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ABSTRACT: Design of efficient MAC (medium access control) protocols with both high throughput performance and high degree of good performance is a major focus in distributed contention-based MAC protocol research. Energy efficiency issues are becoming very important with the increasing number of deployment of internet based application. There is a huge increase in the number of Wi-Fi enabled devices which leads to increased deployment of WLANs, with the growth of internet application deployment. Increased energy consumption has several detrimental effects. In general, it leads to a rise in greenhouse gases as well as contributes to higher cost of business operation. Specifically, for WLANs since the user devices are mostly battery operated which limits the useful lifetime of a terminal, thus managing energy efficiently is extremely important in such devices to prolong the battery life. This paper introduces a new contention window (CW) adaptation algorithm to minimize the collision level in an IEEE802.11 based network with the main aim of maximizing energy efficiency while maintaining required Quality of Service (QoS) performance.
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I. INTRODUCTION

IEEE 802.11 plays very big role in wireless communications. Due to the development of various modulation techniques and coding schemes, multiple transmission rates are now supported by 802.11 physical layers. IEEE 802.11 standard has become ubiquitous and gained widespread popularity as a layer-2 protocol for wireless LANs (WLANs). Many research works have been carried out to develop algorithms to adapt the MAC to increase network QoS, such as optimize the throughput performance. There are only a few works that focus on optimizing both energy and QoS values. Contention is a media access method that is used to share a broadcast medium. Once the node has waited the appropriate arbitration inter-frame space time, it randomly selects a value for its random backoff timer. The timer value must be within the Contention Window values defined for the priority queue. Each of the priority queues has a defined Contention Window range, initially defined as ranging from 0 to CWmin, where CWmin varies between each of the queues. If a collision occurs where two nodes transmit at the same time, no acknowledgment of the frame will be received and the node will increment its retry counter and increase its contention window according to the binary exponential backoff algorithm, up to a maximum contention window size of CWmax. Distributed coordination function (DCF) is the basic MAC technique of the IEEE 802.11 standard. DCF employs a CSMA/CA with binary exponential backoff algorithm. DCF requires a station wishing to transmit to listen for the channel status for a DIFS interval. If the channel is found busy during the DIFS interval, the station defers its transmission. In a network where a number of stations contend for the wireless medium, if multiple stations sense the channel busy and defer their access, they will also virtually simultaneously find that the channel is released and then try to seize the channel. As a result, collisions may occur. In order to avoid such collisions, DCF also specifies random backoff, which forces a station to defer its access to the channel for an extra period. In this paper a well-managed collision reduction algorithm based on CW adaptation is used to reduce the collision level especially under heavy network load conditions. We propose a tuned CW based on the current load...
conditions, this is the main base of the proposed algorithm. Depends on that threshold level, the load condition is categorized into three different categories which are low, medium and high load conditions and each category applies different CW adjustment procedure. For low load condition, due to lower contention level, the algorithm set the CW to CWmin. As the load increase, more packets contend to access the channel thus leads to higher probability of collisions. In order to minimize the collision, the proposed algorithm increases the CW by double step sizes above CWmin for medium load condition. At very high load, the contention level keeps on increase due to congested networks hence the CW is increased to triple step sizes above the CW min. The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In section II we present the related works as a review of literature. Section III outlines an Systemoverview of the whole system. Section IV presents details of the system analysis. After that, we discuss the conclusion in Section V. The last section presents our acknowledge and references.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

