

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

# Flexible Pavement Evaluation for Muttkur and Harshinakunte Road – A Case Study

Mala.S<sup>1</sup>, Krishnachandana.D.M<sup>1</sup>, Nikhil.S<sup>1</sup>, Tinku murtem<sup>1</sup>, Gowtham B<sup>2</sup>

U.G Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Sai Vidya Institute of Technology, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India<sup>1</sup>

Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Sai Vidya Institute of Technology, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT:** In a developing country like India, roads and road transportation play an important role in the economic growth of a country. Due to development of infrastructure many changes has to take place to the design standards of highways. Road administration in India is not having a systematic & scientific method, due to the lack of funds for maintenance of existing roads in desired standards. The flexible pavements consist of a surface layer construction of flexible materials (typically asphalt concrete) over granular base layers placed on the existing, natural soil. Generally these pavements deteriorate under increased traffic and adverse environmental conditions. In addition to the above, pavement deterioration can be attributed to materials properties and inadequate pavement thickness. These factors affect the performance of the pavement in a complex manner. Performance evaluation involves assessment of both functional and structural adequacy of pavement. But in the present study only function has been done. The study was conducted to evaluate and improve the road aspects of an existing selected road stretch of Muttkur and Harshinakunte road. The study includes traffic volume survey, pavement condition survey and road inventory. Using these survey data flexible pavement design has been done as per IRC guidelines. Results indicated that, muttkur road has a PCI of 35.53 hence according to PCI values both the roads requires rehabilitation.

KEYWORDS: Pavement, Evaluation, Potholes, Rutting, Cracks, Patches.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The roadways play a vital role in the economic development of any country. Rapid industrialization and urban growth have led to increased traffic and excessive usage of the roads. Pavements are complex structures involving many variables such as materials, construction methods, loads, environment, maintenance and economics. The problems relating to pavements are still complex due to dynamic nature of road pavements. The elements of road pavement deteriorate with time and to maintain them in good condition requires substantial expenditure. Due to heavy traffic wheel loads of commercial vehicles, all the components of the pavement structure get disturbed and deteriorate. The importance of preserving road network in good condition is widely recognized and therefore, performance evaluation of the existing roads is an essential aspect of road maintenance. Over the last two decades, traffic volume and percentage of heavy traffic have increased enormously demanding stronger and long lasting pavements. Flexible pavements with bituminous surfacing are widely used in India because of the easy in construction and also due to the fact that this technology is in practice for many years and contractors have gained enough experience.

From road user's point of view the road must be safe, comfortable and enable fast movement of the vehicle at reasonable vehicle operation cost and for this the functional and structural condition of the pavement should be in good condition. A strong pavement may not show functional and structural failure in the form of distress like cracks, ruts, potholes, patches, poor ride quality and deflection. But weak pavements will exhibit signs of failure in the form of wide cracks, ruts, poor ride quality and deflection. Because of this reason there is a need to evaluate the structural and functional deterioration of the flexible pavement periodically. Deterioration of pavement can be attributed to various factors like traffic, environment, materials properties and pavement thickness. Stability of pavement depends on subgrade properties which affect the mechanical characteristics of pavement. These factors affect the performance of the pavement in a complex manner. There are many studies and several recommendations are available for performance evaluation of flexible pavements based on Pavement condition index (Uday Kumar et. al., 2008),(N H Suma 1998), deflection (Rokade et.al., 2010) IRI (Ankit Gupta et.al., 2013) etc. They are developed for the local conditions and are



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

#### Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

mostly suitable for those regions. However, they are also used extensively for pavement evaluation in other areas. It is necessary to validate the applicability of that evaluation technique before they are used. In the present investigation, The Muttkur and Harshinakunte Road is consider for pavement evaluation. Both field and laboratory investigations are carried out to evaluate the pavement performance. The field study consists of road inventory, traffic study, pavement crust details, subgrade characteristics, pavement distress survey. The laboratory study consists of grain size analysis, Atterberg's limit, compaction and CBR test. The following paragraphs provides the outline of the report.

