

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 5, Issue 6, June 2016

# Design of Experiment Approach to Identify Optimal Parameters for Minimal Surface Roughness of Titanium Alloy on Aerospace Fasteners

Praveen K Pammar<sup>1</sup>, V Vivekanand<sup>2</sup>

P.G Student, Department of IEM, M S Ramaiah Institute of Technology, Bangalore, India<sup>1</sup>

Assistant Professor, Department of IEM, M S Ramaiah Institute of Technology, Bangalore, India<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT**: It is important to understand the factors which affect a particular characteristic; it would be worthwhile doing an experimental method using industrial engineering techniques. There are plenty of techniques available to conduct the experiments but this study can b be best carried out by technique called DOE.

In a manufacturing industry where factors such as machining parameters speed, feed, depth of cut plays an important role on the quality characteristics such as surface roughness and surface finish. Thus by using DOE approach the changes in this quality characteristics can be studied effectively.

Design of experiments provides a scientific pathway to identify and analyze the crucial factors which affects the response variables (Surface roughness). To conduct the experiment, few factors were chosen which includes Speed, feed and depth of cut with two levels and the experiment was carried out using DOE principle, such as Randomization and Replication. Various types of response variables such as Average surface roughness, face average for surface roughness, surface roughness range and standard deviation for surface roughness for titanium steel were obtained to analyze the significant effects. The choice of these response variables were based on understanding the effects on mean as well as variability of surface roughness.

**KEYWORDS**: Surface Roughness, Speed, feed, depth of cut, 2-level design ( $2^k$  design), Design Of Experiments, ANOVA

### I. INTRODUCTION

Titanium alloy has been widely used in the aerospace due to its various properties such as( low weight, high strength, non-corrosive etc..) however it is important to understand when subjected to various machining factors like physical propertiescan change, properties such as surface finish is affected. In order to understand the effects of these parameters on the surface finish, surface roughness is used as the measure for analysis.

The aim of the paper is to reduce the defects and defectives in the production line and minimizing surface roughness using Industrial engineering tools and techniques. To conduct the experiment, using surface roughness as response variable,  $2^{A^3}$  is used with following details which are shown in the table.2.

Machining was carried out using CNC machine and material used was Titanium Alloy (Ti-6Al-4V). To machine, Titanium alloy were used with 8mm diameter and 10 mm length as shown in the figure.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 5, Issue 6, June 2016



Fig 1. Work piece

The chemical composition of Titanium alloy Ti-6Al-4V is summarized shown in the table 1. All the work from initial preparation of work piece to final machining experiment was done at ABC Company.

Table 1. Chemical composition of Ti-6Al-4V, % wt

| Element | Al  | V | Fe   | 0    | С    | Ν    | Y     | Н     | Ni  |
|---------|-----|---|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-----|
| Wt(%)   | 6.1 | 4 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.001 | Bal |

### **II. LITERATURE REVIEW**

There have been various literatures on and carried in analysing the effects of various machining factors on material such as titanium.

Mohammed T. Hayajneh et al., carried out a set of experimental design to understand the surface quality for End-Milling Process. Their objective was to know the effects of spindle speed, cutting feed rate and depth of cut on the surface roughness and to build a multiple regression model.

Navneet Khanna, J P Davim studied the effect of control factors i.e. cutting speed, feed rate and cutting tool temperature using taguchi technique. The output of their study was to suggesting Aerospace industry to use titanium alloys which has superior strength

Goutam Devaraya Revankara et al. carried out their study on Titanium alloy (Ti–6Al–4V) and Polycrystalline Diamond Tool using different factors such as speed feed and depth of cut. The aim of their study was to know the effect of these parameters on surface roughness and hardness. The study tells, the smaller the roughness, better hardness is achieved.

### **III. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN**

A  $2^3$  design was considered with factors and levels as shown in Table 2. The response variables considered are shown in Table 3.