In Literature, there is excellent work on influence of the two parameters CWmin and CWmax [2] of the EDCA on the energy consumption and the traffic performance in the WMSN. The validation of the clear existence of influence, those variations depending on the density and the load, mentions that the dynamic adjustment of CWmin and CWmax will be an effective technique to improve both the energy consumption and the traffic performance of the WMSN. EDCA CFB [3] access method gives better performance in terms of delay, throughput, packet delivery rate and energy consumption, while EDCA access method suffers from performance degradation when the density increases. There is work on performance evaluation of proposed mechanism called DFA-EDCA [4] has shown significant improvement on the network performance especially at high channel load conditions. MAC protocol for WLAN [5] and the corresponding collision-resolution algorithm and proposes an effective algorithm, GDCF, based on 802.11 DCF protocols. The FCR algorithm [6] can achieve high throughput performance while preserving the implementation simplicity in wireless local area networks. The back off parameters in the IEEE 802.11 DCF [7] access method is far from being optimal in a heavily-loaded and error-prone WLAN environment. This yields a high collision probability and degraded channel utilization in busy arrival or congested scenarios. In the IEEE 802.11 DCF [8], previous works to tune the back off parameters could drive the IEEE 802.11 protocol close to its optimal behavior in terms of throughput. However, they do not specifically address an appropriate setting of the back off parameters under non-saturated conditions. EARC [9] protocol for tuning the rate and back off parameters in an IEEE 802.11 multi-rate environment. By utilizing reserved bits in 802.11 PLCP header and one extra byte carried in DATA packet, EARC incurs little communication overhead despite its closed-loop (receiver assisted) nature. The MAC-layer protocol DCF [10] has been enhanced by proposing a new method, known as the VBA, which is based on the sequencing technique. The performance of the MAC layer is good while using the VBA when compared with other methods like legacy DCF, ECA, BCR-CS, and EBA.

III. SYSTEM OVERVIEW

The main motivation of the proposed algorithm is based on the observation that DCF mechanism decreases the CW to the initial value after each successful transmission [13], which essentially assumes that each successful transmission is an indication that the system is under low traffic loading and no congestion in the next transmission. This assumption is not always true because such aggressive reduction of CW eventually result in more collisions especially when the network is heavily loaded, due to the starvation of idle time slots. From the explanation above, it can be seen that the DCF scheme resolves collision through CW and backoff stage as shown in Fig. 1. In the initial backoff stage, the CW has the minimal value. After each transmission collision, the backoff stage will be increased by 1 and the CW will be doubled until it reaches the maximum, CWmax. After each successful transmission, the backoff stage will resume to initial stage 0 and the CW will be reset to CWmin, regardless of network conditions. Based on this mechanism, there is an aggressive decrement of CW value. For low load conditions, small CW window is an appropriate choice. However, for high load conditions, small CW results in higher collision probability which contribute to significant performance
degradation. Fig. 1 also illustrates the difference between the DCF mechanism and the proposed algorithm. Based on 802.11 DCF [6, 13], the CW is decreased to the initial value (CWmin) after each successful transmission without considering the load condition. Whereas the proposed algorithm performs reduction of CW moderately based on network load conditions. The AP measures the network load within a short period of time, in this case for 100ms and this value is fed back to stations through beacons. Each station adjusts the CW appropriately based on the information of load conditions. Details of the proposed algorithm are illustrated in Fig. 3. The basic technique of the proposed algorithm is adjusting the CW based on the load conditions. We divide the load conditions into three different scenarios; low, medium and high load conditions and every scenario results in a different CW adjustment. Different load condition is determined by threshold levels which represent network load (in packets per second). To define the threshold levels, we plot the graph of delay as a function of network load as shown in Figure 2. Based on the information of network load condition, the proposed algorithm initiates the CW adjustment after each successful transmission. Firstly the algorithm compares the current delay with the threshold delay. For the proposed algorithm, the required delay is set to be 150ms, which is the threshold delay for the best effort service. If the current delay is less than the required delay, the algorithm checks
the load condition that was fed back by the AP before deciding on the specific CW adjustment procedure that needs to be carried out. If at that time the total load falls under low load conditions, the CW will be mapped to the minimum CW, same as the legacy DCF procedure where the \( CW = 15 \). On the other hand, if the total loads condition is within the range of medium load, the CW will be increased to two steps above the \( CW_{\text{min}} \) where the next value will be \( CW = 63 \). In the case of high load condition, the CW will be increased to three steps above the \( CW_{\text{min}} \) which equal to \( CW = 127 \). On the contrary, when current delay reaches the threshold \( \text{delay} \), the CW will be adjusted to \( CW_{\text{max}} \). With the goal to maintain the QoS performance within required level, when the delay reach the threshold level, the algorithm immediately adjust the CW to \( CW_{\text{max}} \) to provide larger backoff delay in order to aggressively reduce higher collisions. Details of the proposed CW adjustment are shown below:

