

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal) Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017

Some new Results on Bipartite Graph in terms of Topological Separation Axioms

S. Kalpana¹, T. Renuga².

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Adhiyaman Arts and Science college For Women, Uthangarai,

Krishnagiri, India¹.

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Adhiyaman Arts and Science college For Women, Uthangarai,

Krishnagiri, India².

ABSTRACT: Let G be bipartite graph with V vertices and E edges. A topological space X is a topologized graph if it satisfies the following conditions:

- \clubsuit Every singleton is open or closed and
- ♦ For all $x \in X$, $|\partial(x)| \le 2$, where $\partial(x)$ is the boundary of a point x.

This work examines some results about the topological approach of theBipartite graphs, Complete Bipartite graphs, separation axioms and its results in the complete bipartite graph. The results are discussed and generalized here.

KEYWORDS: Topology, Hausdorff space, Normal space, regular Space, Topologized graph, labeling, Bipartite graph, complete bipartite graph.

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper discussed about topologized graphs referred to Antoine Vella [5]. In 2005 Antoine Vella [5] tried to express combinatorial concepts in topological language. As a part the investigation classical topology, pre path, path [6], pre cycle, compact space, cycle space and bond space [6], locally connectedness and ferns were defined. Given a hypergraph H [4], the classical topology on $V_H \cup E_H$ is the collection of all sets U such that, if U contains a vertex v, then it also contains all hyperedges incident with v. It is interesting to note that all these topologies are either defined on the vertex set, or on the union of vertex set and edge set. For Topological graph theory, more important application can be found in printing electronic circuits where the aim is to print (embed) a circuit on a circuit board without two connections crossing each other and resulting in a short circuit. And the Bipartite graphs are extensively used in modern coding theory, especially to decode code words received from the channel. Factor graphs and Tanner graphs are examples of this. A Tanner graph is a bipartite graph in which the vertices on one side of the bipartition represent digits of a codeword, and the vertices on the other side represent combinations of digits that are expected to sum to zero in a codeword without errors. In this paper discussed about some new methods of topology for bipartite graphs[19].

All graphs are finite, simple, undirected graphs with no loops and multiple edges and planar. Every topological space considered here are finite. And the topology is defined on the set X which is the union of vertices (V) and edges (E) of the graph G.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017

II. BASIC DEFINITIONS IN TOPOLOGICAL GRAPH THEORY

2.1 Definition [17]: A topology on a set X is a collection τ of a subset of X with the following properties:

- i. Φ and X are in τ .
- ii. The union of the element of any sub collection of τ is in τ (arbitrary union).
- iii. The intersection of the element of any finite sub collection of τ is in τ .

The set X for which a topology τ has been specified is called a topological space. The element of τ are called open set in X. A subset of X is said to be closed if its complement is in τ . (i, e., its complement is open) A subset of X may be open, closed both (clopen set), or neither. The empty set and X itself are always both closed and open.

2.2 Definition [5]: A topologized graph is a topological space X such that

- Every singleton is open or closed.
- $\forall x \in X, |\partial(x)| \le 2$. since $\partial(x)$ is denoted by the boundary of a point x

2.3Definition: [5]

A topological space is weakly Hausdorff if, for any distinct points x, y, there exist neighborhoods Ux, Uy of x, y respectively such that $| Ux \cap Uy |$ is finite.

2.4 Definition: [5]

A topological space is almost Hausdorff if, for any distinct points x, y, there exist neighborhoods Ux, Uy of x, y respectively such that $|Ux \cap Uy|$ is finite, and which contains at most one element.

2.5 Definition: [5]

A topological space is finitely adjacent if, for all distinct points x, y, we have $x^{\diamond} \cap y^{\diamond}$ is finite.

2.6 Definition: [5]

A topological space is uniquely adjacent if, for all distinct points x, y, we have $x^{\diamond} \cap y^{\diamond}$ is finite and which intersection is always consist of atmost one element.

