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#### Abstract

The economy of the structural design of reinforced concrete buildings is usually evaluated by comparing the concrete volume per unit area and rebar weight per unit volume with certain empirical values depending on the type of the structure and the past experience of the judging engineer. The aim of this paper is to refine those empirical values and give that past experience the required scientific base. In order to achieve that goal, simplified methods of design that stated in most of reinforced concrete design codes are used to figure out the required quantities of concrete and reinforcement steel for different structural elements and types. Some reasonable assumptions are used to facilitate the mathematical formulas to be usable and presentable. Produced formulas are accurate enough to be used in rough estimation of concrete and rebar quantities, check quantity surveying results and evaluate the economy of the structural design.
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|  | ABBREVIATIONS |
| :---: | :---: |
| As | : Main steel reinforcement area ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) |
| As' | : distributary steel reinforcement area ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) |
| d | : Depth of section (cm) |
| Fcu | : Characteristic cube strength of concrete after 28 day |
| Fc' | : Characteristic cylinder strength of concrete after 28 day |
| Fy | : Yield stress of reinforcement steel |
| L | : Short span of slab or clear span of cantilever slab (m) |
| L' | : Long span of slab (m) |
| R | : Aspect ratio of slab ( $\left.L^{\prime} / \mathrm{L}\right) \leqslant 2.0$ |
| RFT | : Reinforcement |
| ts | : Total thickness of slab (cm) |
| ws | : Uniformly distributed load on slabs ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) |
| $\alpha, \beta$ | : load distribution factors in short \& long direction of 2 way solid slab |
| $\gamma \mathrm{rc}$ | : Reinforced concrete density ( $2.5 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) |
| $\gamma \mathrm{s}$ | : Reinforcement steel density ( $7.85 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) |

## I. Introduction

RC Slab is a horizontal concrete plate carries loads perpendicular to its plane. It transfers those loads to its supports either beams or columns. This load transfer generates mainly bending moments and shear stresses in the slab. Usually, some empirical values are used as optimum rebar percentage to evaluate the economy of certain design. Some of the most widely accepted values are $\left(60-80 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ for solid slab and $\left(120-140 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ of flat slabs.

This paper aims to evaluate the optimum thickness and rebar percentage of solid, hollow block, flat slab and waffle slab based on the simplified design methods stated in most reinforced concrete design codes.
Normally, design and quantities will be affected by several variables such as loads, spans, boundary conditions, used martials, rebar detailing, ..etc., hence, in order to facilitate the study, the following assumptions are considered:
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Slab loads: Consists of its own weight, superimposed loads and live loads. Own weigh is well defined and it is equal to slab thickness times the reinforced concrete density, while the superimposed loads and live loads are widely varied according to type of finishing and the room activity, also there might be partitions loads directly on the slabs. Generally, large slabs are more likely to either be public area or have partition loads than small slabs. Hence, it is expected that slab load proportionally increases with slab area. Superimposed load is ranged between $(0.15-0.25) \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, live load is ranged between $(0.2-0.6) \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, and partition load is ranged between $(0.0-0.4) \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ [2],[3]. It should be noted that the increase of loads is either due to live load or partition load not both of them. Assuming that the short span of the slab is ranged between 4.0 to 10.0 m , then smallest slab should has smallest loads and via versa. Hence, the summation of superimposed load, live load and partition load for 4.0 m span is $\left(0.15+0.2+0.0=0.35 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ and for 10.0 m span is $\left(0.25+0.2+0.4=0.85 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. So, the load/span ratio is ranged between $(0.88-0.85) \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$. Based on this study, slab loads except its own weight is about $0.90 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ times its governing span in meters.

Continuity: Connections between considered bending element and adjacent elements have a major effect on bending moment distribution along this element. As per many codes, maximum bending moment for a simply supported element, one end and both ends continues element subjected to uniformly distributed load are ( $\mathrm{wL} \mathrm{L}^{2} / 8$ ), ( $\mathrm{wL}^{2} / 10$ ) and ( $\mathrm{wL}^{2} / 12$ ) respectively [1],[4]. Hence, the required reinforcement area for simply supported and both ends continues spans are $1.25 \& 0.83$ times the required reinforcement area for the one end continues identical span respectively. Also, the continuity of the element affects the detailing of the longitudinal reinforcement. Figure (1) shows the typical detail of solid slabs in ACI-315. Based on this detail the total volume of longitudinal reinforcement are (1.28 As.L), (1.49 As.L) \& (1.70 As.L) for the simply supported, one end continues \& both ends continues spans respectively, where (As) is the required area of reinforcement steel for each case. Table (1) shows the ratios between reinforcement weight in the three continuity cases and the one end continues case. The ratios are ( $1.07,1.00$ and 0.95 ) for the simply supported, one end continues \& both ends continues spans respectively. Based on this analysis, all farther analysis will consider the one end continuity with error less than 7\%

