

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 4, Issue 9, September 2015

# Microbiological Quality Assessment of Cultured Fish Samples Collected from Ponds and Different Local Markets of Bangladesh

G. M. M. Anwarul Hasan<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Md. Sabir Hossain<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Sahana Parveen<sup>3</sup>, Dr. Farha Matin Juliana<sup>4</sup>, Suvra Das<sup>5</sup>

Scientific Officer, Institute of Food Science & Technology (IFST), Bangladesh Council of Scientific & Industrial Research (BCSIR), Dr Qudrat-I- Khuda Road, Dhaka-1205, Bangladesh<sup>1</sup>

Associate Professor, Dept. of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka<sup>2</sup>

Principle Scientific Officer, Institute of Food Science & Technology (IFST), Bangladesh Council of Scientific &

Industrial Research (BCSIR), Dr Qudrat-I- Khuda Road, Dhaka-1205, Bangladesh<sup>3</sup>

Associate Professor, Dept. of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Jahangirnagar University, Savar,

Dhaka-1205, Bangladesh<sup>4</sup>

Scientific Officer, Institute of Food Science & Technology (IFST), Bangladesh Council of Scientific & Industrial

Research (BCSIR), Dr Qudrat-I- Khuda Road, Dhaka-1205, Bangladesh<sup>5</sup>

**ABSTRACT**: The bacteriological quality of Pangas (*Pangasianodonhypophthalmus*), Mrigal (*Cirrhinuscirrhosus*), Carfu (*Cyprinuscarpio*) of cultured ponds and different wholesale and retail markets of Dhaka city were analysed. Microbial load was highest in local retail markets samples and was lowest in cultured ponds samples. In total 27 samples were considered for analysis and they differ from species to species and with environment. Total Viable Bacterial Counts ranged from  $4.32 \times 10^5$  to  $5.92 \times 10^6$  cfu/g. Total Coliform count was always larger than 240 MPN/g.Total Pseudomonas and Staphylococcus spp. ranged from  $1.19 \times 10^3$  to  $3.28 \times 10^5$  and  $1.14 \times 10^2$  to  $6.56 \times 10^3$  respectively. Salmonella spp. were absent in all cultured pond fish samples and were present in all wholesale and local retail market samples. Overall, the present study reveals thatthree species of the fishes under this study were contaminated with different types of bacteria andculturedpond fish samples were comparatively good in quality.

KEYWORDS: Microbiological, Pangas, Mrigal, Carfu, Bangladesh

### I. INTRODUCTION

Fish play a significant role in the Bangladeshi diet, providing more than 60% of animal source food, representing a crucial source of micro-nutrients, and possessing an extremely strong cultural attachment [1]. The total production of fish in Bangladesh was 3410254 Metric ton in 2012-13 [2]. Bangladesh where malnutrition remains a significant development challenge, Fish is an irreplaceable diet for the people [3]. Unfortunately, a large amount of fish spoils every year in the country due to the growth and activity of pathogenic microorganisms [4]. The quality of fish mainly depends on the time between the harvesting and processing periods. At the time of being captured, fresh fish contain on their bodies a wide variety of microorganisms, also known as the microflora of the fish [5]. Fish or frozen fish may be contaminated with different types of bacteria, such as Salmonella, coliform, fecal coliform, streptococci and Staphylococcus aureus, and these are responsible for causing different food borne diseases [6].Microbial hazards causing infections are poor health are closely related to food safety concerning with animal proteins derived from marketed food such as fish. This creates a burning question for all consumers with a high risk commodity with regard



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 9, September 2015

to pathogenic bacterial contaminations alarming to food safety challenge [7]. Pangas (*Pangasianodonhypophthalmus*), Mrigal (*Cirrhinuscirrhosus*), Carfu (*Cyprinuscarpio*) are very common fishes in Bangladesh and many people consume these species of fishes regularly in Bangladesh. So, the microbiological qualities of these species are important to consumers as well as fish processors and public health authorities.

So, the present investigation was carried out to determine the bacteriological status of the Pangas, Mrigal and Carfu that we consumed regularly.

#### II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

We collected fish samples from 3 different cultured ponds of Comilla, 3 wholesale market of Dhaka city, and 3 retail local market of Dhaka city. We took a sterile aseptic containertogether with ice to maintain the temperature (4°C to 6°) and stored at -20°C at the laboratory until use. We maintain ICMSF [8]rules for sampling fishes.All of the samples were carried out to the laboratory for microbiological investigations. The analysis was performed to the laboratory immediately after sample reach. Fish was cut into small pieces and we take skin and muscle parts of the fish for analysis.All samples were analysed in duplicate. The microbiological parameters- Total bacterial count (TBC), Total Coliforms (TC), Salmonella, *Staphylococcus aureus* and Total Pseudomonas count were carried out according to-(APHA, 2001)[9].