2.7 Definition: [5]

Let X be a topological space. Then, X is feebly Hausdorff if for any two distinct points x, y there exist open sets Ux, Uywith $x \in Ux$, $y \in Uy$ and $Ux \cap Uy \subseteq x^{\diamond}$

2.8 Definition: [5]

Let X be a topological space. Then, X is feebly regular if for any point x and any closed set C not containing x, there exist open sets Ux, UC with $x \in Ux$, $y \in U_C$ and $(Ux \cap Uy) \subseteq x^{\Diamond} \cap C^{\Diamond}$

2.9 Definition: [5]

Let X be a topological space. Then, X is feebly normal if for any two disjointclosed sets C, D there exist open sets C $\subseteq U_C$, D $\subseteq U_D$ and $U_C \cap U_D \subseteq C^{\diamond}$

2.10 Definition: [5]

Let X be a topological space. Then, X is weakly normal if for every two distinct closed sets C, D, there exist neighborhoods UC, U_D of C, D respectively $U_C \cap U_D$ is finite.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017

2.11 Definition: [5]

Given a subset of A of a topological space, the surrounding set of A denote by A^{\diamond} , is the intersection of all the open sets containing A.

2.12 Definition: [18]

A bipartite graph 'G', G = (V, E) with partition $V = \{V, W\}$ is said to be a complete bipartite graph if every

vertex in V is connected to every vertex of W.

In general, a complete bipartite graph connects each vertex from set V to each vertex from set W.

2.1 Theorem:[19]

 $K_{1,n}$ is a topologized graph (for $0 < n \le 2$)

2.2 Theorem:[19]

Every bipartite graph is topologized if degree of every vertex is at most two

III. RESULTS ON SEPARATION AXIOMS

3.1 Theorem:

If G is a Complete Bipartite graph $K_{m, n}$ with discrete topology, then

i). Feebly Hausdorff.

ii). Weakly Hausdorff if and only if finitely adjacent.

iii).Almost Hausdorff if and only if uniquely adjacent.

- iv). Feebly normal and Weakly normal.
- v). Feebly regular.

Proof:

Let G be a complete Bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ with topology defined on V U E. Let (X, τ) be a discrete topological space.

(i) Given any two distinct points x, y there exists corresponding openneighborhoods U, V with finite intersection. For

any point $z \in U \cap V$ not in x^{\diamond} , there exists an open set A_z which contains x but not z; now the set $U \bigcap_{(x,y) \in U} Az$ is

contained in x^{\diamond} and, since $U \cap V$ is finite, is open and so can be taken as the neighborhood of Xtogether with V as the neighborhood of y.

Hence X is feebly Hausdorff and weakly Hausdorff.

(ii) The necessary part has been proved already, so now to prove the converse partonly.

Let X be a weakly Hausdorff space. For any two distinct points u, v there exists two neighborhoods U, V such that U \cap V is finite.

For any point $z \in U \cap V$ not in $u^{\diamond} \cap v^{\diamond}$, then there exists an open set A which contains u and v but not z. Then, $U \cap \cap A$ is contained in u^{\diamond} and also $V \cap \cap A$ is contained in v^{\diamond} . Hence $u^{\diamond} \cap v^{\diamond}$ is finite.

Hence X is finitely adjacent.

(iii) Let X be almost Hausdorff space. For any two distinct points x, y there exists neighborhoods U and V such that U \cap V contains atmost one element.

For any fixed point x, $x \in x^{\diamond}$ and for any point y, $y \in y^{\diamond}$, so there exists an open set containing y but not x, which yields $X \setminus \{x\}$ is open. That is $\{x\}$ is closed.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017

Hence $y \in x^{\Diamond} \cap y^{\Diamond}$. Hence X is uniquely adjacent. Conversely, Suppose X is uniquely adjacent space. To prove X is almost Hausdorff.

For any two distinct points x, y there exists two neighborhoods U, Vrespectively. We have any point $z \in x^{\diamond} \cap y^{\diamond}$ such that $z \in ((\cap Ux) \cap (\cap Vy))$. Therefore, $z \in U \cap V$. Hence X is almost Hausdorff. (iv) Let (X, τ) be a discrete topological space.

