

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 7, July 2015

Multimodal Biometric Methods to Avoid Authentication Problems

Dr.K.P.Kaliyamurthie, Sundararajan.M, Arulselvi S

Professor and Head, Dept. of CSE, Bharath University , Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Director, Research Center for Computing and Communication, Bharath University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Co-Director, Research Center for Computing and Communication, Bharath University, Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT: Multimodal biometric methods use different fusion techniques to avoid authentication problems such as noisy sensors data, non- universality, and unacceptable error rates. Fusion methods have been proposed in different levels such as feature level and classification level. In this paper, we propose two multimodal biometric authentication methods using ear and finger knuckle (FK) images. A method based on fusion of images of ear and FK before the feature level is proposed, thus there is no information lost. A multi-level fusion method at image and classification levels is also proposed. The features are extracted from the fused images using different classifiers and then combine the outputs of the classifiers in the abstract, rank, and score levels of fusion. Experimental results show that the proposed authentication methods increase the recognition rate compared to the state-of- the-art methods.

KEYWORDS:Biometric Data, Authentication Methods, Ear and FingerKnuckleImages,ImageFusion

I.INTRODUCTION

Biometric systems use behavioral or/and physiological traits such as face, ear, iris, fingerprints, and palm-print of individuals for authentication. Biometrics that use one trait for identifying persons (unimodal biometric systems) face problems of noisy sensors data, non-universality, unacceptable error rates, and spoof attacks. Multimodal biometric authentication techniques attract much attention of many researches recently as the fusion between many different modalities can increase the recognition rate. The fusion can be achieved in different levels such as sensor, feature, or classification level. The literature reported that the multimodal biometric methods achieve better recognition rates than unimodal biometric methods.

From the unimodal biometrics data, two traits of ear and finger knuckle are recently used for authentication [1-8, 20-21]. Chang et al. [1] compared ear and face recognition rates using a principal component analysis (PCA) technique on faces and ear images. In a multimodal experiment for combining ear and face images, the recognition rate was 90.9%. Zhang et al. [2] combined the left and right ears to increase the recognition rate. They achieved recognition rate of 93.3% by using one ear imageimages. Theoharis et al. [3] combined 3D face and 2D ear biometrics. They reported recognition rate of 99.7% by combining face and ear images. Feature combination is used in [4] to improve the recognition rate of ear recognition system. Ravikanth et al. [5, 6] used linear subspace methods to extract finger knuckle (FK)'s features. They combined the output of three classifiers at score level using sum, product, and product of sum rules. Zhang et al. [7] combined two FKs and achieved recognition rate ranging from 99.28% to 99.69%. In another experiment, they combined four FKs and used sum and minimum rules[8].

This paper proposes two authentication methods using ear and FK images to increase the recognition rate. We propose a method based on an image-level fusion. The fusion of images of ear and FK is done before the feature level, thus there is no information lost. We also propose a multi-level fusion method at the image-level and the classification-level. The features are extracted from the fused images using different classifiers and then combine the outputs of these classifiers in the abstract, rank, and score levels of fusion. Experimental results show that the proposed authentication methods increase the recognition rate compared to the state-of-the-art methods.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 7, July 2015

Conventional Algorithms

2.1 Biometric Data

Biometric systems use different physiological traits such as face, iris, fingerprints, ear, finger knuckle, and palm- print of individuals for recognition purposes. Biometrics that use one trait for authentication face problems of noisy sensors data, non-universality, and unacceptable error rates. Thus, multimodal biometric systems is needed to solve these problems. In this paper, we used two recent unimodal biometrics of ear and finger knuckle. The size of ear images are small thus it needs small computational time. In addition, the ear images does not affect by expressions, mode, or health and need not to contact with sensors. On the other hand, FK image has many features than other biometrics.

Classification Level Fusion, Combining classifiers technique achieves good recognition rates when the classifiers used are independent. The fusion in this level may be in the abstract, rank, or score levels. Abstract (decision) level fusion makes a decision by combining the outputs of different classifiers for a test image. Many researches focus on producing a pool of classifiers and select the most diverse and accurate classifiers. The most diverse ensemble, Giacinto and Roli [10], uses the double fault measure (DF) [11] and the Q statistics [12] form a pairwise diversity matrix for a classifier pool and subsequently to select classifiers that are least related. Other abstract level fusion methods are clustering and selection [13], and thinning the ensemble [14].