Table 1: Continuity effect on RFT amount

| Continuity | $\mathrm{M}_{\text {max }}$ | ReinforcementVolume | $\underset{M_{1 \text { side }}}{\underline{M}}=\frac{\mathrm{As}}{\mathrm{As}}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{RFT}}{\mathrm{RFT}_{1 \text { side }}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simple | $\frac{\mathrm{wL}^{2}}{8}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.33 \mathrm{As} * 0.25 \mathrm{~L})+(0.33 \mathrm{As} * 0.25 \mathrm{~L})+ \\ (\mathrm{As} * \mathrm{~L})=1.28 \mathrm{As} . \mathrm{L} \end{gathered}$ | $10 / 8=1.25$ | $\frac{1.25 * 1.28 \text { As.L. } \gamma \mathrm{s}}{1.49 \text { As.L. } \gamma \mathrm{s}}$ | $=1.07$ |
| One end | $\frac{\mathrm{wL}^{2}}{10}$ | $\begin{gathered} (1.00 \mathrm{As} * 0.30 \mathrm{~L})+(0.33 \mathrm{As} * 0.25 \mathrm{~L})+ \\ (\mathrm{As} * \mathrm{~L})=1.49 \mathrm{As} . \mathrm{L} \end{gathered}$ | $10 / 10=1.00$ | $\frac{1.00 * 1.49 \text { As.L. } \gamma \mathrm{S}}{1.49 \mathrm{As} . \mathrm{L} . \gamma \mathrm{s}}$ | $=1.00$ |
| Both ends | $\frac{\mathrm{wL}^{2}}{12}$ | $\begin{gathered} (1.00 \mathrm{As} * 0.30 \mathrm{~L})+(1.00 \mathrm{As} * 0.30 \mathrm{~L})+ \\ (\mathrm{As} * \mathrm{~L})=1.70 \mathrm{As} . \mathrm{L} \end{gathered}$ | $10 / 12=0.83$ | $\frac{0.83 * 1.70 \text { As.L. } \gamma \mathrm{s}}{1.49 \text { As.L. } \gamma \mathrm{s}}$ | $=0.95$ |



Fig. (1): Typical rebar details for slabs as per ACI 315-99 [5]
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## II. SOLID SLABS

Solid slab is the basic type of slabs. It is defined as uniform thickness horizontal concrete plate supported by rigid beams on the edges. Rectangle solid slab is the most common shape, it has four edges, and each edge could be fixed, simply supported or free. According to ACI-318, minimum solid slab thickness meeting deflection requirement could be calculated as follows:

$$
\text { ts }=[0.8+\mathrm{Fy} / 1600] /[36+9 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{L}] \quad \text { (in } \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{~mm})
$$

For $\mathrm{Fy}=360 \mathrm{MPa}$, this equation could be simplified to $\mathrm{ts}=\left(1.6 \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right) / 100$ with error less than $10 \%$, as shown in table(2).

Table 2: Comparison between ACI-318\& simplified formulato estimate the thickness of solid slabs

| $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{L}$ '/ L | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ts As ACI-318 | $\mathrm{L} / 43.0$ | $\mathrm{~L} / 37.0$ | $\mathrm{~L} / 33.0$ | $\mathrm{~L} / 30.0$ | $\mathrm{~L} / 27.6$ | $\mathrm{~L} / 25.7$ |
| $(1.6 \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{L}$ ')/100 | $\mathrm{L} / 38.5$ | $\mathrm{~L} / 35.7$ | $\mathrm{~L} / 33.3$ | $\mathrm{~L} / 31.2$ | $\mathrm{~L} / 29.4$ | $\mathrm{~L} / 27.8$ |

Slab load is distributed in both directions according to rectangularity ratio ( R ), the following derivation approves that rectangularity ratio has a minor effect on rebar percentage of solid slabs (about $\pm 2.0 \%$ ). For a solid slab with short \& long spans L \& L' respectively

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{ws}_{\text {short }}=\alpha \cdot \mathrm{ws} & \mathrm{ws}_{\text {long }}=\beta . \mathrm{ws} \\
\mathrm{M}_{\text {short }}=\alpha .{\mathrm{ws} . \mathrm{L}^{2} / 10}^{\mathrm{As}_{\text {short }}}=1.5 \mathrm{M}_{\text {short }} / 0.85 \mathrm{Fy} . \mathrm{d} & \mathrm{M}_{\text {long }}=\beta .{\mathrm{ws} . \mathrm{L}^{\prime}}^{2} / 10 \\
\mathrm{RFT}_{\text {short }}=1.49 \mathrm{As}_{\text {short }} \cdot \gamma \mathrm{s} & \mathrm{As}_{\text {long }}=1.5 \mathrm{M}_{\text {long }} / 0.85 \mathrm{Fy} . \mathrm{d} \\
& \mathrm{RFT}_{\text {long }}=1.49 \mathrm{As}_{\text {long }} \cdot \gamma \mathrm{s}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\mathrm{RFT} / \mathrm{ts}=\left(\mathrm{RFT}_{\text {short }}+\mathrm{RFT}_{\text {long }}\right) / \text { ts }
$$

$$
=\mathrm{K}\left(\alpha \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{2}+\beta \cdot \mathrm{L}^{2}\right)
$$