#### II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Oliver Lin et al. (2014) carry out a study on "Pavement condition modeling by using surface condition data-A vicroads study" in this investigation they concluded that the optimization algorithm developed considers the improvement of the pavement condition after treatment, traffic volume and importance of the network functions in the cost benefit analysis to make best use of the available limited budget". Vinay H N et al. (2014) ) carry out a study on "Rehabilitation of low volume flexible pavements by white topping" and they concluded that "Based on PCI, IRC and deflection values pavement rehabilitation measures are considered". Faraj Muftah M Akash et al. (2014) carry out a study on "The use of pavement condition index (PCI) as the consideration of rehabilitation priority" and they concluded that "Each segment of road was observed and measured to identify the type of damage existing and was assessed according to PCI method" and the repair was done based on the type of damage existing in this road.

#### III. OBJECTIVE

TO EVALUATE THE PERFORMANCE OF IN-SERVICE FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT OF A CHOSEN ROAD NETWORK BY A DETAILED PAVEMENT CONDITION ASSESSMENT STUDY CONSIDERING FUNCTIONAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.

#### IV. METHODOLOGY

- CARRY OUT ROAD INVENTORY SURVEY FOR THE MUTTKUR & HARSHINAKUNTE ROAD
- CARRY OUT TRAFFIC VOLUME SURVEYS FOR SELECTED ROAD AS PER IRC: 106-1990.
- DETERMINE OF SUBGRADE CHARACTERISTICS (GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS, LIQUID AND PLASTIC LIMIT, COMPACTION AND CBR) FOR CHOSEN ROAD.
- PAVEMENT EVALUATION BY ROAD SURFACE CONDITION SURVEY FOR RATING AS PER ASTM D6433 (POTHOLES, PATCHES, CRACKS AND RUT).



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

#### V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Table:1 Details of traffic data in PCU in both directions of MR & HR Road

|              | Truck             | Trucks ( PCU ) |     |                    | Buses ( PCU ) |       |                       |                        |               |                     |                 |                                 |                             |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-----|--------------------|---------------|-------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Road<br>name | Regular<br>Trucks | MAV            | LCV | Van/Tempo<br>(PCU) | MIN. Bues     | Buses | Cars/Jeeps<br>( PCU ) | Motor Cycle<br>( PCU ) | Auto<br>(PCU) | Tractors<br>( PCU ) | Cycles<br>(PCU) | Total of<br>number<br>vehicles` | Average<br>daily<br>Traffic |
|              | 75                | 5              | 61  | 142                | 141           | 132   | 716                   | 1718                   | 111           | 18                  | 14              | 3128                            |                             |
| MR<br>Road   | 196               | 0              | 13  | 84                 | 73            | 101   | 735                   | 1788                   | 81            | 13                  | 17              | 3099                            | 3194                        |
|              | 194               | 3              | 32  | 172                | 101           | 130   | 695                   | 1842                   | 131           | 27                  | 31              | 3356                            |                             |
|              | 165               | 7              | 21  | 50                 | 69            | 97    | 470                   | 3152                   | 280           | 18                  | 45              | 4371                            |                             |
| HR<br>Road   | 179               | 3              | 16  | 49                 | 84            | 66    | 332                   | 3541                   | 234           | 14                  | 37              | 4552                            | 4536                        |
|              | 179               | 3              | 23  | 56                 | 71            | 88    | 433                   | 3514                   | 276           | 5                   | 40              | 4684                            |                             |

| Table: 2 Road inventory details of MR & HR Road |                            |                        |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|   |                            | Remarks                |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| No.   | Description                | Muttkur road           | Harshinakunte<br>road |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  | Length of the road         | 1000m                  | 1000m                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.  | Width of the carriage way  | 5.5 m                  | 6 m                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.  | Type of pavement           | Bituminous             | Bituminous            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.  | Number of lanes            | Intermediate           | Intermediate          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.  | Divided / Undivided        | Undivided              | Undivided             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.  | Type of shoulder and width | Earthen shoulder on 2m | either side           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  | Median width               | Median not present     |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.  | Terrain                    | Plain                  |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.  | Drainage condition         | Poor                   |                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.   | Land use pattern           | Industrial / Resident  | ial                   |  |  |  |  |  |



