

Isolated Bidirectional Full Bridge Dc-Dc Converter with Fly Back Snubber

Soumyashri ¹, Nagabhushan Patil ²

P.G. Student, Department of Power Electronics, Poojya Doddappa Appa College of Engineering, Kalaburgi, karnataka, India¹

Professor, Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Poojya Doddappa Appa College of Engineering, kalaburgi, Karnataka, India²

ABSTRACT: An isolated bidirectional full-bridge dc–dc converter with high conversion ratio, high output power, and soft start-up capability is proposed in this paper. The use of a capacitor, a diode, and a flyback converter can clamp the voltage spike caused by the current difference between the current-fed inductor and leakage inductance of the isolation transformer, and also reduce the current flowing through the active switches at the current-fed side. Operational principle of the proposed converter is first described, and then, the design equation is derived. A 1.5-kW prototype with low-side voltage of 48 V and high-side voltage of 390 V has been implemented, from which experimental results have been verified with the help of MATLAB/SIMULINK.

KEYWORDS: Flyback Converter, Isolated Full –Bridge Bidirectional Converter, Soft Start-Up.

I. INTRODUCTION

IN RENEWABLE dc-supply systems, batteries are usually required to back-up power for electronic equipment. Their voltage levels are typically much lower than the dc-bus voltage. Bidirectional converters for charging/discharging the batteries are therefore required. For high-power applications, bridge-type bidirectional converters have become an important research topic over the past decade. For raising power level, a dual full-bridge configuration is usually adopted, and its low side and high side are typically configured with boost-type and buck-type topologies, respectively. The major concerns of these studies include reducing switching loss, reducing voltage and current stresses, and reducing conduction loss due to circulation current. A more severe issue is due to leakage inductance of the isolation transformer, which will result in high voltage spike during switching transition. Additionally, the current freewheeling due to the leakage inductance will increase conduction loss and reduce effective duty cycle. An alternative approach is to precharge the leakage inductance to raise its current level up to that of the current-fed inductor, which can reduce their current difference and, in turn, reduce voltage spike. However, since the current level varies with load condition, it is hard to tune the switching timing diagram to match these two currents. Thus, a passive or an active clamp circuit is still needed. An active commutation principle was published to control the current of leakage inductance; however, clamping circuits are additionally required. Passive and active clamping circuits have been proposed to suppress the voltage spikes due to the current difference between the current-fed inductor and leakage inductance of the isolation transformer. The simplest approach is employing an *RCD* passive snubber to clamp the voltage, and the energy absorbed in the clamping capacitor is dissipated on the resistor, thus resulting in lower efficiency. A buck converter was employed to replace an *RCD* passive snubber, but it still needs complex clamping circuits. A simple active clamping circuit was proposed, which suits for bidirectional converters. However, its resonant current increases the current stress on switches significantly. In Wang *et al.* proposed a topology to achieve soft-starting capability, but it is not suitable for step-down operation. This paper introduces a flyback snubber to recycle the absorbed energy in the clamping capacitor. The flyback snubber can be operated independently to regulate the voltage of the clamping capacitor; therefore, it can clamp the voltage to a desired level just slightly higher than the voltage across the low-side

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016

transformer winding. Since the current does not circulate through the full-bridge switches, their current stresses can be reduced dramatically under heavy-load condition, thus improving system reliability significantly.

II. CONFIGURATION AND OPERATION

The proposed isolated bidirectional full-bridge dc-dc converter with a flyback snubber is shown in Fig. 1. The converter is operated with two modes: buck mode and boost mode. Fig. 1 consists of a current-fed switch bridge, a flyback snubber at the low-voltage side, and a voltage-fed bridge at the high-voltage side. Inductor L_m performs output filtering when power flow from the high-voltage side to the batteries, which is denoted as a buck mode. On the other hand, it works in boost mode when power is transferred from the batteries to the high-voltage side. Furthermore, clamp branch capacitor CC and diode DC are used to absorb the current difference between current-fed inductor L_m and leakage inductance L_l of isolation transformer T_x during switching commutation. The flyback snubber can be independently controlled to regulate VC to the desired value, which is just slightly higher than V_{AB} .




