

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2015

# Investigative Study on Science and Engineering of Interim and Long Term Measures for Disposal of Municipal Solid Waste in India

Mohan Balwant Dagaonkar<sup>1\*</sup>, S.R. Khandeshwar<sup>2</sup>

Ph.D. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Yeshwantrao Chavan College of Engineering, Hingna Road,

Wanadongri, Nagpur, Maharashtra State, India<sup>1</sup>

Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Yeshwantrao Chavan College of Engineering, Hingna Road, Wanadongri,

Nagpur, Maharashtra State, India<sup>2</sup>

\*City Engineer, Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra State, India<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT: Scientific disposal of municipal solid waste (MSW) in an environmentally acceptable manner has emerged as an intriguing and challenging task for city administrations across the country. This situation has arisen due to missing rules-regulation and government policy matters till as late as Sept. 2000, when MSW rules 2000 were enacted. Since then, after lapse of 14 years, the country does not have scientific disposal of MSW for more than 26000 MT / day out of current MSW quantities of 170400 MT / day from 377 million urbanites. The per capita generation of waste in India is still modest at 452 g (Av.) which <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub><sup>th</sup> to <sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub><sup>rd</sup> as compared to US-UK.About 85% of the urban local bodies are yet to have proper MSW treatment and disposal facilities. To faster the speed of proper disposal mechanism for renewing 144400 MT /day, one can get into quick action mode by adopting the practicable interim to medium term MSW disposal through "Land Fill Bioreactor Gas Recovery" as demonstrated by the authors of this study. Full scale MSW disposal (550 MT /day) experiment designed, developed and operated at Turbhe land fill site of Navi Mumbai during April 2008 to March 2011 revealed important findings. Three year's MSW weighing 0.725 million M.T. could be disposed off in the engineered land fill cell No.3 with land area of 41000 sq.m. having land filling depth of 20 m. The land fill cell has compacted clay and HDPE bottom liners of 1.5 mm thickness with perforated HDPE net work for leachate collection and re-use.Incoming MSW was subjected to recovery of dry recyclables mainly plastics, woody material and glass - metals to the extent of 10%. Remaining waste was land filled in layer by layer with daily earth cover. The Capex worked out to Rs 75.00 million and daily Opex @ Rs 125.00 per MT of MSW.The methane gas recovery data revealed that 3.29 million cu.m. gas was generated in this cell, which has potential commercial value of Rs. 44.80 million per year. Such yield can be obtained for average period of 7 years. During the operating phase of the "LFG Cell", there was enough time for planning and execution of full scale multiple technology processing project for 600 MT / day that begin operating from Aug 2012 is functional currently (March2015).With MSW filled up in the closed bio reactor cell, there has been no visible nuisance of MSW and creation of Garbage Mountain that attracts public protests and penalties from monitoring authorities. This experiment shows the pathways to all ULBs having population of one million plus.

**KEYWORDS**: Municipal Solid Waste, Disposal Mechanism, Turbhe, Navi Mumbai, Land Fill Bioreactor Gas Recovery.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Scientific processing treatment of Municipal Solid Waste is integral part of waste handling, storage, transportation and disposal so as to minimize ill effect on environment so also to keep such disposal costs at the lowest minimum through recovery of usable resources, rather than burying these. Current waste quantities of 484 /g / capita / day in Navi Mumbai are in line with similar other cities. With its population base of 1.27 million, the total MSW from the city was



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

## Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2015

615 MT /day. The waste processing treatment adopted by Municipal Corporations in Chandigarh, Jaipur, Faridabad, Lucknow, Nashik, Hyderabad, Vijayawada reveal that there are several ambiguities regarding success of high technologies like Incineration, bio methanation, RDF alone or composting alone. This led to thinking of alternative approach for waste treatment by the authors of this paper. The chosen approach focused on Bio reactor Land Fill Gas recovery under controlled conditions. While Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation's (NMMC's) entire waste during April 2008 to March 2011 could be treated through "LFG" without visible eye sore and other pollution problems, this paved way for proper planning and execution of multiple technology of Composting plus RDF Plus plastic recycling treatment and further add one of bio-methanation of pure organic waste stream.

