

ISSN(Online): 2319-8753 ISSN (Print): 2347-6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2015

Determination of Acid Content in Chemistry Laboratory Air Using Very Simple Inexpensive Method

Prakash Samnani*, Shreepa Patel, Bharat Kumrecha

*Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, Vadodara, Gujarat, India

ABSTRACT: Determination of acid fumes in working atmosphere continues to be an active area of research and concern. Though a large variety of sampling and determination methods are available, they are often associated with one or more limitations. Further, there is little data on acid in air in undergraduate chemistry laboratory, possibly because intra and inter-day variations in concentration are expected and because time and exposure levels are low. As MSc research project, therefore, we ventured into using existing knowledge to work out some simple and economic method for determining acid in chemistry laboratory air, using conventional equipment / glassware and chemicals available in the laboratory. Thus we report a very simple and inexpensive method for determination of low concentration of acid in chemistry laboratory air. In this method ordinary filter paper, impregnated with bicarbonate, was used for the sampling of acid fumes. A 10 cm \times 10 cm filter paper sheet soaked in a solution of 0.1g/mL NaHCO₃ solution was used after drying. A simple aquarium aeration pump was used to sample the acid fumes in the laboratory air. Amount of acid absorbed by the paper was determined by simple titration with standard acid solution. The data shows that for upto 3 hours of exposure of this sheet to HCl fumes, noticeable difference in blank and test is observed by means of titration. This indicates impregnated paper works well as absorption medium for acid in air. Approximately 0.003g acid (calculated as HCl)/100L air sampled for 30 minutes (approximately 3 ppm) was measured in working chemistry laboratory air.

KEYWORDS: Acid fumes, chemistry laboratory, NaHCO₃, titration

I. INTRODUCTION

Acids have many uses like in production of chlorides, fertilizers, dyes, electroplating, textiles rubber, etc. The physical state of the acids in workplace air differs from liquid aerosols for non-volatile acids such as H_2SO_4 or H_3PO_4 , to mists and vapours for volatile acids like HCl or HNO₃. Acid mists and vapours are highly corrosive and irritate the eyes and the mucous membranes of the nose, pharynx and respiratory tract, even at low concentrations. The acids in air have occupational exposure limit values between 0.1–1 ppm (H_2SO_4), 1 ppm (H_3PO_4), 2–8 ppm (HCl) and 5 ppm (HNO₃).[1]

Different of sampling devices and absorbing scrubbing agents types / are reported. Diffusion denuders have been used in a variety of atmospheric monitoring studies to collect gaseous atmospheric pollutants [2-7]. Various sampling methods describe suitability of denuders and filters, for this purpose in studies using ambient air (Allegrini et al., 1994)[8]. The efficiency of magnesium oxide (MgO), sodium chloride (NaCl), and sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃) coated substrates in collecting nitrogenous species was determined by challenging the substrates to synthetically generated gases. This sampling technique was found to be suitable for measuring atmospheric aerosols and gases. Possanzini et al. [9] described application of an annular denuder configuration that quantitatively collects reactive atmospheric gases 15-20 times more efficiently, per unit length, than the tubular denuders. Vossler et al. [10] evaluated a sampler (EPA system) consisting of a glass impactor followed by two annular denuders and a filter pack. Measurements of SO₂, HNO₃ and HNO₂ gases showed mean collection efficiency values of 0.993, 0.984, and 0.952, respectively. Additionally, it was found that artifact formation of nitrate and nitrite ions,



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2015

representing about 5-10% of the concentrations of HNO_3 and HNO_2 , occurred in the Na_2CO_3 -coated annular denuder[11].

The DFG [12] and INRS [13] methods both use a pre-filter to remove interfering chloride containing particulates. They reported method for sampling HCl and other chemical agents to which workers in the galvanizing industry were exposed - a two-stage filter method, using a GLA-5000 PVC prefilter to collect $ZnCl_2$, ZnO and NH_4Cl and a sodium carbonate-impregnated QMA quartz fibre filter to trap HCl. Radiello diffusive samplers were found to over sample in comparison to the two-stage filter method, but it was possibly due to contamination of the external diffusive body of the samplers, which appeared to be drawback of this type of device.[14]

A diffusion denuder using capillary gas chromatographic columns as tubes for the collection of semivolatile organic compounds consisted of 120 short (25-cm) sections of a gas chromatographic column that were assembled in parallel and the semivolatile organic compounds were collected by pulling air through the sampler; vapor-phase molecules were collected on the walls of the tubes, while particles pass through and were collected on a filter.[15] Measurements of acidic (e.g., SO₂, HNO₃) and basic gases (e.g., NH₃) that coexist with fine particles ($< 2.5 \mu$ m) were used in models to assist in determining the origin and age of aerosols. Bias associated with each measurement method used to obtain this air quality data was found to degrade the real correlation between species. In addition, the sensitivity of most instrumental methods to measure SO₂, NH₃, HNO₃ or HNO₂ was reported to be limited to measurements at concentration levels above 2-5 ppb [16].