Fig. 1. Isolated bidirectional full-bridge dc-dc converter with a flyback snubber.

Thus, the voltage stress of switches $M1-M4$ can be limited to a low level. The major merits of the proposed converter configuration include no spike current circulating through the power switches and clamping the voltage across switches $M1-M4$, improving system reliability significantly. Note that high spike current can result in charge migration, over current density, and extra magnetic force, which will deteriorate in MOSFET carrier density, channel width, and wire bonding and, in turn, increase its conduction resistance. A bidirectional dc-dc converter has two types of conversions: step-up conversion (boost mode) and step-down conversion (buck mode). In boost mode, switches $M1-M4$ are controlled, and the body diodes of switches $M5-M8$ are used as a rectifier. In buck mode, switches $M5-M8$ are controlled, and the body diodes of switches $M1-M4$ operate as a rectifier. To simplify the steady-state analysis, several assumptions are made, which are as follows.

- 1) All components are ideal. The transformer is treated as an ideal transformer associated with leakage inductance.
- 2) Inductor L_m is large enough to keep current i_L constant over a switching period.
- 3) Clamping capacitor CC is much larger than parasitic capacitance of switches $M1-M8$.

A. Step-Up Conversion

In boost mode, switches $M1-M4$ are operated like a boost converter, where switch pairs ($M1, M2$) and ($M3, M4$) are turned ON to store energy in L_m . At the high-voltage side, the body diodes of switches $M5-M8$ will conduct to transfer power to V_{HV} . When switch pair ($M1, M2$) or ($M3, M4$) is switched to ($M1, M4$) or ($M2, M3$), the current difference $i_C (= i_L - i_p)$ will charge capacitor CC , and then, raise i_p up to i_L . The clamp branch is mainly used to limit the transient voltage imposed on the current-fed side switches. Moreover, the flyback converter can be controlled to charge the high-voltage-side capacitor to avoid over current. The clamp branch and the flyback snubber are activated

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016

during both start-up and regular boost operation modes. A nonphase-shift PWM is used to control the circuit to achieve smooth transition from start-up to regular boost operation mode.

Referring to Fig 1, the average power P_C transferred to C_C can be determined as follows:

$$P_C = \frac{1}{2} C_C [(i_L Z_o)^2 + 2 i_L Z_o V_C(R)] f_s \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

where

$$Z_o = \text{Sq.root of } L_{eq}/C_C$$

$$L_{eq} = L_{ll} + L_{lh} N_p^2/N_s^2$$

$V_C (R)$ stands for a regulated V_C voltage, which is close to $(V_{HV} (N_p / N_s))$, f_s is the switching frequency, and $L_m \gg L_{eq}$. Power P_C will be transferred to the high-side voltage source through the flyback snubber, and the snubber will regulate clamping capacitor voltage V_C to $V_C (R)$ within one switching cycle $T_s (=1/f_s)$. Note that the flyback snubber does not operate over the interval of inductance current i_p increasing toward i_L . The processed power P_C by the flyback snubber is typically around 5% of the full-load power for low-voltage applications. With the flyback snubber, the energy absorbed in C_C will not flow through switches M_1 – M_4 , which can reduce their current stress dramatically when L_{eq} is significant. Theoretically, it can reduce the current stress from $2 i_L$ to i_L .

The peak voltage $V_C (P)$ of V_C will impose on M_1 – M_4 and it can be determined as follows:

$$V_C (P) = i_L (M) Z_o + V_{HV} N_p / N_s \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

where $i_L (M)$ is the maximum inductor current of i_L , which is related to the maximum load condition. Additionally, for reducing conduction loss, the high-side switches M_5 – M_8 are operated with synchronous switching.