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

### Table:3 Sub grade soil properties of Mutkkur road

|             | Properties of Subgrade soil |          |                      |                       |           |        |                               |         |                        |     |  |
|-------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------|-------------------------------|---------|------------------------|-----|--|
| PARTICILARS | Grain size distribution     |          |                      | Atterberg's<br>Limits |           |        | Compaction<br>characteristics |         | Soil<br>classification | CBR |  |
|             | Gravel<br>(%)               | Sand (%) | Silt and<br>Clay (%) | LL<br>(%)             | PL<br>(%) | PI (%) | MDD<br>gm\cc                  | OMC (%) | HRB<br>classification  | (%) |  |
| Sample 1    | 4.8                         | 45.2     | 50                   | 32                    | 17        | 13     | 2.02                          | 8.5     | A-6                    | 7   |  |
| Sample 2    | 3.8                         | 45.1     | 51.1                 | 29                    | 18        | 15     | 1.90                          | 8.2     | A-6                    | 7   |  |
| Sample 3    | 2.2                         | 45.5     | 52.3                 | 30                    | 15        | 14     | 2.02                          | 8.4     | A-6                    | 7   |  |

Table:4 Sub grade soil properties of Harshinakunte road

|             | Properties of Subgrade soil |          |                      |                       |           |        |                               |            |                        |            |  |
|-------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------|-------------------------------|------------|------------------------|------------|--|
| PARTICILARS | Grain size distribution     |          |                      | Atterberg's<br>Limits |           |        | Compaction<br>characteristics |            | Soil<br>classification | CBR<br>(%) |  |
|             | Gravel<br>(%)               | Sand (%) | Silt and<br>Clay (%) | LL<br>(%)             | PL<br>(%) | PI (%) | MDD<br>(%)                    | OMC<br>(%) | HRB<br>classification  |            |  |
| Sample 1    | 5.8                         | 43       | 51.2                 | 37                    | 20        | 17     | 2.02                          | 8.72       | A-6                    | 7          |  |
| Sample 2    | 2.4                         | 44.6     | 53                   | 38                    | 20        | 18     | 2.07                          | 8.5        | A-6                    | 7          |  |
| Sample 3    | 2.76                        | 41.64    | 55.6                 | 34                    | 18        | 16     | 2.07                          | 8.7        | A-6                    | 7          |  |



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

#### Table 5: Commercial vehicle count of Muttkur road

|           |                   |     |                  | Average total |                                  |                                   |  |  |
|-----------|-------------------|-----|------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Road name | Regular<br>trucks |     | LCV Minibus/temp |               | Govt<br>buses/<br>Other<br>buses | commercial<br>vehicles per<br>day | number of<br>commercial<br>vehicles per<br>day |  |
| Day 1     | 278               | 88  | 60               | 283           | 132                              | 841                               |  |  |
| Day 2     | 250               | 100 | 75               | 250           | 201                              | 1126                              | 1013   |  |
| Day 3     | 375               | 200 | 55               | 273           | 169                              | 1072                              |  |  |

#### Table 6: Commercial vehicle count of Harshinakunte road

|           |                   |     |     | Average       |                            |                                   |   |  |
|-----------|-------------------|-----|-----|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| Road name | Regular<br>trucks | MAV | LCV | Minibus/tempo | Govt buses/<br>Other buses | commercial<br>vehicles per<br>day | total number<br>of<br>commercial<br>vehicles per<br>day |  |
| Day 1     | 375               | 173 | 145 | 200           | 256                        | 1149                              |   |  |
| Day 2     | 295               | 150 | 230 | 250           | 205                        | 1130                              | 1210  |  |
| Day 3     | 317               | 195 | 289 | 275           | 240                        | 1316                              |   |  |

Average PCI index for Muttkur road according to Potholes, Patching, Rutting & Cracking as per ASTM-D6433 = 39.8

Average PCI index for Harshinakunte road according to Potholes, Patching, Rutting & Cracking as per ASTM-D6433 = 35.53