Where $\mathrm{K}=\left(1.5 * 1.49 *_{\text {ws }} * \gamma \mathrm{~s}\right) /(8.5 \mathrm{Fy} . \mathrm{d} . \mathrm{ts}), \quad \mathrm{M}_{\text {ult. }} \approx 1.5 \mathrm{M}_{\text {working }}$
Considering units and substituting in the previous formulas as follows:
$\alpha=(0.5 \mathrm{R}-0.15)$
$\beta=0.35 / R^{2}$
$L^{\prime}=R . L$
ts $=\left(1.6 \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right) / 100$
$\mathrm{d}=0.9 \mathrm{ts}$
fy $=3600$
$\gamma \mathrm{s}=7.85$
$\mathrm{ws}=2.5 \mathrm{ts}+0.09 \mathrm{~L}$

Leads to the formula below:
RFT/ts $=8.3 \mathrm{~L} .(\mathrm{R}+5.2)(\mathrm{R}+0.4) /(\mathrm{R}+1.6)^{2}$
For $\mathrm{R}=1, \quad \mathrm{RFT} / \mathrm{ts}=1.333 * 8.3 * \mathrm{~L}=\quad 11.1 \mathrm{~L}$ For $\mathrm{R}=2, \quad \mathrm{RFT} / \mathrm{ts}=1.285 * 8.3 * \mathrm{~L}=\quad 10.7 \mathrm{~L}$
$\mathrm{RFT} / \mathrm{ts}=\quad 10.9 \mathrm{~L} \pm 2.0 \%$
Similarly, the conclusion is valid in case of two way hollow block slabs.
Solid slabs thicker than 16 cm should have top mesh to resist shrinkage stresses. For 18 cm thick slab, the short span is about 5.0 to 6.0 m according to rectangularity ratio. The top mesh is ranged between $5 \varphi 6 / \mathrm{m}$ to $5 \varphi 10 / \mathrm{m}$ for short span between 6.0 to 10.0 m . The average weight of the top mesh is about $0.06 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$.
A) One way solid slab $\left(L^{\prime}=2 L\right)$

| Slab thickness | $\mathrm{ts}(\mathrm{m})$ | $=0.01(1.6 \mathrm{~L}+2 \mathrm{~L})$ | $=0.036 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slab depth | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{m})$ |  | $\approx 0.032 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Own weight of slab | $\left(\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=0.036 \mathrm{~L} * 2.5$ |  |
| Total slab load | $\mathrm{ws}\left(\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=0.09 \mathrm{~L}+0.09 \mathrm{~L}$ | $=0.09 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Bending moment | $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{\prime}\right)$ | $=\mathrm{ws}. \mathrm{~L}^{2} / 10$ | $=0.018 \mathrm{~L}^{3}$ |
| Main steel area | $\mathrm{As}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}\right)$ | $=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M} / 0.85$ fy.d | $=0.285 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Main RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=1.49 \mathrm{As} \gamma \mathrm{s}$ | $=0.333 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Sec. RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=20 \%$ Main RFT | $=0.066 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Shrinkage RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=$ Avenge value | $=0.060 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=$ Sum of weights $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $=0.460 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $=0.460 \mathrm{~L}^{2} / 0.036 \mathrm{~L}$ | $=12.80 \mathrm{~L}$ |
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Min. slab thickness $=10 \mathrm{~cm}$, Hence, $L \min \approx 3.0 \mathrm{~m}$
Min. RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{3} \quad\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \quad=12.8 * 3 \mathrm{~m} \quad=38.5$
B) Two way solid slab $\left(L^{\prime}=L\right)$

For 4 sides supported elastic rectangle plate, $\alpha, \beta=0.35$

| Slab thickness | ts (m) | $=0.01(1.6 \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{L})$ | $=0.026 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slab depth | d (m) | $\approx 0.023 \mathrm{~L}$ |  |
| Own weight of slab | ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $=0.026 \mathrm{~L} * 2.5$ | $=0.065 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Total slab load | ws ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $=0.065 \mathrm{~L}+0.09 \mathrm{~L}$ | $=0.155 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Bending moment in one dir. | M (m.t/m') | $=\alpha$. ws. $\mathrm{L}^{2} / 10$ | $=0.005 \mathrm{~L}^{3}$ |
| Steel area for one dir. | As ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$ ) | $=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M} / 0.85 \mathrm{fy} . \mathrm{d}$ | $=0.118 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ in one dir. | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=1.49 \mathrm{As} \gamma \mathrm{s}$ | $=0.139 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Shrinkage RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | = Avenge value | $=0.060 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | = Sum of weights $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $=0.338 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $=0.338 \mathrm{~L}^{2} / 0.026 \mathrm{~L}$ | $=13.00 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Min. slab thickness $=10 \mathrm{~cm}$, Hence, L min $\approx 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |
| Min. RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $=13.0 * 4 \mathrm{~m}$ | $=52.0$ |