Table 2. Process control parameters and their level

| Parameters   | Units  | Level 1 | Level 2 |
|--------------|--------|---------|---------|
| Speed        | Rpm    | 2300    | 3700    |
| Feed         | mm/rev | O4      | O6      |
| Depth of cut | mm     | 0.2     | 0.3     |



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 5, Issue 6, June 2016

### **3.1 Experimental procedure**

The turning, Outer diameter(OD) and part off operations were carried out in CNC machine at ABC Company. The CNC machine is equipped with AC variable speed spindle motor up to 4000 rpm and regular industrial power was used for present experimental work. The cutting tool used was Carbide tool and inserts.



#### Fig 2. Photo of CNC machine

#### 3.2 Response values

The response values were taken using **Surface Roughness Tester** in Advance Material science lab at MSRIT, Bangalore. Surface Roughness can be measured in  $\mu$ m and the cut off length is 0.25mm and 2.5mm. If we place the specimen below the tester, it automatically calculates the surface roughness of it. To check the repeatability, the readings were collected for many trials. The figure3 shows the surface testing machine.



Fig 3. Surface roughness testing machine

The response variables are tested as follows

- Average surface roughness
- Face Average for surface roughness
- Surface roughness Range
- Standard deviation for surface roughness

After collecting the responses, the data was input in run order sheet and using Minitab software, then accordingly data was analyses using Minitab software package. Table 4 shows the run orders and response values. The experiment was run for 32 runs ( $2^{^3} * 4$  Replicates) based on randomization for which the details have been provided in Table 4.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 6, June 2016

|              |              |       | Tab  | le 3. Resp      | onse varia   | ables        |       |      |                 |
|--------------|--------------|-------|------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-------|------|-----------------|
| Std<br>Order | Run<br>Order | Speed | Feed | Depth<br>of cut | Std<br>Order | Run<br>Order | Speed | Feed | Depth<br>of cut |
| 5            | 1            | 3700  | 04   | 0.2             | 31           | 17           | 3700  | 06   | 0.2             |
| 19           | 2            | 2300  | 06   | 0.2             | 17           | 18           | 2300  | 04   | 0.2             |
| 1            | 3            | 2300  | 04   | 0.2             | 29           | 19           | 3700  | 04   | 0.2             |
| 26           | 4            | 2300  | 04   | 0.3             | 30           | 20           | 3700  | 04   | 0.3             |
| 15           | 5            | 3700  | 06   | 0.2             | 4            | 21           | 2300  | 06   | 0.3             |
| 9            | 6            | 2300  | 04   | 0.2             | 14           | 22           | 3700  | 04   | 0.3             |
| 21           | 7            | 3700  | 04   | 0.2             | 27           | 23           | 2300  | 06   | 0.2             |
| 2            | 8            | 2300  | 04   | 0.3             | 13           | 24           | 3700  | 04   | 0.2             |
| 8            | 9            | 3700  | 06   | 0.3             | 11           | 25           | 2300  | 06   | 0.2             |
| 32           | 10           | 3700  | 06   | 0.3             | 20           | 26           | 2300  | 06   | 0.3             |
| 18           | 11           | 2300  | 04   | 0.3             | 10           | 27           | 2300  | 04   | 0.3             |
| 6            | 12           | 3700  | 04   | 0.3             | 23           | 28           | 3700  | 06   | 0.2             |
| 24           | 13           | 3700  | 06   | 0.3             | 16           | 29           | 3700  | 06   | 0.3             |
| 3            | 14           | 2300  | 06   | 0.2             | 7            | 30           | 3700  | 06   | 0.2             |
| 25           | 15           | 2300  | 04   | 0.2             | 28           | 31           | 2300  | 06   | 0.3             |
| 12           | 16           | 2300  | 06   | 0.3             | 22           | 32           | 3700  | 04   | 0.3             |