A detailed description of a half-switching cycle operation is shown as follows.

Mode 1 [$t_0 \leq t < t_1$]: In this mode, all of the four switches M_1 – M_4 are turned ON. Inductor L_m is charged by V_{LV} , inductor current i_L increases linearly at a slope of V_{LV} / L_m , and the primary winding of the transformer is short-circuited. The equivalent circuit is shown in Fig.3.a

Mode 2 [$t_1 \leq t < t_2$]: At t_1 , M_1 and M_4 remain conducting, while M_2 and M_3 are turned OFF. Clamping diode D_c conducts until the current difference $(i_L (t_2) - i_p (t_2))$ drops to zero at $t = t_2$. Moreover, the body diodes of switch pair (M_5 , M_8) are conducting to transfer power. During this interval, the current difference $(i_L (t) - i_p (t))$ flows into clamping capacitor C_C . The equivalent circuit is shown in Fig.3.b

Mode 3 [$t_2 \leq t < t_3$]: At t_2 , clamping diode D_c stops conducting, and the flyback snubber starts to operate. At this time, clamping capacitor C_C is discharging, and flyback inductor is storing energy. Switches M_1 and M_4 still stay in the ON state, while M_2 and M_3 remain OFF. The body diodes of switch pair (M_5 , M_8) remain ON to transfer power. The equivalent circuit is shown in Fig.3.c

Mode 4 [$t_3 \leq t < t_4$]: At t_3 , the energy stored in flyback inductor is transferred to the high-voltage side. Over this interval, the flyback snubber will operate independently to regulate V_C to $V_C (R)$. On the other hand, switches M_1 and M_4 and diodes D_5 and D_8 are still conducting to transfer power from V_{LV} to V_{HV} . The equivalent circuit is shown in Fig.3.d

Mode 5 [$t_4 \leq t < t_5$]: At t_4 , capacitor voltage V_C has been regulated to $V_C (R)$, and the snubber is idle. Over this interval, the main power stage is still transferring power from V_{LV} to V_{HV} . It stops at t_5 and completes a half-switching cycle operation. The equivalent circuit is shown in Fig.3.e




Fig. 2. Operation waveforms of step-up conversion.




Fig. 3. Operation modes of step-up conversion. (a) Mode 1. (b) Mode 2. (c) Mode 3. (d) Mode 4. (e) Mode 5.

B. Step-Down Conversion

In the analysis, leakage inductance of the transformer at the low-voltage side is reflected to the high-voltage side, as shown in Fig. 4.2.2, in which equivalent inductance L_{eq}^* equals $(L_{lh} + L_{ll}(N_p^2/N_s^2))$. This circuit is known as a phase-shift full-bridge converter. In the step-down conversion, switches M_5 – M_8 are operated like a buck converter, in which switch pairs (M_5, M_8) and (M_6, M_7) are alternately turned ON to transfer power from V_{HV} to V_{LV} . Switches M_1 – M_4 are operated with synchronous switching to reduce conduction loss. For alleviating leakage inductance effect on voltage spike, switches M_5 – M_8 are operated with phase-shift manner. Although, there is no need to absorb the current difference between i_L and i_p , capacitor C_C can help to clamp the voltage ringing due to L_{eq} equals $(L_{ll} + L_{lh}(N_p^2 / N_s^2))$ and parasitic capacitance of M_1 – M_4 .




Fig. 4. Phase-shift full-bridge converter topology.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016

The operation waveforms of step-down conversion are shown in Fig. 5. A detailed description of a half-switching cycle operation is shown as follows.

