

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2015

Some Applications of Mathematical Analysis

M. Somasundari¹, K. Janaki²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, Bharath University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we study some application of mathematical analysis using closed sets, isolated points, accumulation points.

KEYWORDS: accumulation points, closed sets.

I. INTRODUCTION

This concepts related in some way to real numbers, so we begin our study of analysis with a discussion of the realnumber system. Several methods are used to introduce real numbers. One method starts with positive integers 1,2,3,.... as undefined concepts and uses them to build a largest system, the prime, Mersenne prime, Fermat prime & Fibonacci numbers. The set of all points between a and b is called an *interval[3]*. Sometimes it is important to distinguish between intervals which include their endpoints and intervals which do not. The notation {x: x satisfies P}will be used to designate the set of all real numbers x satisfy property p. Assume a
b. the *open interval* (a,b) is defined to be the set
(a,b)={x: a<x<b}. The *closed interval* [a,b] is the set) {x: a≤x≤b}. This section describes the applications of closed
sets, isolated points ,accumulation points[2].

Definition 1.1. Let S be a set in E_1 and x a point in E_1 , x not necessarily in S. Then x is called an accumulation point of S, provided very neighbourhood of x contains at least one point of S distinct from x. If x is anaccumulation point of S, then every neighbourhood N(x) contains infinitely many pointsofS[1]. **Definition 1.2.Closed sets in** E_1 A set is called closed if it contains all its accumulation points. Thus aclosed interval is a closed set. An open interval, however, is not a closed set because it doesnot contain its endpoints, both of which are accumulation points of the set. A set which has noaccumulation points is automatically closed and, in particular, every finite set is closed[5]. The empty set is also closed, as is the whole real line E_1 .

A setwhich is not closed need not be open, as, for example, the half-open interval (a, b], which isneither open nor closed.

Definition 1.3 If S is open, then the complement E_1 —S is closed. Conversely, if S is closed, then E_1 —S is open. It follows from the above that the union of a finite collection of closed sets is closed and that the intersection of an arbitrary collection of closed sets is closed[7].

Definition 1.4 A point x in E_n is said to be a **condensation** point of S if everyneighbourhood N(x) has the property that $N(x) \cap S$ is not countable. Show that if S is notcountable, then there exists a point x in S such that x is a condensation point of S.

II. MAIN RESULTS

In this section. We prove some applications on countable, closed sets, isolated points.

Theorem 2.1Prove that if S is not countable, then there exists a point x in S such that x is a condensation point of S[5].

Proof. Divide the set S up into n (possibly partially overlapping) sets S_i , where n is a finite number; i = 1, 2, ..., n; and $U_iS_i = S$. If the set S is not countable, then at least one of these 'regions' will have to be uncountable aswell, or else we will have a finite collection of countable sets and thus Swill be countable — and we will have a contradiction. Choose one of the sets S_i which is uncountable, and repeat the above with this set (i.e.divide it up into a finite collection of smaller sets, at least one of which will be uncountable). If we continue to do this, then this process will converge onto a point $z \in S$ which will be the condensation point we are looking for. Justification: with every subdivision, we find a



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2015

smallerand smaller "region" which is uncountable[7]. In the limit of this process, every neighbourhood of the point z we converge onto will contain one of the regions which we know to be uncountable

(i.e. some region S_i CN(z). Therefore, every neighbourhood of this point z has the property

that $N(z) \cap S$ is not countable, i.e. z is a condensation point. Conclusion: given an uncountable set S, we can always find a condensation point $z \in S$.

Theorem 2.2 Assume that SCE_n and assume that S is not countable. Let T denote the set of

condensation points of S. Show that

(a) S—T is countable, (b) S[·]T is not countable,

(c) T is a closed set, (d) T contains no isolated point

Proof.

(a) If S-T was not countable, then it would contain a condensation

point. But if T is the set of condensation points of S, then S-T contains no condensation

points. It follows (by contradiction) that S—T is countable.

(b)The set $S \cap T$ is the set of condensation points which are in S. We must therefore prove that the set of condensation points in S is not countable. To do this, we use three pieces

of information: (1) From set theory, we know that $S \cap T = S - T_c$; (2) We know that S is not

countable; and (3) We know that S—T is countable. If S—T is countable, and if S is not

countable, then $S - T_c$ must be non countable. Using (1), it follows that $S \cap T$ must also be non countable.

(c) By definition, T is closed if it contains all its accumulation points. We know that every point $x \in T$ is a condensation point, so that every neighbourhood N(x) of a point $x \in T$ is

not countable. This implies that neighbourhood contains another condensation point $y \in T$ ($N(x) \cap S$ uncountable $\Rightarrow \exists$ acondensation point $y \in N(x) \cap S$), so that the point x is an accumulation point of the set T. This applies for all condensation points, so that every point x T is an accumulation point[9]t.

It remains to show that T has no accumulation points that are not in T. Assume that z is an accumulation point of the set T — with $z \notin T$. Because z is not a condensation point, it

follows that not all neighbourhoods N(z) are uncountable. It follows that all the neighbourhoods of the point z must be uncountable, and so we must have $z \in T$, and so T has no accumulation

points that are not in T.

(d) Because we concluded in (c) that every point in T is an accumulation point, it follows that no point in T is an isolated point, i.e. not an accumulation point[8].

REFERENCES

[1] Banks J., Carson II J., and Nelson B. Discrete-Event System Simulation. Prentice-Hall. 1995.

[2]Tamilselvi N., Krishnamoorthy P., Dhamotharan R., Arumugam P., Sagadevan E., "Analysis of total phenols,total tannins and screening of phytocomponents in Indigoferaaspalathoides (ShivanarVembu) Vahl EX DC", Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, ISSN : 0975 – 7384, 4(6) (2012) pp.3259-3262.

[3] Epply, T., The Lean Manufacturing Handbook, (Assisted by Judy Nagengast), Second Edition, 2004

[4].Tamilselvi N., Krishnamoorthy P., Dhamotharan R., Arumugam P., Sagadevan E., "Analysis of total phenols,total tannins and screening of phytocomponents in Indigoferaaspalathoides (ShivanarVembu) Vahl EX DC", Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, ISSN : 0975 – 7384, 4(6) (2012) pp.3259-3262.

[5] Hillier F., and Lieberman G. Introduction to Operations Research. Holden-Day, 2001.

[6].Rajasulochana P., Dhamotharan R., Murugakoothan P., Murugesan S., Krishnamoorthy P., "Biosynthesis and characterization of gold nanoparticles using the alga kappaphycusalvarezii", International Journal of Nanoscience, ISSN : 1793-5350, 9(5) (2010) pp.511-516.
[7] Rardin, R.L., Optimization in Operations Research. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall, 1998.

[8]Sharmila S., Jeyanthi Rebecca L., Das M.P., Saduzzaman M., "Isolation and partial purification of protease from plant leaves", Journal of

Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, ISSN: 0975-7384, 4(8) (2012) PP. 3808-3812.

[9] Taha, H.A., Operations Research: An Introduction. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall, 2002.