

Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package –An Assured Modus to Enhance Basic Process Skills in Physics of Secondary School Students

Dr. Vipul Murali ¹, Dr. Jaya Jaise²

Teacher, Dept. of Physics, Government Vocational Higher Secondary School, Thodupuzha, Idukki, Kerala, India¹

Associate Professor, School of Pedagogical Sciences, Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam, Kerala, India²

ABSTRACT: The study purported to develop a Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package in Physics and to test its effectiveness in enhancing Basic Process Skills in Physics of Secondary School Students of Kerala. Further, this effectiveness was to be compared with that of the Activity Oriented Method of Instruction. The Quasi Experimental Method with the Pre-Test Post-Test Non Equivalent Groups Design was found to be suitable for the study. The Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package and the Activity Oriented Method of Instruction were the independent variables while the Basic Process Skills in Physics was the dependent variable of the study. The experiment was conducted on a sample of 400 Secondary School Students of Kerala with 200 each in the Experimental and Control Groups. The results of the study showed that the Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package in Physics is more effective than the Activity Oriented Method of Instruction in enhancing Basic Process Skills in Physics of the Students.

KEY WORDS: Multimedia, Basic Process Skills in Physics, Instructional Package

I. INTRODUCTION

Education today is more than teaching and learning with an emphasis on technology. Learning using technologies has become a global phenomenon. Science is an inseparable part of modern life and is viewed by common man as a body of scientific information. The conventional teaching methods do not meet up to the intellectual, psychological and emotional needs of the students and are insufficient to actively involve students in studying Science. The methods of teaching need a radical change and it should be more student-centered. Modern instructional strategies provide divergent thinking that facilitates better learning and longer retention.

Multimedia holds greater promise in enhancing learning as well as in improving the quality of education. It is becoming an important part of any classroom. The challenge for educators is to determine the appropriateness of multimedia use and ensure its success in the classroom. In the classroom, Multimedia has an undisputed place but certainly will not replace good teaching. Schools are perhaps the best places for tapping the potentials of multimedia. Many educators perceive Multimedia has been touted as the preferred medium in revolutionizing education. Lots of new technologies are emerging in the field of teaching Physics, with ongoing research in teaching Physics using Multimedia. The role of Multimedia is also evident in the documentation of Physics practices. Most of the teachers practice traditional methods for teaching Physics. Since the classrooms are crowded, the teachers are unable to capture the attention of the students. Consequently, the percentage of marks and percentage of passes in a year, with regard to Physics, is obviously poor. Interactive Multimedia is an answer to this situation.

Physics is a subject that gives meaning to nature and natural phenomena. It is essential that students be taught in the natural set up. Though the traditional method of teaching helps to some extent, Multimedia is capable of motivating students towards self-achievement. For example, when the concept of oscillation is to be taught, Multimedia animation

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 10, October 2016

comes in handy. When the concept of Universe is explained, graphics and animation are useful in driving home the idea of natural phenomena in a clear cut way. In electricity, it is not known in which direction the current flows, but this could be clearly conveyed using Multimedia.

II. RELATED WORKS

Every piece of ongoing research needs to be connected with the work already done so as to attain an overall relevance and purpose. Erkol and Ugulu (2014) determined the Biology teacher's level of Scientific Process Skills. Remziye, Yeter, Calis, Ozdilek, Sirin and Meral (2011) found that use of Inquiry based teaching methods significantly enhances students' Science Process Skills and attitudes. Strawitz (2006) examined the effects of testing on Science Process Skill achievement of students using self-instructional materials.

Baby (2014) elaborated the use, utility and importance of Computer Assisted Instructional Package in Organic Chemistry teaching and it implies that the application of Integrated Modern technologies in the teaching-learning process is very effective in our classroom. Chitra (2014) introduced a Multimedia Instructional Package in Solid Geometry to test its effectiveness in enhancing Conceptual Clarity, Problem Solving Ability, Achievement as well as Retention in Solid Geometry at Secondary School level by comparing its relative effectiveness with Activity Oriented Method of Instruction. Gupta and Tyagi (2014) tested the effectiveness of computer-assisted instruction on achievement in Biology among Senior Secondary School students. The findings of Krishnan (2013) revealed that the Multimedia Package is effective in reducing the reading miscues.

These review of related literature helped to gather extensive information on Process Skills in Physics and Multimedia Instructional Packages.

