

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

Review of various Image Processing Techniques

J.Anish Jafrin Thilak¹, Dr.P.Suresh², N.Subramani³, S.Sathishkumar⁴, V.V.Arunsankar⁵

Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Karpagam College of Engineering,

Coimbatore, India^{1,3,4&5}

Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Karpagam College of Engineering, Coimbatore, India²

ABSTRACT: The neck can be vulnerable to injury and disorders that produce pain and restrict motion. It may result from abnormalities in the soft tissues the muscles, ligaments, and nerves as well as in bones and joints of the spine. The most common causes of neck pain are soft-tissue abnormalities due to injury or prolonged wear and tear. In rare instances, infection or tumours may cause neck pain. Neck pain may feel like a "kink," stiffness, or severe pain. Pain may spread to the shoulders, upper back, or arms, or it may cause a headache. Neck movement may be limited, usually more to one side than the other. Neck pain refers to pain anywhere from the area at the base of the skull into the shoulder. It is rare to predict the causes during movement of the neck. In this paper proposes a various edge detection techniques like prewitt, sobel, canny and Roberts edge detection methods to compare and analyse the two dimensional CCD camera neck disc image. This analysis will be more helpful to predict the suitable technique for edge detection. This work may be extended to predict the analyse of the neck while driving the car and also software industries employees with continuos exposure with VDT.

KEYWORDS: CT Scan, Neck disc image, Sobel, canny, prewitt and Roberts.

I. INTRODUCTION

Manual Material Handling (MMH), especially lifting, poses a risk to many and considered the prime cause of back pain and various other joint impairments. This in turn leads to increased worker compensation and loss of productive manhours. Approximately one third of all jobs in industry involve MMH. Low back pain is one of the most prevalent and costly work related injuries. The study of the lifting posture, the amounts of weight the man can safely lift are the areas concentrated on by Researchers. Of all Manual Material Handling (MMH) activities, lifting is considered to be a major cause for neck pain, low back pain and spinal injuries. Medical image processing is a field of science due to its advances technology and software breakthroughs. It plays a vital role in disease diagnosis and improved in medical area with patient care and helps medical practitioners to make decision in regard to the type of treatment. Various stateof-the-art equipments are available for human organs in digital form. Examples of such devices include X-Ray-based devices, Computed Tomography (CT), Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Ultrasound (US), Positron Emission Tomography (PET) and Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT). In which, X-Ray is one the oldest and frequently used devices, as they are non-invasive, painless and economical. A x-ray makes images. Of any bone in the body, including the hand, wrist, arm, elbow, shoulder, foot, ankle, leg, knee, thigh, hip, pelvis or spine. Most cervical problems are due to degenerative changes that occur in the discs and joints of the neck.

II. EDGE DETECTION TECHNIQUES

Segmentation is the process of partitioning a biomedical or digital image into its constituent objects or regions. These types of objects are having some common Characters like colour, density, texture, intensity and size, etc. In the segmentation first step is to predict the edge of the image and parts of the image. Once the edge will be detected from the using edge detection technique then the segmentation will take place. There will be a lot of segmentation techniques will be available. That is Canny edge detection techniques, Genetic Algorithm approach, Random walker method approach, Sobel operator approach, Prewitt operator approach and Roberts operator approach, etc. In this work we have



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

applied only Prewitt operator, Sobel operator and Prewitt operator approach. These techniques will follow the edge based technique.

A. SOBEL OPERATOR: This technique performs 2D spatial gradient measurement on an image and also it emphasizes regions of high spatial frequency that correspond to edges. Typically it is used to find the approximate absolute gradient magnitude at each point in an input grayscale image. In theory at least, the operator consists of a pair of 3x3 convolution masks as shown in figure. One mask is simply the other rotated by 90° . This is very similar to the Roberts cross operator. These masks are designed to respond maximally to edges running vertically and horizontally relative to the pixel grid, one mask for each of the two perpendicular orientations. The masks can be applied separately to the input image, to produce separate measurements of the gradient component in each orientation that is G_x and G_y . These can be combined together to find the absolute magnitude of the gradient at each point and the orientation of that gradient. The gradient magnitude is given below in Figure 6.