## C) Cantilever solid slab

Slab thickness is about $\mathrm{L} / 10$, the main RFT is hook shape, and the upper bars extended 1.5 times cantilever length in the adjacent slab. RFT in secondary direction is $20 \%$ of the main steel at top and bottom of the slab.

| Slab thickness | ts (m) | $=0.10 \mathrm{~L}$ | $=0.10 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slab depth | d (m) | $\approx 0.08 \mathrm{~L}$ |  |
| Own weight of slab | (t/m) | $=0.10 \mathrm{~L} * 2.5$ | $=0.250 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Total slab load | ws ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $=0.25 \mathrm{~L}+0.09 \mathrm{~L}$ | $=0.340 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Bending moment | M (m.t/m') | = ws. $\mathrm{L}^{2} / 2$ | $=0.170 \mathrm{~L}^{3}$ |
| Main steel area | As ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$ ) | $=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M} / 0.85 \mathrm{fy} . \mathrm{d}$ | $=1.072 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Main RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=3.55 \mathrm{As} \gamma \mathrm{s}$ | $=3.000 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Sec. RFT area | As' ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$ ) | $=2 \times 20 \% \mathrm{As}$ | $=0.429 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Sec. RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=1.00 \mathrm{As}^{\prime} \gamma \mathrm{s}$ | $=0.337 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | (kg/m ${ }^{2}$ ) | = Sum of weights $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $=3.337 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $=3.337 \mathrm{~L}^{2} / 0.100 \mathrm{~L}$ | $=33.37 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Min. slab thickness $=10 \mathrm{~cm}$, Hence, L min $\approx 1.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |
| Min. RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $=11.1 * 1 \mathrm{~m}$ | $=33.37$ |

## III. HOLLOW BLOCK SLABS

Hollow block slab is a ribbed slab formed using blocks of a material lighter than concrete, usually hollow clay blocks or foam blocks. Due to the limitation of the block size, the spacing between ribs is limited by $0.6 \mathrm{~m}(0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ is commonly used) and the minimum total depth of slab is limited by 20 cm . Hollow block slab could be either one way or two ways. Due to the leakage if torsional rigidity and corner effect, Marcos parameters are used to distribute the load in the two ways slabs $(\alpha+\beta=0.80)$. It is a common practice to evaluate the rebar weight ratio relative to the total thickness of slab. All previous assumptions for solid slab thickness, load and continuity are still valid in case of hollow block slabs in addition to the following assumptions:

- Total slab thickness $\approx 1.5$ slab thickness of equivalent solid slab
- Own weight of one way H.B. slab $\approx 0.5$ own weight of equivalent solid slab
- Own weight of two ways H.B. slab $\approx 0.66$ own weight of equivalent solid slab
- Own weight of cantilever H.B. slab $\approx 0.5$ own weight of equivalent solid slab
- Rib spacing is about 0.5 m .
- Span is ranged between 5 to 10 m .
- Rib ties are ranged between $\varphi 6-300$ to $\varphi 8-200$, average weight $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ is $0.040 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$
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- Top slab mesh is ranged between $\varphi 6-200$ to $\varphi 10-200$, average weight $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ is $0.075 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$

