

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

Composite Column- Importance and Design Methodology as per Indian Code

Mahesh N. Patil

Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, R. C. Patel Institute of Technology, Shirpur, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT: Composite steel-concrete construction uses steel and concrete together to obtain a system with better performance Composite steel-concrete columns are generally described as being superior to traditional I-shaped, tube steel columns because the concrete can carry the compression and the steel carries the tension. A steel-concrete filled tubular section of hot-rolled steel and is generally used as a load-bearing member in a composite framed structure. This paper evaluates the advantages and design methodology of composite column which have been proposed/used in India in terms of performance. The object of this paper is to introduce steel-concrete composite members and construction; to explain the composite action of the two different materials and to show how the structural members are used, particularly in building construction.

KEYWORDS: Composite, Column, Design Methodologies, Load-Bearing Member, Compression Member

I. INTRODUCTION

A steel concrete composite column is conventionally a compression member in which the steel element is a structural steel section. A steel-concrete composite structural member contains both structural steel and concrete elements which work together. A steel-concrete composite column is a compression member, comprising either a concrete encased hot-rolled steel section or a concrete filled tubular section of hot-rolled steel and is generally used as a load-bearing member in a framed structure. Note that there is no requirement to provide additional reinforcing steel for composite concrete filled tubular sections, except for requirements of fire resistance where appropriate. Here, the steel element contributes tensile capacity, provides confinement to concrete elements, and reduces concrete shrinkage while concrete element prevents steel from premature local buckling and fatigue.

This paper illustrates design of steel-concrete composite columns subjected to axial load as per IS 456:2000. The object of this paper is to introduce steel-concrete composite members and construction; to explain the composite action of the two different materials and to show how the structural members are used, particularly in building construction.

II. INPUT DATA FOR DESIGN EXAMPLE

Ultimate load $(P_u) = 76666 \text{ kN},$

Working load (P) = 76666/1.5 = 51111 kN.

R. C. C. Column Size = 1500 mm X 1500 mm

Grade of Concrete= M 60

Grade of reinforcing steel = Fe 500

Grade of structural steel = 340 N/mm^2



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

III. CLAUSE FOR DESIGN OF COMPOSITE COLUMN AS PER IS 456:2000 (CLAUSE NO. B-3.4)

The allowable axial load P on a composite column consisting of structural steel or cast-iron column thoroughly encased in concrete reinforced with both longitudinal and spiral reinforcement, shall not exceed that given by the following formula.

$$P = \sigma_{cc}A_c + \sigma_{sc}A_{sc} + \sigma_{mc}A_m$$

Where,

 σ_{cc} = Permissible stress in concrete in direct compression,

 A_c = Net area of concrete section; which is equal to the gross area of the concrete section- A_{sc} - A_m ,

 σ_{sc} = Permissible compressive stress for column bars

Asc = Cross-sectional area of longitudinal bar reinforcement,

 σ_{mc} = Allowable unit stress in metal core, not to exceed 125 N/mm2 for a steel core, or 70 N/mm² for a cast iron core A_m = the cross-sectional area of the steel or cast iron core.

IV. DESIGN OF COMPOSITE COLUMN AS PER IS 456:2000 (CLAUSE NO. B-3.4)

The total axial load on the column is to be distributed in three components

- 1. Load taken by concrete column ($\sigma_{cc.}A_c$)
- 2. Load taken by reinforcing steel ($\sigma_{sc.}A_{sc}$)
- 3. Load taken by structural steel core.(σ_{mc} .A_m)

 σ_{cc} = 0.25 fck = 0.25 x 60 = 15 N/ mm2 (for M 60 Grade Concrete)

 $\sigma_{sc} = 190 \text{ N/mm2}$ (For Fe 500 grade steel)

 $\sigma_{mc} = 0.5 \text{ fy} = 0.5 \text{ x } 340 = 170 \text{ N/mm2}$

Assuming 2% reinforcing steel (Fe500)

 $A_{sc} = 0.02 \ x \ 15002 = 45000 \ mm2$

 $A_c = (1500 \text{ x } 1500) - A_{sc} - A_m = (1500 \text{ x } 1500) - 45000 - 85000 = 2120000 \text{ mm}^2$

Assuming 25% of the axial load is taken by structural steel core.

Therefore

 P_{ss} = Working Load x 25/100 = 51111 x 25/100 = 12777.75 kN

 $A_{mreq} = 12777.75 \text{ x } 103 / \sigma_{mc} = 12777.75 \text{ x } 103 / 170 = 75163.23 \text{ mm}^2$

Therefore,

Let us provide following section



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017



Area of fabricated section $A_m = 85000 \text{ mm}^2$

Let us check capacity of the section with metal core

 $P = \sigma_{cc}A_c + \sigma_{sc}A_{sc} + \sigma_{mc}A_m$

P = (15 x 2120000) + (190 x 45000) + (170 x 85000)

P = 54800000 N = 54,800 kN > P (51111 kN)

Hence OK.

