

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2015

# **A Common Fixed Point Theorem in Complex** Valued Metric Space for Four Mappings

Tanmoy Mitra

Assistant Teacher, Akabpur High School, Akabpur, Nadanghat, Burdwan, West Bengal, India

ABSTRACT: In this paper we prove a common fixed point theorem for four mappings in a complex valued metric space.

**KEYWORDS:** Complex valued metric space, Property (E.A), weakly compatible mappings, Property (CLR g). AMS Subject Classification (2010): 47H10, 54H25.

#### I. **INTRODUCTION**

In 2011, A. Azam, B. Fisher and M. Khan [2] introduced the notion of complex valued metric space and established some sufficient conditions for existence of common fixed points of a pair of mappings satisfying a contractive condition. S. Bhatt, S. Chaukiyal and R.C. Dimri [3] have proved a theorem on common fixed point for weakly compatible mappings in a complex valued metric space. R.K. Verma and H.K. Pathak [8] introduced the concept of the property (E.A) in complex valued metric space to prove some common fixed point results for two pairs of weakly compatible mappings. The aim of this paper is to obtain a common fixed point theorem under a contractive condition of two pairs of weakly compatible self-maps with CLRg property in complex valued metric spaces.

#### II. **PRILIMINARIES**

Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the set of all complex numbers and  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Define a partial order relation  $\preceq$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  as follows:

 $z_1 \preceq z_2$  if and only if  $Re(z_1) \leq Re(z_2)$  and  $Im(z_1) \leq Im(z_2)$ .

Thus  $z_1 \preceq z_2$  if one of the followings holds:

- $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$  and  $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$ , (1)
- $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$  and  $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$ , (2)
- $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$  and  $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$  and (3)
- (4) $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$  and  $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$ .

We write  $z_1 \leq z_2$  if  $z_1 \leq z_2$  and  $z_1 \neq z_2$  i.e., one of (2), (3) and (4) is satisfied and we will write  $z_1 \prec z_2$  if only (4) is satisfied.

**Remark 1:** We can easily check the followings:

- $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq b \Rightarrow az \leq bz \ \forall z \in \mathbb{C}.$ (i)
- (ii)
- $0 \leq z_1 \leq z_2 \Rightarrow |z_1| < |z_2|.$   $z_1 \leq z_2$  and  $z_2 < z_3 \Rightarrow z_1 < z_3.$ (iii)

Azam et al. [2] defined the complex valued metric space in the following way:

**Definition 1** ([2]): Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose that the mapping  $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (C1)  $0 \leq d(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y;
- d(x, y) = d(y, x) for all  $x, y \in X$ ; (C2)
- (C3)  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2015

Then d is called a complex valued metric on X and (X, d) is called a complex valued metric space.

**Example 1:** Let  $X = \mathbb{C}$ . Define the mapping  $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $d(z_1, z_2) = i|z_1 - z_2| \quad \forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

One can easily verify that (X, d) is a complex valued metric space.

**Definition 2** ([2]): Let (*X*, *d*) be a complex valued metric space. Then

- (i) A point  $x \in X$  is called an interior point of a set  $A \subseteq X$  if there exists  $0 < r \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $B(x,r) = \{y \in X : d(x,y) < r\} \subseteq A.$
- A subset  $A \subseteq X$  is called open if each element of A is an interior point of A.
- (ii) A point  $x \in X$  is called a limit point of  $A \subseteq X$  if for every  $0 \prec r \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $B(x,r) \cap (A - \{x\}) \neq \phi$ .
- A subset  $A \subseteq X$  is called closed if each element of X A is not a limit point of A.
- (iii) The family

$$F = \{B(x,r): x \in X, 0 \prec r\}$$
  
is a sub-basis for a Hausdorff topology  $\tau$  on X.

