

Comparison of Two Synchronization Protocol in Wireless Sensor Network

S.Rucksana¹, C. Babu², S.Saranyabharathi³

P.G. Scholar, Department of ECE, Knowledge Institute of Technology, Salem, Tamil Nadu, India.¹

Assistant Professor, Department of ECE, Knowledge Institute of Technology, Salem, Tamil Nadu, India.²

Assistant Professor, Department of ECE, Knowledge Institute of Technology, Salem, Tamil Nadu, India.³

ABSTRACT: Time synchronization is the indispensable part of the infrastructure in wireless sensor network. The efficient and precise operation of many applications in wireless sensor network requires synchronization time. In order to achieve network wide time synchronization, two synchronization protocol has been considered that is event-sync and clock-sync protocol. The objective of this project is to compare the two synchronization protocol in sensor network by increasing certain number of nodes and then simulating these two synchronization protocol under different parameter using network simulator (NS2) software. From the simulation result, parameter such as overhead, packet data rate and synchronization error will be compared for two synchronization protocol. Therefore from the comparison of two synchronization protocol, efficient energy and synchronization accuracy is achieved in the wireless sensor network.

KEYWORDS: Wireless Sensor Network (WSN), Flooding Time Synchronization Protocol (FTSP), Time Sync Protocol For Sensor Network (TPSN).

I.INTRODUCTION

Wireless sensor network(WSN) provide a bridge between the real physical and virtual worlds, and it has the ability to observe the previously unobservable at a fine resolution over large spatio-temporal scales WSN have a wide range of potential applications to industry, science, transportation, civil infrastructure, and security.

There are many challenges and problem domain in wireless sensor networks such as energy efficiency, time synchronization, responsiveness, robustness, scalability, systematic design, privacy and security.

One of the important problem domains in wireless sensor network is time synchronization. Time Synchronization in wireless networks is extremely important for basic communication. In sensor network there are four synchronization problems like send time, access time, propagation time, and receive time. The send time is that of the sender erecting the time message to transmit on the network and the access time is that of the MAC layer delay in retrieving the network. This could be waiting to transmit in a time division multiple access protocol. The propagation time is the time for the bits to be physically transmitted on the medium.

Finally, the receive time is the destination node, where it processing the message and transferring it to the host. The major problem in time synchronization is not only that this packet delay, but also being able to envisage the time spent on each node can be difficult. Eliminating any of these functions will greatly increase the performance of the synchronization method.

To overcome these problem synchronization protocol is used. The problem analysis in the existing system is that sensor nodes in wireless sensor networks (WSNs) equipped with cheap hardware clocks which frequently drift apart due to their low-end quartz crystals. Since the drift can be different for each sensor nodes, the hardware clocks of the nodes may not remain always synchronized although they might have been synchronized when they start up. To overcome the problem a common method is used in order to achieve network wide synchronization time synchronization, time synchronization protocol is used.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Special Issue 6, May 2015

Thus simulating the time synchronization protocol under three parameters such as data rate, overhead and synchronization accuracy. BY analyzing the parameters from the simulated result, number of packets received is more compared to drop packets and delay is also reduced. Once the delay is reduced efficient energy is achieved in the sensor network.

The objective of the project is to reduce the synchronization error in the sensor network by analyzing timing-sync protocol and event-sync protocol for sensor network under different parameters and also increasing number of nodes to analyze the performance of synchronization error.

By analyzing synchronization protocols with different parameters, delay is reduced therefore efficient energy is obtained during the transmission of packets from sender to receiver. Therefore efficient synchronized notion of time and energy is achieved.

II. PHYSICAL ARCHITECTURE

The system architecture of the wireless sensor network for time synchronization is creating certain number of sensor nodes in the sensor network. Since sensor node will frequently drift with each other tight synchronization is maintained in the network by implementing timing-sync protocol for sensor network (TPSN).




Figure 1 Block Diagram of Time Synchronization

First step is to designing networks with a certain number of nodes and then creating network topology and then setting sensor network. Next step is to establishing routing between the nodes using routing protocol. The routing protocol used here is Destination sequenced distance vector routing protocol (DSDV) routing protocol, it is a table driven routing protocol. Each entry in the routing table contains a sequence number, the sequence numbers are generally even number if a link is present; else, an odd number is used.

After providing routing between the nodes, implementing the Timing-sync protocol for sensor network (TPSN).TPSN is a clock-sync protocol and it is based on the spanning tree structure, where the leader node is selected. Final step is that simulating the timing-sync protocol under three different parameter overhead, data rate and synchronization accuracy. Thus from the simulated result drop of packets from source to destination is condensed where the delay is also reduced. By analyzing the simulated result the parameter overheads and synchronization error is reduced, while the constant packet data rate is achieved.