Coated filters and Denuders (honeycomb and annular coated with different slurries of NaCl Na_2CO_3 and MgO) were used for measuring nitrogen dioxide, nitric acid and nitrous acid and the efficiency of working Na_2CO_3 annular denuder in trapping acids was found to be 95% more compared to honeycomb denuders and also 1,2-phenylenediamine and 1,3phenylene diamine concentrations were measured in the work place air using these denuders[17]. Phenylene diamine and the conducting polymer polyaniline, nano fiber, were found to have greater sensing capabilities due to the small fiber diameter, high surface area, and porous nanofiber network that enhances gas diffusion into the fibers used for the detection of phosgene gas in industries [18].

Thus, several techniques are available for sampling of acid fumes in work place environment though they are relatively expensive. Further, little data is available for determination of acid fumes in college university chemistry laboratory, possibly because intra and inter-day variations in concentration are expected and because time and exposure levels are low. As MSc research project, therefore, we ventured into using existing knowledge to work out some simple and economic method for determining amount of acid in chemistry laboratory air, using conventional equipment / glassware and chemicals available in the laboratory.

II. METHODS AND MATERIAL

Reagents: NaHCO₃ (LR), Concentrated HCl (LR), NaOH (LR), Oxalic acid (LR), Methyl orange indicator (LR), Phenolphthalein Indicator (LR), Class B laboratory glass ware, Pump used for aeration in aquarium (Output=3.5L/min, Pressure: 0.02Mpa), cardboard box, petri dish, tubings, glass turf and power supply.

Following sets of experiments were carried out in laboratory.

Stock solutions of NaOH and HCl (1 N) were prepared and stored for not more than one month. Suitable dilutions were prepared every day for experiments. Before starting every experiment standardization of NaOH was carried out with 0.1N oxalic acid solution.

The following experimental set ups were used for sampling of the acid in air.

1) Glass Wash Bottle Method

In this method a glass wash bottle was used for determining acid in air. The wash bottle filled with 100 ml 5% solution of sodium bicarbonate as shown in figure.1. Air was bubbled for 24 hours Lab environment.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2015



Figure 1: Experimental setup 1

Amount of $NaHCO_3$ consumed by the acid computed by titration with a standard solution of 0.1N HCl using methyl orange as indicator. A blank titration was also performed.

2) Glass Tube Method

In this method solid NaHCO₃ powder loosely packed inside glass tube with dimensions 10cm \times 0.5cm. This was connected to the pump for sampling lab air (Fig 2).



Figure 2: Experimental setup 2

Further the amount of acid absorbed was determined by titration as mentioned above. 3) Petri Dish Method

5g NaHCO₃ spread in Petri dish and kept in the box as shown in figure 3 and kept for 24 hour in laboratory. After exposure, it was dissolved in 100mL distilled water and titration was performed as mentioned earlier.



Figure 3: Experimental setup 3



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2015

4) Filter paper



Figure 4: Experimental setup 4

In this method normal filter paper, $10 \text{ cm} \times 10 \text{ cm}$, was impregnated with NaHCO₃ by soaking it for 5 minute in **(a)** 50mL distilled water containing 5g NaHCO₃. Then it was dried in air. For feasibility experiments, controlled environment was created in another room. In this, the impregnated filter paper was kept in the box, connected to outlet of the aerator. Then, 20mL concentrated HCl in beaker along with pump was placed in enclosure. After exposure, the solution of this filter paper was prepared by cutting in small pieces in 100mL distilled water. The titration was performed as discussed above.

In this method normal filter paper was impregnated with o-phenylene diamine by soaking it in 50 mL solution **(b)** of 5 g o-phenylene diamine distilled water. This filter paper was exposed to acid fumes in controlled conditions for feasibility studies.

Actual laboratory experiments were conducted in an undergraduate chemistry laboratory in the same fashion, in which fumes generated in the Lab environment were allowed to enter the box containing NaHCO₃ impregnated filter paper.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the wash bottle method the medium becomes basic after bubbling air, this is due to absorption of CO_2 from the air and the difference between blank and test was negative.

