

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

Seismic Analysis of Irregular L-Shape Building in Various Zones

Imranullahkhan¹, Shri Satya Eswar Sanyasi Rao²

P.G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Gitam University, Vishakapatnam, India¹

Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Gitam University, Vishakapatnam, India²

ABSTRACT: Irregular structures pose particular design challenges for structural engineers, especially if situated in seismically active regions. it depends on mass, stiffness of structure. from the previous earthquake data it is observed that buildings having certain vertical configuration are more prone to damage in earthquake than others. it does not depend on which construction material or material systems used. the main intension of this study is to understand irregularity and to analyze l-shape building under earthquake forces. the irregular high rise buildings which the plan is l-shape are carried on response spectrum through the e-tabs analysis software. in the present study it is proposed to study a thirty two meter height storey reinforced concrete building using response spectrum method for different zones and there by comparing results.

KEYWORDS: Storey drift, displacement, Response spectrum

I.INTRODUCTION

In the world everyone is aware that many natural disasters such as earthquakes, flood, tornadoes, hurricanes, drought and volcanic eruption occur of all natural disasters the most destructive are earthquakes. The destruction caused due to earth quake are too many in the world they not only cause great destruction in terms of human loss but also have a huge economic impact on the affected area. there is a crucial need to provide safety against terrible natural disasters. by experiencing all these disasters, it is not possible to build an earthquake proof structure. the seismic analysis and the design of buildings focuses on reducing the risk of life in the most severe earthquake.building codes have developed their provisions on the historic performance of buildings and their deficiencies. they have developed provisions for life safety concerns, to prevent collapse under the most intense earthquake expected at a site during the life of structure. the irregularity in the building structures may be due to irregular distributions in their mass, strength and stiffness along the height of building, when such buildings are constructed in high seismic zones, the analysis and design becomes more complicated.

Decisions made at the planning stage on building configuration are more important or are known to have made grater difference, than the accurate determination of code specified design forces on structure. the shape and proportions of the building have a major effect on the distribution of earthquake forces as they work their way through the buildings. earthquake resistant design of reinforced concrete building is a continuing area of research. Geometric configurations, type of structural members, details of connections, and materials of construction all have a profound effect on the structural dynamic response of building in spite of all the weakness in the structure, either code imperfection or error in analysis and design, the structural configuration has played a vital role in catostrphe.

II RELATED WORK

1) **A.Dogangun, A.Ural and R. Livaoglu(2008)**this paper focuses on Seismi ccode requirements are discussed together with observed damages occurred during the earthquakes. Many structural deficiencies are highlighted by the earthquakes damages including: large opening in load bearing walls, lack of especially vertical confining elements, poor quality mortar, heavy balconies and unconfined gable walls.Effective seismic strengthening method must be developed and performed for these buildings.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

- 2) Warudkaret at all (2009) studied the effect of torsional stiffness on the dynamic response of RC structures. In order to study the effect of torsional stiffness on final response, two unsymmetrical three storied non-prestressedRC framed structure models were dynamically analyzed for seismic loading for two cases, analysis with stiffness in five degrees of freedom. It can be deducted that torsional can be ignored in the analysis for non prestressed RC framed structures. However, a minimum torsion reinforced should be provided which can have determined as that required for 50% of the cracking torsion moment of the cross section in design in addition to the reinforcement required from other considerations during pre and post cracking stages to avoid brittle mode failure.
- 3) **MD.rashedul kabir, debnashish sen and md.mashiqul islam (2015)** The aim of this paper is to assess the seismic vulnerability and response of regular and irregular shaped multi-storey building of identical weight. Both static and dynamic (response spectrum) analysis has been performed to study the influence of the building on its response on various loading.it is important to design structures appropriately to reduce damage of structures and life. The main intension of this paper is impact of shape and configuration on earthquake response of building. The shape of different model and corresponding dimensions are so selected to maintain uniform weight in each case. difference in response for regular and irregular shaped building is observed.

III. OBJECTIVES

The objective of the present work is to study the behavior of a g+9 storey building asymmetrical in plan, under earthquake load by adopting linear dynamic analysis. (response spectrum method) to evaluate storey drift and displacements. the present study is limited to reinforced concrete (rc) multi-storied residential building. the building model in the study has ten storeys with constant storey typical floor height of 3.2m and ground floor height 3.2m. number of bays and bay-width along two horizontal directions are kept constant for all the models for convenience.