**Definition 3** ([2]): Let (*X*, *d*) be a complex valued metric space. Then

- (i) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is said to converge to  $x \in X$  if for every  $0 < r \in \mathbb{C}$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(x_n, x) < r, \forall n > N$ . We denote this by  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$  or  $x_n \to x$  as  $n \to \infty$ .
- (ii) If for every  $0 < r \in \mathbb{C}$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(x_n, x_{n+m}) < r$  for all  $n > N, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is called a Cauchy sequence in (X, d).
- (iii) If every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent in X then (X, d) is called a complete complex valued metric space.

Jungck introduced the concepts of commuting mappings in [4], compatible mappings in [5] and weakly compatible mappings in [6]. In a like manner we may define these concepts in complex valued metric spaces as follows:

**Definition 4** ([4]): Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. The self-maps S and T are said to be commuting if STx = TSx for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 5** ([5]): Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. The self-maps S and T are said to be compatible if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n) = 0$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n = t$  for some  $t \in X$ .

**Definition 6** ([6]): Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. The self-maps S and T are said to be weakly compatible if STx = TSx whenever Sx = Tx, i.e., they commute at their coincidence points.

**Definition 7** ([8]): Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. The self-maps S and T are said to satisfy the property (E.A) if there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n = t \text{ for some } t \in X.$ 

**Definition 8** ([10]): Let (X, d) be a metric space. The self-maps f and g are said to satisfy the CLRg property if there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = gu$ , for some  $u \in X$ .

In a similar manner in a complex valued metric space (X, d), two self-maps f and g are said to satisfy the CLRg property if there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = gu$ , for some  $u \in X$ .



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2015

#### III. LEMMAS

In this section we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel.

**Lemma 1** ([2]): Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X. Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x \in X$  if and only if  $|d(x_n, x)| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Lemma 2** ([2]): Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X. Then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence if and only if  $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 3([9]):** Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in X such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$ . Then for any  $a \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, a) = d(x, a)$ .

#### IV. MAIN RESULT

In this section we present the main result of this paper.

**Theorem:** Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. Suppose that S, T, f and g be self-maps of X satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) The pairs  $\{S, f\}$  and  $\{T, g\}$  are weakly compatible,
- (ii)  $TX \subseteq fX$  and  $SX \subseteq gX$ ,
- (iii) Either the pair  $\{S, f\}$  satisfy CLR<sub>f</sub> property or the pair  $\{T, g\}$  satisfy CLRg property and
- (iv)  $d(Sx,Ty) \leq \lambda d(fx,gy) + \frac{\mu d(fx,Sx)d(gy,Ty)}{1+d(fx,gy)}, \forall x, y \in X$ , where  $\lambda, \mu$  are non-negative reals satisfy  $\lambda + \mu < 1$ .

Then S, T, f and g have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** Suppose that the pair  $\{S, f\}$  satisfy CLR<sub>f</sub> property.

So there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = fu$ , for some  $u \in X$ .

Since  $SX \subseteq gX$ , so there exists a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in X, such that  $Sx_n = gy_n$ .

Thus 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} gy_n = fu$$
.

Now by condition (iv),

$$d(Sx_n, Ty_n) \preceq \lambda d(fx_n, gy_n) + \frac{\mu d(fx_n, Sx_n) d(gy_n, Ty_n)}{1 + d(fx_n, gy_n)}$$

Now letting  $n \to \infty$ , we get  $d(fu, Ty_n) \leq 0$ .

Thus  $d(fu, Ty_n) = 0$  and hence  $\lim_{n \to \infty} Ty_n = fu$ .

Thus  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = fu = \lim_{n\to\infty} gy_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n$ .



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2015

We now show that fu = Su.

By condition (iv),

 $d(Su, Ty_n) \preceq \lambda d(fu, gy_n) + \frac{\mu d(fu, Su)d(gy_n, Ty_n)}{1 + d(fu, gy_n)}$ 

Letting  $n \to \infty$ , we get  $d(Su, fu) \leq 0$ 

Therefore d(Su, fu) = 0 and hence fu = Su.

Since *S*, *T* are weakly compatible,

ffu = fSu = Sfu = SSu.

Again  $SX \subseteq gX$ .