Fig.6. Gradient Magnitude

Although typically, an approximate magnitude is computed using:

$$\left| \mathbf{G} \right| = \left| \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{x}} \right| + \left| \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{y}} \right| \tag{2}$$

Which is much faster to compute. The angle of orientation of the edge (relative to the pixel grid) giving rise to the spatial gradient is given by:

$$\alpha = \arctan(G_v/G_x) - 3\pi/4 \tag{3}$$

In this case, orientation 0 is taken to mean that the direction of maximum contrast from black to white runs from left to right on the image, and other angles are measured anti-clockwise from this. Often, this absolute magnitude is the only output the user sees the two components of the gradient are conveniently computed and added in a single pass over the input image using the pseudo convolution operator shown in Figure 7.

| H_1 | H_2 | H_3 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| H_4 | H ₅ | H ₆ |
| H ₇ | H_8 | H ₉ |

Fig.7. Pseudo convolution

Using this mask the approximate magnitude is given by:

$$|G| = |(H_1 + 2xH_2 + H_3) - (H_7 + 2xH_8 + H_9)| + |(H_3 + 2xH_8 + H_9) - (H_1 + 2xH_4 + H_7)|$$
(4)

The Sobel operator is slower to compute than the Roberts cross operator, but its larger convolution mask smooth's the input image to a greater extend and so makes the operator less sensitive to noise. The problem can be avoided by using an image type that supports pixel values with a larger range.

B. PREWITT OPERATOR: The prewitt operator is an approximate way to estimate the magnitude and orientation of the edge. The prewitt operator uses the same equations as the Sobel operator, except the constant k = 1. Compare than Sobel operator this prewitt operator does not place any emphasis on pixels that are closer to the centre of the masks. This operator will measure the two components. The vertical edge component is calculated with kernel G_x and the



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

horizontal edge component is calculated with kernel G_y . $|G_x| + |G_y|$ give an indication of the intensity of the gradient in the current pixel. The Gradient magnitude is shown in Figure 8.

| -1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|----|----------------|---|----|----------------|----|
| -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -1 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| | G _x | | | G _v | |

Fig.8. Gradient Magnitude

$$M_{4}x = (a_{4}2 + ca_{4}(3) + a_{4}(4)) - (a_{4}0 + ca_{4}(7) + a_{4}(6)) (5)$$

$$M_{4}y = (a_{4}6 + ca_{4}(5) + a_{4}(4)) - (a_{4}0 + ca_{4}(1) + a_{4}(2)) (6)$$

C. ROBERTS OPERATOR: This technique performs 2D spatial gradient measurement on an image and highlights regions of high spatial frequency which often correspond to edges. It performs a simple, quick to compute of an image. It thus highlights regions of high spatial gradient which often correspond to edges. In its most common usage, the input to the operator is a grayscale image, as is the output. Pixel values at each point in the output represent the estimated absolute magnitude of the spatial gradient of the input image at that point. In theory, the operator consists of a pair of 2x2 convolution masks as shown in Figure. One mask is simply the other rotated by 90°. This is very similar to the Sobel operator. The gradient magnitude of the Roberts operator is shown in Figure 9.



Fig.9. Gradient Magnitude

These masks are designed to respond maximally to edges running at 45° to the pixel grid, one mask for each of the two perpendicular orientations. The masks can be applied separately to the input image, to produce separate measurements of the gradient component in each orientation (call these G_x and G_y). These can then be combined together to find the absolute magnitude of the gradient at each point and the orientation of that gradient. The gradient magnitude is given by:

$$\left| \mathbf{G} \right| = \left| \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{x}} \right| + \left| \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{y}} \right| \tag{7}$$

Often, the absolute magnitude is the only output the user sees the two components of the gradient are conveniently computed and added in a single pass over the input image using pseudo convolution operator shown in Figure 10.

| | H_1 | H_2 | |
|-----------|----------------|-------|---|
| | H ₃ | H_4 | |
| . | 10 D | 1 1 / | ٠ |

Fig.10. Pseudo convolution

Using this mask the approximate magnitude is given by:

$$G = |H_1 - H_4| + |H_2 - H_3|$$

$$\tag{8}$$

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = y(i,j) - y(i+1,j+1) \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = y(i+1,j) - y(i,j+1) \tag{10}$$