IV. METHODOLOGY

The methodology is proposed to quantify irregularity limits for a range of degrees of irregularity. Define an engineering demand parameter that characterizes structural damage. Inner storey drift provides the best indicator of the ultimate limit state being reached and will therefore be used in this paper. Choose a set of inner storey drift capacities that span the range of values that could be used by designers (e.g. from 0.5% to 3%), then for each target inter storey drift capacity and degree of irregularity. Designs a regular structure using the ES to the target inter storey drift capacity. Introduce the desired irregularity into the structure and uses the ES method to design this new structure to the target inter storey drift.

- IS1893(PART-1) code recommends that detailed dynamic analysis or pseudo static analysis should be carried out depending on the importance of the problem.
- IS 1893(PART-1): 2002 recommends use of modal analysis using response spectrum method and equivalent lateral force method for building of height less than 40m in all seismic zones.
- Response spectrum method- this method shall be performed using the design spectrum specified in code or by a site-specific design spectrum for a structure prepared at a project site. According to IS 1893:2002, high rise and irregular buildings must be analyzed by response spectrum method using response spectra shown in Fig 3.3. Sufficient modes to capture at least 90% of the participating mass of the building (in each of two orthogonal principal horizontal directions) have to be considered in the analysis. If base shear calculated from the response spectrum analysis (VB) is less than the design base shear (VB), the response quantities (member forces, displacements, storey shears and base reactions) have to be scaled up by the factor /VB. Response quantities (member forces, displacements, storey forces, and storey shears and base reactions) for each mode of response shall be combined by either the SRSS (square root sum of squares) or the CQC (complete quadratic combination) rule.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

PLAN DETAILS

The thirty two-meter height building in different zones analyzed by response spectrum method. Different load combinations are taken and the results are compared with static and dynamic analysis.

No. of storeys		G+9		
Type of Building		Residential		
Plan dimension		30m X 35m		
Height of	f each floor	3.2m		
Thickness	s of the slab	150mm		
Wall thickness	Walls	230mm		
Beams		300mm X 375mm		
Columns		500mm X 500mm		
Self-weight		$0.15 \text{ X } 25 = 3.75 \text{Kn/m}^2$		
Floor finish		1 Kn/m ²		
Unexpected load		1 Kn/m^2		
Cement grade		M25		
Steel grade		Fe 415		



Fig-1:plan view of the model



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017



Fig-1:3Dview of the model

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 DISPLACEMENTS

 $COMPARISON \ OF \ DISPLACEMENTS \ IN \ X- \ DIRECTION \ IN \ DIFFERENT \ ZONE$

storey	Zone2	Zone3	Zone4	Zone5
storey1	0.7	1.2	1.8	2.6
Story2	2.1	3.4	5	7.6
Story3	3.6	5.7	8.5	12.8
Story4	4.9	7.9	11.8	17.8
storey 5	6.2	9.9	14.8	22.2
storeyб	7.3	11.6	17.4	26.1
storey 7	8.2	13.1	19.6	29.4
storey8	8.9	14.2	21.3	32
storey9	9.4	15	22.6	33.8
storey10	9.7	15.5	23.3	35

Table 1: From the above table it shows that the displacement increases from ground floor to top floor. It is clearly shown in the graph given below.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017



Fig-3 comparison of displacements in X- direction at various zones

storey	Zone2	Zone3	Zone4	Zone5
storey1	0.7	1.2	1.8	2.7
Story2	2.1	3.4	5.1	7.6
Story3	3.6	5.7	8.6	12.9
Story4	5	7.9	11.9	17.9
storey 5	6.2	9.9	14.9	22.4
storey6	7.3	11.7	17.5	26.3
storey 7	8.2	13.2	19.7	29.6
storey8	8.9	14.3	21.5	32.2
storey9	9.5	15.1	22.7	34
storey10	9.8	15.6	23.5	35.2

Table 2.	Comparison	of displ	lacements in	Y- direction	in various 7	Zones
1 abic 2.	Comparison	or unspi	accinents in	1 uncenton	m vanous z	Lones



