

The M/M/c/N/K Interdependent Queueing Model with Controllable Arrival Rates and Reverse Balking

Sasikala.S¹, Thiagarajan.M²Assistant Professor, Cauvery College for Women, Tiruchirappalli, India¹Associate Professor, St. Joseph's College (Autonomous), Tiruchirappalli, India²

ABSTRACT: In this Paper, an M/M/c/N/K interdependent queueing model with controllable arrival rates and reverse balking is considered. The finite source of K customers arrive in a Poisson processes with two arrival rates λ_0, λ_1 - a faster and slower rate of arrivals. The service times follow exponential distribution with parameter μ . This model is much useful in analysing the particular situations arising at the places like a data voice transmission, computer communication system etc. The steady state solution and the system characteristics are derived and analysed for this model. Numerically results are given for better understanding.

KEYWORDS: Finite Capacity, Finite Source, Interdependent Controllable Arrival and Service rates, Reverse balking, c- Server, Bivariate Poisson process.

I. INTRODUCTION

In real practice, it is often likely that an arrival become discouraged when queue is long and may not wish to enter the queue. This type of arrival is called balking. The notion of customer balking appears in queueing theory in the works of Haight [1]. He has analysed M/M/1 queue with balking in which queue length is infinite. Jain and Rakesh Kumar [2] have studied M/M/1/N queueing system with Reverse Balking (a queueing system that indicates the probability of balking will be low when the queue size is more).

Along with several other assumptions, it is customary to consider that the arrival and service processes are independent. However in many particular situations, it is necessary to consider that the arrival and services processes are inter dependent. A queueing model in which arrivals and services are correlated is known as interdependent queueing Model. Much work has been reported in the literature regarding interdependent standard queueing model with controllable arrival rates. K.Srinivasa Rao, Shobha and P.Srinivasa Rao [3] have discussed M/M/1/ ∞ interdependent queueing model with controllable arrival rates. A.Srinivasan and M. Thiagarajan [4,5,6,7] have analysed M/M/1/K interdependent queueing model with controllable arrival rates, M/M/c/K interdependent queueing Model with controllable arrival rates M/M/c/K/N interdependent queueing Model with controllable arrival rates balking, reneging and spares and have analysed M/M/c/ /N loss and delay queueing system with interdependent queueing Model with controllable arrival rates and no passing. B.Antline Nisha and M.Thiagarajan [8] have discussed M/M/1/K/N interdependent retrial queueing Model with controllable arrival rates. Recently S.Sasikala and M.Thiagarajan [9] have studied the M/M/c/N interdependent queueing Model with controllable arrival rates and reverse balking.

In this paper, an M/M/c/N/K interdependent queueing model with controllable arrival rates is considered with the assumption that the arrival and service processes of the system are correlated and follows a bivariate Poisson process. Here the arrival rate is considered as, λ_0 - a faster rate of arrival and λ_1 - a slower rate of arrival. Whenever the queue size reaches a certain prescribed number R, the arrival rate reduces from λ_0 to λ_1 and it continues with that rate as long as the content in the queue is greater than some other prescribed integer r ($r \geq 0$ & $r < R$). When the content

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 5, May 2016

reaches r , the arrival rate changes back to λ_0 and the same process is repeated. In section 2, the description of the model is given stating the relevant postulates. In section 3, the steady state equations are obtained. In section 4, the characteristics of the model are derived. In section 5, the analytical results are numerically illustrated.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE MODEL

a. Consider a c -server finite capacity finite source queuing system in which the customers arrive according to the Poisson flow of rates λ_0 and λ_1 and the service times are exponentially distributed with rate μ .