III. NEED AND SIGNIFICANCE

Multimedia can enhance and strengthen the impact of activities in the Science class room. It can visually demonstrate scientific ideas and concepts. Multimedia can facilitate the development of Science Process Skills of students, necessary for students to engage in authentic scientific inquiry (Roth and Roychoudhury, 2006).

Teaching and learning of Science needs to be characterised by focused emphasis on various Basic Process Skills in Physics, viz. Observing, Inferring, Measuring, Communicating, Classifying, Predicting, Using Numbers and Space-Time Relationships (Science - A Process Approach SAPA, 1967). These Basic Process Skills in Physics can be acquired by actively participating in the learning process. The major factors that influence Science teacher's preference for different types of instructional activities have been identified. The most important factor that has an impact on Science teachers is to motivate students to develop Science Process Skills (Talanquer, Novodvorsky and Tomanek, 2010). The crucial part in the development of Science Process Skills among students is the preparation of Multimedia Instructional Packages in tune with the content of the syllabus. The Multimedia Instructional Package subsumes the idea that the Basic Process Skills once developed can be transferred to other content areas. Therefore, there is a need to develop such Multimedia Instructional Package for promoting and enhancing these Basic Process Skills in Physics. Science is a part of our life. A Student must be able to apply the content of Science, by learning how to do the process aspect of Science. Hence, there is a need to revisit our Science teaching and change focus from the product aspect to the process aspect of Science learning.

There are several advantages to implementing Multimedia in the classroom. It motivates the student to learn. Multimedia crafts interesting lessons. Multimedia allows teachers to address various learning styles in the classroom - Students can see, hear, and imagine what things feel since Multimedia brings a subject to life. Besides, technology standards are also addressed. Students must be ready to compete in a highly technological world. Student-centered learning takes place and students show accountability for learning when collaborative activities or project based learning is implemented through technology. Thus, it provides occasion for differentiated instruction. Having different ways to present information to students allows teachers to meet the needs of all students.

The conception of the Multimedia as a learning environment is instantiated in varied forms, from online versions of traditional computer assisted instruction to innovative individual and group virtual - learning modes. Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instruction is a powerful interaction medium that enables students to communicate with peers, teachers,

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 10, October 2016

and experts and conduct collaborative work (Mioduser, 2000). Teacher-Assisted Classroom Instruction is a method in which the teacher will contact the suitable web site and collect more and recent information related to a topic and use it in the classroom teaching. Abstract ideas can be explained easily with 3D pictures, animation and Multimedia.

Many studies have concluded that Multimedia can improve the quality of achievement in many areas. Multimedia Package can bring significant difference in achievement of Biological Science (Anboucarassy, 2010). Multimedia based instruction is effective for improving achievement in Science among problem Students (Reddy, Ramar and Ponnambalam, 2009). Multimedia Instructional Package can bring significant difference in achievement of Physics (Yang and Heh, 2007).

These results point to the fact that Multimedia has high significance and immense prospects in enhancing Basic Process Skills in Physics of students in the field of science education. Proper development of Instructional Package in Physics can be ensured by making students feel that Multimedia is an important object of instruction. This can be done only by means of an effective method of Instruction. A Multimedia Instructional Package is bound to have profound influence on the Basic Process Skills in Physics of students. Further, it could foster and motivate the students towards learning the subject.

The Investigators, both having long innings in the field of Teaching, felt that Secondary School Students have very little Basic Process Skills in Physics. Several researchers have developed various instructional strategies in Physics for Secondary School Students, but none was found that could enhance Basic Process Skills in Physics. So it was decided to develop a Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package to enhance Basic Process Skills in Physics of Secondary School Students. In the present study, a Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package in Physics for Secondary School Students was developed and its effectiveness tested in enhancing the Basic Process Skills in Physics of Secondary School Students of Kerala.

HYPOTHESIS OF THE STUDY

It was hypothesised that the Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package will be significantly more effective than the Activity Oriented Method of Instruction in enhancing Basic Process Skills in Physics of Secondary School Students for the Total sample.

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

The objective of the study was to compare the effectiveness of the Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package and that of the Activity Oriented Method of Instruction in enhancing Basic Process Skills in Physics of Secondary School Students for the Total sample.