|          | Table 4. Run orders |       |      |                 |                 |       |           |             |  |
|----------|---------------------|-------|------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|-----------|-------------|--|
| StdOrder | RunOrder            | Speed | Feed | Depth<br>of cut | ResponseAverage | Range | Std Dev   | Face<br>Avg |  |
| 5        | 1                   | 3700  | 04   | 0.2             | 0.313           | 0.02  | 0.0088515 | 0.215       |  |
| 19       | 2                   | 2300  | 06   | 0.2             | 0.178           | 0.11  | 0.045629  | 0.46        |  |
| 1        | 3                   | 2300  | 04   | 0.2             | 0.31            | 0.06  | 0.0244949 | 0.56        |  |
| 26       | 4                   | 2300  | 04   | 0.3             | 0.39            | 0.33  | 0.1332291 | 0.42        |  |
| 15       | 5                   | 3700  | 06   | 0.2             | 0.227           | 0.12  | 0.0511859 | 0.295       |  |
| 9        | 6                   | 2300  | 04   | 0.2             | 0.33            | 0.03  | 0.0130384 | 0.515       |  |
| 21       | 7                   | 3700  | 04   | 0.2             | 0.44            | 0.07  | 0.0268746 | 0.815       |  |
| 2        | 8                   | 2300  | 04   | 0.3             | 0.39            | 0.33  | 0.1332291 | 0.505       |  |
| 8        | 9                   | 3700  | 06   | 0.3             | 0.36            | 0.08  | 0.0296648 | 0.865       |  |
| 32       | 10                  | 3700  | 06   | 0.3             | 0.301           | 0.27  | 0.1025612 | 0.28        |  |
| 18       | 11                  | 2300  | 04   | 0.3             | 1.98            | 0.68  | 0.2598461 | 0.34        |  |
| 6        | 12                  | 3700  | 04   | 0.3             | 0.292           | 0.08  | 0.0303315 | 0.415       |  |
| 24       | 13                  | 3700  | 06   | 0.3             | 0.334           | 0.05  | 0.0194936 | 0.41        |  |
| 3        | 14                  | 2300  | 06   | 0.2             | 0.358           | 0.1   | 0.0363318 | 0.52        |  |
| 25       | 15                  | 2300  | 04   | 0.2             | 0.326           | 0.06  | 0.0279285 | 0.22        |  |
| 12       | 16                  | 2300  | 06   | 0.3             | 0.266           | 0.05  | 0.0194936 | 0.36        |  |
| 31       | 17                  | 3700  | 06   | 0.2             | 0.32            | 0.04  | 0.0158114 | 0.245       |  |
| 17       | 18                  | 2300  | 04   | 0.2             | 0.334           | 0.11  | 0.0450555 | 0.24        |  |
| 29       | 19                  | 3700  | 04   | 0.2             | 0.36            | 0.12  | 0.0570088 | 0.8         |  |
| 30       | 20                  | 3700  | 04   | 0.3             | 0.258           | 0.08  | 0.0303315 | 0.51        |  |
| 4        | 21                  | 2300  | 06   | 0.3             | 1.702           | 0.3   | 0.1125611 | 0.265       |  |
| 14       | 22                  | 3700  | 04   | 0.3             | 0.378           | 0.08  | 0.0327109 | 0.275       |  |
| 27       | 23                  | 2300  | 06   | 0.2             | 0.334           | 0.13  | 0.049295  | 0.58        |  |



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 5, Issue 6, June 2016

| 13 | 24 | 3700 | 04 | 0.2 | 0.32  | 0.13 | 0.0484768 | 0.175 |
|----|----|------|----|-----|-------|------|-----------|-------|
| 11 | 25 | 2300 | 06 | 0.2 | 1.258 | 0.7  | 0.274718  | 0.6   |
| 20 | 26 | 2300 | 06 | 0.3 | 0.348 | 0.1  | 0.0376829 | 0.385 |
| 10 | 27 | 2300 | 04 | 0.3 | 0.365 | 0.06 | 0.0260768 | 0.18  |
| 23 | 28 | 3700 | 06 | 0.2 | 0.415 | 0.12 | 0.049295  | 0.19  |
| 16 | 29 | 3700 | 06 | 0.3 | 0.33  | 0.35 | 0.1325468 | 0.28  |
| 7  | 30 | 3700 | 06 | 0.2 | 0.29  | 0.18 | 0.0746324 | 0.505 |
| 28 | 31 | 2300 | 06 | 0.3 | 0.384 | 0.12 | 0.0501996 | 0.44  |
| 22 | 32 | 3700 | 04 | 0.3 | 0.408 | 0.1  | 0.0438178 | 0.48  |