It is assumed that the arrival process $[X_1(t)]$ and the service process $[X_2(t)]$ of the system are correlated and follows a bivariate Poisson process having the joint probability mass function of the form

$$P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2; t) = e^{-(\lambda_1 + \mu_n - \epsilon)t} \sum_{j=0}^{\min(x_1, x_2)} \frac{(\epsilon t)^j [(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)t]^{x_1-j} [(\mu_n - \epsilon)t]^{(x_2-j)}}{j!(x_1-j)!(x_2-j)!} \quad (2.1)$$

where $x_1, x_2 = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 0 < \lambda_1, \mu_n; 0 \leq \epsilon < \min(\lambda_1, \mu_n), i = 0, 1$

$n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, c-1, c, c+1, \dots, Y-1, Y, Y+1, \dots, r-1, r, r+1, \dots, R-1, R, R+1, \dots, N, N+1, \dots, Y+N$

with parameters $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \mu_n$ and ϵ as mean faster arrival rate, mean slower arrival rate, mean service rate and mean dependence rate (covariance between the arrival and service process) respectively.

$$\mu_n = \begin{cases} n\mu; & 0 \leq n < c \\ c\mu; & c \leq n \leq Y+N \end{cases}$$

b. The capacity of the system is finite (N).

c. The source of queueing system is finite (K).

d. The queue discipline is First-come, First-serve.

e. When the system is empty a customer may balk with probability q' and may enter with probability

$$p' (= 1 - q').$$

f. When there is at least one customer in the system, the customers balk with a probability $\left(1 - \frac{n}{N-1}\right)$ and join the

system with probability $\frac{n}{N-1}$.

The postulates of the model are

- (i) The probability that there is no arrival with Reverse balking and no service completion during a small interval of time h , when the system is in faster rate of arrivals is $1 - [(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)Kp' + (\mu_n - \epsilon)]h + o(h)$
- (ii) The probability that there is one arrival with Reverse balking and no service completion during a small interval of time h , when the system is in faster rate of arrivals is $(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)Kp'h + o(h)$
- (iii) The Probability that there is no arrival with Reverse balking and no service completion during a small interval of time h at state Y , when the system is in faster rate of arrival is $1 - \left[\left(\frac{n}{N-1}\right)(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)Kp' + (\mu_n - \epsilon)\right]h + o(h)$

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 5, May 2016

- (iv) The Probability that there is no arrival with Reverse balking and no service completion during a small interval of time h at state n , when the system is in faster rate of arrival is
- $$1 - \left[\left(\frac{n}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) (K + Y - n) p' + (\mu_n - \epsilon) \right] h + o(h)$$
- (v) The Probability that there is no arrival with Reverse balking and no service completion during a small interval of time h at state n , when the system is in slower rate of arrival is
- $$1 - \left[\left(\frac{n}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_1 - \epsilon) (K + Y - n) p' + (\mu_n - \epsilon) \right] h + o(h)$$
- (vi) The probability that there is no arrival with reverse balking and one service completion during a small interval of time h state n , when the system is either in faster or slower rate of arrivals is $(\mu_n - \epsilon)h + o(h)$
- (vii) The Probability that there is one arrival with Reverse balking and one service completion during a small interval of time h , when the system is either in faster or slower rate of arrivals is $\epsilon h + o(h)$

III. THE STEADY STATE EQUATIONS

Let $P_n(0)$ be the steady state probability that there are n customers in the system when the arrival rate is λ_0 and $P_n(1)$ be the steady state probability that there are n customers in the system when the arrival rate is λ_1 . We observe that $P_n(0)$ exists when $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, c-1, c, c+1, \dots, r-1, r$; both $P_n(0)$ & $P_n(1)$ exist when $n = r+1, r+2, \dots, R-1$ and $P_n(1)$ exists when $n = R, R+1, \dots, N-1, N, N+1, \dots, Y+N$. Further $P_n(0) = P_n(1) = 0$ if $n > Y+N$. With this dependence structure, the steady state equations are

$$0 = -K(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)p'P_0(0) + (\mu - \epsilon)P_1(0) \quad (3.1)$$

$$0 = - \left[K \left(\frac{1}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) + (\mu - \epsilon) \right] P_1(0) + 2(\mu - \epsilon)P_2(0) + K(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)p'p_0(0) \quad (3.2)$$

$$0 = - \left[K \left(\frac{n}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) + n(\mu - \epsilon) \right] P_n(0) + (n+1)(\mu - \epsilon) P_{n+1}(0) + K \left(\frac{n-1}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) P_{n-1}(0) \quad 2 \leq n \leq c-1 \quad (3.3)$$

$$0 = - \left[K \left(\frac{n}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) + c(\mu - \epsilon) \right] P_n(0) + c(\mu - \epsilon) P_{n+1}(0) + K \left(\frac{n-1}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) P_{n-1}(0) \quad c \leq n \leq Y \quad (3.4)$$