For any two distinct closed sets C, D there exist neighborhoods U, V such that $U \cap V$ is finite. Now $z \in U \cap V$ not in C° , and the set $U \cap (\cap Y)$ is contained in C° and since $U \cap V$ is finite, is open and so can be taken as the neighborhood of Xtogether with V as the neighborhood of D. This is true for all disjoint pair of closed

sets in the topological space.

Hence X is feebly normal and weakly normal.

(v) Let (X, τ) be a discrete topological space.

For any closed set C and any point x which is not contained in C, then there exists two disjoint neighborhoods U and V respectively. There exists a point $y \in C$ with neighborhood Z such that y is different from x. But $y \in x \Diamond$, since y may contained in some neighborhood of x.

Hence $y \in x^{\diamond} \cap C^{\diamond}$, so that X is feebly regular.

3.2Theorem:

If G is a complete Bipartitegraph K_{m,n} with connected topology, then

i). Feebly Hausdorff and Weakly Hausdorff.

ii). Almost Hausdorff.

iii).Feebly regular.

iv). Feebly normal and Weakly normal.

Proof:

Let G be a complete graph Kn with topology defined on V U E. Let (X, τ) be a connected topological space.

Given any two distinct points x, y there exists corresponding openneighborhoods U, V with finite intersection. For any

point $z \in U \cap V$ not in x^{\diamond} , there exists an open set Az which contains x but not z; now the set $U \cap \bigcap A_z$ is contained in

 x^{\diamond} and, since $U \cap V$ is finite, is open and so can be taken as the neighborhood of Xtogether with V as the neighborhood of y.

Hence X is feebly Hausdorff and weakly Hausdorff.

(ii) Let (X, τ) be connected topological space.

To prove X is almost Hausdorff.

For any two distinct points x, y there exists two neighborhoods U, Vrespectively. We have any point $z \in x^{\diamond} \cap y^{\diamond}$ such that $z \in ((\cap Ux) \cap (\cap Vy))$. Therefore, $z \in U \cap V$.

Hence X is almost Hausdorff.

(iii) Let (X, τ) be a connected topological space.

For any closed set C and any point x which is not contained in C, then there exists two disjoint neighborhoods U and V respectively. There exists a point $y \in C$ with neighborhood Z such that y is different from x. But $y \in x^{\diamond}$, since y may contained in some neighborhood of x.

Hence $y \in x^{\Diamond} \cap C^{\Diamond}$, so that X is feebly regular.

(iv) Let (X,τ) be a connected topological space.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017

For any two distinct closed sets C, D there exist neighborhoods U, V such that $U \cap V$ is finite. Now $z \in U \cap V$ not in C° , and the set $U \cap (\cap Y)$ is contained in C° and since $U \cap V$ is finite, is open and so can be taken as the neighborhood of Xtogether with V as the neighborhood of D. Hence X is feebly normal space.

Now prove that X is weakly normal. For that to show all pair of disjoint closedsets in a topological space is having the neighborhoods with finite intersection. Butthe only closed disjoint from C and D in a connected topological space is the emptyset ϕ . Clearly, X is a weakly normal.

3.2.1 Corollary:

If G is a Complete Bipartite graph $K_{m, n}$ with topology, then

i). Feebly Hausdorff and feebly regular.

ii). Weakly Hausdorff if and only if finitely adjacent.

iii).Almost Hausdorff if and only if uniquely adjacent.

iv). Feebly normal and Weakly normal

3.2.1.(a)Example:

Let G be a complete Bipartite graph $K_{1,1}$. The vertex set and the edge sets are defined as $V = \{v_1\}$; $W = \{v_2\}$, $E = \{e_1\}$, the edges are labeled as $f_G(e_1) = \{v_1, v_2\}$. Let $X = \{v_1, v_2, e_1\}$ be a discrete topological space and a topology defined by, $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{v_1\}, \{v_2\}, \{e_1\}, \{v_1, v_2\}, \{e_1, v_2\}, \{v_1, e_1\}\}$ $\tau^c = \{\phi, X, \{v_2, e_1\}, \{v_1, e_1\}, \{v_1, v_2\}, \{e_1\}, \{v_1\}, \{v_2\}\}$



