide (MgO), Barium oxide (BaO)( all are Sd fine). The calculated amounts by mol% of these compounds are thoroughly mixed in the agate mortar and pestle, grind to get the required composition. The ingradients are taken in a porcelain crucible and were melted for about 40-60 minutes in an electrical furnace maintained at  $1150^{\circ}$ c, to obtain homogeneity, the melt was shaken frequently. The melt was quickly poured onto a stainless steel plate and pressed with another stainless steel plate, both being maintained at  $100^{\circ}$  c, the samples are were clear, transparent and bubble free. Samples of uniform thickness were employed in optical



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2015

absorption measurement. the obtained bulk compounds were grounded into fine powders and were characterized by xray diffraction (xrd), optical absorption spectra (2092piuv/Vis-Analytical Technologies Limited) using UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (shimadzu) in the wavelength subsequently annealed at 383<sup>o</sup> c temperatures for duration of about 12 h, and then sliced and polished. The glass samples thus obtained region 200 nm to 1000 nm, and FTIR (make-bruker optics, and model - tensor 27)arestudied at CFRD, OU (Central Facilities Research Development).

#### **III.RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

#### 3.1 X–Ray Diffraction

Philips Xpert PRO XRD (PanAnalytic) model powder X-ray diffractometer with copper Kα tube target with nickel filter operated at 40 kV, 30 mA was used to record the X-ray diffractograms. All the X-ray diffractograms are recorded at room temperature. All the recorded peak free X-ray diffractograms confirmed amorphous nature of the samples, used in the present studies.



Fig.1 XRD patterns of KLMBB glasses.

Figure.1 shows the X-ray diffractograms of the present glass samples. It gives absence of sharp peaks and conform the amorphous nature of present all the glasses.

#### **3.2** Optical Absorption

The optical absorption spectra of the present glasses are shown in Fig. 2. The optical absorption coefficient  $\alpha$  ( $\upsilon$ ) near the fundamental absorption edge of the curve is determined using the relation

$$\alpha(\vartheta) = \frac{1}{d} \log(\frac{l_0}{l_t}),\tag{1}$$

where  $I_0$  and  $I_t$  are the intensities of the incident and transmitted beams, respectively, and d is the thickness of the glass sample. The factor  $\log(\frac{I_0}{I_t})$  corresponds to the absorbance. Davis and Mott [2] &Tauc and Menth[3]related this data to the optical band gap (E<sub>opt</sub>) through the following general relation proposed for amorphous materials



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2015

### Table I.

Physical and optical parameters of KLMBB glasses.

Glass composition	x=5 LKMBB-5	x =7.5 LKMBB-7.5	x=10 LKMBB-10	x=12.5 LKMBB-12.5	x =15 LKMBB- 15
Average molecular weight(M)(g/mol)	71.58	72.57	73.57	74.54	75.5
Density $(\rho)(g/cc)$	2.295	2.477	2.622	2.642	2.74
Molar volume (V <sub>M</sub> )(cc/mol)	31.19	29.3	28.06	28.22	27.56
Oxygen Packing Density(g.atm/l)	83.36	81.91	78.4	70.88	65.32
Cut-off wavelength (nm)	380	353	350	373	367
Direct opticalband gap(eV)	3.48	3.75	3.47	3.53	3.44
Indirect optical band gap(eV)	3.06	3.2	3.18	3.2	3.16
Urbach energy $\Delta E$ (eV)(±0.05)	0.54	0.43	0.33	0.32	0.37

Density( $\rho$ )(g/cc) values calculated by Archimedes principle, Molar volume (V<sub>M</sub>)(cc/mol) calculated from density and average molecular weight, Oxygen Packing Density(g.atm/l)calculated from molar volume and number of oxyzens in the composition, Cut-off wavelength (nm) obtained from linear portion of curve, Direct and Indirect optical band gaps(eV) obtained from the Taue model and Urbach energy  $\Delta E$  (eV) width of localized states obtained from the Urbach plot.

$$\alpha(\vartheta) = B(h\vartheta - E_{opt})^n / h\vartheta,$$

(2)

where B is a constant and  $h\vartheta$  is the incident photon energy. The index n = 1/2 for direct allowed transitions and n = 2 for indirect transitions. From eqns. (2) and (3) by plotting  $(\alpha h\vartheta)^2$ ,  $(\alpha h\vartheta)^{1/2}$  as a function of photon energy  $h\vartheta$ , optical energy band gap ( $E_{opt}$ ) for direct and indirect transitions can be estimated respectively.



Fig. 2.Optical absorption spectra of KLMBB glasses. Fig. 3 Tauc plots  $[(\alpha h \vartheta)^2 \text{ versus}h \vartheta]$  for the KLMBB glasses.

The cut-off wave length can be be be and from linear portion of curve from the Fig. 2 and Direct allowed band gapobtained from the (Fig.3) Taue model, it gives the allowed energy transitions in between the valance and conduction band gaps.