Thus there exists  $v \in X$ , such that Su = gv.

We now show that gv = Tv.

By the condition (iv),

 $d(Su,Tv) \lesssim \lambda d(fu,gv) + \frac{\mu d(fu,Su)d(gv,Tv)}{1+d(fu,gv)}$ 

$$\lesssim 0 \quad [\because fu = Su = gv]$$

Therefore d(Su, Tv) = 0.

Thus Su = Tv = gv.

Since *g*, *T* are weakly compatible,

$$ggv = gTv = Tgv = TTv.$$

Now we have,

Su = fu = Tv = gv = t (say), for some  $t \in X$ .

We now show that t is a common fixed point of S, T, f and g.

By condition (iv),

$$d(t,T) = d(Su,Tt)$$

$$\lesssim \lambda d(fu,gt) + \frac{\mu d(fu,Su)d(gt,Tt)}{1+d(fu,gt)}$$

$$= \lambda d(fu,gt)$$



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2015

$$= \lambda d(t, Tt) \quad [\because gt = ggv = TTv = Tt]$$

$$\therefore \quad (1-\lambda)|d(t,Tt)| \le 0$$

- $\therefore$   $0 \le \lambda + \mu < 1$ , d(t, Tt) = 0 and hence t = Tt.
- Now gt = Tt = t.

Again d(St,t) = d(St,Tv)

$$\preceq \lambda d(ft, gv) + \frac{\mu d(ft, St)d(gv, Tv)}{1 + d(ft, gv)}$$

$$= \lambda d(St, t) \quad [\because ft = ffu = SSu = St]$$

- $\therefore \quad (1-\lambda)|d(St,t)| \le 0$
- Therefore d(St, t) = 0. i.e. St = t.
- Thus St = ft = t.
- Therefore St = ft = gt = Tt = t.

Thus t is a common fixed point of S, T, f and g.

For uniqueness, let  $w \in X$ , such that

$$Sw = Tw = fw = gw = w.$$

Now d(w,t) = d(Sw,Tt)

$$\lesssim \lambda d(fw,gt) + \frac{\mu d(fw,Sw)d(gt,Tt)}{1+d(fw,gt)}$$
$$= \lambda d(w,t)$$

- $\therefore (1-\lambda)|d(w,t)| \le 0.$
- $\therefore$  d(w,t) = 0 and hence t = w.

Thus t is the unique common fixed point of S, T, f and g.

If the pair  $\{T, g\}$  satisfy CLR*g* property, smilarly we can prove the theorem.

**Corollary 1:** Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. Suppose that T, f and g be self-maps of X satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) The pairs  $\{T, f\}$  and  $\{T, g\}$  are weakly compatible,
- (ii)  $TX \subseteq fX$  and  $TX \subseteq gX$ ,
- (iii) Either the pair  $\{T, f\}$  satisfy CLR<sub>f</sub> property or the pair  $\{T, g\}$  satisfy CLR*g* property and



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2015

(iv)  $d(Tx,Ty) \leq \lambda d(fx,gy) + \frac{\mu d(fx,Tx)d(gy,Ty)}{1+d(fx,gy)}, \forall x, y \in X$ , where  $\lambda, \mu$  are non-negative reals satisfy  $\lambda + \mu < 1$ .

Then T, f and g have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** Setting S = T in the above theorem, we get the result.

**Corollary 2:** Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. Suppose that U, V be two weakly compatible self-maps of X, such that

- (i) U, V satisfy  $CLR_v$  property and
- (ii)  $d(Ux, Vy) \leq \lambda d(Vx, Vy) + \frac{\mu d(Ux, Vx) d(Uy, Vy)}{1 + d(Vx, Vy)}, \forall x, y \in X$ , where  $\lambda, \mu$  are non-negative reals with  $\lambda + \mu < 1$ .

Then *U* and *V* have unique common fixed point in *X*.

**Proof:** If we take S = T = U and f = g = V in the above theorem we get the result.

Now we show an example in support of the theorem.