Microbiological Analysis:

Total Viable Bacterial Count:

Total Viable Bacterial Count was enumerated by pour plate method on plate count agar medium. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Total bacterial count was measured in colony forming unit per gram (cfu/g).

#### Total Coliforms (TC):

Coliform count was determined by using MacConkey agar. Typical pink colonies were counted for Total Coliform after incubation of plates at 37°C for 24 hours.

Total Pseudomonas:

Total Pseudomonas count was enumerated by pour plate method on pseudomonas agar medium. The plates were incubated at  $37 \circ C$  for 24 hours and measured in colony forming unit per gram (cfu/g).

Staphyloccusaureus:

0.2ml samples was spread into Baired Parker Agar (BPA) medium and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C.Hollow zone surrounded colonies were counted as *Stphylococcusaureus*.

Salmonella:

25g of sample was enriched in 225g Lactose Broth medium. This medium was incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. After 24 hours, a loopful growth of this medium was enriched in selenite broth and incubated again 24 hours incubation at 37°C. Then a loopful growth of this medium was streaked to Bismuth Sulphite Agar (BSA) plate and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. Typical black cantered colonies were counted for salmonella.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We studied following bacteriological quality analysis of these three species of fish samples-Total Viable Bacterial Counts(TVBC), Total ColiformCounts(TCC), Total *Pseudomonas* Counts(TPC), *E. coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Salmonella spp*. The Studyreveals that bacterial count was higher in local retail markets fish samples and was lower in ponds fish samples.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 9, September 2015

Sampling	Sample (Skin-and- muscle)		Occurrence				
Sites		*TVBC cfu/g	**TCC MPN/g	E. coli MPN/g	***TPC cfu/g	Staphylo- coccus spp. (cfu/g)	Salmonella /25g
Pond-1	Pangas	$3.14 \times 10^{5}$	>240	0.7	$1.19 \times 10^3$	$2.03 \times 10^2$	Absent
	Mrigal	$3.61 \times 10^5$	>240	0.9	$2.38 \times 10^3$	$2.10 \times 10^2$	Absent
	Carfu	$3.31 \times 10^{5}$	>240	0.9	$2.88 \times 10^3$	$2.31 \times 10^2$	Absent
Pond-2	Pangas	$2.97 \text{X} 10^5$	>240	0.9	$2.31 \times 10^3$	$2.37 \times 10^2$	Absent
	Mrigal	$3.60 \times 10^5$	>240	0.7	$2.94 \times 10^3$	$2.72 \times 10^2$	Absent
	Carfu	$3.91 \times 10^5$	>240	0.9	$3.11 \times 10^3$	$2.54 \times 10^2$	Absent
Pond-3	Pangas	$3.55 \text{X} 10^5$	>240	0.4	$2.18 \times 10^4$	$1.14 \text{x} 10^2$	Absent
	Mrigal	$4.22 \times 10^5$	>240	0.7	$3.10 \times 10^4$	$1.84 \text{x} 10^2$	Absent
	Carfu	$4.62 \times 10^5$	>240	0.7	$2.40 \times 10^4$	$2.66 \times 10^2$	Absent

Table1: Bacteriological quality assessments of fish samples collected from cultured ponds

\*Total Viable Bacterial Counts(TVBC), \*\*Total ColiformCounts(TCC), \*\*\*Total *Pseudomonas* Counts(TPC)

From this study, it shows that among the 9 samples of different ponds of Comilla, the value of Total Viable Bacterial counts TVBC ranging from  $2.97X10^5$  to  $4.62x10^5$ .It comply with the International Commission on Microbiology Specifications for Foods [10] limit value of  $5x10^5$ cfu/g. The highest value of bacterial presence was shown in Pond-3 Carfu samples and lowest value was shown in Pond-2 Pangas sample.All samples contain Total *Coliform* and the value is always <240 MPN/g. *E. coli* also presents in all samples and the value difference is from 0.4 MPN/g to 0.9 MPN/g. Total *Pseudomonas* and *Staphylococcus spp.* are contained in all 9 samples of ponds ranging differs from 1.19x10<sup>3</sup> to  $3.11x10^3$  and  $1.14x10^2$  to  $2.72x10^2$  respectively. All 9 samples of ponds do not contain Salmonella spp.