Absorption Intensity(A.U)



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2015

The respective values of  $E_{opt}$  were obtained by extrapolating to  $(\alpha h \vartheta)^2 = 0$  for direct transitions  $and(\alpha h \vartheta)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$  for indirect transition. Fig.3 and 4 represents the Tauc plots  $[(\alpha h \vartheta)^2, (\alpha h \vartheta)^{1/2}$  versus  $h\vartheta$ ] for the present glass samples. The values of the indirect band gap direct band gap are presented in Table I.



Fig. 4.Tauc plots  $[(\alpha h \vartheta)^{1/2} \text{ versus} h \vartheta]$  for the KLMBB glasses.

Fig.7. Urbach energy (ln ( $\alpha$ ) versus h $\vartheta$ ) of the KLMBB glasses.

(3)

The Indirect allowed band gapobtained from the (Fig.4) Taue model, it gives the allowed energy transitions in between the valance and conduction band gaps and to conform the amorphous nature and Urbach energy  $\Delta E$  (eV) width of localized states obtained from the Urbach plot from Fig.7.

Similar behavior was also observed by other workers [4, 5]. The variation of the direct and indirect band gap energy  $(E_{opt})$  with the compositional parameter is shown in Fig. 5 and 6. It is observed that  $E_{opt}$  varies nonlinearly with composition. The main feature of the absorption edge of amorphous materials is an exponential increase of the absorption coefficient  $\alpha(\vartheta)$  with the photon energy  $h\vartheta$ , as given by the Urbach rule [6].

$$\alpha(\vartheta) = Cexp(h\vartheta/\Delta E),$$

where C is a constant and  $\Delta E$  is the Urbach energy, which is a measure of band shift. Fig.7.shows the variation of ln ( $\alpha$ ) as a function of photon energy  $h\vartheta$ . The values of the Urbach energy ( $\Delta E$ ) were determined by taking the reciprocal of the slopes of the linear portion of the ln ( $\alpha$ ) versus  $h\vartheta$  curves. Fig.7 illustrates a fitted curve used to determine the Urbach energy for a typical glass samples. The Urbach energy values of the present glass samples are presented in Table I.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2015



Fig.5.Compositional dependence of the direct band gap Fig.6.Compositional dependence of the indirect band gap.

The compositional dependence of the Urbach energy  $\Delta E$  is shown in Fig. 8.Fig.9 shows the nonlinear variation of the indirect band gap and Urbach energy with Li<sub>2</sub>O compositional parameter, and also fig.10 indicates molar volume and density shows opposite behavior with Li<sub>2</sub>O content. It indicates the existence of the mixed alkali-alkaline effect.



Fig.8.Compositional dependence of the Urbach energy (Δ*E*).Fig. 9.Variation of indirect band gap and Urbach energy as a function of Li<sub>2</sub>O content.

### 3.3 Infrared Studies

The FTIR spectra of glass samples are shown in Figures 10. The infrared spectra have shown medium, weak and broad absorption bands and these are given in Table.2. The absorption peaks in FTIR Spectra can be divided into four main



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2015

groups in the ranges 3600-2300 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1600-1200 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 800-1200 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 700-400 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The absence of absorption peak at~806 cm<sup>-1</sup> in all the glass systems shows that there is no boroxol ring formation in the glass network. The absorption bands around 3375 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the glasses (LKMBB-5 and LKMBB-7.5) are assigned to OH stretching vibration [7]. The peaks 2700-3000 cm<sup>-1</sup> are attributed to hydrogen bonding [8]. The presences of bands around 1740cm<sup>-1</sup> in all the glass samples are attributed to the bending and stretching vibration of B–O–B in [BO<sub>3</sub>] triangles [9, 10].



Fig. 10.FT-IR spectrum of KLMBB glasses.

The peaks around 1360 cm<sup>-1</sup> are due to asymmetric stretching vibrations of B-O and B-O<sup>-</sup> bond in trigonal BO<sub>3</sub> units. The IR absorption peaks around 1230 cm<sup>-1</sup> are characteristic of =B-O-B=linkage with one of the boron in tetrahedral coordination [11]. The bands at 924cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1024cm<sup>-1</sup> suggest the presence of tri, tetra, penta and diborate units of BO<sub>4</sub> groups. The bands around 694cm<sup>-1</sup> might be due to formation of bridges by oxygen between twotrigonal atoms.

 Table.2

 Various IR bands observed in the glass systems.