Rank level fusion

sorts the output of each classifier (a subset of possible matches) in decreasing order of confidence so that each class has its own rank. The fusion can be done through counting the ranks of each class and decision will be class of highest rank. Score (measurement) level fusion applies fusion rules on the vectors that represents the distance between the test image and the training images; the output of each classifier and the decision is the class that has the minimum value. Assume that, the problem of classifying an input pattern Z into one of m possible classes based on the evidence provided by R different classifiers. Let \mathbf{x}_i be the feature vector (derived from the input pattern Z) presented to the i th classifier. Let the outputs of the individual classifiers be $P(j)$, i.e., the posterior probability of the pattern Z belonging to class j given the feature vector \mathbf{x}_i . Let $c \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ be the class to which the input pattern Z is finally assigned. The following rules is used to determine the class c [9]: $c = \arg \max_j \max_i P(j)$, $c = \arg \max_j \min_i P(j)$, $c = \arg \max_j \text{medi} P(j)$, $c = \arg \max_j \text{avg}_i P(j)$, and $c = \arg \max_j i P(j)$.

III. PROPOSED METHODS

In the sensor level, the data obtained from different sensors may not be compatible, such as a data collected from cameras with different resolutions. In the feature level fusion, a long feature vector can become high dimensional if many unimodal biometrics are added. In addition, the combination in classifier-level can achieve good results only if the combined classifiers are independent or diverse. In all cases, many data can be lost.

3.1 Image-Level Fusion Algorithm

The goal of image fusion is to integrate multimodal, multiview, multisensor, multifocus, and multitemporal [15] information into a new image containing information of which quality could not be achieved otherwise. A wide range of application areas utilizes image fusion. The fused image is suitable for the purposes of human/machine perception, and image processing tasks.

Multi-Level Fusion Algorithm

We also propose a multi-level fusion algorithm at image level and classification level. First, we combine ear and FK (LI) images and extract the features of the Then, we classify these features using many classifiers (C_1 , C_2 , and C_3) and combine the output of these classifiers in the abstract, rank, and score levels fusion (multi-level fusion). The outputs of nearest neighbor classifiers using majority voting is used in the abstract level, while the Borda count fusion method is used in the rank level.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 7, July 2015

IV. EXPERIMENTS

4.1 Experimental Setup

In order to evaluate the proposed multimodal biometric methods, two different types of image databases for ear and FK are used. The FK images database [16] were collected from 165 volunteers, including 125 males and 40 females. Ear images database [17], consists of gray scale images in PGM format. We used six ear and FK images in our experiments. One images (1 ear image and 1 FK image) have been used for the training phase and the rest of the images are used for the testing phase. (Left Index (LI) and Right Index (RI)) and also an ear image. Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA), Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT), and Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) are used as feature extraction methods. Minimum distance (Euclidean, City Block, and Cosine), Radial Basis Function (RBF), and Probabilistic Neural Network (PNN) classifiers are used in the evaluation.

4.2 Results

Next, we implement the feature level fusion to combine the features of ear and FK and combine the features of two different FKs. The results are shown in Table 3. The fusion in classification level (score, rank, or abstract) achieved recognition rate better than using single classifier as shown in Tables 1 and 2. The fusion in score level is superior than rank or abstract levels because it has more information.

Median and product rules achieved the best results in score level fusion and thinning ensemble method achieved the best results in abstract level fusion. The recognition rate in feature level using ear or FK models is approximately equal to the results with no fusion. The recognition rates of the proposed methods. The results show that the fusion of images achieved better recognition rate than the fusion in match or feature levels because there is no information lost.