Loop() {
  if\( (\text{Short}_{\text{retrycount}} + \text{Long}_{\text{retrycount}}) == 0 \) Check current delay and compare with threshold delay if\( (T_{C} > T_{QOS_{\text{BE}}}) \) {
    Measure the total load {
      if\( (T_{L} > 0.3 \times P_{TH}) \) {
        CW = CW_{\text{min}}; 
      } 
      else if\( (0.3 \times P_{TH} < T_{L} < P_{TH}) \) {
        CW = CW_{\text{min}} + 2\beta_{i}; 
      } 
      else if\( (T_{L} > 0.7 \times P_{TH}) \) {
        CW = CW_{\text{min}} + 3\beta_{i}; 
      }
    }
  } else if\( (T_{C} > T_{QOS_{\text{BE}}}) \) {
    CW = CW_{\text{max}}; 
  }
}

The algorithm gain advantage of energy efficiency by in- creasing the CW because this action provides larger backoff interval that reduces the collision level. As a compensation of higher energy efficiency, larger backoff interval leads to higher delay value due to increase in backoff delay. However, with less collision occurred packets get transmitted quickly thereby reducing the queuing delay which overcomes the increase of backoff delay. Therefore the overall delay is reduced, as will be discussed further in the next section. In addition to that, small amount of collisions give more chance for packet transmission, thus the proposed algorithm has a better throughput performance especially at higher load. The energy consumed to transmit one bit of payload can bedetermined by calculating the energy expenditure to transmit a MAC frame and dividing it with the size of the payload. Several frame transmission attempts could be necessary to transmit one frame successfully. Based on that assumption, (1-4) describes the energy perbit:

\[
T_{\text{of Energy}} = (E_{\text{Successful}} \cdot bx(a)) + (E_{\text{unsuccessful}} \cdot bx(b)) \tag{1}
\]
\[ a = (P_{idle} \times (T_{DIFS} + T_{backoff} + T_{SIFS}) + P_{tx} \times T_{Data} + P_{rx} \times T_{ack}) \times N_{\text{attempt}} \] (2)

Part (a) of the equation describes the energy consumed during successful transmission while part (b) represents the amount of energy consumed during unsuccessful transmission attempts. The energy consumed per bit requires the following input parameters: \( L_{\text{payload}} \) (bits), the packet length, \( \{P_{tx}, P_{rx}, P_{idle}\} \) defined as the power consumed by the wireless interface when transmitting, receiving, and idling respectively as listed in Table II. For an unsuccessful packet transmission (part b), it is similar to part (a) but there are no costs for the ACK reception and \( T_{SIFS} \) has to be replaced with \( T_{EIFS} \). After an unsuccessful transmission attempt, a node has to wait at least for an extended inter frame space \( (T_{EIFS}) \) before starting the next transmission attempt. The energy consumed during unsuccessful transmission has to be multiplied with the number of unsuccessful transmission attempts, \( N_{\text{attempt}} \) as shown in (3).