Fig-1:Microbial count of fish samples collected from cultured ponds



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 9, September 2015

Fig-1 shows  $log_{10}$  base microbial count of different fishes of cultures ponds. It shows that fishes from pond-3 have comparatively high microbial load than other two ponds. Carfu of Pond-3 have highest value of TBVC and lowest in Pangas of pond-2. Other bacterial counts also varied with different species and ponds.

Table 2: Bacteriological quality assessments of fish samples collected from Wholesale Market

Sampling	Sample			Occurrence			
sites	(skin-and-	*TVBC	**TCC	E coli	**TPC	Staphylo-	Salmonella spp.
	muscle)	cfu/g	MPN/g	MPN/g	cfu/g	Coccus spp.	(cfu/g)
						(cfu/g)	
Wholesale	Pangas	$6.50 \times 10^5$	>240	2.3	$3.78 \text{x} 10^4$	$1.30 \times 10^{3}$	positive
Market -1	Mrigal	$5.32 \times 10^5$	>240	2.3	$3.21 \times 10^4$	$2.63 \times 10^2$	positive
	Carfu	$6.10 \times 10^5$	>240	2.3	$4.63 \times 10^3$	$3.30 \times 10^3$	positive
Wholesale	Pangas	7.13X10 <sup>5</sup>	>240	2.8	$1.77 \text{x} 10^4$	$1.29 \times 10^3$	positive
Market-2	Mrigal	6.26x10 <sup>5</sup>	>240	2.3	$1.33 \text{x} 10^4$	$5.64 \times 10^2$	positive
	Carfu	$4.32 \times 10^5$	>240	2.3	$3.27 \times 10^3$	$1.18 \times 10^3$	positive
		5			4	2	
Wholesale	Pangas	$4.58 \times 10^{5}$	>240	1.5	$2.64 \times 10^4$	$7.26 \times 10^{2}$	positive
Market-3	Mrigal	$5.22 \times 10^5$	>240	0.9	$1.32 \times 10^{5}$	$3.82 \times 10^3$	positive
	Carfu	$7.45 \times 10^5$	>240	1.5	$1.08 \times 10^5$	$3.68 \times 10^3$	positive

\*\*Total Viable Bacterial Counts(TVBC), \*\*Total ColiformCounts(TCC), \*\*Total Pseudomonas Counts(TPC)

According to the results among 9 samples of wholesale market samples, highest value of TVBC found in Wholesale Market-3 Carfu  $7.45 \times 10^5$  and lowest value detect in Wholesale Market-2 Carfu  $4.32 \times 10^5$ . In all the cases Total Coliform was every time >240 MPN/g. E. coli varies from 0.9 to 2.8 MPN/g. In all the samples of Wholesale markets Total Pseudomonus was present and the value ranges from  $4.63 \times 10^3$  to  $1.08 \times 10^5$ . The highest value of Staphylococcus spp. found in Wholesale Market-2 Mrigal  $5.64 \times 10^2$  and lowest value detect in Wholesale Market-2 Carfu  $1.18 \times 10^3$ . Salmonella detect in all the samples that were collected from wholesale markets.



Fig-2: Microbial count of fish samples collected from Wholesale Market



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 9, September 2015

Fig-2 shows log10 base microbial count of different fishes of Wholesale market. It shows that fishes from Wholesale market-3 have comparatively high microbial load than other two wholesale market. Carfu of Pond-3 have highest value of TBVC and lowest in Mrigalof pond-2.Bacterial counts also varied with different species and wholesale market.

Sampling Sample Microbial Count Occurrence sites (skin-and-\*\*\*TPC \*TVBC \*\*TCC Salmonella E coli Staphylo muscle) cfu/g cfu/g MPN/g cfu/g coccus spp. spp. (cfu/g) (cfu/g) >240 Local Pangas  $2.39X10^{6}$ 2.3  $1.31 \times 10^4$ 3.66x10<sup>2</sup> positive Retail 3.06x10<sup>6</sup>  $4.26 \times 10^3$ >240 1.5  $2.01 \times 10^4$ Mrigal positive Market -1 Carfu  $3.52 \times 10^{6}$ >240 2.8 $2.35 \times 10^{5}$  $6.56 \times 10^3$ positive 3.66X10<sup>6</sup> >240 2.3  $1.42 \times 10^4$  $2.29 \times 10^3$ Local Pangas positive Retail >240  $2.98 \times 10^3$ Mrigal  $3.92 \times 10^{6}$ 2.8  $1.02 \times 10^5$ positive Market -2 Carfu  $4.12 \times 10^{6}$ >240 1.5  $1.22 \times 10^{5}$  $3.17 \times 10^3$ positive 5.60X10<sup>6</sup> >240 2.3  $1.33 \times 10^{5}$  $2.25 \times 10^3$ Local Pangas positive Retail positive Mrigal  $4.71 \times 10^{6}$ >240 2.3  $3.28 \times 10^{5}$  $4.29 \times 10^3$ Market-3 >240 3.01x10<sup>5</sup> Carfu  $5.92 \times 10^{6}$ 2.3  $3.20 \times 10^3$ Positive