### **IV. RESULTS**

### 4.1 Main effects plot of average surface roughness for titanium alloy



Fig 4. Main effects plot of average surface roughness for titanium alloy

It is seen from the main effects plot of Average surface roughness of titanium alloy that, there is a change from 0.30 to 0.60, when speed is changed from 2300 rpm to 3700 rpm this shows that speed plays an significant role in Surface roughness average value. Likewise the changes are minimal and insignificant for feed and depth of cut. **4.2 Main effects plot for face average of surface roughness for titanium alloy** 



Fig 5. Main effects plot for face average of surface roughness for titanium alloy

It is seen from the main effects plot of Face average of Surface roughness for titanium alloy, that there is minimal change in depth of cut as it varies from 0.4 to 0.435 When depth of cut is changed from 0.2mm tto 0.3mm. This shows that depth of cut plays a insignificant role in face average for surface roughness. Likewie the changes are insignificant for feed and speed.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 6, June 2016

### 4.3 Main effects plot of surface roughness range for titanium alloy



Fig 6. Main effects plot of surface roughness range for titanium alloy

It is seen from the main effects plot of Surface roughness range for titanium alloy that, there is a minimal change from 0.12 to 0.20, when speed is changed from 2300 rpm to 3700 rpm this shows that speed plays an insignificant role in Surface roughness for range. Likewise the changes are insignificant for feed and depth of cut.

4.4 Main effects plot of standard deviation for surface roughness for titanium alloy



Fig 7. Main effects plot of standard deviation for surface roughnness of titanium alloy

It is seen from the main effects plot of Standard deviation for Surface roughness of titanium alloy that there is minimal change from 0.05 to .08, when speed is changed from 2300 rpm tto 3700 rpm. This shows that speed plays an insignificant role in Standard deviation for surface roughness. Likewie the changes are insignificant for feed and depth of cut.

#### 4.5 Interaction plot for average surface roughness for titanium alloy



Fig 8. Interaction plot for average surface roughness for titanium alloy

It is seen from the plot that the lines are parallel. Hence there is no lines are intersecting between any of the combinations. This indicates that there is no interaction effect between them.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 6, June 2016

### 4.6 Interaction plot for face Average of surface roughness for titanium alloy



Fig 9. Interaction plot for face aaverage of surface roughness for titanium aalloy

It is seen from the plot that the lines are completely intersecting between speed and feed, speed amd depth of cut, feed and depth of cut. This indicates an interaction effect between them, however the interaction effect is insignificant between Speed and feed because of low contrast value. But the contrast value between depth and feed, depth cut and speed is little bit more. So the interaction effect between them is significant.

#### 4.7 Interaction plot for range of surface roughness for titanium alloy



Fig 10. Interaction plot for range of surface roughness for titanium alloy

It is seen from the plot that the lines are completely intersecting between speed and feed, feed and depth of cut. This indicates an interaction effect between them, however the interaction effect is insignificant because of low contrast value.

#### **4.8 Interaction plot for standard deviation of surface roughness for titanium alloy**



Fig 11. Interaction plot for standard deviation of surface roughness for titanium alloy

It is seen from the plot that the lines are completely intersecting between speed and feed, feed and depth of cut. This indicates an interaction effect between them, however the interaction effect is insignificant because of low contrast value.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 5, Issue 6, June 2016

#### 4.9 Analysis of variance (ANOVA)

The main purpose of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) is the application of a statistical method to identify the effects of individual parameters and their significance for the response variable. Results from ANOVA can determine very clearly the impact of each of parameters on the process results at desired confidence level.