III. TPSN PROTOCOL MECHANISM

TPSN is a sender-receiver based synchronization that uses a spanning tree to organize the network topology. The function is divided into two phases, the level discovery phase and the synchronization phase. The level discovery phase creates the hierarchical topology of the network in which each node is assigned a level like first level of nodes and second level of nodes. Only one node resides on level zero, the root node. In the synchronization phase all i level nodes is selected and it synchronize with $i-1$ level nodes. This will synchronize all nodes with the root node.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Special Issue 6, May 2015

I. Level Discovery Phase:

The level discovery phase is run on network deployment. First, the root node (leader node) should be selected. If the node was equipped with a GPS receiver, then that could be selected as the root node, and all nodes on the network would be synchronized. The other method is that any node can be the root node and other nodes can periodically take over the functionality of the root node to share the synchronization message.

Once the root node is selected, it will initiate the level discovery method. The root node will send the level discovery packet to its neighboring nodes. The level discovery packet contains the identity and level of the sending node. The neighbor nodes of the root node will then assign themselves as first level of nodes and the process continues for the second level of nodes. This process of sending level discovery packets to all nodes will continue until all nodes have received the level discovery packet and are assigned a level.

Once again all nodes in the network are assigned a level to create a tree type topology. All nodes of level i will broadcast the level discovery phase with all nodes of level $i-1$.

II. Synchronization phase:

In this phase is two-way communications between two nodes. It is a sender to receiver communication. The synchronization phase begins at the leader node and propagates through the network.

Figure 1 represents the two-way communication between a pair of nodes. The time T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , and T_4 are taken. Node 'A' will send the synchronization pulse packet at time ' T_1 ' to Node 'B'. This pulse packet contains Node A's level and the time ' T_1 '. Node B will receive the pulse packet at time T_2 . At time ' T_3 ' Node 'B' sends the acknowledgment packet to Node 'A'.

IV. FTSP PROTOCOL MECHANISM

Flooding Time Synchronization Protocol (FTSP) is a multihop time synchronization protocol for Wireless Sensor Network (WSNs). In CSMA MAC protocols, it requires access time, but in FTSP protocol, it achieves high accuracy by utilizing the radio messages and completely eliminating access time to the radio channel. The goal of the FTSP protocol is to achieve a network wide synchronization in the sensor network. Thus each node has a local clock exhibit the typical timing errors of crystals and can communicate over a defective but error corrected wireless link to its neighbors.

Broadcast message contains the sender's time from source node which is the expected global time at the transmission of a given packet, the receivers obtain the corresponding local time from their respective local clocks at message reception creates synchronization point (i.e) global-to-local time pair. In the SYNC bytes the receiver can calculate the bit offset. From that bit offset, it can reconstruct the message with the correct byte alignment. The broadcast message contains the target, the length of the data and packet type and other functions, such as the identifier of the application layer and data link layer that needs to be notified on the receiver side. The CRC bytes are used to confirm that the message was not tainted.

In FTSP protocol, leader node is selected and the leader node acts as a global reference clock. To synchronize other nodes to reference clock, the leader node floods the entire sensor network with the sync message containing its current time.

As the nodes receive sync message they record the leader's reference time and arrival time. They reassemble each node's current time for perfect synchronization. Consistent with simulation results, and can clearly explain the simulation results and combine the simulation results and the analytical models of FTSP protocol. Finally we derive a selection guideline showing how to choose the better time synchronization mechanism given different network dynamics, traffic dynamics, and application requirements.

V. PERFORMANCE METRICS

In order to evaluate the performance of FTSP protocol the following two metrics are investigated:

Synchronization Error

This represents the difference between actual data generation time and estimated data generation time. The correctness of the estimated data generation time is important because it is used to infer temporal relation.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Special Issue 6, May 2015

Overhead

This represents the traffic produced due to the synchronization mechanism in proportion to the total data traffic. Lower synchronization overhead implies higher throughput and efficiency of the network

Packet Data Rate

It is the ratio between number of packets received and the number of data packets which are expected to be received (the data packets sent times the number of nodes in the network).It will describe the loss rate that will affects the maximum throughput that the network can support.

VI EVALUATION VARIABLES

To compare the error and overhead of the synchronization mechanisms, scenarios are simulated with varying network size, node mobility, and data rates

Network Size

In order to fix the network density with increasing number of nodes, the grid size is varied accordingly.

Node Mobility

In the node mobility model, each node has a randomly generated target location and moves to that location with a random speed (maximum speed 10m/s). To change the level of node mobility, the pause time between the target locations is adjusted from 0 to 400 seconds. A smaller pause time means higher mobility.