IV. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Quasi Experimental Method with the Pre-Test Post-Test Non Equivalent Groups Design was adopted for the present study. The Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package and the Activity Oriented Method of Instruction were the independent variables while Basic Process Skills in Physics was the dependent variable of the study. Experimental verification was imperative to determine the effectiveness of the Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package over the Activity Oriented Method of Instruction on Basic Process Skills in Physics of Secondary School Students.

Random Sampling Technique was employed for gathering data giving due representation to Gender of students. The total sample comprised 400 Secondary School Students, with 200 each in the groups randomly assigned as the Experimental and Control Groups, from schools in Thrissur and Ernakulam Districts of Kerala.

The materials used for the experiment were:

1. Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package(Jaise and Murali, 2011)
2. Lesson Plans based on Activity Oriented Method of Instruction (Jaise and Murali, 2011)

They were developed from three Units of the Physics Textbook of Standard VIII, viz. Magnetism, Static Electricity and Celestial Sights.

The tools used for the study were:

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 10, October 2016

1. Evaluation Pro forma for Validating the Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package (Jaise and Murali, 2011)
2. Comprehensive Test of Process Skills in Physics (Jaise and Murali, 2011)

The Comprehensive Test of Process Skills in Physics was initially administered to the Experimental and Control Groups in order to assess the Basic Process Skills in Physics of Secondary School Students. The scores obtained were taken as the Pre-Test scores. The Experimental Group was exposed to the Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package while the Control Group was exposed to the Activity Oriented Method of Instruction. After experimental treatment, the Comprehensive Test of Process Skills in Physics was again administered on both Experimental and Control Groups. The scores obtained thus were considered as Post-Test scores. The data gathered was then analysed using statistical techniques like Arithmetic Mean, Standard Deviation, Critical Ratio (Test of Significant Difference between Means), and Tests of Variance, viz. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) as well as Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA).

V. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS OF RESULTS

A comparison was made of the effectiveness of the Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package and the Activity Oriented Method of Instruction on the Basic Process Skills in Physics of Secondary School Students for the Total Sample as well as for both the Gender Groups.

The Pre-Test, Post-Test and Gain scores in Basic Process Skills in Physics of the Total Sample as well as of both the Gender Sub Samples in the Experimental and Control Groups were computed and the data are given in Table 1.

Basic Process Skills in Physics	Group	Pre-Test Scores			Post-Test Scores			Gain Scores		
		M	SD	't' value	M	SD	't' value	M	SD	't' value
Observing	Experimental	1.16	0.51	0.24	1.85	0.36	10.69	0.69	0.58	8.59
	Control	1.15	0.37		1.39	0.49		0.25	0.43	
Inferring	Experimental	1.29	0.59	1.36	2.41	0.51	13.82	1.15	0.69	11.03
	Control	1.20	0.42		1.64	0.60		0.44	0.59	
Measuring	Experimental	1.29	0.49	1.09	1.88	0.35	7.34	0.58	0.49	4.85
	Control	1.24	0.43		1.56	0.51		0.34	0.50	
Communicating	Experimental	0.57	0.54	0.17	1.49	0.53	3.71	0.92	0.59	3.62
	Control	0.58	0.61		1.27	0.65		0.69	0.67	
Classifying	Experimental	0.70	0.46	0.63	2.31	0.58	13.46	1.61	0.63	11.39
	Control	0.67	0.48		1.56	0.53		0.89	0.67	
Predicting	Experimental	0.77	0.49	0.22	2.33	0.55	17.28	1.56	0.71	3.64
	Control	0.76	0.43		1.39	0.54		0.64	0.64	
Using Numbers	Experimental	0.74	0.44	0.67	1.74	0.44	3.61	1.01	0.51	2.64
	Control	0.71	0.46		1.56	0.55		0.85	0.69	
Space-Time Relationships	Experimental	0.68	0.48	1.23	1.69	0.46	15.15	1.06	0.59	11.12
	Control	0.62	0.49		1.05	0.37		0.43	0.55	

Table 1
Data for Pre-Test, Post-Test and Gain scores in Basic Process Skills in Physics of Total Sample in
Experimental(N=200) and Control (N=200) Groups
From Table D, for df 198(Total), df 183(Boys) and df 213(Girls), $t_{0.01}=2.59$