Glass Systems IR band positions wave number(cm <sup>-1</sup> )									
LKMBB-5	3373			1739	1360	1231	1024	924	694
LKMBB-7.5	3374	3032		1739	1357	1233		923	694
LKMBB-10		3030	2876	1739	1367	1231		953	700
LKMBB-12.5		3021	2873	1740	1370	1226		967	705
LKMBB-15		3023	2877	1740	1371	1225		969	708
	Glass Systems LKMBB-5 LKMBB-7.5 LKMBB-10 LKMBB-12.5 LKMBB-15	Glass Systems           LKMBB-5         3373           LKMBB-7.5         3374           LKMBB-10            LKMBB-12.5            LKMBB-15	Glass Systems         IR base           LKMBB-5         3373            LKMBB-7.5         3374         3032           LKMBB-10          3030           LKMBB-12.5          3021           LKMBB-15          3023	Glass Systems         IR band position           LKMBB-5         3373             LKMBB-7.5         3374         3032            LKMBB-10          3030         2876           LKMBB-12.5          3021         2873           LKMBB-15          3023         2877	Glass Systems         IR band positions wave num           LKMBB-5         3373           1739           LKMBB-7.5         3374         3032          1739           LKMBB-10          3030         2876         1739           LKMBB-12.5          3021         2873         1740           LKMBB-15          3023         2877         1740	Glass Systems         IR band positions wave number(cm <sup>-</sup> LKMBB-5         3373           1739         1360           LKMBB-7.5         3374         3032          1739         1357           LKMBB-10          3030         2876         1739         1367           LKMBB-12.5          3021         2873         1740         1370           LKMBB-15          3023         2877         1740         1371	Glass Systems         IR band positions wave number(cm <sup>-1</sup> )           LKMBB-5         3373           1739         1360         1231           LKMBB-7.5         3374         3032          1739         1357         1233           LKMBB-10          3030         2876         1739         1367         1231           LKMBB-12.5          3021         2873         1740         1370         1226           LKMBB-15          3023         2877         1740         1371         1225	Glass Systems         IR band positions wave number(cm <sup>-1</sup> )           LKMBB-5         3373           1739         1360         1231         1024           LKMBB-7.5         3374         3032          1739         1357         1233            LKMBB-10          3030         2876         1739         1367         1231            LKMBB-12.5          3021         2873         1740         1370         1226            LKMBB-15          3023         2877         1740         1371         1225	Glass Systems         IR band positions wave number(cm <sup>-1</sup> )           LKMBB-5         3373           1739         1360         1231         1024         924           LKMBB-7.5         3374         3032          1739         1357         1233          923           LKMBB-10          3030         2876         1739         1367         1231          953           LKMBB-12.5          3021         2873         1740         1370         1226          967           LKMBB-15          3023         2877         1740         1371         1225         969



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2015

### **IV.CONCLUSIONS**

- The oxide borate glasses of composition (15-x) Li<sub>2</sub>O-(15-x)K<sub>2</sub>O-(15-x) MgO-(15-x) BaO-(40+4x)B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were prepared by melt quenching method. X-ray diffractograms studies reaveled the amorphous nature of the prepared glasses.
- From the optical absorption studies the values of the optical band  $(E_{opt})$  for direct, indirect transitions between conduction and valence bands and Urbarch energy ( $\Delta E$ ) have been evaluated.
- The values of  $E_{opt} \Delta E$  and density have shown non linear behaviour with the compositional parameter, which also support the mixed alkali-alkaline effect in the present glass system.
- From FTIRspectra it isevident that present glasses consists of trigonal BO<sub>3</sub> and tetrahedral BO<sub>4</sub> structural units. The band~1360cm<sup>-1</sup>indicated the presence of tetrahedrally coordinated boron in the glass structure. The bands at 924cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1024cm<sup>-1</sup> suggest the presence of tri, tetra, penta and diborate units of BO<sub>4</sub> groups. The absence of band~806cm<sup>-1</sup>indicated the absence of boroxol rings in present glass system.

### V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

One of the authors, G.SRINIVAS, thanks the DST New Delhi for awarding Fellowship under DST-PURSE programme and RFSMS (Junior Research Fellow) Programme UGC. The authors thank Head Department of Physics O.U. for his encouragement and providing facilities. The authors also thank Director, CFRD, OU for providing facilities.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Yamane and Y. Asahara, *Glasses for Photonics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2000.
- [2] E.A. Davis and N.F. Mott, Phil. Mag. 22, 903 (1970).
- [3] J. Tauc and A. Menth, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 8, 569 (1972).
- [4] A. Agarwal, V.P. Seth, S. Sanghi, P. Gahlot, and S. Khasa, Mater. Lett. 58, 694 (2004).
- [5] R.P. SreekanthChakradhar, K.P. Ramesh, J.L. Rao, and J. Ramakrishna, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 64, 641 (2003).
- [6] F. Urbach, Phys. Rev. 92, 1324 (1953).
- [7] Baia.L and Simon.S, (2005), Phys. Chem. Glasses, 46(3) 279-283.
- [8] VeerannaGowda.V.C and Anavekar.R.V. (2004), *Ionic's*, 10 (2004) 103-108.
- [9] Y. Cheng, H. Xiao, W. Guo, and W. Guo, Ceramics International, vol. 33, no. 7, pp. 1341–1347, 2007.
- [10] E. I. Kamitsos, A. P. Patsis, M. A. Karakassides, and G. D. Chryssikos, Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids, vol. 126, no. 1-2, pp. 52-67, 1990.
- [11] VirenderKundu, Dhilman. R.L., Maan. A.S, and Goyal D.R, (2008), Advances in Condensed Matter Physics, (2008) 1-7.