

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

Throughput Maximization using Spatial Reusability in Multi-Hop Wireless Networks

Abhilash S. Bhise¹, Dr. Sachin D. Babar²

M. E, Department of Computer, SIT, Lonavala, India¹ Professor, Department of Computer Engineering, SIT, Lonavala, India²

ABSTRACT: In multi-hop wireless networks it is very important to find best path from source node to destination node to achieve high end-to-end throughput. For delivering a single packet and to find path with less transmission count or time today's large number of routing protocols have been developed but such transmission count or time minimizing protocols cannot guaranteed to achieve maximum end-to-end throughput. For improve the end-to-end throughput in multi-hop wireless networks in this paper we consider the spatial reusability technique. We propose two algorithms first is for single path namely spatial reusability-aware single path routing (SASR) and second for any path routing namely spatial reusability any path routing (SAAR) and for finding shortest path we propose Euclidean Distance algorithm.

KEYWORDS: Routing, wireless network, Hop to Hop to communication.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is extremely important to select route carefully which can maximize the end-to-end throughput especially in multi-hop wireless network this is because there is limited capacity of wireless communication media are available. Recently there large number of routing protocols has been developed for multi-hop wireless networks. [4],[14],[15]. The problem with existing protocols is that it minimizing the overall number of transmissions and does not maximize the end-to-end throughput for deliver a single packet from source node to destination node.

In this paper we work on two routing algorithm including single-path routing and anypath routing. In singlepath routing protocols the task is to select path which is cost minimizing path with packets are delivered from source to destination node. Most of existing routing protocols like single path or anypath routing protocols working on linkquality aware routing metrics as link transmission count-based metrics (e.g., ETX[16] and EATX[17]) and link transmission time-based metrics (e.g., ETT[5] and EATT[8]). For minimizing the overall transmission count or time for delivering a packet they select any path.

This remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses the related works. Section 3 include system architecture section 4 presents the System analysis. Finally, we conclude the paper and discuss or future work.

II. RELATED WORK

1. A Multi-Radio Unification Protocol for IEEE 802.11Wireless Networks [1].

Algorithm:

- Striping Algorithm
- Round Robin Algorithm

Advantages:

Multiple radios are assigning a flow to a particular channel based on the load across all channels and to maintain this assignment for the duration of the flow.

Disadvantages:

The neighbouring nodes are not able to communicate with each other when that neighbours chosen by orthogonal to the channel and this chooses by wireless node.

2. Highly dynamic destination sequenced distance-vector routing (DSDV) for mobile computers [2].



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

Algorithm:

- Shortest-path algorithm
- Distributed Bellman-Ford (DBF) algorithm
- dist ante-vector routing algorithm

Advantage and Disadvantage:

The problems arising with large populations of mobile hosts, which can cause route updates to be received in an order delaying the best metrics until after poorer metric routes are received, we have separated the route tables into two distinct structures.

3. A performance comparison of multi-hop wireless ad hoc network routing protocols [3].

Algorithm:

• Temporally-Ordered Routing

Advantage

The DSDV over traditional distance vector protocols have advantages that it guarantees loop freedom. Disadvantage:

These missing pieces greatly simplify the problem faced by the routing protocol, capture effects, propagation delay, MAC-layer collisions, and the effects of congestion due to large packet sizes are unaccounted for. Furthermore, broadcast and unicast packets were delivered with the same probability, and, as noted in this is not a realistic assumption.

III. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE / SYSTEM OVERVIEW

1. System Model

For SAAR algorithm spatial reusability any path routing we have to define novel approach in spatial reusability aware routing scheme for participating node selection, cost calculation, and forwarding list determination.

With different data rates in network simulator we design SASR algorithm and SAAR algorithm. The evaluation results show that our algorithms works improvement to end-toend throughput compared with existing ones. Specifically, for single-path routing, a throughput gain up to 5.3 with a median of more than 60 percent is achieved in the case of single-flow, and an average gain of more than 20 percent is achieved with multiple flows; for any path routing, a median gain of 13.2 percent and the maximum gain up to 71.6 percent can be realized. Proposed work presents the results of a detailed packet level simulation comparing four multi-hop wirelesses ad hoc network routing protocols that cover a range.

2. System Architecture



Fig. 2. System Architecture



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

3. Spatial reusability-aware anypath routing

The single-path routing, which restricts the packets to be forwarded through apredetermined path from the source to the destination, anypath routing enables anyintermediate node who overhears the packet to participate in packet forwarding. Therefore, in the case of any path routing, our objective is to pick a set of participatingnodes Q (including the source), and the corresponding profile of distance/cost.