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

D. CANNY EDGE DETECTION ALGORITHM

The Canny algorithm can be used an optimal edge detector based on a set of criteria which include finding the most edges by minimizing the error rate, marking edges as closely as possible to the actual edges to maximize localization, and marking edges only once when a single edge exists for minimal response. According to Canny, the optimal filter that meets all three criteria above can be efficiently approximated using the first derivative of a Gaussian function.

$$\mathbf{GF}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{\frac{t^2+j^2}{2\pi\sigma^2}}$$
(1)

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{GF}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})}{\partial \mathbf{i}} \alpha \mathbf{i} e^{\frac{t^2+j^2}{2\sigma^2}} \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{GF}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})}{\partial \mathbf{j}} \alpha j e^{\frac{t^2+j^2}{2\sigma^2}} \tag{2}$$

All the images are having some speckle and other noises. So there is a need of noise filtering using any techniques. In this work median filter is used to reduce the noise. If the scan box is approximately centered with knee, the median filter is shown below.

$$C_i^J = Median (Pixel = P)$$
(3)

Where, the speckle noise reduction can be done using the below expression

$$SN_1^n = Pixel B_1^{n-1} \tag{4}$$

A. PRE-PROCESSING OF INITIAL POSITION OF EDGE PARAMETERS DETECTION

Step 1: Calculate the average magnitude

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})}^{n} \sqrt{\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})^2 + \mathbf{M}\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})^2}$$
(5)

Step 2: Calculate the density of the edge length. The density of the edge length is calculated from

$$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) = \frac{C(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})}{\max C(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})}$$
(6)

Where C(i,j) is the number of connected pixels at each position of pixel.

Step 3: Calculate the Initial position of map from summation of density of edge Length and average magnitude.

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2}) = \frac{1}{2(M(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2}) + L(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2}))}$$
(7)

Step 4: Calculate the thresholding of the initial position map. If

$$P(1,2) > T_{\max}$$

Then P(1, 2) is the initial position of the edge following. And then we obtained the initial position by setting T_{max} to 90% of the maximum value.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION



Fig.3. (a). CT scan Noisy Knee image (b). Average Magnitude Image (c). Density of the Edge Length (d). Initial Position map (e). Final Thresholding of edge map





(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017



Table 1. Average Results of Probability of Error in Image Segmentation

| S.No | Image illustration | Canny Edge Detection (%) |
|------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | Ultrasound Noisy image | 6.78 |
| 2 | Right side Knee image | 9.83 |
| 3 | Filtered Ultrasound image | 5.63 |
| 4 | Edge detection Noisy image | 5.42 |
| 5 | Filtered Edge detection image | 4.71 |
| 6 | Fine Edge filtered image | 4.62 |

The best and optimum detector type can be evaluated by calculating the edge maps relative to each other through statistical evaluation. Upon this evaluation, an edge detection method can also be emphasised to characterize edges to represent the image for further analysis. The statistical analysis of results is shown in Table. 2 and the valediction of results using existing method is shown in table 3.

IV. CONCLUSION

The proposed technique for boundary detection and applied it to object segmentation problem in medical images. Our edge following technique incorporates a vector image model and the edge map information. The proposed technique was applied to detect the object boundaries in several types of noisy images where the well defined edges were encountered. Several synthetic noisy images were created and tested for the sake of the known ground truths. The opinions of the skilled doctors were used as the ground truths of interesting objects in different types of medical images including prostates in ultra-sound images of knee images. Besides the visual inspection, all methods were evaluated using the probability of error in image segmentation. The results of detecting the object boundaries in noisy images show that the proposed technique is much better. We have success-fully applied the edge following technique to detect



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

the object boundaries in medical images. The proposed method can be applied not only for medical imaging, but can also be applied to any image processing problems.