| A) One way hollow block slab |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slab thickness | ts (m) | $=0.01(1.6 \mathrm{~L}+2 \mathrm{~L}) \times 1.5$ | $=0.054 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Slab depth | d (m) | $\approx 0.050 \mathrm{~L}$ |  |
| Own weight of slab | ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $=0.054 \mathrm{~L} * 2.5 * 0.5$ | $=0.068 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Total slab load | ws ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $=0.068 \mathrm{~L}+0.09 \mathrm{~L}$ | $=0.158 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Bending moment | M (m.t/m') | $=\mathrm{ws} . \mathrm{L}^{2} / 10$ | $=0.016 \mathrm{~L}^{3}$ |
| Main steel area | As ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$ ) | $=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M} / 0.85 \mathrm{fy} . \mathrm{d}$ | $=0.160 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Main RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=1.49 \mathrm{As} \gamma \mathrm{s}$ | $=0.187 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Ribs ties weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | = average value | $=0.040 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Top slab mesh weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | = average value | $=0.075 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | = Sum of weights $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $=0.300 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $=0.300 \mathrm{~L}^{2} / 0.054 \mathrm{~L}$ | $=5.600 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Min. slab thickness $=20 \mathrm{~cm}$, Hence, L min $\approx 4.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |
| Min. RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $=5.60 * 4 \mathrm{~m}$ | $=22.5$ |
| B) Two way hollow block slab ( $\left.L^{\prime}=\mathrm{L}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| Using Marcos distribution parameters, $\alpha, \beta=0.40$ |  |  |  |
| Slab thickness | ts (m) | $=0.01(1.6 \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{L}) \times 1.5$ | $=0.039 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Slab depth | d (m) | $\approx 0.035 \mathrm{~L}$ |  |
| Own weight of slab | ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $=0.039 \mathrm{~L} * 2.5 * 0.66$ | $=0.065 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Total slab load | ws ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $=0.065 \mathrm{~L}+0.09 \mathrm{~L}$ | $=0.155 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Bending moment in one dir. | M (m.t/m') | $=\alpha$.ws. $\mathrm{L}^{2} / 10$ | $=0.006 \mathrm{~L}^{3}$ |
| Steel area for one dir. | As ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$ ) | $=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M} / 0.85 \mathrm{fy} . \mathrm{d}$ | $=0.089 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ in one dir. | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=1.49 \mathrm{As} \gamma \mathrm{s}$ | $=0.104 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Ribs ties weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | = average value | $=0.080 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Top slab mesh weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | = average value | $=0.075 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | = Sum of weights $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $=0.365 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $=0.365 \mathrm{~L}^{2} / 0.039 \mathrm{~L}$ | $=9.300 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Min. slab thickness $=20 \mathrm{~cm}$, Hence, L min $\approx 5.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |
| Min. RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $=9.3 * 5 \mathrm{~m}$ | $=47.0$ |
| C) Cantilever hollow block slab |  |  |  |
| Slab thickness | ts (m) | $=0.15 \mathrm{~L}$ | $=0.15 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Slab depth | d (m) | $\approx 0.12 \mathrm{~L}$ |  |
| Own weight of slab | ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $=0.15 \mathrm{~L} * 2.5 * 0.5$ | $=0.188 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Total slab load | ws ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $=0.188 \mathrm{~L}+0.09 \mathrm{~L}$ | $=0.278 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Bending moment | M (m.t/m') | $=\mathrm{ws} . \mathrm{L}^{2} / 2$ | $=0.139 \mathrm{~L}^{3}$ |
| Main steel area | As ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$ ) | $=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M} / 0.85 \mathrm{fy} . \mathrm{d}$ | $=0.584 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Main RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=3.55 \mathrm{As} \gamma \mathrm{s}$ | $=1.628 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Ribs ties weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | = average value | $=0.040 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Top slab mesh weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | = average value | $=0.075 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | = Sum of weights $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $=1.750 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $=1.750 \mathrm{~L}^{2} / 0.150 \mathrm{~L}$ | $=11.60 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Min. slab thickness $=20 \mathrm{~cm}$, Hence, L min $\approx 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |
| Min. RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $=11.6 * 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | $=17.40$ |
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## IV.FLAT SLABS

Flat slab is defined as the slab that is supported directly on the columns. It could have uniform thickness (flat plate) or variable thickness (flat slab with dropped panels). Also it could be solid or ribbed (Waffle slab). For uniformly loaded equal spans flat slabs, a simplified design method is stated in most codes depends on calculating the total bending moment in the span and distribute it in both positive and negative in field and column strips according to certain ratios depending of the uniformity of slab thickness and the stiffness of marginal beam.
The considered reinforcement detail is bottom mesh designed for the maximum positive bending moment, top reinforcement above columns designed for the maximum negative moment and extends one sixth the span in both directions and top mesh with area equals to $25 \%$ of the top reinforcement above columns (seismic requirement).Unlike the four sides supported slabs, flat \& waffle slabs thickness is dominated by the long direction span (L'). Hence, it is better to represent the reinforcement weight per cubic meter as a function of ( $L^{\prime}$ ) instead of ( L ) for flat and waffle slabs.

## A) Uniform thickness flat slab

According to simplified design method positive and negative bending moments in column strip could be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Mo }=\text { ws. } L_{1}{ }^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~L}_{2} / 8, \\
\text { Column \& field strips width } & =0.5 \mathrm{~L}_{2} & \\
\text { M-vemax }=50 \% \text { Mo (/strip) } & =\text { ws. } \mathrm{L}_{1}{ }^{2} / 8 & (/ \mathrm{m}) \\
\text { M }+ \text { ve } \max =30 \% \text { Mo (/strip) } & =\text { ws. } \mathrm{L}_{1}{ }^{2} / 13.3 & (/ \mathrm{m})
\end{array}
$$

Where $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ is the span in considered direction, $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ is the span perpendicular on $\mathrm{L}_{1}$