IV. SYSTEM ANALYSIS

Distributed Coordination Function (DCF) is a method of channel access, distributed, used in IEEE 802.11 at the MAC layer, and mechanism-based CSMA/CA. A queue First In First Out (FIFO) is used by this method. DCF is based on the Inter Frame Space (IFS) to control access to the transmission medium, and works as follows: When a packet arrives in the header of the queue, station must listen to ensure that the channel on which it intends to transmit is not in use. If the channel is free for a DIFS (DCF IFS) time, the packet is sent immediately. By against, if the channel is
busy, the transmission is deferred and the Backoff procedure is invoked. This procedure calculates a random time interval called BackoffTimer. This time interval is chosen randomly between 0 and the current size of the Contention Window (CW).

\[
\text{Backoff Timer} = \text{Random}[0,\text{CW}] \times \text{SlotTime}
\]

Thereafter, if the channel becomes free, the station waits for a DIFS time, if the channel is still free, the previously calculated Backoff Timer is decremented SlotTime by SlotTime. The decrement is suspended if the transmission channel goes to busy state. Once the channel back to the free state, the station waits for DIFS and then continues to decrement the Backoff Timer previously suspended.

DCF requires a station wishing to transmit to listen for the channel status for a DIFS interval. If the channel is found busy during the DIFS interval, the station defers its transmission. In a network where a number of stations contend for the wireless medium, if multiple stations sense the channel busy and defer their access, they will also virtually simultaneously find that the channel is released and then try to seize the channel. As a result, collisions may occur. In order to avoid such collisions, DCF also specifies random backoff, which forces a station to defer its access to the channel for an extra period. DCF also has an optional virtual carrier sense mechanism that exchanges short Request-to-send (RTS) and Clear-to-send (CTS) frames between source and destination stations during the intervals between the data frame transmissions. DCF includes a positive acknowledge scheme, which means that if a frame is successfully received by the destination it is addressed to, the destination needs to send an ACK frame to notify the source of the successful reception. DCF does not solve the hidden terminal and/or exposed terminal problem completely, it only alleviates the problem through the use of RTS and CTS, and recommends the use of a larger carrier sensing range. DCF is defined in subclause 9.3 of the IEEE 802.11 standard and is the de facto default setting for Wi-Fi hardware. 802.11 DCF consumes a significant amount of airtime, 802.11 control messages usually convey very little information. For example, an ACK message can take up to 60 s to transmit completely, which includes an amount of airtime sufficient to transmit 3240 bits at 54 Mbit/s, during which it conveys a single bit of relevant information. The IEEE 802.11 standard also defines an optional access method using a Point Coordination Function (PCF). PCF allows the access point acting as the network coordinator to manage channel access. The IEEE 802.11e amendment to the standard enhances the DCF and the PCF, through a new coordination function called Hybrid Coordination Function (HCF).

V. RESULT

As per above fig. 4, it means that average queuing delay is for adaptive contention window is less (ex: for the network load 1600 proposed algorithm has avg. queuing delay is 8.5 ms whereas for DCF it is 12 ms) as compared to previous DCF algorithm. Hence the the proposed algorithm is working better as it has less queuing delay which increases the Qos performance and hence as a result it reduces energy required for the overall system. It concludes that proposed Contention window algorithm has higher QoS and it is energy efficient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NW Load(pkts/sec)</th>
<th>DCF</th>
<th>Ad.Contention Window</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VI. CONCLUSION

The packet collisions in the wireless channel occur due to impairment of backoff algorithm in the contention based DCF mechanism. In this paper, we propose a new CW adaptation algorithm for collision resolution with the main goal to reduce the energy consumption while improving the QoS performance. The proposed CW adaptation algorithm adaptively changes the CW size according to the load conditions which reflect the congestion level. The proposed adaptation algorithm is more dynamic where different steps size for CW adjustment is introduced to achieve the required energy saving and QoS objectives. Simulation results show that the proposed algorithm outperforms previous CW adaptation schemes such as the FCR algorithm and the CW MAC parameters tuning in terms of reducing energy consumption as well as improving the QoS performance.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I express great many thanks to Prof. Mrs. Nighot Jyoti for their great efforts of supervising and leading me, to accomplish this fine work. To college and department staff, they were great source of support and encouragement. To my friends and family, for their warm, kind encourages and loves. To every person gave us something too light my pathway, I thanks for believing in me.

REFERENCES