From Table 1, it can be seen that the obtained 't' values are 0.24, 1.36, 1.09, 0.17, 0.63, 0.22, 0.67 and 1.23 respectively for the eight Basic Process Skills in Physics, viz. Observing, Inferring, Measuring, Communicating, Classifying, Predicting, Using Numbers and Space-Time Relationships, none of which are significant. From these results, it can be inferred that there is no significant difference between the Pre-Test scores of the Total Sample in the Experimental and Control Groups before the Experiment. Since the Means and Standard Deviations of the Experimental and Control Groups are almost similar in value, it can be concluded that the Total Sample of Secondary School Students are almost identical with regard to their Pre-Test scores in Basic Process Skills in Physics.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 10, October 2016

Table 1 also shows that the obtained 't' values are 10.69, 13.82, 7.34, 3.71, 13.46, 17.28, 3.61 and 15.15 respectively for the eight Basic Process Skills in Physics, all of which are significant at 0.01 level. From these results, it can be inferred that there is significant difference between the Mean Post-Test scores of the Total Sample in the Experimental and Control Groups after the Experiment. Since the Mean Post-Test scores of the Experimental Group (1.85, 2.41, 1.88, 1.49, 2.31, 2.33, 1.74 and 1.69) are greater than those of the Control Group (1.39, 1.64, 1.56, 1.27, 1.56, 1.39, 1.56 and 1.05) for the Total Sample, it can be concluded that the Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package is superior to the Activity Oriented Method of Instruction for the Total Sample.

From Table 1, the obtained 't' values with regard to the Gain Scores of the Total Sample are 8.59, 11.03, 4.85, 3.62, 11.39, 3.64, 2.64 and 11.12 respectively for the eight Basic Process Skills in Physics, viz. Observing, Inferring, Measuring, Communicating, Classifying, Predicting, Using Numbers and Space-Time Relationships, all of which are significant at 0.01 level. From these results, it can be inferred that there is significant difference between the Mean Gain scores of the Total Sample in the Experimental and Control Groups. Since the Mean Gain scores of the Experimental Group (0.69, 1.15, 0.58, 0.92, 1.61, 1.56, 1.01 and 1.06) are greater than those of the Control Group (0.25, 0.44, 0.34, 0.69, 0.89, 0.64, 0.85 and 0.43) for the Total Sample, it can be concluded that the Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package is superior to the Activity Oriented Method of Instruction for the Total Sample. The Tests of Variance were used to ascertain the genuineness of the difference in the obtained Scores. The Total Sum of Squares, Mean Square Variance and F-ratio for the Pre- and Post-Test scores of Experimental and Control Groups were computed for the Total Sample and the details of Analysis of Variance are shown in Table 2.

Table 2
Summary of ANOVA of Pre-Test (x) and Post-Test (y) Scores in Basic Process Skills in Physics of Total Sample in Experimental and Control Groups

Basic Process Skills in Physics	Source of Variation	df	SS _X	SS _Y	MS _X	MS _Y	F _X	F _Y
Observing	Among Means	1	0.02	20.70	0.02	20.70	0.11	108.74
	Within Groups	398	79.68	75.78	0.20	0.19		
	Total	399	79.70	96.48				
Inferring	Among Means	1	0.56	60.06	0.56	60.06	2.14	191.65
	Within Groups	398	104.82	124.74	0.26	0.31		
	Total	399	105.38	184.80				
Measuring	Among Means	1	0.36	10.24	0.36	10.24	1.71	54.15
	Within Groups	398	83.55	75.27	0.21	0.19		
	Total	399	83.91	85.51				
Communicating	Among Means	1	0.02	4.62	0.02	4.62	0.07	13.20
	Within Groups	398	131.88	139.38	0.33	0.35		
	Total	399	131.90	144.00				
Classifying	Among Means	1	0.09	60.06	0.09	60.06	0.41	195.09
	Within Groups	398	88.22	122.54	0.22	0.31		
	Total	399	88.31	182.60				
Predicting	Among Means	1	0.02	86.49	0.02	86.49	0.11	292.54
	Within Groups	398	84.42	117.67	0.21	0.29		
	Total	399	84.44	204.16				
Using Numbers	Among Means	1	0.06	3.24	0.06	3.24	0.31	13.19
	Within Groups	398	80.14	97.76	0.20	0.25		
	Total	399	89.20	101.00				
Space-Time Relationships	Among Means	1	0.30	40.96	0.30	40.96	1.29	231.96
	Within Groups	398	92.99	70.28	0.23	0.18		
	Total	399	93.29	111.24				
Result:		F _X values are not significant F _Y values are significant at 0.01 level						