 $C = (Ci)i \in Q$ (1) and forwarder lists $F = (Fi)i \in Q$ to minimize the spatial reusability aware any path cost Csrc. Here, having a smaller Ci means that node i is closer to the destination.

Algorithm 1. SASR-MIN Algorithm

This algorithm used for finding minimal path. C=Path delivery time Q=Covered link M^* = Maximal non-interfering sets *I*=non-interfering sets P=Path 1. C←0 Initially cost mean delivery time is zero. 2. Q $\leftarrow \phi$ Covered Set initially empty 3. while $Q \neq P$ In this Step loop for Q is not equal to select path then it executed. Enter in loop. 4. foreach $I \in M^*$ do In above step for loop executed up to *I* is an element of set M^* . 5. *I*←*I* \ Q In that Store link in *I* when set that contains all those link of *I* that are not in Q. 6.**if** $I \neq \phi \&\&c(I) / |I| < \text{Threshold}$ If I is not equals to Empty and also we check value of cost of I up to threshold i.e. particular link. 7. $\propto \leftarrow c(I) / |I|$ Store the value of cost in \propto . 8. Temp $\leftarrow I$ And also non-interfering link are stored in Temp Variable. In that continuously execute the loop up covered all links are possible . 9. End //End if loop 10. End //End Foreach loop 11. C \leftarrow C+ c(Temp) Store total cost in C. 12. $I \leftarrow I \cup \{\text{Temp}\}$ Store the non-interfering link in *I*. 13. $Q \leftarrow Q \cup \{\text{Temp}\}$ Stored covered link in Q 14. End // End while loop 15. Return C and I Algorithm 2. SASR-FF Algorithm

This algorithm used for minimal cost of path selection from set of paths.

P=Path C=cost of path



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

I= non-interfering sets

1. Sort th	ne links in P by cost in non-increasing order L		
2. Initial	ly we give one variable		
	K ←0		
3. Cost c	of path initially zero and I is empty		
	$C \leftarrow 0; I \leftarrow \emptyset$		
4. Execu	te the for loop for all links are sorted in L and (i, j) are the values are particular node		
	for each $(i, j) \in L do$		
5.	<i>fused</i> \leftarrow FALSE;		
	In this step fused is false then go to for loop		
6.	for $l \leftarrow 1$ to k do		
	In this step variable l is equals to one up to k		
7.	reusable \leftarrow TRUE;		
	If route will be reusable then it assign to TRUE		
8.	foreach $(i'_{I}i') \in I_{I}$ do		
9.	if $[(i, j), (i', j')] \in E$ then		
10.	reusable ←FALSE;		
	If route is not reusable then assign to FALSE i.e. if node not sending more packet for different route .		
11.	break;		
12.	end // end if loop		
13.	end // end foreach loop		
14.	if reusable then		
	If reusable is TRUE		
	Then find max cost of path add to non-interfering set		
15.	$I_l \leftarrow I_l \cup \{(i, j)\}; c_l \leftarrow \max\{(i', j')\};$		
16.	<i>fused</i> \leftarrow TRUE;		
17.	end		
18.	end		
19.	if not fused then		
20.	$k \leftarrow k+1$		
21.	$I \leftarrow I \cup \{\{(i, j)\}\}; c_k \leftarrow t_{ij}$		
22.	end		
23. end			
24. for l ·	$\leftarrow 1$ to k do		
25.	$C \leftarrow C + c_l$		
26. end			
27. return C and I			

Algorithm 3. SAAR Algorithm

Input- G= (N, E)A network graph, a source node Src, a destination node Dst.Output- A set of participating nodes Q, and the corresponding profile of cost C and forwarder lists F.

foreachi ∈ N do

 i node belong to N

 C_i ← +∞; F_i ← Ø; Ω(*i*, *i*)← 1

 Initially C set infinity, and F set empty and probability matrix Ω set 1 for all nodes.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