Table 3: Bacteriological quality assessments of fish sample from Local Retail Market

\*\*Total Viable Bacterial Counts(TVBC), \*\*Total ColiformCounts(TCC), \*\*\*Total Pseudomonas Counts (TPC)

This experiment shows among 9 Local Retail Market samples Pangas sample of Local Retail Market-1 defines lowest TVBC count 2.39X106 and Local Retail Market-3 Carfu shows highest TVBC count 5.92x106.. Every sample has Total Coliform Count which was >240MPN/g. E coli was present in all the samples of local retail market varied between 1.5-2.8.The value of Total Pseudomouns Count and Stapylococcus spp. count were differed from 1.02x105 to 3.28x105 and 2.25x103 to 4.29x103 correspondingly. In this case all the samples contain Salmonella.



Fig-3: Microbial count of fish samples collected from Local Retail Markets



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 4, Issue 9, September 2015

Fig-3 shows log10 base microbial count of different fishes of Local Retails Markets. It shows that fishes from local retail markets-3 have comparatively high microbial load than other two wholesale market. Carfu of Pond-3 have highest value of TBVC and lowest in pangas of pond-1.In this case Bacterial counts also varied with different species and different local retail markets.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

The study provides a clear perspective of the bacterial profusion in ponds and different markets of Bangladesh of these three species. We can see that fish samples from local retails markets are more contaminated with bacterial than those of ponds samples. This may be due to rough handling and sorting or lack of sanitation. So we have to maintain proper handling and transportation system by giving proper training on the aspect to avoid the health risks and cross contamination.

#### References

- 1. Belton, B., Karim, M., Thilsted, S., Jahan, K.M., Collis, W., Phillips, M., "Review of Aquaculture and Fish Consumption in Bangladesh. Studies and Reviews 2011-53." Penang: The WorldFish Center, 76pp, 2011.
- 2. Fisheries Resources Survey System (FRSS), "Fishery Statistical Yearbook of Bangladesh 2012-13", DoF (Department of Fisheries). Department of Fisheries, Bangladesh, 2014.
- 3. Bogard, J.R., Thilsted, S.H., Marks, G.C., "Nutrient composition of important fish species in Bangladesh and potential contribution to recommended nutrient intakes", J Food Comp Anal, 42(0):120–133,2015.
- Rashed Noor, MrityunjoyAcharjee, Tasnia Ahmed, Das, K. K., Paul, L., Munshi, S. K., Urmi, N. J.,FarjanaRahman,Alam, M. Z., "Microbiological study of major sea fish available in local markets of Dhaka City, Bangladesh." Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Food Sciences", Vol. 2 No. 4 pp. 2420-2430, 2013.
- 5. Trust J, and Sparraw., "The bacterial flora in the alimentar tract of freshwater Salmon fishes", Can J Microbio.20: 1219-1228, 1974
- 6. Mobin, S.M.A., Chowdhury, M.B.R., Islam, M.S. and Uddin, M.N., "Status of bacterial flora in the intestine of two freshwater fish.", Bangladesh J. Life Sci. 13(1&2): 149-155,2001.
- Saima Sharif Nilla, MdAnisurRahman Khan, MdMahmudurRahman Khan, Dewan Ali Ahsan, MdGhulamMustafa. "Bacteriological Quality of Marketed Mola Fish, AmblypharyngodonMolaFrom Dhaka Metropolis". Bangladesh J. Zool. 40(1): 77-88, 2012.
- 8. ICMSF (International Commission on Microbial Specification For Foods) "Sampling for Microbiological Analysis: Principles and Specific Applications", University of Toronto Press, Toronto, Canada. Vol. 2. pp142, 1998
- 9. APHA,."Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of food", In Speck, M.L. (Eds). American Public Health Association, Washington D.C.pp 25-35,2001.
- ICMSF (International Commission of Microbiological Specification for Food) "Microorganisms in Foods 2: Sampling for Microbiological Analysis: Principles and Specific Applications is the only comprehensive publication on statistically based sampling plans for foods", 2nd ed. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1986.