### 4.10 ANOVA for average surface roughness for titanium alloy

Table 5. ANOVA for Averrage of surface roughness for titanium Alloy

General Factorial Regression: ResponseAverage versus Speed, Feed, Depth of cut

Factor Information

| Factor       | Levels | Values     |  |  |
|--------------|--------|------------|--|--|
| Speed        | 2      | 2300, 3700 |  |  |
| Feed         | 2      | 4, 6       |  |  |
| Depth of cut | 2      | 0.2, 0.3   |  |  |

Analysis of Variance

| Source                  | DF | Adi SS  | Adi MS   | F-Value | P-Value |
|-------------------------|----|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| Model                   | 7  | 0.94854 | 0.135506 | 0.79    | 0.600   |
| Linear                  | 3  | 0.65438 | 0.218128 | 1.28    | 0.305   |
| Speed                   | 1  | 0.47702 | 0.477020 | 2.79    | 0.108   |
| Feed                    | 1  | 0.00139 | 0.001391 | 0.01    | 0.929   |
| Depth of cut            | 1  | 0.17597 | 0.175973 | 1.03    | 0.320   |
| 2-Way Interactions      | 3  | 0.23088 | 0.076960 | 0.45    | 0.719   |
| Speed*Feed              | 1  | 0.01106 | 0.011063 | 0.06    | 0.801   |
| Speed*Depth of cut      | 1  | 0.18316 | 0.183164 | 1.07    | 0.311   |
| Feed*Depth of cut       | 1  | 0.03665 | 0.036653 | 0.21    | 0.647   |
| 3-Way Interactions      | 1  | 0.06328 | 0.063279 | 0.37    | 0.548   |
| Speed*Feed*Depth of cut | 1  | 0.06328 | 0.063279 | 0.37    | 0.548   |
| Error                   | 24 | 4.09752 | 0.170730 |         |         |
| Total                   | 31 | 5.04606 |          |         |         |

The above table shows that the p-value for linera model,2-way interaction and for 3-way interaction are greater than 0.05. There fore it infers that all the factors for all the combination of interacction are insignificnt.

### 4.11 ANOVA for range of surface roughness for titanium alloy

Table 6. ANOVA for range of surface roughness for titanium alloy

General Factorial Regression: Range versus Speed, Feed, Depth of cut

| Factor Informatio                           | n                  |                                   |            |          |          |         |         |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| Factor Lev<br>Speed<br>Feed<br>Depth of cut | els<br>2<br>2<br>2 | Values<br>2300,<br>4, 6<br>0.2, 0 | 3700<br>.3 |          |          |         |         |
| Analysis of Varia                           | nce                |                                   |            |          |          |         |         |
| Source                                      |                    |                                   | DF         | Adj SS   | Adj MS   | F-Value | P-Value |
| Model                                       |                    |                                   | 7          | 0.277800 | 0.039686 | 1.66    | 0.166   |
| Linear                                      |                    |                                   | 3          | 0.095512 | 0.031837 | 1.33    | 0.287   |
| Speed                                       |                    |                                   | 1          | 0.059512 | 0.059512 | 2.49    | 0.127   |
| Feed  |                    |                                   | 1          | 0.007200 | 0.007200 | 0.30    | 0.588   |
| Depth of cut                                |                    |                                   | 1          | 0.028800 | 0.028800 | 1.21    | 0.283   |
| 2-Way Interacti                             | ons                |                                   | 3          | 0.069475 | 0.023158 | 0.97    | 0.423   |
| Speed*Feed                                  |                    |                                   | 1          | 0.010513 | 0.010513 | 0.44    | 0.513   |
| Speed*Depth o                               | f cu               | t                                 | 1          | 0.004512 | 0.004512 | 0.19    | 0.668   |
| Feed*Depth of                               | cut                |                                   | 1          | 0.054450 | 0.054450 | 2.28    | 0.144   |
| 3-Way Interacti                             | ons                |                                   | 1          | 0.112813 | 0.112813 | 4.73    | 0.040   |
| Speed*Feed*De                               | pth                | of cut                            | 1          | 0.112813 | 0.112813 | 4.73    | 0.040   |
| Error                                       |                    |                                   | 24         | 0.572950 | 0.023873 |         |         |
| Total                                       |                    |                                   | 31         | 0.850750 |          |         |         |

The above table shows that the p-value for linear model, 2-way interactions are greater than 0.05 and for 3-way interaction is less than 0.05. There fore it infers that 3-way interactions factors for combination of interacction are significnt.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