3. end // end foreach loo	op			
4. C_{Dst} ← 0; q←Dst; Q ←	$- \{Dst\}; I \leftarrow \{\{Dst\}\};$			
q= last picked	min-cost node			
5. while q≠ Dstdo				
Q is not equals	to Dst then			
6. foreach (i, q) $\epsilon E \land i \notin Q$ do				
node I and q belongs to E And i is not belongs to Q				
7. $F_i \leftarrow I$	$F_i \cup \{q\}; \mathbf{\Omega}_i \leftarrow \Omega$			
Add last picked	d min-cost node into forwarder list F_i and probability matrix add node i.			
8. foreach j ϵ Q do				
j is belongs to Q				
9. $\Omega_i(i,j) \leftarrow 0$				
Then	Then set probability matrix set Zero.			
10. for each k $\epsilon F_i \wedge C_k < C_j$ do				
k is belongs to F_i and C_k is less than C_i				
11.	$\mathbf{\Omega}_{i}(i, j) \leftarrow \mathbf{\Omega}_{i}(i, j) + \omega_{ij} \times \mathbf{\Omega}_{i}(k, j);$			
	Add node link in probability matrix.			
	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			
12. end	//end foreach loop			
13. end //en	d foreach loop			
14. $(C_i, I_i) \leftarrow Calcul$	$ateCost (i, L\Omega_i, F)$:			
Calculate minin	1 und cost (y, y, y)			
15. end //end foreach loop				
16. $a \leftarrow argmin(C_i)$; ($D \leftarrow O \cup \{q\}$: //last picked min-cost node add cost			
and participating	g node set O into add q.			
	i∈N\O			
17. $\Omega \leftarrow \Omega_a; l \leftarrow l_a;$				
Set probability m	atrix into add last min-cost node and Interfering set also add a			
18 end //end while loc	in			
10. chu menu white loc	/P]•			
Output is participating	node and min-cost and forwarding list			
Output is participating	note and min cost and for warding list.			
Algorithm 4 Function C	alculateCost ()			
rigorium 1. runetion e				
Innut				
Input-	i- nodo			
	I non interfering este			
	I= non-interfering sets			
	Ω_{-} a profile of relay probabilities			
	F=a profile of forwarding sets			
Output-	The fused any path cost L_i from node <i>i</i> to the destination node, and updated non-			
	interfering sets I.			
	1. fused \leftarrow FALSE; $C_i \leftarrow 0$;			
	Initially fused node is false and Cost set also set zero.			
	2. Ioreach 1 ϵ 1 do			
	5. <i>reusable</i> ← TRUE			
	If node is reusable then Sst reusable node is true .			
	4. toreach $(J, F_i) \in I$ do			
	Node j and forwarder node belongs to interfering set			
	5. If $(1, F_i)$ interfere with (j, F_i) then			



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

- **6.** *reusable*← FALSE; **break**;
 - if nodes are not reusable then false.
 - end //end if loop
- 8. end //end foreach loop
- 9. if reusable then

7.

10.

 $I \leftarrow I \cup \{(i, F_i)\}; fused \leftarrow FALSE; break;$

Add into non-interfering set node i and forwarding list of node i.

- and fused set false.
- 11. end //end if loop
- 12. end // end for each loop
- 13. if not fused then
- **14.** $I \leftarrow I \cup \{\{(i, F_i)\}\};$

Add into non-interfering set node i and forwarding list of node i.

15. end// end if loop

16. foreach I ϵI do

- 17. $C_i \leftarrow C_i + c(I)$
- Add min-cost with non-interfering set.
- 18. end// end foreach loop
- **19. return** *C_i* and *I*.

Returncost of set and non-interfering set node

Algorithm 5. . Euclidean Distance Algorithm

distance= distance

p and **q** are Euclidean Vectors f $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2,..., p_n)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2,..., q_n)$ are two points in Euclidean *n*-space, then the distance (d) from **p** to **q**, or from **q** to **p** is given by the Pythagorean formula:

1. n=number of nodes 2. for i $\leftarrow 1$ to n do 3. for j $\leftarrow 1$ to n do 4. node[i]=[p, q]; 5. node[j]=[p, q]; 6. distance[i, j]= $\sqrt{((q1 - p1)^2) + ((q2 - p2)^2) + \dots + ((q_n - p_n)^2)}$ 7. end 8. end

9. return distance;

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We assess the performance of our SASR and SAAR algorithms in java. Considering that all the nodes use the same transmission rate, we can compare our algorithms with transmission count-based routing protocols and metrics. To be specific, we compared them with the ETX-based DSR [13] (announce by DSR-ETX) and the shortest any path first algorithm [13] based on MORE [12], respectively. Table 1 lists the parameters in our simulation. Specifically, to uniformly distribute 80 nodes in a 2,000 meter to 2,000 meter area, and considered two data rates of 802.11, including 11 and 54 Mbps.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