Let v_1 , v_2 be two distinct points in X. For v_1 there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_1\}$, $\{v_1, e_1\}$, $\{v_1, v_2\}$ and X. For v_2 there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_2\}$, $\{v_1, v_2\}$, $\{e_1, v_2\}$ and X. $v_1^{\ \Diamond}=\{v_1\}.$ $(\mathrm{U}\mathrm{v}_1)\cap(\mathrm{U}\mathrm{v}_2)=\{\mathrm{v}_1\}\cap\{\mathrm{v}_2\}=\phi\subseteq\mathrm{v_1}^\diamond$ $(Uv_1) \cap (Uv_2) = \{v_1\} \cap \{v_1, v_2\} = \{v_1\} \subseteq v_1^{\diamond}$ $(Uv_1) \cap (Uv_2) = \{v_1, v_2\} \cap \{v_1, v_2\} = \{v_1, v_2\}$. Clearly, $|(Uv_1) \cap (Uv_2)|$ is finite and which also contains at most one element. Hence X is feebly Hausdorff, weakly Hausdorff and almost Hausdorff. Let $\{e_1\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2\}$ be two disjoint closed sets of X. For $\{e_1\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{e_1\}$, $\{e_1, v_2\}$, $\{v_1, e_1\}$ and X. For $\{v_1, v_2\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_1, v_2\}$ and X. $\{e_1\}^{\vee} = \{e_1\}.$ $\{\mathbf{e}_1\} \cap \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\} = \mathbf{\phi} \subseteq \{\mathbf{e}_1\}^{\diamond}$ $\{e_1, v_2\} \cap \{v_1, v_2\} = \{v_2\}.$ Hence X is feebly normal. Here, $[\phi, X]$, $[\{v_2, e_1\}, \{v_1\}]$, $[\{v_1, e_1\}, \{v_2\}]$, $[\{v_1, v_2\}, \{e_1\}]$, $[\{v_1\}, \{v_2\}]$, $[\{v_2\}, \{v_2\}]$, $[\{v_2\}, \{v_2\}]$, $[\{v_2\}, \{v_2\}]$, $[\{v_2\}, \{v_2\}]$, $[\{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_2\}]$, $[\{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_2\}]$, $[\{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_2\}]$, $[\{v_2, v_3\}, \{v_2\}]$, $[\{v_2, v_3\}, \{v_3\}]$, $[\{v_3, v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\}]$, $[\{v_3, v_3\}, \{v_3\}]$, $[\{v_3, v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\}]$, $[\{v_3, v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\}]$, $[\{v_3, v_3\}, \{v_3\}]$, $[\{v_3, v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\}]$, $[\{v_3, v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\}]$, $[\{v_3, v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\}]$, $[\{v_3, v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\}]$, $[\{v_3, v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\}]$, $[\{v_3, v_3\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_3\},$ $\{e_1\}$], $[\{v_1\}, \{e_1\}]$ are the all disjoint pairs of closed sets in X. For ϕ there exists a neighborhoods X, ϕ , {v₁}, {v₂}, {e₁}, {v₁, v₂}, {e₁, v₂}, {v₁, e₁}. For X there exists a neighborhood X. $X \cap X = X.$ $X \cap \phi = \phi$ $X \cap \{v_1\} = \{v_1\}$ For $\{v_2, e_1\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_2, e_1\}$ and X. For $\{v_1\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_1\}$, $\{v_1, v_2\}$, $\{v_1, e_1\}$ and X. $\{v_2, e_1\} \cap \{v_1\} = \phi$