Among glass tube and petri dish methods, in former very less difference in blank and test values was observed, this may be due to less surface area of NaHCO3 exposed. Therefore, latter experiment was conducted, in which NaHCO3 has more surface area. This experiment also shows small difference in the two readings and experiment time was too long. Apparently, there was need to increase surface area of the NaHCO₃, hence impregnation of the bicarbonate on porous medium, like filter paper, was considered.

The filter paper method showed notable difference indicating the amount of acid being absorbed. Optimization experiments were then conducted as follows.

Effect of concentration of NaHCO₃ solution on % loading of NaHCO₃. 1)

- 2)
- Loading of NaHCO₃ on different types support materials.
- 3) Acid absorption on different media under controlled conditions.
- 4) Effect of soaking time on % NaHCO₃ loading.
- 5) o-phenylene diamine experiment under controlled conditions
- Results of exposure of lab hours (Sodium bicarbonate) 6)
- 7) Results of exposure lab hours (o-phenylene diamine)

Data in Table 1 shows effect of varying concentration of NaHCO₃ in solution when the filter paper was soaked in different concentrations for 10 minutes, each. After drying, the amount of NaHCO₃ loaded on paper was determined by titration. The optimum time of 10 minutes of soaking of paper was chosen for further experiments.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2015

Table 1: SOAKING TIME 10 MINUTES

Concentratio	(%) Loading	Amount of
n	of NaHCO ₃	HCl (g)
Of NaHCO ₃		
(%)		
0.5	0.17	0.000366
1.0	0.25	0.000366
2.0	0.17	0.001098
3.0	0.17	0.002196
4.0	0.17	0.001464
5.0	0.14	0.002562
10.0	0.11	0.004758
20.0	0.05	0.004941

At 10% and 20% concentration of sodium bicarbonate solution shows maximum amount of HCl absorbed but for further experiments 10% solution was chosen because it showed reasonable amount of % loading.

Table 2: DIFFERENT TYPES MEDIA AS SUPPORT MATERIAL FOR NAHCO₃ (10 % SOLUTION, SOAKING TIME 10 MIN)

Size	B R* for blank	BR for loaded	Loading of sodium	% loading on
(10cm×10cm)	media	media	bicarbonate (g)	support
	(mL)			
Simple filter paper	0.6	1.4	0.00672	0.0672
Whatmann filter	0.4	2.0	0.01344	0.1344
paper No 1				
Chart Paper (one	1.1	2.0	0.00756	0.0756
side coarse)				
Cotton cloth	0.6	2.3	0.01428	0.1428

*BR = burette reading

The % loading is maximum in case of cotton cloth and Whatman filter paper. However, for cloth, determination of remaining *o*-phenylene diamine and sodium bicarbonate did not yield repeatable results. This was because adhesion or impregnation of the bicarbonate or diamine was much stronger on the fabric.

Table 3: ACID ABSORPTION ON DIFFERENT MEDIA (CONTROLLED CONDITIONS) (10 % NAHCO₃), 10 MINUTES EXPOSURE

Size $(10 \text{cm} \times 10 \text{cm})$	Amount of HCl in g.
Simple filter paper	0.004392
Whatmann filter paper	0.004026
Chart Paper (one side coarse)	0.001830
Cotton cloth	0.005856

Acid fumes absorbed are more in cotton cloth and simple filter paper. Experiments using Whatman filter paper were not continued since it is relatively costly. Hence, normal filter paper was used for further experiments. Cotton cloth was not used for the reasons mentioned above.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2015

Table 4: EFFECT OF SOAKING TIME ON % NAHCO₃ LOADING.(10 % NAHCO₃ CONCENTRATION)

Time	% loading on filter paper
00.5	0.0672
01.0	0.1176
02.0	0.1344
03.0	0.1428
04.0	0.1344
05.0	0.1596
10.0	0.1428
15.0	0.1344
20.0	0.1260
25.0	0.2100
30.0	0.0924
60.0	0.0756

Table-5. O-PHENYLENE DIAMINE EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Time	g. in terms of
05	0.000732
10	0.001464
15	0.001464
20	0.001830
25	0.002196
30	0.002928
60	0.003294

The data in Table 5 was studied under controlled conditions and different exposure time, o-phenylene diamine impregnated (10%) filter paper appears yellow in colour but when exposed to acid fumes turns orange.