Mode 1 [$t_0 \leq t < t_1$]: In this mode, M_5 and M_8 are turned ON, while M_6 and M_7 are in the OFF state. The high-side voltage V_{HV} is immediately exerted on the transformer, and the whole voltage, in fact, is exerted on the equivalent inductance L^*_{eq} and causes the current to rise with the slope of V_{HV}/L^*_{eq} . With the transformer current increasing linearly toward the load current level at t_1 , the switch pair (M_1, M_4) are conducting to transfer power, and the voltage across the transformer terminals on the current-fed side changes immediately to reflect the voltage from the voltage-fed side, i.e., $(V_{HV}(N_p / N_s))$. The equivalent circuit is shown in Fig.6.a

Mode 2 [$t_1 \leq t < t_2$]: At t_1 , M_8 remains conducting, while M_5 is turned OFF. The body diode of M_6 then starts to conduct the freewheeling leakage current. The transformer current reaches the load-current level at t_1 , and V_{AB} rise to the reflected voltage $(V_{HV}(N_p / N_s))$. Clamping diode D_c starts to conduct the resonant current of L_{eq} and the clamp capacitor C_c . This process ends at t_2 when the resonance goes through a half resonant cycle and is blocked by the clamping diode D_c . The equivalent circuit is shown in Fig.6.b

Mode 3 [$t_2 \leq t < t_3$]: At t_2 , with the body diode of switch M_6 conducting, M_6 can be turned ON with zero-voltage switching (ZVS). The equivalent circuit is shown in Fig. 6.c

Mode 4 [$t_3 \leq t < t_4$]: At t_3 , M_6 remains conducting, while M_8 is turned OFF. The body diode of M_7 then starts to conduct the freewheeling leakage current. The equivalent circuit is shown in Fig.6.d

Mode 5 [$t_4 \leq t < t_5$]: At t_4 , with the body diode of switch M_7 conducting, M_7 can be turned ON with ZVS. Over this interval, the active switches change to the other pair of diagonal switches, and the voltage on the transformer reverses its polarity to balance flux. It stops at t_5 and completes a half-switching cycle operation. The equivalent circuit is shown in Fig.6.e




Fig.5.Operation waveforms of step-down conversion




Fig. 6. Operation modes of step-down conversion. (a) Mode 1. (b) Mode 2. (c) Mode 3. (d) Mode 4. (e) Mode 5.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016

III. PRACTICAL CONSIDERATION

A. Low-Voltage Side

Switch pairs (M_1, M_4) and (M_2, M_3) are turned ON alternately under any load condition. Its minimum conduction time is

$$T_C (\text{min}) = L_{eq} i_L / V_{AB} \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

B. Clamping Capacitor

For absorbing the energy stored in the leakage inductance and to limit the capacitor voltage to a specified minimal value $V_{c,l}$, capacitance C_c has to satisfy the following inequality:

$$C_c \geq L_{eq} (i_L - i_p)^2 / V^2 C, l \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

C. Flyback Converter

In the interval of $t1 \leq t \leq t2$, the high transient voltage occurs inevitably in boost mode, which could be suppressed by the clamp branch (Dc, Cc). The energy stored in capacitor Cc is transferred to the high-voltage side via a flyback converter. The regulated voltage level of the flyback converter is set between 110%–120% of the steady-state voltage at the low-voltage side.

Power rating of the flyback converter can be expressed as follows:

$$P_{FB} = 0.5 C_c (V_{c,h}^2 - V_{c,l}^2) f_s \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

where $V_{c,h}$ is the maximum voltage of V_c , $V_{c,l}$ is the minimum voltage of V_c , and f_s is the switching frequency.

D. Start-Up Operation

High inrush current with the isolated boost converter is the start-up problem before the high-side voltage is established. The initial high-side voltage V_{HV} should not be lower than $V_{LV} (N_S / N_P)$ to avoid inrush current. The proposed flyback snubber can be controlled to precharge the high-side capacitor. The operation principle is very similar to the active clamp flyback converter. Before the boost mode, the flyback converter starts to operate. Since the power rating of the flyback snubber is much lower than that of the main power stage, inductor L_m is operated in discontinuous condition mode. The start-up process usually lasts for a short period.

IV. THE PROPOSED BLOCK DIAGRAM




Fig. 7. Block diagram of the isolated bidirectional full-bridge dc-dc converter.