V. CONCLUSION

However, combining ear and FK reported rates better than combining two fingers FK, because ear and FK are more independent. Table 5 shows the results of combining ear and FK images and then applying the fusion in classification level. Recognition Rates of the state-of-the-art methods for ear and FK are shown in Table 6. In this table, [8], combined two or four FKs in score level, [18] combined two FKs in abstract level, [2] combined left and right ears, [4] achieved high recognition rate when they combined ear features, and [19] used feature fusion approach. Results show that the proposed multi-level fusion method increases the recognition rate in comparison to the state-of-the-art methods.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Chang, K. W. Bowyer, S. Sarkar, B. Victor. Comparison and Combination of Ear and Face Images in Appearance-based Biometrics. *IEEE Tran. of Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 25(9): 1160-1165, 2003.
- [2] Subha Palaneeswari M., Ganesh M., Karthikeyan T., Manjula Devi A.J., Mythili S.V., "Hepcidin-mini-review", *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*, ISSN : 0973 - 709X, 7(8) (2013) pp.1767-1771.
- [3] L. Lu, X. Zhang, Y. Zhao, Y. Jia. Ear Recognition Based on Statistical Shape Model. *First International Conference on Innovative Computing, Information and Control (ICIC'06)*, 3: 353-356, 2006.
- [4] Laljee R.P., Muddaiah S., Salagundi B., Cariappa P.M., Indra A.S., Sanjay V., Ramanathan A., "Interferon stimulated gene - ISG15 is a potential diagnostic biomarker in oral squamous cell carcinomas", *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, ISSN : 1513-7368, 14(2) (2013) pp.1147-1150.
- [5] T. Theoharis, G. Passalis, G. Toderici, I. A. Kakadiaris. Unified 3D Face and Ear Recognition using Wavelets on Geometry Images. *Pattern Recognition*, 41(3):796-804, 2008.
- [6] Kumar S., Das M.P., Jeyanthi Rebecca L., Sharmila S., "Isolation and identification of LDPE degrading fungi from municipal solid waste", *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research*, ISSN : 0975 - 7384 5(3) (2013) pp.78-81.
- [7] A. Tharwat, A. I. Hashad, G. I. Salama. Human Ear Recognition Based On Parallel Combination Of Feature Extraction Methods. *The Mediterranean Journal of Computers and Networks*, 6(4): 133-137, 2010.
- [8] Sundar Raj M., Arkin V.H., Adalarasu, Jagannath M., "Nanocomposites based on polymer and hydroxyapatite for drug delivery application", *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, ISSN : 0974-6846, 6(S5) (2013) pp.4653-4658.
- [9] Ch. Ravikanth, A. Kumar. Biometric Authentication using Finger-Back Surface. *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 1-6, 2007.
- [10] Vijayaprakash S., Langeswaran K., Gowtham Kumar S., Revathy R., Balasubramanian M.P., "Nephro-protective significance of kaempferol on mercuric chloride induced toxicity in Wistar albino rats", *Biomedicine and Aging Pathology*, ISSN : 2210-5220, 3(3) (2013) pp.119-124.
- [11] A. Kumar, Ch. Ravikanth. Personal authentication using finger knuckle surface. *IEEE Tran. on Information Forensics and Security*, 4(1): 98-110, 2009.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 7, July 2015

- [12] L. Zhang, D. Zhang. Finger-Knuckle-Print Verification Based on Band-Limited Phase-Only Correlation. Proc. of 13th International Conference on Computer Analysis of Images and Patterns, 141-148, 2009.
- [13] R. E. Banfield, L. O. Hall, K. W. Bowyer, W. P. Kegelmeyer. A new ensemble diversity measure applied to thinning ensembles. Proc. of 4th International Workshop on Multiple Classifier Systems, LNCS 2709, 306-316, 2003.
- [14] ZitováBarbara, Flusser Jan:Image registration methods: a survey,Image and Vision Computing, 21(11), pp. 977-1000. 2003
- [15] Hong Kong Polytechnic University http://web.iitd.ac.in/~biometrics/knuckle/iitd_knuckle.htm
- [16] M. Á. Carreira-Perpin, Compression Neural Networks for Feature Extraction: Application to Human Recognition from Ear Images, M.S. thesis, Faculty of Informatics, Technical University of Madrid, 1995.
- [17] A. Kumar, Ch. Ravikanth. Personal Authentication using finger Knuckle Surface, IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security, 4(1): 98-110, 2009.
- [18] Arbab-Zavar, B. and Nixon, M. Robust Log-Gabor Filter for Ear Biometrics. 19th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR), 1-4, 2008
- [19] Harbi AlMahafzah, Mohammad Imran, and H.S. Sheshadri, "Multibiometric: Feature Level Fusion Using FKP Multi- Instance biometric", IJCSI International Journal of Computer Science Issues, Vol. 9, Issue 4, No 3, 2012.
- [20] A. Tharwat, A. Ibrahim, and H. A. Ali, "Personal identification using ear images based on fast and accurate principal component analysis", 8th International Conference on Informatics and Systems (INFOS), 56-59, 2012.
- [21] Sangeetha Rajagurusamy, Analysis of Work study in An Automobile Company, International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, ISSN: 2319-8753, pp 5622-5631, Vol. 2, Issue 10, October 2013.
- [22] V.G.Vijaya, Analysis of Rigid Flange Couplings, International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, ISSN: 2319-8753, pp 7118-7126, Vol. 2, Issue 12, December 2013.
- [23] V.G.Vijaya, DESIGN OF HUMAN ASSIST SYSTEM FOR COMMUNICATION, International Journal of P2P Network Trends and Technology (IJPTT), ISSN: 2319-8753, pp 3687-3693, Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013.
- [24] V.G.Vijaya, V.Prabhakaran, Design of Human Assist System for Communication, International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, ISSN: 2249-2651, pp 30-35, Volume1 Issue3 Number1–Nov2011.
- [25] V.Krishnasamy, R.Kalpana devi, Isomorphous Salts with Abnormal Water Of Hydration, International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, ISSN: 2319-8753, pp 3500-3509, Vol. 2, Issue 8, August 2013.
- [26] Veera Amudhan R, Tracking People in Indoor Environments, International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, ISSN: 2319-8753, pp 15996-16003, Vol. 3, Issue 9, September 2014.