Slab thickness
Own weight of slab
Total slab load
For long direction:
Positive bending moment
Bottom steel area
Bottom RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
Negative bending moment
Top steel area
Top col. RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ Top mesh RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
For short direction:
Positive bending moment
Bottom steel area
Bottom RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
Negative bending moment
Top steel area
Top col. RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
Top mesh RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
Summation of both directions:
Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{3}$

| ts (m) | $=0.033 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| d (m) | $\approx 0.030 \mathrm{~L}^{\text {' }}$ |
| ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $=0.033 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime} * 2.5 \quad=0.083 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}$ |
| ws ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $=0.083 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}+0.09 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime} \quad=0.173 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}$ |
| M+ve (m.t/m') |  |
| As ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$ ) | $=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M}_{+ \text {ve }} / 0.85 \mathrm{fy} . \mathrm{d}=0.219 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=1.00$ As $\gamma \mathrm{s} \quad=0.172 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}$ |
| M-ve (m.t/m') | $=$ ws. $\mathrm{L}^{\text {,2 }} / 8 \quad=0.022 \mathrm{~L}^{\text {,3 }}$ |
| As ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$ ) | $=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M}_{\text {-ve }} / 0.85 \mathrm{fy} . \mathrm{d}=0.364 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=0.3 * 0.3 \mathrm{As} \gamma \mathrm{s} \quad=0.026 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=0.25 * 0.91 *$ As $\gamma \mathrm{s} \quad=0.065 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}$ |
| ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $=$ Sum of weights $/ \mathrm{m}^{2} \quad=0.265 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |

$\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{ve}(\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m} \mathrm{\prime})=$ ws. $\mathrm{L}^{2} / 13.3 \quad=0.013 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{2}$
As $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}\right) \quad=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M}_{+ \text {ve }} / 0.85$ fy.d $=0.219 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$
$\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \quad=1.00 \mathrm{As} \gamma \mathrm{s} \quad=0.172 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$
M -ve (m.t/m') = ws. $\mathrm{L}^{2} / 8 \quad=0.022 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{2}$
$\mathrm{As}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}\right) \quad=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M}_{\text {-ve }} / 0.85 \mathrm{fy} . \mathrm{d}=0.364 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$
$\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \quad=0.3 * 0.3 \mathrm{As} \gamma \mathrm{s} \quad=0.026 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$
$\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \quad=0.25 * 1.00$ As $\gamma \mathrm{s} \quad=0.065 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$
$\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \quad=$ Sum of weights $/ \mathrm{m}^{2} \quad=0.265 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$
$\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \quad=0.265 \mathrm{~L}^{, 2}+0.265 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$
$\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \quad=0.265\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}+\mathrm{L}^{2}\right) / 0.033 \mathrm{~L}$,
$=8.00 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime} \cdot\left[1+\left(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]$

Min. slab thickness $=20 \mathrm{~cm}$, Hence, $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}^{\prime} \min \approx 6.0 \mathrm{~m}$
Min. RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{3} \quad\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \quad=16.0 * 6 \mathrm{~m}=96.0$
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B) Flat slab with dropped panels

For variable thickness flat slab, drop panels extend one sixth the span in both directions are used. Thickness of drop panel is about 1.5 times the slab thickness. According to simplified design method positive and negative bending moments in column strip could be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Mo = ws. } \mathrm{L}_{1}{ }^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~L}_{2} / 8, & \\
\text { Column strip width }=0.33 \mathrm{~L}_{2}, & \text { field strip width }=0.66 \mathrm{~L}_{2} \\
\text { M-vemax }=43.3 \% \mathrm{Mo}(/ \text { strip }) & =\text { ws. } \mathrm{L}_{1}{ }^{2} / 6.15 \\
\text { M }+ \text { ve } \max =23.3 \% \text { Mo (/strip) } & \text { = ws. } \mathrm{L}_{1}{ }^{2} / 11.4 \quad(/ \mathrm{m})
\end{array}
$$

Where $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ is the span in considered direction, $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ is the span perpendicular on $\mathrm{L}_{1}$