## **II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

Waste generation in Indian cities is on the increase on account of rise in the income, changes in life style and improvement in living standard. Beede and Bloom(1995) [1] estimated municipal solid waste will increase at an annual growth rate of 2.70 % in 2010s. Mensah and Whitney (1991) [2] and Benavides(1992) [3] observed waste disposal in mixed form along with other wastes is a serious pollution problem and threat to human health and ecological damage. For disposal of MSW, Crowley (2003) [4] reported quick rise of incineration technology in 80s and early 90s and also reported achievable objectives of bio reactor land fill for acceleration of waste stabilization, methane generation and volume reduction. Johnnessen(1999) [5] observed excessive quantities of leachates and gases from land fill bio reactors that required effective control mechanism.

Maier (1998) [6] reported stability as an important parameter for designing of Land Fill bioreactor. Accordingly to Johannessen (1999) [5] there are 5 important phases of Land Fill bioreactor that may last for up-to 10 years with peak in 4 to 8<sup>th</sup> years. Comparative Land fill bio reactor in Indonesia with 0.70 million MT capacity cost of Rs. 346.30 million with average operational cost of Rs 1280.00 per MT. Offset from scale of electricity was Rs.417.00 per MT leaving a deficit of Rs. 863.00 as tipping fee.

## **III.MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Key material is mixed heterogeneous municipal solid waste generated from residential and commercial zones given in the table 1.

Sr.	Zone Area	Quantity of	Av.Per
No.		Waste Kg /day	Capita g /day
1	Belapur	89185	618
2	Nerul	88470	512
3	Vashi	100210	704
4	Turbhe	85775	607
5	Koparkhairane	103330	562
6	Ghansoli	37315	265
7	Airoli	59200	356
8	Digha	21655	327
Sub Total / Av.		585140	494
9	Agriculture market waste	42125	-
10	MIDC canteen's waste	19735	_
	Total	650000	

Table 1. MSW quantities from different zones of Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation's

Note : i) Range of variation 600 to 680 MT /day.

ii) 74% waste generation was from residential areas, 9% from hotels and restaurants.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2015

Second important material is land area and basic infrastructure. Total area of the site is 26 hectare out of which 8 hectare is devoted to civil and structural work for waste receiving, sorting, sanitization treatment and removal of recyclables. The 4 hectare is under greenification and 14 hectare for construction of engineered sanitary land fill cells.

The Land Fill Bio reactor cell No.3 was devoted to the present study. This cell has surface area of 41000 sq.m. with depth plus height of 20 m. Cell was constructed as per requirement of MSW Rules 2000, with bottom and side liners, leachate collection net work and gas collection pipe line connected to gas flaring device with measurement of gas flaring.

Month wise quantity of MSW deposited in the LFG is given in table 2.

Sr.	Month /Year	MSW	Sr.	Month	MSW Qty.
No		Qty. MT	No	/Year	MT
1	April 2008	15525	19	Oct. 2009	22405
2	May 2008	16600	20	Nov. 2009	19619
3	June 2008	21125	21	Dec. 2009	18206
4	July 2008	22524	22	Jan. 2010	18151
5	Aug. 2008	22661	23	Feb. 2010	17250
6	Sept. 2008	21716	24	March 2010	18619
7	Oct. 2008	19138	25	April 2010	18543
8	Nov. 2008	17215	26	May 2010	19344
9	Dec. 2008	18366	27	June 2010	22754
10	Jan. 2009	18049	28	July 2010	23556
11	Feb. 2009	15827	29	Aug. 2010	24990
12	March 2009	17061	30	Sept. 2010	24658
13	April 2009	17254	31	Oct. 2010	25299
14	May 2009	17757	32	Nov. 2010	23141
15	June 2009	19272	33	Dec. 2010	21567
16	July 2009	20340	34	Jan. 2011	21249
17	Aug. 2009	23277	35	Feb. 2011	19185
18	Sept. 2009	23128	36	March 2011	19181
	•			Total :	724552

**Table 2**. Month wise quantity of MSW deposited in LFG Cell No.3 at Turbhe, Navi Mumbai.

Composition of MSW deposited in the LFG Cell No.3 are listed in the table 3.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

## Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2015

Sr.No.	Waste stream	Percentage (%) Content
P.A. 1	Short term bio degradable Residues of Food Waste, Fruits, Vegetables, Flowers	42.00
2	Grassy matters	5.00
3	Fish, Meat, bones	4.80
4	Soft papers	3.00
	Sub Total (A)	54.80
B.	Medium and long term biodegradables	
1	Tree twigs, palm leaves, coconut shells	4.50
2	Corrugated boxes, jute, rags	3.00
3	Woody materials & bamboo products	3.00
4	Textiles	8.00
	Sub Total (B)	18.50
C.	Inerts and Miscellaneous	
1	Stone, bricks, sand	8.00
2	Diapers, Sanitary napkins	3.00
3	Others	2.70
	Sub Total (C)	13.70
	Grand Total	87.00

## Table 3. Composition of waste deposited in the LFG Cell No.3

Note: Average of 13% materials was removed by the recyclers' net work prior to land filling.

Other Tools Tackles and Equipments : The waste receiving site is well equipped with Payloaders, Pocklane, Wheeldozer, water tanker, sanitary spray baling system, weigh bridges and site laboratory.

#### IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Quantity of land fill gas (methane) measured and flared during 1<sup>st</sup> June 2010 to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2011 and 1<sup>st</sup> Jan 2011 to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2011 is summarized in the table 4;

Sr.	Period	Methane gas	Sr.	Period	Methane gas
No.		flaring cu.m.	No.		flaring cu.m.
1	$1^{st}$ Jan to $31^{st}$ Jan 2010	17608	7	$1^{\text{st}}$ Jan to $31^{\text{st}}$ Jan 2011	22010
2	$1^{st}$ Feb to $28^{th}$ Feb2010	15904	8	$1^{st}$ Feb to $28^{th}$ Feb 2011	19880
3	1 <sup>st</sup> March to 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2010	22010	9	1 <sup>st</sup> March to 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2011	22010
4	1 <sup>st</sup> April to 30 <sup>th</sup> April 2010	21300	10	1 <sup>st</sup> April to 30 <sup>th</sup> April 2011	21300
5	1 <sup>st</sup> May to 31 <sup>st</sup> May 2010	22010	11	1 <sup>st</sup> May to 31 <sup>st</sup> May 2011	22170
6	1 <sup>st</sup> June to 30 <sup>th</sup> June 2010	17040	12	1 <sup>st</sup> June to 30 <sup>th</sup> June 2011	21300
				Total:	244382

Table 4. Record of methane gas flaring at Turbhe LFG Cell No.3



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2015

#### Gas Yield:

This gas yield comes to average rate of methane flaring of 142 cu.m. per hour, 3408 cu.m /day or 1.244 million cu.m.per year as 60% CH<sub>4</sub>.By weight it is 485160 kg /year.At the current market price(subsidized) of Rs 40.00 per kg, it is worth Rs19.40 million per year. In 7 years of active gas generation this will be worth Rs135.80 million.

#### **Compost Recovery:**

It is expected that 0.75 million MT waste deposited in the LFG Cell No 3 will yield about 12% good quality organic fertilizer or compost after its aerobic treatment in windrows. This compost quantity will be 87000 metric tons. This can be sold @ Rs1500/- Per MT on Ex-factory basis, with revenue generation of Rs 130.50 million.

Total Revenue potential:		
L	From bio gas	Rs. 135.80 million
	From Compost	Rs. 135.50 million
	Total	Ps. 271.30 million
	10(a)	Ks. 271.50 mmon
Capex and Opex costs:		
	For construction of LFG Cell O&M cost of MSW land filling	Rs. 75.00 million
	@ Rs 125/- Per MT x 0.725 million	Rs. 90.63 million
	Other operating expenses	
	At the tipping Floor	Rs. 72.50 million
	@ Rs 100/- Per MT	
	Total expenses	Rs.238.30 million
Cost saving to NMMC.		
Cost saving to minic.		
	Rs271.30 (-) Rs238.30	Rs. 33.00 million
	Further cost avoided as	
	Possible Tipping @ Rs400/- Per MT	Rs. 290.00 million
	Overall cost saving to NMMC	<b>B</b> <sub>2</sub> 222 00 million
	Tet Scientific treatment of MSW.	KS.323.00 IIIIII0II

#### **DISCUSSION:**

Outcome of the Land Fill Bio reactor experiment with Methane gas recovery as interim to medium term approach reveals very important gains in terms of avoidance of garbage mountain, problems of pollution, malodors, pathogens, flies and vultures.