#### Vol. 5, Issue 6, June 2016

#### 4.12 ANOVA for face average of surface roughness for titanium alloy

Table 7. ANOVA for face averrage of surface roughness for titanium alloy General Factorial Regression: Face Avg versus Speed, Feed, Depth of cut

P-Value

0.695

0.880

0.989 0.632 0.508 0.261 0.330 0.780

0.166

| Factor Infor | mation      |                 |      |         |          |         |
|--------------|-------------|-----------------|------|---------|----------|---------|
| Factor       | Levels<br>2 | Values<br>2300. | 3700 |         |          |         |
| Feed         | 2           | 4. 6            |      |         |          |         |
| Depth of cut | 2           | 0.2, 0          | .3   |         |          |         |
| Analysis of  | Variance    |                 |      |         |          |         |
| Source       |             |                 | DF   | Adj SS  | Adj MS   | F-Value |
| Model        |             |                 | 7    | 0.17152 | 0.024503 | 0.67    |
| Linear       |             |                 | 3    | 0.00947 | 0.003157 | 0.09    |
| Speed        |             |                 | 1    | 0.00085 | 0.000851 | 0.02    |
| Feed         |             |                 | 1    | 0.00001 | 0.000007 | 0.00    |
| Depth of     | cut         |                 | 1    | 0.00861 | 0.008613 | 0.24    |
| 2-Way Inte   | ractions    |                 | 3    | 0.08746 | 0.029153 | 0.80    |
| Speed*Fe     | ed          |                 | 1    | 0.04844 | 0.048438 | 1.32    |
| Speed*De     | pth of cu   | t               | 1    | 0.03611 | 0.036113 | 0.99    |
| Feed*Dep     | th of cut   |                 | 1    | 0.00291 | 0.002907 | 0.08    |
| 3-Way Inte   | ractions    |                 | 1    | 0.07459 | 0.074595 | 2.04    |
| Speed*Fe     | ed*Depth    | of cut          | 1    | 0.07459 | 0.074595 | 2.04    |
| Error        |             |                 | 24   | 0.87822 | 0.036592 |         |
| Total        |             |                 | 31   | 1.04974 |          |         |

The above table shows that the p-value for linear model, 2-way interaction and for 3-way interaction is greater than 0.05, there fore it inferse that significant role for the factors combinations of speed\*feed\*depth of cut. 4.13 ANOVA for sstandard deviation of surface roughness for titanium alloy

### Table 8. ANOVA for standard deviation of surface roughness for titanium alloy

Ctd D d, Feed, Depth of cut

| General Fact                            | Corial Re             | gressio                               | n: :     | sta Dev v | ersus Spe | ea, reea | , Depth |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|
| Factor Inform                           | ation                 |                                       |          |           |           |          |         |
| Factor<br>Speed<br>Feed<br>Depth of cut | Levels<br>2<br>2<br>2 | Values<br>2300, 3<br>4, 6<br>0.2, 0.3 | 700<br>3 |           |           |          |         |
| Analysis of V                           | ariance               |                                       |          |           |           |          |         |
| Source                                  |                       | 1                                     | DF       | Adj SS    | Adj MS    | F-Value  | P-Value |
| Model                                   |                       |                                       | 7        | 0.041280  | 0.005897  | 1.66     | 0.167   |
| Linear                                  |                       |                                       | 3        | 0.013472  | 0.004491  | 1.26     | 0.310   |
| Speed                                   |                       |                                       | 1        | 0.008952  | 0.008952  | 2.52     | 0.126   |
| Feed                                    |                       |                                       | 1        | 0.000798  | 0.000798  | 0.22     | 0.640   |
| Depth of                                | cut                   |                                       | 1        | 0.003723  | 0.003723  | 1.05     | 0.317   |
| 2-Way Inter                             | actions               |                                       | 3        | 0.011370  | 0.003790  | 1.07     | 0.382   |
| Speed*Fee                               | d                     |                                       | 1        | 0.001708  | 0.001708  | 0.48     | 0.495   |
| Speed*Dep                               | th of cu              | t                                     | 1        | 0.000866  | 0.000866  | 0.24     | 0.626   |
| Feed*Dept                               | h of cut              |                                       | 1        | 0.008796  | 0.008796  | 2.47     | 0.129   |
| 3-Way Inter                             | actions               |                                       | 1        | 0.016438  | 0.016438  | 4.62     | 0.042   |
| Speed*Fee                               | d*Depth (             | of cut                                | 1        | 0.016438  | 0.016438  | 4.62     | 0.042   |
| Error                                   |                       |                                       | 24       | 0.085383  | 0.003558  |          |         |
| Total                                   |                       |                                       | 31       | 0.126663  |           |          |         |