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 5, May 2016

$$0 = - \left[(K + Y - n) \left(\frac{n}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) + c(\mu - \epsilon) \right] P_n(0) + c(\mu - \epsilon) P_{n+1}(0) \tag{3.5}$$

$$+ (K + Y - n + 1) \left(\frac{n-1}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) P_{n-1}(0) \quad Y+1 \leq n \leq r-1$$

$$0 = - \left[(K + Y - r) \left(\frac{r}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) + c(\mu - \epsilon) \right] P_r(0) + c(\mu - \epsilon) P_{r+1}(0)$$

$$+ c(\mu - \epsilon) P_{r+1}(1) + (K + Y - r + 1) \left(\frac{r-1}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) P_{r-1}(0) \tag{3.6}$$

$$0 = - \left[(K + Y - n) \left(\frac{n}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) + c(\mu - \epsilon) \right] P_n(0) + c(\mu - \epsilon) P_{n+1}(0)$$

$$+ (K + Y - n + 1) \left(\frac{n-1}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) P_{n-1}(0) \quad r+1 \leq n \leq R-2 \tag{3.7}$$

$$0 = - \left[(K + Y - R + 1) \left(\frac{R-1}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) + c(\mu - \epsilon) \right] P_{R-1}(0)$$

$$+ (K + Y - R + 2) \left(\frac{R-2}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) P_{R-2}(0) \tag{3.8}$$

$$0 = - \left[(K + Y - r - 1) \left(\frac{r+1}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_1 - \epsilon) + c(\mu - \epsilon) \right] P_{r+1}(1) + c(\mu - \epsilon) P_{r+2}(1) \tag{3.9}$$

$$0 = - \left[(K + Y - n) \left(\frac{n}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_1 - \epsilon) + c(\mu - \epsilon) \right] P_n(1) + c(\mu - \epsilon) P_{n+1}(1)$$

$$+ (K + Y - n + 1) \left(\frac{n-1}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_1 - \epsilon) P_{n-1}(1) \quad r+2 \leq n \leq R-1 \tag{3.10}$$

$$0 = - \left[(K + Y - R) \left(\frac{R}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_1 - \epsilon) + c(\mu - \epsilon) \right] P_R(1) + c(\mu - \epsilon) P_{R+1}(1)$$

$$+ (K + Y - R + 1) \left(\frac{R-1}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) P_{R-1}(0) + (K + Y - R + 1) \left(\frac{R-1}{N-1} \right) (\lambda_1 - \epsilon) P_{R-1}(1) \tag{3.11}$$

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 5, May 2016

$$0 = - \left[(K + Y - n) \binom{n}{N-1} (\lambda_1 - \epsilon) + c(\mu - \epsilon) \right] P_n(1) + c(\mu - \epsilon) P_{n+1}(1) \tag{3.12}$$

$$+ (K + Y - n + 1) \binom{n-1}{N-1} (\lambda_1 - \epsilon) P_{n-1}(1) \quad R + 1 \leq n < Y + N$$

$$0 = (K - N + 1) \binom{n-1}{N-1} (\lambda_1 - \epsilon) P_{Y+N-1}(1) - c(\mu - \epsilon) P_{Y+N}(1) \tag{3.13}$$