TABLE I SIMULATION PARAMETERS SETUP

Parameter	Value
Number of Nodes	10
Terrain Area	2,000m× 2,000m
RTS/CTS	OFF
Packet Size	1,500 Bytes
Traffic Generator	CBR
CBR Rate	5 Mbps / 20 Mbps
802.11 Data Rate	11 Mbps / 54 Mbps



Fig 4 Implementation of SASR and Sending Packet



Fig 5. Throughput and Overhead Charts for SASR



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017



Fig 6. Throughput and Overhead Charts for SAAR



Fig 7. Comparing Graph for SASR and SAAR Throughput

V. CONCLUSION

Spatial reusability aware routing can efficiently improve the source to destination communication with high end throughput in multi-hop wireless networks, by carefully considering spatial reusability of the wireless communication media. This is done by the protocols, SASR and SAAR, for spatial reusability-aware single-path routing and any path routing, respectively. To contribute more for better energy efficiency system implement opportunistic routing to reduce energy consumption. Resource allocation algorithm is inquired to maximize the energy efficiency (EE) in multiuser decode-and forward (DF) relay interference networks.

REFERENCES

[1] Atul Adya, Paramvir Bahl, Jitendra Padhye, Alec Wolman, Lidong Zhou, "A Multi-Radio Unification Protocol for IEEE 802.11Wireless Networks", in Proc. 1st Int. Conf. Broadband Netw, 2004, pp. 344354.

[2] C. E. Perkins and P. Bhagwat,"Highly dynamic destination sequenced distance-vector routing (DSDV) for mobile computers," in Proc. 4th Annu. ACM/IEEE Int. Conf. Mobile Comput. Netw., 1998, pp. 8597.

[3] J. Broch, D. A. Maltz, D. B. Johnson, Y.-C. Hu, J. G. Jetcheva, "A performance comparison of multi-hop wireless ad hoc network routing protocols", in Proc. 4th Annu. ACM/IEEE Int. Conf. Mobile Comput. Netw. 1998, pp. 8597.

[7] T.S. Kim, J. C. Hou, and H. Lim, "Improving spatial reuse through tuning transmits power, carrier sense threshold, and data rate in multihop wireless networks", in Proc. 12th Annu. Int. Conf. Mobile Comput. Netw., 2006, pp. 366377.

[8] R. P. Laufer, H. Dubois-Ferriere, and L. Kleinrock, "Multirate anypath routing in wireless mesh networks", in Proc. INFOCOM, 2009, pp. 3745.

^[4] S. Chachulski, M. Jennings, S. Katti, and D. Katabi, "Trading structure for randomness in wireless opportunistic routing", in Proc. SIGCOMM Conf. Appl., Technol., Archit. Protocols Comput. Commun., 2007, pp. 169180.

^[5] R. Draves, J. Padhye, and B. Zill, "Routing in multi-radio, multihop wireless mesh networks", in Proc. 10th Annu. Int. Conf. Mobile Comput. Netw., 2004, pp. 114128.

^[6] D. B. Johnson and D. A. Maltz, "Dynamic source routing in ad hoc wireless networks", in , Mobile Comput, vol. 353, 1996 pp. 153181.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 6, Issue 6, June 2017

[9] Y. Lin, B. Li, and B. Liang, "Codeor Opportunistic routing in wireless mesh networks with segmented network coding", in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Netw. Protocols, 2008, pp. 1322.

[10] J. Padhye, S. Agarwal, V. N. Padmanabhan, L. Qiu, A. Rao, and B. Zill, "Estimation of link interference in static multi-hop wireless networks", in Proc. Internet Meas. Conf., 2005, p. 28.

[11] Y. Liu, M. Dong, K. Ota and A. Liu, "ActiveTrust: Secure and Trustable Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks," in IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security, vol. 11, no. 9, Sept. 2016, pp. 2013-2027.

[12] S. Zhao, L. Fu, X. Wang, and Q. Zhang, Fundamental relationship between node density and delay in wireless ad hoc networks with unreliable links, in Proc. 17th Annu. Int. Conf. Mobile Comput. Netw., 2011, pp. 337348.

[13] X. Zhao, J. Guo, C. T. Chou, A. Misra, and S. Jha, A high-throughput routing metric for reliable multicast in multi-rate wireless mesh networks, in Proc. INFOCOM, 2011, pp. 20422050