From Table F, for df 398 (Total), F_{0.05} = 3.86 and F_{0.01} = 6.70

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 10, October 2016

Table 2 shows that the obtained F_X values are 0.11, 2.14, 1.71, 0.07, 0.41, 0.11, 0.31 and 1.29 for the eight Basic Process Skills in Physics, all of which are less than the Table values and hence are not significant. This indicates that there is no significant difference between Pre-Test scores of Secondary School Students in the Experimental and Control Groups. The obtained F_Y values are 108.74, 191.65, 54.15, 13.20, 195.09, 292.54, 13.19 and 231.96 respectively for the eight Basic Process Skills in Physics, all of which are greater than the Table values and hence are significant at 0.01 level.

The significant F_Y values indicate that the Experimental and the Control Groups differ significantly in the Post-Test scores of the Basic Process Skills in Physics. The significant F_Y values indicate that the Experimental and the Control Groups differ significantly in the Post-Test scores of Basic Process Skills in Physics.

The Total Sum of Squares and Adjusted Mean Square Variance for Post-Test scores of Basic Process Skills in Physics for the Total Sample are computed and the results of Analysis of Covariance are presented in Table 3.

Table 3
Summary of ANCOVA of Pre-Test (x) and Post-Test (y) Scores in Basic Process Skills in Physics of Total Sample in Experimental and Control Groups

Basic Process Skills in Physics	Source of Variation	df	SS _X	SS _Y	SS _{XY}	SS _{YX}	MS _{YX}	SD _{Y_x}	F _{YX}
Observing	Among Means	1	0.02	20.70	0.68	20.26	20.26	0.41	119.13
	Within Groups	397	79.68	75.78	25.65	67.52	0.17		
	Total	398	79.70	96.48	26.33	87.78			
Inferring	Among Means	1	0.56	60.06	5.81	56.04	56.04	0.54	195.77
	Within Groups	397	104.82	124.74	34.10	113.64	0.29		
	Total	398	105.38	184.80	39.91	169.68			
Measuring	Among Means	1	0.36	10.24	1.92	8.86	8.86	0.40	54.69
	Within Groups	397	83.55	75.27	30.29	64.29	0.16		
	Total	398	83.91	85.51	32.21	73.15			
Communicating	Among Means	1	0.02	4.62	-0.32	4.89	4.89	0.54	16.81
	Within Groups	397	131.88	139.38	55.88	115.70	0.29		
	Total	398	131.90	144.00	55.56	120.59			
Classifying	Among Means	1	0.09	60.06	2.33	58.91	58.91	0.54	198.89
	Within Groups	397	88.22	122.54	20.91	117.58	0.29		
	Total	398	88.31	182.60	23.24	176.49			
Predicting	Among Means	1	0.02	86.49	1.39	86.13	86.13	0.54	293.72
	Within Groups	397	84.42	117.67	10.31	116.41	0.29		
	Total	398	84.44	204.16	11.70	202.54			
Using Numbers	Among Means	1	0.06	3.24	0.45	3.05	3.05	0.49	12.86
	Within Groups	397	80.14	97.76	16.70	94.28	0.24		
	Total	398	80.19	101.00	17.15	97.33			
Space-Time Relationships	Among Means	1	0.30	40.96	3.52	39.58	39.58	0.41	233.49
	Within Groups	397	92.99	70.28	16.65	67.29	0.17		
	Total	398	93.29	111.24	20.17	106.87			
Result:		All the F _{YX} values are significant at 0.01 level							

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 10, October 2016

Table 3 shows that the obtained F_{YX} values for the Total Sample are 119.13, 195.77, 54.69, 16.81, 198.89, 293.72, 12.86 and 233.49 for the eight Basic Process Skills in Physics, all of which are greater than the Table values, and hence the differences between the two Groups are significant at 0.01 level for the Total Sample.

From the results of ANCOVA pertaining to Basic Process Skills in Physics of the Total Sample, the significant F-ratios for the Adjusted Post-Test scores show that the scores of students in the Experimental Group and in the Control Group differ significantly after they have been Adjusted for Differences in the Pre-Test scores. The significant F-ratios necessitate that the differences be tested separately by the calculation of Adjusted Mean scores (t-test). The Adjusted Means for the Post-Test scores of Total Sample in the Experimental and Control Groups were computed and the data are given in Table 4.