From (3.1) to (3.8) we get

$$P_n(0) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} K^n p' \frac{1}{(N-1)^{n-1}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{n(\mu - \epsilon)} \right)^n P_0(0), & n = 1, 2, \dots, c \\ K^n p' \frac{(n-1)!}{c^{n-c} (N-1)^{n-1}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{\mu - \epsilon} \right)^n P_0(0), & n = c + 1, c + 2, \dots, Y \\ K^Y (K)_{n-Y} p' \frac{(n-1)!}{c^{n-c} (N-1)^{n-1}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{\mu - \epsilon} \right)^n P_0(0), & n = Y + 1, Y + 2, \dots, r \\ K^Y (K)_{n-Y} p' \frac{(n-1)!}{c^{n-c} (N-1)^{n-1}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{\mu - \epsilon} \right)^n P_0(0) \\ - \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)} \right)^{n-r-1} (n-1) p_{n-r-1} (K - r + Y - 1)_{n-r-1} \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)} \right)^{n-r-2} (n-1) p_{n-r-2} (K - r + Y - 2)_{n-r-2} \right. \\ \left. + \dots + \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)} \right)^{n-R+1} (n-1) p_{n-R+1} (K - n - 1 + Y)_{n-R+1} \right] AP_0(0) \end{array} \right. \tag{3.14}$$

n = r + 1, r + 2, ..., R - 1

From (3.9) to (3.13) we get

$$P_n(1) = \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \epsilon}{c(\mu - \epsilon)(N-1)} \right)^{n-r-1} (n-1) p_{n-r-1} (K + Y - r - 1)_{n-r-1} + \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \epsilon}{c(\mu - \epsilon)(N-1)} \right)^{n-r-2} (n-1) p_{n-r-2} \cdot \right. \\ \left. (K + Y - r - 2)_{n-r-2} + \dots + \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \epsilon}{c(\mu - \epsilon)(N-1)} \right)^{n-R} (n-1) p_{n-R} (K + Y - R)_{n-R} \right] AP_0(0) \tag{3.15}$$

n = r + 1, r + 2, ..., N - 1, N

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 5, May 2016

Where

$(K)_n = K(K-1)(K-2)\dots(K-n+1)$ if $n > 0, (K)_0 = 1$

$$A = \frac{K^Y (K)_{R-Y} P' \frac{(R-1)!}{(N-1)^{R-1}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{\mu - \epsilon}\right)^R \frac{1}{c^{R-c}}}{\frac{(R-1)!}{r!} \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)}\right)^{R-r-1} (K-r+Y-1)_{R-r-1} + \frac{(R-1)!}{(r+1)!} \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)}\right)^{R-r-2} (K-r+Y-1)_{R-r-2} + \dots + \frac{(R-1)!}{(R+2)!} \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)}\right)^{n-R+1} (K-r+Y-1)_{n-R+1} + 1}$$

The probability $P_0(0)$ that the system is empty can be calculated from the normalizing condition $P(0) + P(1) = 1$

$$P_0(0) = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{n=1}^c K^n p' \frac{1}{n(N-1)^{n-1}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{\mu - \epsilon}\right)^n + \sum_{n=c+1}^Y K^n p' \frac{(n-1)!}{c^{n-c} (N-1)^{n-1}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{\mu - \epsilon}\right)^n + \sum_{n=Y+1}^{R-1} K^Y (K)_{n-Y} p' \frac{(n-1)!}{c^{n-c} (N-1)^{n-1}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{\mu - \epsilon}\right)^n - \left[\sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)}\right)^{n-r-1} (n-1) p_{n-r-1} (K-r+Y-1)_{n-r-1} + \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)}\right)^{n-r-2} (n-1) p_{n-r-2} (K-r+Y-2)_{n-r-2} + \dots + \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)}\right)^{n-R+1} (n-1) p_{n-R+1} (K-n+Y-1)_{n-R+1} \right] + \sum_{n=r+1}^{Y+N} \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)}\right)^{n-r-1} (n-1) p_{n-r-1} (K-r+Y-1)_{n-r-1} + \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)}\right)^{n-r-2} (n-1) p_{n-r-2} (K-r+Y-2)_{n-r-2} + \dots + \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)}\right)^{n-R} (n-1) p_{n-R} (K+Y-R)_{n-R} \right] A}$$