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017

 $\{v_2, e_1\} \cap \{v_1, v_2\} = \{v_2\}$ For $\{v_1, e_1\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_1, e_1\}$ and X. For $\{v_2\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_2\}$, $\{v_1, v_2\}$, $\{v_2, e_1\}$ and X. $\{v_1, e_1\} \cap \{v_2\} = \phi$ $\{v_1, e_1\} \cap \{v_1, v_2\} = \{v_1\}$ For $\{v_1\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_1\}$, $\{v_1, v_2\}$, $\{v_1, e_1\}$ and X. For $\{v_2\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_2\}$, $\{v_1, v_2\}$, $\{v_2, e_1\}$ and X. $\{v_1, v_2\} \cap \{v_1, v_2\} = \{v_1, v_2\}$ $\{v_1\} \cap \{v_1, v_2\} = \{v_1\}$ For $\{v_2\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_2\}$, $\{v_1, v_2\}$, $\{v_2, e_1\}$ and X. For $\{e_1\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{e_1\}$, $\{e_1, v_2\}$, $\{v_1, e_1\}$ and X. $\{v_2\} \cap \{e_1\} = \phi$ $\{v_2\} \cap \{e_1, v_2\} = \{v_2\}$ For $\{v_1\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_1\}$, $\{v_1, v_2\}$, $\{v_1, e_1\}$ and X. For $\{e_1\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{e_1\}$, $\{e_1, v_2\}$, $\{v_1, e_1\}$ and X. $\{\mathbf{v}_1\} \cap \{\mathbf{e}_1\} = \mathbf{\phi}$ $\{v_1\} \cap \{e_1, v_1\} = \{v_1\}$ Here for all pair of disjoint closed set having the neighborhoods with finite intersection. Hence X is weakly normal. Let $\{v_2\}$ be any closed set and e_1 be any point which is not contained in the closed set. For $v_2 \in \{v_2\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_2\}$, $\{v_1, v_2\}$, $\{v_2, e_1\}$ and X. For e_1 there exists a neighborhoods $\{e_1\}$, $\{e_1, v_2\}$, $\{v_1, e_1\}$ and X. $\{\mathbf{v}_2\}^\diamond = \{\mathbf{v}_2\}$ $e_1^{\diamond} = \{e_1\}$ $\{v_2\}^{\diamond} \cap e_1^{\diamond} = \phi$ $\{\mathbf{v}_2\} \cap \{\mathbf{e}_1\} = \phi \subseteq \{\mathbf{v}_2\}^{\diamond} \cap \mathbf{e}_1^{\diamond}$ $\{v_2\} \cap \{e_1, v_2\} = \{v_2\}$ Hence X is feebly regular.

3.3 Theorem

If G is Complement graph $K_{m,n}$ with discrete topology, then i). Feebly Hausdorff and Weakly Hausdorff.

ii). Almost Hausdorff.

- iii).Feebly normal and Weakly normal.
- iv). Feebly regular.

Proof:

The proof is obvious from Theorem 3.1and Theorem 3.2.

3.3.1.(a)Example:

Let G be a $K_{1,2}$ graph. The vertex set and the edge sets are defined as $V = \{v_1\}$; $W = \{w_1, w_2\}$; Let $X = \{v_1, w_1, w_2\}$ be a discrete topological spaceand a topology defined by, $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{v_1\}, \{w_1\}, \{w_2\}, \{v_1, w_1\}, \{w_2, w_1\}, \{v_1, w_2\}\}$ $\tau^c = \{\phi, X, \{v_2, w_2\}, \{v_1, w_2\}, \{v_1, w_1\}, \{w_2\}, \{v_1\}, \{w_1\}\}$