A 1-ph AC supply is taken as input and is stepped down, converted in to suitable DC voltage from a diode bridge rectifier. The rectified DC is filtered out and fed as input to the main converter circuit in this project. This input DC could also be obtained from a batteries but as the cost of high power batteries is high, this stepdown transformer with DBR is employed. Now the input DC is converter to AC of high frequency through the action of MOSFET switching of primary flyback converter employing suitable PWM triggering. As this converter involves an isolation transformer which will draw high spiking currents to magnetize its core. This will lead to large voltage spikes at the switches and consequently damages the converter. To avoide this, this converter is equipped with an active snubber part which is a combination of inductor, diode & a capacitor to obsorb this voltage spikes. This obsorbed energy is

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016

again recycled and utilized at the secondary side to get large voltage gain. Secondary converter converts the HF AC from the isolation transformer to DC with high output to input conversion ratio.

V. PHOTOGRAPH OF THE PROTOTYPE CONVERTER



Fig.8. Prototype converter

VI. SIMULATION RESULTS

A. Output Voltage Waveform Of The Boost Mode Converter




Fig. 9. The output voltage is boosted to 390V for the input voltage 48V.

B. Output Voltage Waveform Of The Buck Mode Converter




Fig.10.The output voltage is bucked to 48V for the input voltage 390V

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016

VII. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented an isolated bidirectional full-bridge dc–dc converter with a flyback snubber for high-power applications. The use of capacitor, a diode, and a flyback converter can clamp the voltage spike caused by the current difference between the current-fed inductor and leakage inductance of the isolation transformer, and can reduce the current flowing through the active switches at the current-fed side by 50%. This project introduces a flyback snubber to recycle the absorbed energy in the clamping capacitor. The flyback snubber can be operated independently to regulate the voltage of the clamping capacitor; therefore, it can clamp the voltage to a desired value. Since the current does not circulate through the full-bridge switches, their current stresses can be reduced dramatically under heavy-load condition, thus improving system reliability significantly. The flyback snubber can be also controlled to achieve a soft start-up feature. It has been successful in suppressing inrush current which is usually found in a boost-mode start-up transition.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bai and C. Mi, "Eliminate reactive power and increase system efficiency of isolated bidirectional dual-active-bridge DC-DC converters using novel dual-phase-shift control," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 2905–2914, Dec. 2008.
- [2] F. Krismer and J. W. Kolar, "Accurate small-signal model for the digital control of an automotive bidirectional dual active bridge," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 24, no. 12, pp. 2756–2768, Dec. 2009.
- [3] K. Wang, C. Y. Lin, L. Zhu, D. Qu, F. C. Lee, and J. S. Lai, "Bi-directional DC to DC converters for fuel cell systems," in *Proc. Power Electron. Transp.*, 1998, pp. 47–51.
- [4] O. Garcia, L. A. Flores, J. A. Oliver, J. A. Cobos, and J. De la pena, "Bi-directional DC-DC converter for hybrid vehicles," in *Proc. Power Electron. Spec. Conf.*, 2005, pp. 1881–1886.
- [5] D. Aggeler, J. Biela, S. Inoue, H. Akagi, and J. W. Kolar, "Bi-directional isolated DC-DC converter for next-generation power distribution comparison of converters using Si and SiC devices," in *Proc. Power Convers. Conf.*, 2007, pp. 510–517.
- [6] K. Wang, F. C. Lee, and J. Lai, "Operation principles of bi-directional full-bridge DC/DC converter with unified soft-switching scheme and softstarting capability," in *Proc. Appl. Power Electron. Conf.*, 2000, pp. 111–118.
- [7] 2013 International Conference On Advanced Computing System, "performance study of bidirectional dc dc converter".
- [8] International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering "Isolated Bidirectional Full –Bridge DC-DC Converter with a Flyback Snubber." volume -3, issue-2, may 2013.