| Slab thickness | ts (m) | $=0.028 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slab depth | d (m) | $\approx 0.025 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}$ |  |
| Drop panel thickness | td (m) | $=0.040 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}$ |  |
| Drop panel depth | dd (m) | $\approx 0.037 \mathrm{~L}^{\text {, }}$ |  |
| Average thickness | tsavg (m) | $\begin{aligned} & =\left(0.75 * 0.028 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}+\right. \\ & =0.031 \mathrm{~L} \end{aligned}$ | * 0.040 L’’) |
| Own weight of slab | ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $=0.031 L^{\prime} * 2.5$ | $=0.078 \mathrm{~L}^{\text {, }}$ |
| Total slab load | ws ( $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $=0.078 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}+0.09 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}$ | $=0.168 \mathrm{~L}^{\text {, }}$ |
| For long direction: |  |  |  |
| Positive bending moment | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{ve}$ (m.t/m') | $=$ ws. $\mathrm{L}^{\prime 2} / 11.4$ | $=0.015 \mathrm{~L}^{3}$ |
| Bottom steel area | As ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$ ) | $=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M}_{+\mathrm{ve}} / 0.85$ | = $0.300 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}$ |
| Bottom RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $=1.00 \mathrm{As} \gamma \mathrm{s}$ | $=0.230 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}$ |
| Negative bending moment | M-ve (m.t/m') | $=$ ws. $\mathrm{L}^{\prime 2} / 6.15$ | $=0.027 \mathrm{~L}^{3}$ |
| Top steel area | As ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$ ) | $=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M}_{\text {-ve }} / 0.85$ | $\mathrm{d}=0.344 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}$ |
| Top col. RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=0.3 * 0.3$ As $\gamma \mathrm{s}$ | $=0.025 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}$ |
| Top mesh RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=0.25 * 0.91 *$ As $\gamma \mathrm{s}$ | $=0.061 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}$ |
| Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | = Sum of weights $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $=0.316 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}$ |
| For short direction: |  |  |  |
| Positive bending moment | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{ve}$ (m.t/m') | = ws. $\mathrm{L}^{2} / 11.4$ | $=0.015 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{2}$ |
| Bottom steel area | As ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$ ) | $=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M}_{+\mathrm{ve}} / 0.85$ | $\mathrm{d}=0.300 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Bottom RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=1.00 \mathrm{As} \gamma \mathrm{s}$ | $=0.230 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Negative bending moment | M-ve (m.t/m') | = ws. $\mathrm{L}^{2} / 6.15$ | $=0.027 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{2}$ |
| Top steel area | As ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$ ) | $=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M}_{-\mathrm{ve}} / 0.85$ | $=0.344 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Top col.RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=0.3 * 0.3$ As $\gamma \mathrm{s}$ | $=0.025 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Top mesh RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=0.25 * 0.91 *$ As $\gamma \mathrm{s}$ | $=0.061 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | = Sum of weights $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $=0.316 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |
| Summation of both directions: |  |  |  |
| Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=0.316 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}+0.316 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$ |  |
| Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & =0.316\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}+\mathrm{L}^{2}\right) / 0.0 \\ & =10.2 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime} .[1+(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Min. slab thickness $=20 \mathrm{~cm}$, Hence, L=L' $\min \approx 7.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |

## C) Waffle slab

Same criteria of uniform thickness flat slab are considered. Solid part extends one sixth the span in both directions above columns.
Total waffle slab thickness is the same of the equivalent flat slab with dropped panel.
Own weight of waffle slab is 0.66 of the equivalent flat slab with dropped panel.
Rib spacing is about 0.8 m . Rib ties are ranged between $\varphi 6-200$ to $\varphi 8-200$ for spans ranged between 7 to 12 m , hence, the average weight $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ is about $0.025 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$.

According to codes, empirical method could be used uniformly loaded equal spans
as follows: $\quad \mathrm{Mo}=\mathrm{ws} . \mathrm{L}_{1}{ }^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~L}_{2} / 8$,
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$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Column strip width }=0.33 \mathrm{~L}_{2}, & \text { field strip width }=0.66 \mathrm{~L}_{2} \\
\text { M-vemax }=43.3 \% \mathrm{Mo}(/ \text { strip }) & =\text { ws. }_{1}{ }^{2} / 6.15 \\
\text { M }+ \text { ve } \max =23.3 \% \mathrm{Mo}(/ \text { strip }) & =\text { ws. } \mathrm{L}_{1}{ }^{2} / 11.4 \\
(/ \mathrm{m})
\end{array}
$$

Where $L_{1}$ is the span in considered direction, $L_{2}$ is the span perpendicular on $L_{1}$

Slab thickness
Slab depth
Own weight of slab
Total slab load

For long direction:
Positive bending moment
Bottom steel area
Bottom RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
Negative bending moment
Top col. steel area
Top RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
Top mesh RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
Rib ties weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
For short direction:
Positive bending moment
Bottom steel area
Bottom RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
Negative bending moment
Top steel area
Top RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
Top mesh RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
Rib ties weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$
Total RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$

| $\mathrm{ts}(\mathrm{m})$ | $=0.040 \mathrm{~L}$, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\approx 0.037 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}$ |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=0.040 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime} * 0.66 * 2.5$ | $=0.066 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| $\mathrm{ws}\left(\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $=0.066 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}+0.09 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}$ | $=0.156 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}$ |

