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#### Abstract

To decide whether the number generated by Fisher and Yates are properly random or not one may apply nonparametric tests for randomness. Various types of nonparametric tests are ordinary run test Wald - wolfowitz Run test, sign test , Mann-whitney - Wilcoxon U-test, Mann-Kendall test. Here, We shall apply ordinary run test by taking the median of the number to test the proper randomness of the numbers of Fisher and Yates random members table. It is observed that Fisher and Yates random numbers table may be regarded random with respect to run test.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

A run is defined as a series of increasing values or a series of decreasing values. The number of increasing or decreasing values is the length of the run. In a random number data set, the probability that a particular number is larger or smaller than the median value follows a binomial distribution, which forms a basis of the run test.

Drawing of random sample has been drawing of random has been found necessary in most of the investigations. The most practical method of selecting a random sample consists of the use of random numbers table. Commonly used random numbers tables are Fisher and Yates, LHC Tippett, Kendall and Babington Smith and Rand corporation. These tables have been subjected to various statistical tests of randomness. Proper randomness of Fisher and Yates Random Numbers table has been examined by D. Chakraborty in 2010 by comparing the probability of occurrence of each digit in the table and then applying the theoretical probabilistic concept of randomness. The same has been tested by B.K. Sarmah and D. Chakraborty (November, 2014 IJESRT) by applying Chi-square test for testing the significance of difference between observed frequency of each of the digit in the table and corresponding theoretical ( expected ) frequency. The randomness of the digits of Fisher and Yates are also tested by applying t-test for amount of deviation of the observed number of occurrence and theoretical (expected) number of occurrence of the respective digits. Both the test shows that the numbers generated by Fisher and Yates are not property random.

In this study an attempt has been made to test the proper randomness of number of Fisher and Yates table by applying run test.

In statistical literature "proper randomness" refers to a process that can produce independently and identically distributed (iid) samples (number) having equal probability of occurrences.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

Fisher and Yates random number table consists of a total of 15000 digits comprising of 7500 two digited random numbers, viz 00,01,02_.... 99

In order to test the randomness of the occurrence of the numbers we note that if the occurrence is random then the theoretical median of the observations will be 49.5.
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Let us consider a sequence of runs from the table by writing A if the observation is above median and $B$ if the observation is below the median.

Let us consider the following hypothesis
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ : The occurrences of numbers in the table is in random manner.
$\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : The occurrences of the numbers in the table is not in random manner.
Under the null hypothesis $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$, the test statistic is given by.
$Z=\frac{U-E(U)}{\sqrt{V(U)}} \sim N(0,1)$
Where,
$\mathrm{U}=$ Number of observed runs
$E(U)=\frac{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{2}}{\mathbf{2}}$
$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{U})=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{4}\left(\frac{n-2}{n-1}\right)$
$\mathrm{n}=$ Number of observations considered.
We may accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, iff I Z I $<1.96$ (5\% level of significance)

## III. STEPS IN THE METHOD

In order to test the proper randomness of the numbers of Fisher and Yates table one is required to proceed with the following steps:
Step -1: In the first step runs of $A$ and $B$ are observed for first 500 numbers second 500 numbers $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. up to $15^{\text {th }} 500$ numbers as shown in the table.

Step -2: In the second step count the total number of runs for first 500, second 500. $\qquad$ upto $15^{\text {th }}$ 500 numbers.

Step -3: In this step the values of Z are calculated by applying the formula

$$
Z=\frac{U-E(U)}{\sqrt{V(U)}} \sim N(0,1)
$$

Step - 4: compare the calculated value of I Z I with 1.96 ( at 5\% Level of significance).
Step-5: Draw conclusion as per the result obtained in step 4.

## IV. CONCLUSION

From the table prepared for pattern of runs, number of runs and value of I Z I, it is observed that values of I Z I calculated for first 500 . $\qquad$ to last $15^{\text {th }} 500$ numbers are found to be insignificant comparing them with standard value of Z (1.96 at 5\% level of significance)

Hence as far as the run test is concerned, Fisher and Yates random number table may be considered as random.
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Table : Observed runs, number of runs and the value of $\mathbf{Z}$ statistic from Fisher and Yates.

| Random Numbers considered | Pattern of Runs | No. of runs U | $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{U})=\frac{n+2}{2}$ | $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{U})=\frac{n}{4}\left(\frac{n-2}{n-1}\right)$ | IZI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {st }} 500$ | BBBAAAABAABAABAB... | 244 | 251 | 124.75 | 0.63 |
| $2^{\text {nd }} 500$ | BAAAABABBBBAAAABB.. | 246 | 251 | 124.75 | 0.45 |
| $3^{\text {rd }} 500$ | ABBBABBAAAAABBAA.... | 245 | 251 | 124.75 | 0.54 |
| $4^{\text {th }} 500$ | ABBAAABABABAB........... | 254 | 251 | 124.75 | 0.27 |
| $5^{\text {th }} 500$ | AB BBABAABBAAA......... | 244 | 251 | 124.75 | 0.63 |
| $6^{\text {th }} 500$ | BBAAAAABABBBBA........ | 242 | 251 | 124.75 | 0.81 |
| $7^{\text {th }} 500$ | ABBAAABBABBABB........ | 250 | 251 | 124.75 | 0.09 |
| $8^{\text {th }} 500$ | ABABBBAAAABBB.......... | 254 | 251 | 124.75 | 0.27 |
| $9^{\text {th }} 500$ | AAABBABBAAAABBB...... | 253 | 251 | 224.75 | 0.18 |
| $10^{\text {th }} 500$ | BBBBBBABBBBAA......... | 261 | 251 | 124.45 | 0.90 |
| $11^{\text {th }} 500$ | BAAABBAABBBBBAB...... | 233 | 251 | 124.75 | 1.61 |
| $12^{\text {th }} 500$ | BBAABAABBABBB........... | 254 | 251 | 124.75 | 0.27 |
| $13^{\text {th }} 500$ | AABABBABBBAAB........... | 244 | 251 | 124.75 | 0.63 |
| $14^{\text {th }} 500$ | AABABABABBBBA.......... | 255 | 261 | 124.75 | 0.36 |
| $15^{\text {th }} 500$ | AAABBAABBBBAAAABBB.... | 246 | 251 | 124.75 | 0.45 |
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