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017

> VI • W1 W2

Let v_1 , w_1 be two distinct points in X. For v_1 there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_1\}$, $\{v_1, w_2\}$, $\{v_1, w_1\}$ and X. For w_1 there exists a neighborhoods $\{w_1\}$, $\{v_1, w_1\}$, $\{w_2, w_1\}$ and X. $v_1^{\diamond} = \{v_1\}.$ $(\mathrm{U}\mathrm{v}_1) \cap (\mathrm{U}\mathrm{w}_1) = {\mathrm{v}_1} \cap {\mathrm{w}_1} = \phi \subset {\mathrm{v}_1}^\diamond$ $(Uv_1) \cap (Uw_1) = \{v_1\} \cap \{v_1, w_1\} = \{v_1\} \subset v_1^{\diamond}$ $(Uv_1) \cap (Uw_1) = \{v_1, w_1\} \cap \{v_1, w_1\} = \{v_1, w_1\}.$ Clearly, $|(Uv_1) \cap (Uw_1)|$ is finite and which also contains at most one element. Hence X is feebly Hausdorff, weakly Hausdorff and almost Hausdorff. Let $\{w_2\}$ and $\{v_1, w_1\}$ be two disjoint closed sets of X. For $\{w_2\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{w_2\}$, $\{w_2, w_1\}$, $\{v_1, w_2\}$ and X. For $\{v_1, w_1\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_1, w_1\}$ and X. $\{\mathbf{w}_2\}^{\circ} = \{\mathbf{w}_2\}.$ $\{\mathbf{w}_2\} \cap \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{w}_1\} = \phi \subseteq \{\mathbf{w}_2\}^\diamond$ $\{w_2, w_1\} \cap \{v_1, w_1\} = \{w_1\}.$ Hence X is feebly normal. Here, $[\phi, X]$, $[\{w_1, w_2\}, \{v_1\}]$, $[\{v_1, w_2\}, \{w_1\}]$, $[\{v_1, w_1\}, \{w_2\}]$, $[\{v_1\}, \{w_1\}]$, $[\{w_1\}, \{w_2\}], [\{v_1\}, \{w_2\}]$ are the all disjoint pairs of closed sets in X. For ϕ there exists a neighborhoods X, ϕ , {v₁}, {w₁}, {w₂}, {v₁, w₁}, {w₂, w₁}, {w₂, w₁}, {v₁, w₂}. For X there exists a neighborhood X. $X \cap X = X.$ $X \cap \phi = \phi$ $X \cap \{v_1\} = \{v_1\}$ For $\{w_1, w_2\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{w_1, w_2\}$ and X. For $\{v_1\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_1\}$, $\{v_1, w_1\}$, $\{v_1, w_2\}$ and X. $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2\} \cap \{\mathbf{v}_1\} = \mathbf{\phi}$ $\{w_1, w_2\} \cap \{v_1, w_1\} = \{w_1\}$ For $\{v_1, w_2\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_1, w_2\}$ and X. For $\{w_1\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{w_1\}$, $\{v_1, w_1\}$, $\{w_1, w_2\}$ and X. $\{\mathbf{v}_1,\,\mathbf{w}_2\}\,\cap\,\{\mathbf{w}_1\}=\phi$ $\{v_1, w_2\} \cap \{v_1, w_1\} = \{v_1\}$ For $\{v_1\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_1\}$, $\{v_1, w_1\}$, $\{v_1, w_2\}$ and X. For $\{w_1\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{w_1\}$, $\{v_1, w_1\}$, $\{w_1, w_2\}$ and X. $\{v_1, w_1\} \cap \{v_1, w_1\} = \{v_1, w_1\}$ $\{v_1\} \cap \{v_1, w_1\} = \{v_1\}$ For $\{w_1\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{w_1\}$, $\{v_1, w_1\}$, $\{w_1, w_2\}$ and X. For $\{w_2\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{w_2\}$, $\{w_2, w_1\}$, $\{v_1, w_2\}$ and X. $\{\mathbf{w}_1\} \cap \{\mathbf{w}_2\} = \mathbf{\phi}$ $\{\mathbf{w}_1\} \cap \{\mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_1\} = \{\mathbf{w}_1\}$