Table 4
Data for Adjusted Means of Post-Test Scores in Basic Process Skills in Physics of Total Sample in Experimental and Control Groups

Basic Process Skills in Physics	Control Groups					SE _D	't' Value	P
	Groups	N	M _X	M _Y	M _{XY} (Adjusted)			
Observing	Experimental	200	1.16	1.84	1.84	0.041	10.98	P < 0.01
	Control	200	1.14	1.39	1.39			
	General Means		1.15	1.62				
Inferring	Experimental	200	1.27	2.41	2.40	0.054	13.89	P < 0.01
	Control	200	1.20	1.64	1.65			
	General Means		1.24	2.03				
Measuring	Experimental	200	1.29	1.88	1.86	0.040	7.25	P < 0.01
	Control	200	1.24	1.56	1.57			
	General Means		1.27	1.72				
Communicating	Experimental	200	0.56	1.49	1.49	0.054	4.07	P < 0.01
	Control	200	0.58	1.27	1.27			
	General Means		0.57	1.38				
Classifying	Experimental	200	0.70	2.31	2.31	0.054	14.26	P < 0.01
	Control	200	0.67	1.54	1.54			
	General Means		0.69	1.93				
Predicting	Experimental	200	0.77	2.33	2.32	0.054	17.22	P < 0.01
	Control	200	0.75	1.39	1.39			
	General Means		0.76	1.86				
Using Numbers	Experimental	200	0.74	1.74	1.74	0.049	3.67	P < 0.01
	Control	200	0.71	1.56	1.56			
	General Means		0.73	1.65				
Space-Time Relationships	Experimental	200	0.68	1.69	1.69	0.041	15.61	P < 0.01
	Control	200	0.62	1.05	1.05			
	General Means		0.65	1.37				
Result:	All the 't' values are significant at 0.01 level							

From Table D, for df 397(Total), $t_{0.01} = 2.59$.

From Table 4, it can be seen that the 't' values obtained are 10.98, 13.89, 7.25, 4.07, 14.26, 17.22, 3.67 and 15.61 for the eight Basic Process Skills in Physics, all of which are significant at 0.01 level. This indicates that the two Adjusted

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 10, October 2016

Means differ considerably and implies that the Experimental and Control Groups differ significantly in the Basic Process Skills in Physics.

The results of Adjusted Means pertaining to Basic Process Skills in Physics for the Total Sample in the Experimental Groups (1.84, 2.40, 1.86, 1.49, 2.31, 2.32, 1.74 and 1.69 respectively) are greater than those of the Control Groups (1.39, 1.65, 1.57, 1.27, 1.54, 1.39, 1.56 and 1.05 respectively). This points to the fact that students in the Experimental Group are superior to those in the Control Group with regard to Basic Process Skills in Physics for the Total Sample. It may therefore be inferred that the students who were exposed to the Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package have enhanced their Basic Process Skills in Physics as compared to those who were exposed to the Activity Oriented Method of Instruction. In other words, the Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package is more effective than the Activity Oriented Method of Instruction in enhancing Basic Process Skills in Physics among Secondary School Students for the Total Sample.

VI. CONCLUSION

The above results show that there is significant difference in Basic Process Skills in Physics with regard to the Total Sample of Secondary School Students in the Experimental Group. Those students who were exposed to the Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package show higher Basic Process Skills in Physics as compared to those who were exposed to the Activity Oriented Method of Instruction. Such findings could only be attributed to the Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package that must have motivated and helped the students to enhance their Basic Process Skills in Physics. Furthermore, the findings of this study are supported by researches of Devadasa (2013), Krishnan (2012), Abbas (2011), Veal, Taylor and Rogers (2009) and Prakash (2002). Thus, the study concludes that the Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package is very effective in enhancing Basic Process Skills in Physics among Secondary School Students.