$\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{ve}\left(\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m}{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{ws} . \mathrm{L}^{\prime 2} / 11.4 \quad=0.015 \mathrm{~L}^{, 3}$
As $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}\right) \quad=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M}_{+\mathrm{ve}} / 0.85 \mathrm{fy} . \mathrm{d}=0.205 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}$
$\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \quad=1.00$ As $\gamma \mathrm{s} \quad=0.160 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}$
M -ve (m.t/m') $=$ ws. $\mathrm{L}^{, 2} / 6.15 \quad=0.025 \mathrm{~L}^{, 3}$
$\mathrm{As}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}\right) \quad=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M}_{\text {-ve }} / 0.85 \mathrm{fy} . \mathrm{d}=0.341 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}$
$\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \quad=0.3 * 0.3$ As $\gamma \mathrm{s} \quad=0.024 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}$
$\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \quad=0.25 * 0.91 *$ As $\gamma \mathrm{s} \quad=0.061 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}$
$\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \quad=$ Average value $\quad=0.025 \mathrm{~L}^{, 2}$
$\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \quad=$ Sum of weights $/ \mathrm{m}^{2} \quad=0.270 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime 2}$
$\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{ve}(\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{m} \mathrm{\prime})=$ ws. $\mathrm{L}^{2} / 17.8 \quad=0.015 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{2}$
As $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}\right) \quad=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M}_{+ \text {ve }} / 0.85$ fy.d $=0.205 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$
$\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \quad=1.00$ As $\gamma \mathrm{s} \quad=0.160 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$
M -ve (m.t/m') $=$ ws. $\mathrm{L}^{2} / 5.3 \quad=0.025 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime} \mathrm{L}^{2}$
As $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}\right) \quad=1.5 \mathrm{E}+5 . \mathrm{M}_{-\mathrm{ve}} / 0.85 \mathrm{fy} . \mathrm{d}=0.341 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$
$\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \quad=0.3 * 0.3 \mathrm{As} \gamma \mathrm{s} \quad=0.024 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$
$\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \quad=0.25 * 0.91 *$ As $\gamma \mathrm{s} \quad=0.061 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$
$\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \quad=$ Average value $\quad=0.025 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$
$\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \quad=$ Sum of weights $/ \mathrm{m}^{2} \quad=0.270 \mathrm{~L}^{2}$

Summation of both directions:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Total RFT weight per } \mathrm{m}^{2} & \left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) & =0.270 \mathrm{~L}^{, 2}+0.270 \mathrm{~L}^{2} \\
\text { Total RFT weight per } \mathrm{m}^{3} & \left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) & \\
& & =0.270\left(\mathrm{~L}^{, 2}+\mathrm{L}^{2}\right) / 0.040 \mathrm{~L} \\
& & =6.75 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime} .\left[1+\left(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{array}
$$

Min. slab thickness $=25 \mathrm{~cm}$, Hence, $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}^{\prime} \min \approx 7.0 \mathrm{~m}$
Min. RFT weight per $\mathrm{m}^{3} \quad\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \quad=13.5 * 7.0 \mathrm{~m}=95$

## V. CONCLUSION

Results of this study could be summarized in the following table:
Table 3: Estimated economic quantities of different concrete slab types ( $\pm 10 \%$ )

| Slab type | Total Slab <br> thickness <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | RC vol. $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{RFT} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{RFT} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Net} \mathrm{RC} \mathrm{vol)}$. <br> $\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One way solid slab | $\mathrm{L} / 27$ | $\mathrm{~L} / 27$ |  | 13 L |  |
| Two way solid slab | $\mathrm{L} / 60+\mathrm{L}^{\prime} / 100$ | $\mathrm{~L} / 60+\mathrm{L}^{\prime} / 100$ |  | 13 L |  |
| Cantilever solid slab | $\mathrm{L} / 10$ | $\mathrm{~L} / 10$ |  | 33 L |  |
| One way H.B. Slab | $\mathrm{L} / 18$ | $\mathrm{~L} / 36$ | 5.6 L | 11.2 L |  |
| Two way H.B. Slab | $\mathrm{L} / 40+\mathrm{L}^{\prime} / 66$ | $\mathrm{~L} / 60+\mathrm{L}^{\prime} / 100$ | 9.3 L | 14 L |  |

## International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

## (An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 5, May 2015

| Cantilever H.B. Slab | $\mathrm{L} / 6.6$ | $\mathrm{~L} / 13$ | 11.6 L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uniform thickness flat slab | $\mathrm{L}^{\prime} / 30$ | $\mathrm{~L}^{\prime} / 30$ | 23 L |
| Flat slab with drop panel | $\mathrm{L}^{\prime} / 36 \& \mathrm{~L}^{\prime} / 24$ | $\mathrm{~L}^{\prime} / 32$ | $8.0 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime} \cdot\left[1+\left(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]$ |
| Waffle slab | $\mathrm{L}^{\prime} / 24$ | $\mathrm{~L}^{\prime} / 36$ | $10.2 \mathrm{~L}^{\prime} \cdot\left[1+\left(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]$ |

Where: L \& L' are short \& Long span of the slab respectively
Values in the table (3) are valid under the following conditions:

- Residential \& office building (live load up to $300 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ )
- Spans between 4.0 to 12.0 m
- High strength steel ( $\mathrm{Fy}=3600$ to $4000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ )
- Characteristic concrete strength ( $\mathrm{Fcu}=250$ to $350 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ )
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