Data Rate

To vary the data rate, we adjust the packet sending rates at source nodes; higher data rate means higher traffic volume.

VII. SIMULATION RESULTS

- TPSN PROTOCOL RESULT:




Figure 2 Synchronization Phase Level One Nodes

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Special Issue 6, May 2015




Figure 3 Synchronization Phase Level Two Nodes

- **FTSP PROTOCOL RESULT:**




Figure 4 Transmission of Packets from Global to Local Clock

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Special Issue 6, May 2015




Figure 5 Synchronization of all Nodes




Figure 6 Xgraph for Parameter Overhead




Figure 7 Xgraph for Parameter Packet Data Rate

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Special Issue 6, May 2015




Figure 8 Xgraph for Parameter Synchronization Error

VIII.RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

simulated result, represent the level discovery phase, and the figure 2 represent the synchronization phase for level one nodes, while figure 3 represent the synchronization phase for level two nodes. In the level discovery phase root node send the level discovery packets to first level of nodes 1, 2&3.once first level of nodes get packet location it will transmit the level discovery packets to level two nodes. This process continues until all nodes receive the level discovery packet. In the synchronization phase

From the e it will transmit the sync message containing its current time, neighbor node receive that message and acknowledge to that node. Thus by knowing the drift, node 1 can correct its clock time and successfully synchronize to node 2.this process continues until first level of nodes and second level of nodes gets synchronized.

Figure 4 explains the DSDV routing between the nodes where the global clock node and local clock node transmit the packets in order to get synchronized. Figure 5 describes the synchronization of the nodes while transmitting the packets

IX.CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Thus the TPSN and FTSP protocol under different parameter is that under the performance of overhead, actual data generation is more compared to the expected data generation. Initially the data rate is very high, after a particular time period constant data rate is achieved, thus energy consumption is reduced in the network by achieving the constant speed Number of packets received is high compared to the packet lost, thus making the synchronization error is reduced in TPSN protocol. Thus the TPSN protocol has reduced sync error, therefore efficient energy and synchronized notion of time is achieved in the sensor network. In future work, efficient TPSN and FTSP protocol is improved by increasing the number of nodes to run at the same speed by employing an agreement algorithm and synchronizes them to a reference node which floods stable time for the whole network.

REFERENCES

- [1] AitzazAhmadx, ErchinSerpedinx, HazemNounouy,MohamedNounouz (2013) 'Joint node localization and time-varying clock synchronization in wireless sensor networks' IEEE Transaction on vol.12, pp.5322-5333.
- [2] Chepuris.p, Rajan, 'Joint clock synchronization and ranging:Asymmetricaltime stamping and passive listening'IEEE Transaction,vol.20,pp.51-54.
- [3] FengyuanRen and Chuang Lin, Feng Liu, (2008) 'Self-Correcting Time Synchronization Using Reference Broadcast in Wireless Sensor Network',IEEE Wireless Communications,vol.15,pp.79-85.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Special Issue 6, May 2015

- [4] Gang Xiong and Shalinee Kishore (2009) 'Analysis of Distributed Consensus Time Synchronization with Gaussian Delay over Wireless Sensor Networks' Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking doi:10.1155.
- [5] Jiming Chen, Member, Qing Yu, Yan Zhang, Member, Hsiao-Hwa Chen, Fellow, and Youxian Sun (2010) 'Feedback-Based Clock Synchronization in Wireless Sensor Networks: A Control Theoretic Approach', IEEE transactions, vol. 59, pp.2963-2973.
- [6] Jose Maria Castillo-Secilla, Jose Manuel Palomares and Joaquin Olivares Sensors (2013) 'Temperature-Compensated Clock Skew Adjustment' journal, doi:10.3390/s 130810981, pp.10981-11006.
- [7] Kasimsinanyildirim and Kantarci, (2013) 'Time synchronization based on slow flooding in wireless sensor networks', IEEE transaction vol.25, pp.244-253.
- [8] Mei Leng and Yik-Chung Wu (2011) 'Distributed clock synchronization for wireless sensor networks using belief propagation', IEEE transactions, vol. 59, pp.5404-5414.
- [9] Weilian Su, and Ian F. Akyildiz, (2005) 'Time-Diffusion Synchronization Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks', IEEE transactions, vol. 13, pp.384-397.
- [10] Zhao Dengchang, An Zhulin, and Xu Yongjun (2013) 'Time Synchronization in Wireless Sensor Networks Using Max and Average Consensus Protocol', International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks doi.org/10.1155/2013/192128.