**Example:** Let X = (0,5].

Let  $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$ , defined as  $d(x, y) = i|x - y|, \forall x, y \in X$ .

We define

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } x \in (0,1) \\ 1, & \text{if } x \in [1,5] \end{cases}$$
$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{5}, & \text{if } x \in (0,1) \\ \frac{x+1}{2}, & \text{if } x \in [1,5] \end{cases}$$
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } x \in (0,1) \\ 1, & \text{if } x = 1 \\ \frac{x-1}{2}, & \text{if } x \in (1,5] - \{3\} \\ 3, & \text{if } x = 3 \end{cases}$$

 $S(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{5}, & \text{if } x \in (0,1) \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in [1,5] \end{cases}$ 

(i) Here the pairs  $\{S, f\}$  and  $\{T, g\}$  are weakly compatible

(ii) 
$$TX = \left\{\frac{1}{4}, 1\right\} \subseteq (0,2] \cup \{3\} = fX$$

and 
$$SX = \left\{\frac{1}{5}, 1\right\} \subseteq \left\{\frac{1}{5}\right\} \cup [1,3] = gX.$$

(iii) Now for sequence  $x_n = 3 - \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $Sx_n = 1$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 8, August 2015

$$fx_n = \frac{3-\frac{1}{n}-1}{2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2n}$$
,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Thus  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = 1 = f(1)$ 

Therefore the pair  $\{S, f\}$  satisfy CLR<sub>f</sub> property.

Also for sequence  $y_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $Ty_n = 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$gy_n = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{n} + 1}{2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2n}$$
 ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Thus  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ty_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gy_n = 1 = g(1).$ 

Therefore the pair  $\{T, g\}$  satisfy CLRg property.

(iv) Clearly for every  $x, y \in X$ ,  $d(Sx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(fx, gy) + \frac{\mu d(fx, Sx)d(gy, Ty)}{1 + d(fx, gy)}$ , where  $\lambda, \mu$  are non-negative reals with  $\lambda + \mu < 1$ .

Thus the mappings S, T, f and g satisfy all the conditions of the theorem.

Here 1 is the unique common fixed point of S, T, f and g.

#### V. CONCLUSON

In the above theorem if we replace the condition (iii) with the condition, "Either the pair  $\{S, f\}$  satisfy E.A. property and fX is closed in X or the pair  $\{T, g\}$  satisfy the E.A. property and gX is closed in X", then we can also show that S, T, f and g have unique common fixed point.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Amri and D.EI Moutawakil: Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol. 270(2002), pp. 181-188.
- [2] A. Azam, F. Brain and M. Khan: Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim., Vol. 32, No. 3(2011), pp. 243-253.
- [3] S. Bhatt, S. Chaukiyal and R. C. Dimri: A common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible maps in complex valued metric space, Int. J. Math. Sci. Appl., Vol. 1, No. 3(September 2011), pp. 1385-1389.

[4] S.K. Datta and S.Ali: A common fixed point theorem under contractive condition in complex valued metric spaces, Internationa Jounal of Advanced Scientific and Technical Research, Issue 2 volume 6, 2012, ISSN 2249 – 9954.

[5] G. Jungck: Commuting maps and fixed points, Amer. Math. Monthly, Vol. 83(1976), pp. 261-263.

[6] G. Jungck: Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Int. J. Math. & Math. Sci., Vol. 9, No. 4(1986), pp. 771-779.

[7] G. Jungck: Common fixed points for non-continuous non-self mappings on non-metric spaces, Far East J. Math. Sc., Vol. 4, No. 2(1996), pp. 199-212.

[8] R.P. Pant and V. Pant: Common fixed points under strict contractive conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol. 248(2000), pp. 327-332.

[9] W.Sintunavarat, P.Kumam: Common fixed point theorem for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces, J. Appl. Math. 2011, 2011:14. Article ID 637958.

[10] R.K. Verma and H.K. Pathak: Common fixed point theorems using property (E.A) in complex valued metric spaces, Thai Journal of Mathematics, to appear