IV. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MODEL

The probability $P(0)$ that the system is in faster rate of arrival is

$$P(0) = \sum_{n=0}^N P_n(0)$$

Since $P_n(0)$ exists only when $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, c-1, c, c+1, \dots, Y-1, Y, \dots, r, r+1, \dots, R-1$, we get

$$P(0) = P_0(0) + \sum_{n=1}^c P_n(0) + \sum_{n=c+1}^Y P_n(0) + \sum_{n=Y+1}^r P_n(0) + \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} P_n(0) \quad (4.1)$$

The Probability that the system is in slower rate of arrival is

$$P(1) = \sum_{n=0}^{Y+N} P_n(1) = \sum_{n=0}^r P_n(1) + \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} P_n(1) + \sum_{n=R}^{Y+N} P_n(1) \quad (4.2)$$

Since $P_n(1)$ exists only when $n=r+1, r+2, \dots, R-2, R-1, \dots, Y+N$ we get

$$P(1) = \sum_{n=r+1}^{Y+N} P_n(1) \quad (4.3)$$

The expected number of customers in the system is given by

$$L_s = L_{s0} + L_{s1} \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$L_{s0} = \sum_{n=0}^c nP_n(0) + \sum_{n=c+1}^Y nP_n(0) + \sum_{n=Y+1}^r nP_n(0) + \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} nP_n(0) \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$L_{s1} = \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} nP_n(1) + \sum_{n=R}^{Y+N} nP_n(1) \quad (4.6)$$

Therefore

$$L_s = \sum_{n=0}^c nP_n(0) + \sum_{n=c+1}^Y nP_n(0) + \sum_{n=Y+1}^r nP_n(0) + \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} nP_n(0) + \sum_{n=r+1}^{Y+N} nP_n(1) \quad (4.7)$$

From (3.14) and (3.15), we get

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 5, May 2016

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_s = & \sum_{n=1}^c K^n p' \frac{1}{n(N-1)^{n-1}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{\mu - \epsilon} \right)^n P_0(0) + \sum_{n=c+1}^Y nK^n p' \frac{(n-1)!}{c^{n-c} (N-1)^{n-1}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{\mu - \epsilon} \right)^n P_0(0) \\
 & + \sum_{n=Y+1}^{R-1} K^Y (K)_{n-Y} p' \frac{(n-1)!}{c^{n-c} (N-1)^{n-1}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{\mu - \epsilon} \right)^n P_0(0) - \left[\sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)} \right)^{n-r-1} (n-1)p_{n-r-1} \right. \right. \\
 & (K-r+Y-1)_{n-r-1} + \left. \left. \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)} \right)^{n-r-2} (n-1)p_{n-r-2} (K-r+Y-2)_{n-r-2} \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + \dots + \left(\frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)} \right)^{n-R+1} (n-1)p_{n-R+1} (K-n+Y-1)_{n-R+1} \right] \right. \\
 & + \sum_{n=r+1}^{Y+N} \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)} \right)^{n-r-1} (n-1)p_{n-r-1} (K-r+Y-1)_{n-r-1} \right. \\
 & + \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)} \right)^{n-r-2} (n-1)p_{n-r-2} (K-r+Y-2)_{n-r-2} \\
 & \left. + \dots + \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \epsilon}{(N-1)c(\mu - \epsilon)} \right)^{n-R} (n-1)p_{n-R} (K+Y-R)_{n-R} \right] \left. \right] AP_0(0)
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Little's formula, the expected waiting time of the customers in the system is given by

$$W_s = \frac{L_s}{\bar{\lambda}} \quad \text{Where } \bar{\lambda} = \lambda_0 P(0) + \lambda_1 P(1)$$

This model includes the particular cases as, when $c=1$ this model reduces to M/M/1/N/K interdependent queueing model with controllable arrival rates and reverse balking. When λ_0 tends to λ_1 and $\epsilon = 0$, this model reduces to M/M/1/N/K queueing system with reverse balking.