VII. EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE STUDY

The findings of the study have certain educational implications. Instructional Packages based on Multimedia will help students to be aware of the changes in education arising from technological advances which in turn will go a long way in positively influencing their Basic Process Skills in Physics. Digital content that is meaningful, culturally responsive and has high quality must be made available for use of both teachers and students. Facilities must be provided in educational institutions to organise Multimedia classes. This will have high prospects for influencing the Basic Process Skills in Physics of students. Multimedia Instructional Packages will help to turn Teacher-centred lessons into Student-centred ones. Such a shift in focus is likely to bring about a vast change in the Basic Process Skills in Physics of students. Multimedia presentations in the classroom will go a long way to tackle classroom management issues. Multimedia classes will provide teachers with a platform for sharing the subject matter as well as the scope of the feedback. The Teacher-Assisted Multimedia Instructional Package provides a successful platform to convey concepts effectively and help the students to enhance their Basic Process Skills in Physics. This Package also helps to actively participate in the learning process. Innovative Multimedia based instructional strategies and materials should be provided among Secondary School teachers. They should be encouraged to use Multimedia in their teaching. In service and refresher courses should be organized for Secondary School teachers in order to familiarize them with the new trends and patterns of Multimedia with a view to draw out more involvement of students in studies.

REFERENCES

1. Anboucarassy, B. (2010). Effectiveness of Multimedia in Teaching Biological Science to IXth Standard Students. *Edutracks*, 9(5), 37-38.
2. Baby, J. (2013). *Developing a Computer Assisted Instructional Package for Learning Organic Chemistry at Higher Secondary Level* (Doctoral Dissertation). Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam.
3. Chitra, C. S. (2014). *Efficacy of Multimedia Package for Learning Solid Geometry at Secondary School Level* (Doctoral Dissertation). Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam.
4. Erkol, S., & Ugulu, I. (2014). *Examining Biology Teachers Candidate's Scientific Process Skills Levels and Comparing these Levels in terms of Various Variables. Procedia-Social and Behavioural Sciences*, 116, 4742-4747. Retrieved from www.sciencedirect.com

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 10, October 2016

5. Gupta, R., & Tyagi, S. (2014). Effectiveness of Computer-Assisted Instructions on Achievement in Biology among Senior Secondary School Students. *Edutracks*, 13(7), 20-25.
6. Koul, Lokesh. (1998). *Methodology of educational research*. New Delhi: Vikas Publishing House.
7. Krishnan, S. (2013). *Development of Multimedia Package for Students at Primary Level with Dyslexia* (Doctoral dissertation). Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam.
8. Kumar, S., & Habtemariam, R. J. (2010). Learning with Multimedia: A constructive cooperative Approach in Education. *Edutracks*, 9(8), 15-16.
9. Kumar, A. G., & Devika, R. (2008). Effectiveness of Multimedia Instructional Package in teaching Social Science at Secondary level, *Experiments in Education*, 6, 3-7.
10. Mioduser, D., Nachmias, R., Lahav, O., & Oren, A. (2000). Web-based Learning Environments: Current pedagogical and technological state. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education*, 33 (1), 55-76.
11. Reddy, E.K., Ramar, R., & Ponnambalam, L. (2009). Effectiveness of multimedia based modular instruction on the achievement of the problem students in teaching science. *Asian journal of Psychology and Education*, 42, 7-8.
12. Remziye, E., Yeter, S., Sevgül, C., Zehra, O., Sirin, G., & Meral, S. (2011). The effects of Inquiry-based Science Teaching on Elementary School Students' Science Process Skills and Science Attitudes. *Bulgarian Journal of Science and Education Policy*, 5(1), 48-68.
13. Roth, W. M., & Roychoudhury, A. (2006). The Development of Science Process Skills in Authentic Contexts. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 30(2), 127-152.
14. Science A Process Approach (SAPA). (1967). Retrieved from www.aaas.org
15. Strawitz, B. M. (2006). The Effects of Testing on Science Process Skill Achievement, *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 26(8), 659-664.
16. Talanquer, V., Novodvorsky, I., & Tomanek, D. (2010). Factors Entering Teacher Candidates' Preference for Instructional Activities: A Glimpse into their Orientations towards Teaching. *International Journal of Science Education*, 32(10), 1389-1406. Retrieved from www.eric.ed.gov
17. Yang, K. Y., & Heh, J. S. (2007). The impact of Internet Virtual Physics Laboratory Instruction on the Achievement in Physics, Science Process Skills and Computer Attitudes of 10th Grade Students. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 16(5), 451-461. Retrieved from www.erc.ed.gov