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

#### Table:7 Design Thickness details of Muttkur road

| Road name | Soaked<br>CBR (%) | Million Standard<br>Axles (msa) | Design thickness as per IRC:37 – 2012<br>(mm) |     |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|---|-----|--|--|--|--|
|           |                   |                                 | Bituminous Concrete (BC)                      | 40  |  |  |  |  |
|           |                   |                                 | Dense bituminous macadam (DBM)                | 90  |  |  |  |  |
| MR Road   | 7                 | 20                              | Base (wet mix macadam)                        | 250 |  |  |  |  |
|           |                   |                                 | Sub base (Granular sub base)                  | 230 |  |  |  |  |
|           |                   |                                 | TOTAL THICKNESS                               | 580 |  |  |  |  |

#### **Table:8 Design Thickness details of Harshinakunte road**

| Road name | Soaked<br>CBR (%) | Million Standard<br>Axles (msa) | Design thickness as per IRC:37 – 2012<br>(mm) |     |  |  |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|---|-----|--|--|
|           |                   |                                 | Bituminous Concrete (BC)                      | 40  |  |  |
| HR Road   | 7                 | 31                              | Dense bituminous macadam (DBM)                | 111 |  |  |
| HK KOau   | 7                 |                                 | Base (wet mix macadam)                        | 250 |  |  |
|           |                   |                                 | Sub base ( Granular sub base)                 | 230 |  |  |
|           |                   |                                 | TOTAL THICKNESS                               | 631 |  |  |

#### VI.CONCLUSIONS

- 1. According to the ASTM D6433 code book the PCI values for Muttkur and Harshinakuntae road obtained as 39.8 and 35.53.
- 2. For the obtained PCI values both roads requires rehabilitation as per ASTM D6433.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Ankit Gupta, Praveen Kumar and Rajat Rastogi (2011) "Pavement *Deterioration and Maintenance Model for Low Volume Roads*" International Journal of Pavement Research and Technilogy, ISSN 1997-1400 4(4):195-202.
- 2. B.B Reddy and A. Veeraragavan (1997) "Structural *Performance of Inservice Flexible Pavements*" Journal of Transportation Engineering.1997.123:156-167.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

#### Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

- 3. Faraj Muftah M Akash, Ary Setyawan and Mamok Suprapto (2014) "*The Use of Pavemnt Condition Index (PCI) as The Consideration of Rehabilitation Priority*" Jurnal Teknik Sipil, Vol 2.No.1 Maret 2014, ISSN:2339-0271.
- 4. Hamad I. Al Abdul Wahhab, Rezquallah H.Ramadhan, Ibrahim M. Asi and Jaweed Yazdani (2002) " Development of Pavement Management System for Municipality Roads, Saudi Arabia" Article Research Gate, January 2002.
- 5. J.M Sadeghi and M. Fathali (2007) "Deterioration Analysis of Flexible Pavements under Overwweight Vehicles" Journal of Transportation Engineering, ASCE, 2007.133:625-633.
- 6. Michael S. Mamlouk, Fellow, John P. Zaniewski and Wei He (2000) "Analysis and Design Optimization of Flexible Pavement" Journal of Transportation Engineering 2000. 126 : 161-167.
- 7. Minu P K, Sreeddevi B G and Roshina Babu (2014) " Development of Pavement Roughness Model and Maintenance Priority Index for Kerala State Highway 1" IJERT, ISSN: 2278-0181, Vol.3, Issue 11, Nov 2014
- Oliver Lin, Rayya Hassan, Amutha Thananjeyan (2014) "Pavement Condition Modelling by Using Surface Condition Data A Vicroads Study" 26<sup>th</sup> ARRB Conference- Research driving efficiency, Sydney, New South Wales 2014.
- 9. Rokade S, Agarwal P K and Shrivastava R (2010) " Study on Performance of Flexible Highway Pavements" IJATE, VOL.1, Issue 3, 2010/312-338.
- 10. Udaykumar, L., Vivian Robert, R. and Amarnath M.S., (2008) "Ranking technique for prioritization of arterial and sub arterial roads for maintenance management". J. of Indian Road Congress, pp.41-52.
- 11. Vandana Tare, H.S Goliya, Atul Bhatore and Kundan Meshram (2013) "*Pavement Deterioration Modeling for Low Volume Roads*" Journal of Indian Roads Congress, April-June 2013.
- 12. Vinay H N and Sunil S "Rehabilitation of Low Volume Flexible Pavements by White Topping A Case Study" IJRET, vol 3, Issue 6, may-2014 eISSn: 2319-1163