V. NUMERICAL ILLUSTRATIONS

For various values of $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \mu, \epsilon, q', p'$ while c, Y, r, R, N, K are fixed values, computed and tabulated the values of $P_0(0), P(0), P(1), L_s$ and W_s

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 5, May 2016

Table 5.1.

$c = 1, Y = 2, r = 3, R = 5, N = 6, K = 8$										
λ_0	λ_1	μ	ϵ	q'	p'	$P_0(0)$	$P(0)$	$P(1)$	L_s	W_s
3	4	4	0.5	0	1	3.0567600×10^{-5}	0.0011703	0.9988297	7.7364928	1.9346886
4	4	4	0.5	0	1	7.4477445×10^{-6}	0.0006883	0.9993117	7.7739091	1.9351057
3	5	4	0.5	0	1	1.3009903×10^{-5}	0.0004981	0.9995019	7.8039075	1.5610925
3	5	3	0.5	0	1	9.4462926×10^{-7}	0.0002222	0.9997778	8.7844293	1.7570421
3	4	4	0	0	1	2.4882161×10^{-5}	0.0010774	0.9989226	7.7369890	1.9347689
3	4	4	0.5	0.5	0.5	6.1133339×10^{-5}	0.0012009	0.9987991	7.7362527	1.9346440
3	4	4	0.5	0.8	0.2	1.5281933×10^{-4}	0.0012924	0.9987076	7.7355432	1.9345108

VI.CONCLUSION

The observations made from the table 5.1 are

1. When λ_0 increases keeping other parameters fixed, $P_0(0)$ and $P(0)$ decrease but $P(1)$ and L_s increase. It is also observed that the expected system size is zero when q' is 1.
2. When λ_1 increases keeping other parameters fixed, $P_0(0)$ and $P(0)$ decrease but $P(1)$ and L_s increase.
3. When the mean dependence rate increases and the other parameters are kept fixed, $P_0(0)$ and $P(0)$ increase, $P(1)$ and L_s decrease.
4. When the balking rate decreases and other parameters are kept fixed, $P_0(0)$ and $P(0)$ decrease, L_s increase regularly and attains maximum when q' is zero.

REFERENCES

- [1] Haight, F.A., Queuing with balking, Biometrika Vol 44, No. 3-4, 360-369, 1957.
- [2] Jain N.K, Rakesh Kumar, Bhupender kumar Som, An M/M/1/N Queuing system with Reverse Balking, American Journal of Operation Research Vol.4 No.2, 17-20, 2014.
- [3] Srinivasa Rao.K, Shobha.T, The M/M/1 interdependent queuing Model with controllable arrival rates, Operational Research society of India. Vol. 37, No.1, 2000
- [4] Srinivasan.A, and Thiagarajan.M, The M/M/1/K interdependent queuing model with controllable arrival rates International Journal of Management and Systems Vol 22, No.1, 23-34, 2006.
- [5] Srinivasan.A and Thiagarajan.M, The M/M/C/K independent queuing model with controllable arrival rates, Bulletin of Calcutta Mathematical Society, 99(2), 173-182, 2007.
- [6] Srinivasan.A and Thiagarajan.M, The M/M/C/K/N independent queuing model with controllable arrival rates balking, renegeing and spares, Journal of Statistics and Applications, 2, Nos.1-2, 56-65, 2007.
- [7] Srinivasan.A and Thiagarajan.M, The M/M/c/ /N loss and delay queuing system with interdependent queuing Model with controllable arrival rates and no passing, Journal of Applied Statistical Science, 17(1), 47-64, 2009.
- [8] Antile Nisha B. And Thiagarajan M., The M/M/1/K/N interdependent retrial queuing Model with controllable arrival rates, International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Applications, Vol.8 No. VI, 27-41, 2014.
- [9] Sasikala.S and Thiagarajan M., The M/M/c/N interdependent queuing model with controllable arrival rates and reverse balking, Asian Journal of Current Engineering and Maths, Jan-Feb, 21-24, 2016.