V. DESIGN OF BASE PLATE FOR COMPOSITE COLUMN

Assuming 25% of the axial load is taken by structural steel core.

Area of fabricated section provided $Am = 85000 \text{ mm}^2$

Therefore actual load taken by metal core = $85000 \times 170 = 14450000 \text{ N} = 14450 \text{ kN}$

Allowable bearing pressure on concrete = 12 N/mm^2 (For M50 grade concrete)

Therefore area of slab base = $14450000 / 12 = 1204166.67 \text{ mm}^2$

Let us provide square base plate

Therefore side of base plate sqrt 1204166.67 = 1097 mm.

Let us provide base plate of size 1150 mm x 1150 mm

Under side pressure on base plate w = $14450000/1150^2 = 10.93 \text{ N/mm}^2$

Thickness of base plate

$$t = \sqrt{\frac{3w}{\sigma_{bc}} \left(a^2 - \frac{b^2}{4}\right)}$$

Where,

a = Greater projection = (1500-600)/2 = 275 mm

b = Shorter side projection = 275 mm



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

 σ_{mc} = Permissible bearing stress in slab base = 185 N/mm²

$$t = \sqrt{\frac{3x10.93}{185} \left(275^2 - \frac{275^2}{4}\right)}$$

t = 100.26 mm

Let us provide 110 mm thick plate.

Thus plate size = 1150 mm x 1150 mm x 110 mm

VI. DESIGN OF FABRICATION BOLTS

As there is no uplift on column and also no moment is shared by structural steel member Thus provide nominal bolts.

Provide 25 mm dia. 8 no. of bolts.



VII. CONCLUSION

From the above analyses and design of composite column as per IS 456:2000

Conclusions can be gained as follows:

When there is restriction imposed by architects on size of column, then composite column is the best suited. Steel option is better than R.C.C. if we consider time as a constraint but option of composite is best among all three options (RCC, Steel, and Composite) for high rise building



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 1, January 2017

REFERENCES

- [1] IS 456:2000, Indian Standard Plain and Reinforced Concrete-Code of Practice (Fourth Revision).
- [2] V. S. Sohoni, M. R. Shiyekar, "Concrete-Steel Composite Beams of a Framed Structure for Enhancement in Earthquake Resistance", International Journal of Civil Engineering And Technology (IJCIET), Volume 3, Issue 1, pp. 99-110, January- June (2012).
- [3] D. R. Panchal, P. M. Marathe, "Comparative Study of R.C.C., Steel and Composite (G+30 Storey) Building, International Conference 2 On Current Trends In Technology, 'Nuicone – 2011', Institute Of Technology, Nirma University, Ahmedabad – 382 481, 08-10 December, 2011
 [4] M. S. Kumavat, L. G. Kalurkar "Analysis and Design of Multistorey Building Using Composite Structure", International Journal of Structural
- [4] M. S. Kumavat, L. G. Kalurkar "Analysis and Design of Multistorey Building Using Composite Structure", International Journal of Structural and Civil Engineering Research (IJSCER), Vol. 3, No. 2, May 2014
 [5] A. T. V. G. Martin, C. G. Kalurkar "Composite Structure", International Journal of Structural and Civil Engineering Research (IJSCER), Vol. 3, No. 2, May 2014
- [5] A. Tedia, S. Maru, "Cost analysis and Design of Steel-Concrete Composite Structure and RCC Structure", IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE), e-ISSN: 2278-1684,p-ISSN: 2320-334X, Volume 11, Issue 1 Ver. II, PP 54-59, Jan. 2014.
- [6] B. Uy, "Modern Design, Construction and Maintenance of Composite Steel-Concrete Structure: Australian Experiences", Selected Key Note papers from MDCMS 1 1st International Conference on Modern Design, Construction and Maintenance of Structures - Hanoi, Vietnam, December 2007.
- [7] N.E. Shanmugam, B. Lakshmi, "State of the art report on steel-concrete composite columns", Elsevier Journal of Constructional Steel Research 57, 1041–1080, 2001.
- [8] S. Y. K. Al-Darzi, A. Chen, "Conceptual Design and Analysis of Steel-Concrete Composite Bridges: State of the Art", Technical article, Steel Structures 393-407, 6, 2006.
- [9] S. Koppad, S. V. Itti, "Comparative Study of RCC and Composite Multistoreyed Buildings,", International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT), Volume 3, Issue 5, November 2013.
- [10] R. Wong, "Construction of Super High Rise Composite Structures in Hong Kong", 2nd International Structural Engineering and Construction Conference at Rome, Italy, 23-26, September 2003

BIOGRAPHY



Mahesh N. Patil B. E. Civil M. Tech Structural Engineering (SVNIT, Surat) MISTE, Assistant Professor, RCPIT, Shirpur