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 12, December 2017

For $\{v_1\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{v_1\}$, $\{v_1, w_1\}$, $\{v_1, w_2\}$ and X. For $\{w_2\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{w_2\}$, $\{w_2, w_1\}$, $\{v_1, w_2\}$ and X. $\{\mathbf{v}_1\} \cap \{\mathbf{w}_2\} = \mathbf{\phi}$ $\{\mathbf{v}_1\} \cap \{\mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{v}_1\} = \{\mathbf{v}_1\}$ Here for all pair of disjoint closed set having the neighborhoods with finite intersection. Hence X is weakly normal. Let $\{w_1\}$ be any closed set and e1 be any point which is not contained in the closed set. For $w_1 \in \{w_1\}$ there exists a neighborhoods $\{w_1\}, \{v_1, w_1\}, \{w_1, w_2\}$ and X. For w_2 there exists a neighborhoods $\{w_2\}$, $\{w_2, w_1\}$, $\{v_1, w_2\}$ and X. $\{\mathbf{w}_1\}^\diamond = \{\mathbf{w}_1\}$ $\mathbf{w}_{2}^{\diamond} = \{\mathbf{w}_{2}\} \\ \{\mathbf{w}_{1}\}^{\diamond} \cap \mathbf{w}_{2}^{\diamond} = \boldsymbol{\phi}$ $\{\mathbf{w}_1\} \cap \{\mathbf{w}_2\} = \phi \subseteq \{\mathbf{w}_1\}^{\diamond} \cap \mathbf{w}_2^{\diamond}$ $\{w_1\} \cap \{w_2, w_1\} = \{w_1\}$ Hence X is feebly regular.

IV. CONCLUSION

The above results discussed about some new results on bipartite graph. We have applied and verified some new separation axioms on topologized graphfor, bipartite graphs, Complete Bipartite Graphs. Further the work is extended to matching and coloring of a bipartite graph.

REFERENCES

[1] Ahuja, Magnanti&Orlin, Application 12.1 Bipartite Personnel Assignment, PP.463-464.(1993)

[2]P.S. Alexandroff. Discrete Raume.Rec.Math. (Moscou) (Mat.Sb.) N.S., P.S.Alexandroff and H.Hopf.Toplogie.Bd.1.Number 45 in Die 2:501-518, 1937.

[3] K. R. Allen. Dendritic compactification. Pacific J. Math., 57(1):1-10, 1975.

[4]Andras Frank. On Kuhn's Hungarian Method-A tribute from Hungary(PDF) (Technical report). Egervary Research Group. (2004)

[5] Antoine Vella "A Fundamendally Topological Perspective on graph theory" Ph.D., Thesis, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, 2005

[6] Antonievella and R.B. Richter "cycle space in topological space" university of Waterloo, 10 December 2007.

[7] Arkhangel'ski"1, A. V. and Pontryagin, L. S., editors. General topology. I, volume 17 of Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1990.

[8] S. Arumugam S. Ramachandran. "Invitation to Graph theory" published byScitech Publications (India) Pvt Lt, 2006

[9] BélaBollobás, "Modern Graph Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics", Dover Publications. (1998)

[10] C. Berge "Hypergraphs, Combinatories of finite sets" Number 45 in North - Holland Mathematical Library. North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, Translated from the French. 1989.

[11] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Morty" Graph Theory with Applications" Macmillan press, London 1976.

[12] Brualdi, Richard A.; Harary, Frank; Miller, Zevi, "Bigraphs versus digraphs via matrices", Journel of Graph Theory, 4(1):51-73, (1980)

[13] Chartrand, Gary; Zhang, Ping, "A First Course in Graph Theory", Courier Dover Publications. (2012).

[14] R. Diesteland D. K"uhn. On infinite cycles I. Combinatorica, 24:68-89, 2004.

[15] Gross, Jonathan L.; Yellen, Jay, "Graph Theory and Its Applications, DiscreteMathematics And Its Applications" (2nd ed.), (2005).
[16] Hazewinkel, Michiel, ed.(2001), "Graph bipartite", Encyclopedia of Mathematics, Springer, ISBN 978-1-55608-010-4.

[17] Introduction to topology and modern analysis georgefinlay,. Simmons 1925

[18] NarsinhDeo"Graph theory with application to Engineering and computer science" published By Asoke K. Ghosh, PHI Learning Private Limited, Delhi ISBN: 978 - 81 - 203 - 0145 - 0.

[19] S. Vimala and S. Kalpana "TOPOLOGIZED BIPARTITE GRAPH" published by Asian Research Journal of Mathematics.Article No: ARJOM.33107.(1-10)