TABLE-6. SODIUM BICARBONATE EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Time	g. in terms of HCl
05	0.055
10	0.047
15	0.037
20	0.026
25	0.022
30	0.015
60	0.007

The data in Table 6 is for exposure of NaHCO₃ impregnated (10 %) filter paper under controlled conditions and effect of exposure time.

o-Phenylene diamine (10%) impregnated filter paper under controlled conditions shows more extent of absorption of HCl fumes compared to sodium bicarbonate (10%), the results of o-phenylene diamine did not show reproducibility hence was not used for standardization.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2015

After optimization of conditions, the experiments were conducted in actual working chemistry laboratory, with exposure time of 30 minutes. Filter paper soaked in 10% and 20% sodium bicarbonate solution and *o*-phenylene diamine solution respectively. Laboratory capacity was 40 students and working hours were 12.00 noon to 5.00 pm evening.





The impregnated filter paper (10% NaHCO₃) treated acid fumes generated in working chemistry laboratory, result as shown above indicates, the amount of HCl present in lab is maximum after 1.30 p.m.



Figure 6: Graph showing exposure Lab hour (12 p.m.- 6 p.m.) (*o*-phenylene diamine)

The impregnated filter paper (10% *o*-phenylene diamine) treated acid fumes generated in working chemistry laboratory shows result as above indicates, the amount of HCl present in lab is maximum after 1.30 p.m. These experiments prove the working of this simple method for determination of acid fumes in laboratory air.

IV. CONCLUSION

Thus, the impregnated filter paper, loaded with NaHCO₃ provides satisfactory results for determination of acid in chemistry laboratory air. The method is very simple, uses facilities available in any conventional laboratory and can detect concentration of acid (in terms of HCl) in the concentration range of 3 ppm.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 6, June 2015

REFERENCES

- [1] US National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (1994). NIOSH Manual of Analytical methods, 4th Edition Method 7903 Acids, Inorganic DHHS (NIOSH) Publication 94-113.
- [2] R. K. Stevens; T.G. Dzubay; G.Russworm; D. Rickel; Atmos. Environ. 1978, 12, pp 55-88.
- [3] J. L. Durham; B. E. Wilson; E. B. Bailey; Atmos. Environ. 1978, 12, pp 883-886.

[4] M. Ferm; Atmos. Environ .1979, 13, pp 1385-1393.

- [5] R. W. Shaw; R. K. Stevens; J. W. Bowermaster; J. W. Tesch; E. Tew; Atmos. Environ. 1982, 16, pp 845-853.
- [6] J. Forest; D. J. Spandan; R. L. Tanner; L. Newmann, Atmos. Environ. 1982, 16, 1473-1485.

[7]Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (2002) Volatile organic acids (HCl, HBr, HNO3) in Analysis of HAzarous substances in air Volume 6, Wiley VCH Verlag, Weinheim.

[8] I. Allegrini; A. Febo; C. Perrino; and P. Masia; Intern. J. Anal. Chem. 1994, 54, pp 183-201.

[9] M. Possanzini; A. Febo; *Atmos. Environ.* 1983, *17*, pp 2605-2610.
[10] T. L. Vossler; R. K.Stevens; R. E. Baumgarden; In proceedings of the 1987 EPA/APCA sympoism on measurement of toxic Air pollutants; pp 168-171.

- [11] P. Koutrakis; J. M. Wolfson; J. L. Slater; M. Brauer; J. D. Spengler; Environ. Sci. Technol. 1988, 22, pp 1463-1468.
- [12] L. C. Kenny; R. J. Aitken; C. Chalmers; *App. Occup. And Environ. Hyg.*; **1997**, *41*, pp 135-153.
 [13] M. Demange; P. Gorner; J. M. Elcabache; R. Wrobel; *App. Occup. And Environ. Hyg.*; **2002**, *41*, (3), pp 135-153.
- [14] M. S. Krieger; R. A. Hites; Environ. Sci. Technol.; 1992, 26, pp 1551-1555.
- [15] R. K. Stevens; Reseach of National Bureau of Standards; 1988, 93, pp 283-284.
- [16] D. R. Scott; W. J. III Dunn; W. J. III S. L. Emery; Environ Sci. Tech., 1987, 21 (9), pp 891-897.
- [17] D. R. Fitz; *EPA-454/R-02-011*, **2002**, pp 1-173.
- [18] S. Virji; R. Kojima; J. D. Fowler; J. G. Villanueva; R. B. Kaner; B. H. Weiller; Nano. Res., 2009, 2, pp 135-142.