Nearly 0.725 million MT MSW (av.of 662 MT/days has been successfully treated and disposed off in LFG cell for 3 years (April 2008 to March 2011) with positive gains of Methane and Compost having revenue potentials of Rs 271.30 million.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2015

After discounting for Capex-Opex and other costs, there has been positive gain of Rs. 33.00 million and tipping fee avoidance of Rs 290.00 million. The experiment further reveals that about 600 to 700 M.T. per MSW can be managed by having just 3 LFG Cells of 4.10 hectare each and 8 ha further area for basic infrastructure and processing facility. Johnnessen (1999) [5] have carried out LFG experiments at 4 locations with useful results. The biggest advantage is that urban local bodies can achieve scientific treatment and disposal within 4 months gestation period and ensure garbage free city and its surroundings. The advantages of bio reactor land fill for waste stabilization and viability of LFG was endorsed by Pacey et al (1999) [7].

#### V. CONCLUSION

Land Fill bioreactor with methane gas recovery as ongoing waste treatment and potential recover compost at the end of 7 years is practical and cost effective technology worthreplicating in cities with population of 1.00 million plus.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] David N. Beede, David E. Bloom, The economics of muncipal solid waste, The WorldBank Research Observer, vol. 10, no.2 (August1995), pp. 113-50.
- [2] Mensah Joseph and Herbert A. Whitney. 1991. "Some Third World Environmental Perceptions and Behaviours Concerning Urban Waste: A Survive of Techiman, Ghana."The Canadian Geographer 35:156-65.
- [3] Benavides Livia. 1992. "Hazardous Waste Management for Small-Scale and Cottage Industries in Developing Countries: Overview Paper." United Nations Development Programme, Urban Management Programme, United Nations Centre for Human Settlements, and World Bank. New York. Processed
- [4] Crowley, D.; Staines, A.; Collins, C.; Bracken, J.; Bruen, M.; Fry, J; Hrymak, Victor; Malone, D.; Magette, B.; Ryan, M.; and Thunhurst, C, "Health and Environmental Effects of Landfilling and Incineration of Waste - A Literature Review" (2003). *Reports*. Paper 3.
- [5] Johannessen, L.M., "Guidance Note on Leachate Management for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills." The World Bank, Urban and Local Government Working Paper Series, (1999).
- [6] Maier, B. (1998). Analysis Procedures for Design of Leachate Recirculation Systems, SWANA' 3rd Annual Landfill Symposium, Palm Beach Gardens, FL. June 22-24, 49-58.
- Pacey J.F., Yazdani, R., Reinhart, D., Morck, R. and Augenstein, D. (1999). "The Bioreactor Landfill: An innovation in solid waste management, SWANA, Silver Springs, Maryland.

#### BIOGRAPHY



Mr. Mohan Balwant Dagaonkar was born on 24<sup>th</sup> October 1960 in Indore, Madhya Pradesh.He graduated in 1982 in Civil Engineering from Indore University.In 2002, he did his post graduation in environmental engineering from VJTIMumbai.After that he did advanced Post-graduate Diploma in Urban Management from YASHADA, Pune from Mumbai University, Mumbai.He has been serving in the Navi Mumbai City for almost 32 years.He is City Engineer of Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation from last 13 years.He is council member of Institution of Engineers, Maharashtra State. Besides this, he was chairman of The Institution of Engineers (India) Maharashtra State Centre which co-ordinates 10 local centers across the Maharashtra State.Before this he was chairman of Belapur, Mumbai Local Centre of Institution of Engineers (India) and

HON. Secretary of The Institution of Engineers (India) Maharashtra State Centre. He is life member of Indian Institute of water works association, Institute of Bridge Engineers and he is a Charted Engineer on Examination Board of Veermata Jijabai Technological Institute, Mumbai(VJTI) as anexternal examiner and visiting teaching facultyfor the B.Tech.and M.Tech.He is also faculty ofCentre for Innovations in Public System (CIPS) of Govt. of India.He visited UK, US, Morocco, China, Singapore, Spain, Netherlands, Germany to participate in international conferences, seminars and workshops.