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017

Table 3: From the above table it shows that the displacement increases from ground floor to top floor.it is clearly shown in below the graph



Fig-4 comparison of Displacements in Y- direction at various zones

4.2 STOREY DRIFTS

TABLE4: Comparison of storey drifts in X- direction in various zones

Storey n	Zone2	Zone3	Zone4	Zone5
storey1	0.7	1.2	1.8	2.6
Story2	2.1	3.4	5	7.6
Story3	3.6	5.7	8.5	12.8
Story4	4.9	7.9	11.8	17.8
storey 5	6.2	9.9	14.8	22.2
storeyб	7.3	11.6	17.4	26.1
storey 7	8.2	13.1	19.6	29.4
storey8	8.9	14.2	21.3	32
storey9	9.4	15	22.6	33.8
storey10	9.7	15.5	23.3	35

From the above table it shows that the drift increases from ground floor upto a maximum at third storeys.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017



Fig-10 comparision of storey drift in X- direction at various zones

Storey	zone2	zone3	zone4	zone5
storey1	0.000253	0.000405	0.000607	0.000911
storey 2	0.000477	0.000764	0.001145	0.001718
storey 3	0.000519	0.00083	0.001245	0.001867
storey 4	0.000502	0.000803	0.001204	0.001807
storey 5	0.000467	0.000747	0.001121	0.001681
storey 6	0.000425	0.00068	0.00102	0.00153
storey 7	0.000376	0.000601	0.000902	0.001352
storey 8	0.000315	0.000505	0.000757	0.001135
storey 9	0.00024	0.000384	0.000577	0.000865
storey10	0.000157	0.000251	0.000377	0.000565

TABLE 5: Comparison	of storey	drifts in	Y-direction	in	various zone
in inden die der Gompanison	01 00010		1 0110001011		ranous Lone

From the above table it shows that the drift increases from ground floor upto a maximum at thirdstorey.from the graph it is clear.

~



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 8, August 2017



Fig-10 comparision of storey drift in Y- direction at various zones

V. CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions are based on selected columns and results are compared with static and dynamic analysis.

1)Total story drift responses ratio increases as the floor plan irregularity gradually increases upto third floor.

2)Story drift response along the height of the building shows that the middle stories are more affected than Lower and upper stories.

3)Displacements gradually increases from ground storey to top storey.

4)Lower stories display minute variation but variation in maximum displacements significantly increases with the increase in height of different shaped building.

6)The analysis demonstrates that plan irregularity has a significant effect on the seismic response of buildings.

7) In y-direction the comparison of displacement in different zones is 59.18%, 9.656% and 49.78%.

8) In x-direction the comparison of displacement in different zones is 59.79,50.32 and 50.21.

REFERENCES

1) G. Magliulo , ET.AL," seismic perfomance of r/c frames with regular and irregular strength vertical distributions" the 14th world conference on earthquake engineering october 12-17, 2008, beijing, china

2) Ravi Kiran, ET.AL," COMPARATIVE STUDY OF REGULAR And VERTICALLY IRREGULAR BUILDING UNDER SEISMIC LOADING" IJRET: International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology VOLUME:05 ISSUE:09/SEP-2016

3) Dileshwar Rana, ET.AL," Seismic Analysis of Regular & Vertical Geometric Irregular RCC Framed Building "International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) Volume: 02 Issue: 04 | July-2015
4) Mr. S.Mahesh, ET.AL," Comparison of analysis and design of regular and irregular configuration of multi Story building in various seismic zones

4) Mr. S.Mahesh, ET.AL," Comparison of analysis and design of regular and irregular configuration of multi Story building in various seismic zones and various types of soils using ETABS and STAAD" *IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE) e-ISSN: 2278-1684,p-ISSN:* 2320-334X, Volume 11, Issue 6 Ver. I (Nov- Dec. 2014), PP 45-52

5) Milind V. Mohod, ET.AL," Effect Of Shape And Plan Configuration On Seismic Response Of Structure" INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC & TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH VOLUME 4, ISSUE 09, SEPTEMBER 2015

6) S.Varadharajan, ET.AL," Review of different Structural irregularities in buildings" Journal of Structural Engineering Vol. 39, No. 5, December 2012 - January 2013 pp. 393-418