REFERENCES

- 1. E. Argyle. "Techniques for edge detection," Proc. IEEE, vol. 59, pp. 285-286, 1971.
- 2. F. Bergholm. "Edge focusing," in Proc. 8th Int. Conf. Pattern Recognition, Paris, France, pp. 597-600, 1986.
- 3. J. Matthews. "An introduction to edge detection: The sobel edge detector," Available at
- http://www.generation5.org/content/2002/im01.asp, 2002.
- 4. L. G. Roberts. "Machine perception of 3-D solids" ser. Optical and Electro-Optical Information Processing. MIT Press, 1965 .
- 5. R. C. Gonzalez and R. E. Woods. "Digital Image Processing". 2nd ed. Prentice Hall, 2002.
- 6. V. Torre and T. A. Poggio. "On edge detection". IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Machine Intell., vol. PAMI-8, no. 2, pp. 187-163, Mar. 1986.
- 7. E. R. Davies. "Constraints on the design of template masks for edge detection". Partern Recognition Lett., vol. 4, pp. 11 1-120, Apr. 1986.
- 8. W. Frei and C.-C. Chen. "Fast boundary detection: A generalization and a new algorithm". IEEE Trans. Comput., vol. C-26, no. 10, pp. 988-998, 1977.
- 9. W. E. Grimson and E. C. Hildreth. "Comments on Digital step edges from zero crossings of second directional derivatives". IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Machine Intell., vol. PAMI-7, no. 1, pp. 121-129, 1985.
- 10. R. M. Haralick. "Digital step edges from zero crossing of the second directional derivatives," IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Machine Intell., vol. PAMI-6, no. 1, pp. 58-68, Jan. 1984.
- 11. J. F. Canny. "A computational approach to edge detection". IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Machine Intell., vol. PAMI-8, no. 6, pp. 679-697, 1986
- 12 J. Canny. "Finding edges and lines in image". Master's thesis, MIT, 1983.
- 13. R. A. Kirsch. "Computer determination of the constituent structure of biomedical images". Comput. Eiorned. Res., vol. 4, pp. 315-328, 1971.
- 14. M. H. Hueckel. "A local visual operator which recognizes edges and line". J. ACM, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 634-647, Oct. 1973. 15. Y. Yakimovsky, "Boundary and object detection in real world images". JACM, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 598-619, Oct. 1976
- 16. A. Yuille and T. A. Poggio . "Scaling theorems for zero crossings". IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Machine Intell., vol. PAMI-8, no. 1, pp. 187-163. Jan. 1986.
- 17. D. Marr and E.Hildreth. "Theory of Edge Detection". Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences,, Vol. 207, No. 1167. (29 February 1980), pp. 187-217
- 18. M. Heath, S. Sarkar, T. Sanocki, and K.W. Bowyer. "A Robust Visual Method for Assessing the Relative.Performance of Edge Detection Algorithms". IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol.19, no. 12, pp. 1338-1359, Dec. 1997.
- 19. M. Heath, S. Sarkar, T. Sanocki, and K.W. Bowyer. "Comparison of Edge Detectors: A Methodology and Initial Study ". Computer Vision and Image Understanding, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 38-54 Jan. 1998
- 20. M.C. Shin, D. Goldgof, and K.W. Bowyer. "Comparison of Edge Detector Performance through Use in an Object Recognition Task". Computer Vision and Image Understanding, vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 160-178, Oct. 2001. 21. T. Peli and D. Malah. "A Study of Edge Detection Algorithms". Computer Graphics and Image Processing, vol. 20, pp. 1-21, 1982.
- 22. H.Chidiac, D.Ziou, "Classification of Image Edges", Vision Interface'99, Troise-Rivieres, Canada, 1999.pp. 17-24.
- 23. Q.Ji, R.M.Haralick, "Quantitative Evaluation of Edge Detectors using the Minimum Kernel Variance Criterion", ICIP 99. IEEE International Conference on Image Processing volume: 2, 1999, pp.705-709.
- 24. Albovik,"Handbook of Image and Video Processing", Academic Press, 2000.
- 25. M.Woodhall, C.Linquist, "New Edge Detection Algorithms Based on Adaptive Estimation Filters", Conference Record of the 31st Asilomar IEEE Conference on Signals Systems & Computers, volume: 2, 1997, pp. 1695-1699.