The above table shows that the p-value for linear model,2-way interactions are greater than 0.05. but for 3-way interactions the p-values are lless than 0.05. There for it infers that all the factors for all the combination of 3-way interaction are insignificant.

#### V. CONCLUSION

The important conclusions drawn from the present work are summarized as follows:

- 1. ANOVA table for range and standard deviation of surface roughness for titanium alloy shows that combinations of 3-way interactions plays a significant role, since its p-value is less than 0.05.
- 2. Even the main effects plot shows that speed is insignificant for average surface roughness of titanium alloy.
- 3. The best process of optimal parameters are as shown
  - a. Depth of cut with 0.2mm, Speed with 3700rpm and feed with O4mm/rev.
  - b. Depth of cut with 0.2mm, Speed with constant with o4mm/rev.
  - c. Depth of cut with 0.3mm, speed with constant with O6mm/rev



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 6, June 2016

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Adan Valles, Jaime Sanchez, Salvador Noriega, and Berenice Gómez Nuñez Implementation of Six Sigma in a Manufacturing Process International Journal of Industrial Engineering, 16(3), 171-181, 2009.
- Tanvir Ahmed, Raj Narayan Acharjee, MD.Abdur Rahim, Noman Sikder, Taslima Akther, Mohd. Rifat Khan, MD.Fazle Rabbi, Anup Saha An 2. Application of Pareto Analysis and Cause-Effect Diagram for Minimizing Defect Percentage in Sewing Section of a Garment Factory, Vol. 3, Issue. 6, Nov - Dec. 2013 pp-3700-3715
- Dr. Rajeshkumar U. Sambhe: Six Sigma practice for quality improvement. Volume 4, Issue 4 (Nov-Dec. 2012), PP 26-42 3
- Rodrigues L.L.R, Kantharaj A.N, Kantharaj B, Freitas W. R. C. and Murthy B.R.N : Effect of Cutting Parameters on Surface Roughness and 4. Cutting Force in Turning Mild Steel, Vol. 1(10), 19-26, October (2012).
- B.Tulasiramarao, Dr.K.Srinivas, Dr. P Ram Reddy, A.Raveendra, Dr.B.V.R.Ravi Kumar: Experimental Study On The Effect Of Cutting 5. Parameters On Surface Finish Obtained In Cnc Turning Operation, Vol. 2, Issue 9, September 2013
- Pragnesh. R. Patel, Prof. V. A. Patel: Effect of machining parameters on Surface roughness and Power consumption for 6063 Al alloy TiC 6. Composites (MMCs), Vol. 2, Issue 4, July-August 2012, pp.295-300.
- Mehmet Alper, İlhan ASİLTÜRK: Effects of Cutting Tool Parameters on Surface Roughness, Volume 4, Issue 8 (August 2015), PP.15-22. 7
- Goutam D Revankar, Raviraj shetty, Shreekantha shrinivas rao, Vinayak Gaintonde: Wear resistance titanium alloy by ball burnishing process. Design and analysis of Experiments, Douglas C. Montgomery, 5<sup>th</sup> edition 8.
- 9.
- 10. Navneet khanna, J.P. Davin: Design of experiments application machining in titanium alloys for aerospace structural components.
- Mohammed T. Hayajneh, Montasser S. Tahat b, Joachim Bluhm: A Study of the Effects of Machining Parameters on the Surface Roughness in 11. the End-Milling Process, Volume 1, Number 1, Sep. 2007 ISSN 1995-6665 Pages 1 - 5.