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ABSTRACT: In Device-to-device communications two user equipments communicates directly without using the base station and hence, reduces the cellular traffic. D2D communication helps to improve energy efficiency, throughput and reduces the delay in cellular networks. This paper mainly focuses on energy-efficient device-to-device (D2D) communications in cellular networks. We aim to maximize the overall energy-efficiency (EE) of D2D users and regular cellular users (RCUs) considering the circuit power consumption and the quality-of-service (QoS) requirements for both types of users. This paper presents a flexible mode selection scheme using the proposed Branch and Bound algorithm which also helps in power control. The developed framework is used to analyze and understand how the underlying D2D communication affects the cellular network performance. Through comprehensive numerical analysis, we investigate the expected performance gains and provide guidelines for selecting the network parameters.
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1. INTRODUCTION

D2D communications in LTE-A cellular networks provides a great attention for increasing the cellular capacity, improving throughput, energy efficiency and increasing the battery lifetime of user equipments. D2D underlying a licensed cellular network, provides more service guarantee in a controlled environment, enables a user equipment unit (UE) to communicate with another nearby UE directly over a D2D link under the cellular network channel resources, without extra hops through the central base station (BS). D2D communication allows fast access to the radio spectrum with controlled interference levels and holds the promise of four types of gain: the proximity gain, the reuse gain, the hop gain, and the paring gain [6]. The typical D2D communication applications are peer-to-peer file sharing, local voice service, video streaming, and content-aware applications. Mode selection for spectrum sharing between D2D links and cellular UEs in a wireless network has been studied in [7] and [8]. Resource optimization in D2D systems using time–frequency hopping is presented in [9]. Power control in D2D communications to minimize the total downlink transmission power subject to the quality-of-service (QoS) demands of UEs is considered in [10]. To improve the scalability of D2D communications, distributed resource allocation methods for D2D communications are discussed in [1]. Interference management to improve the reliability of D2D communications are studied in [2], and some state- of-art interference management mechanisms for D2D networks are proposed in [3] and [4]. D2D communications under multiuser MIMO channels are investigated in [5].

A dynamic graph optimization framework for multi hop D2D communication underlying cellular networks is discussed in [6]. Some important tradeoffs related to energy efficiency are discussed in [9] and [10]. Energy-efficient link adaptation in frequency-selective channels is considered in [5]. Energy-efficiency optimization for multiple-input–multiple-output (MIMO) broadcast channels is presented in [2]. In this paper, we consider energy efficiency and spectral efficiency of D2D communications. D2D communication helps to directly route data traffic between closely located mobile user equipments. The D2D communication process between two different user equipments is illustrated as shown in Fig.1. The D2D communication process depends on the distance between the user equipments. The data
between a UE pair does not need to traverse through the eNB (abbreviation for evolved Node B or eNodeB) as long as they are in region of proximity. When the devices are not in the region of proximity, the D2D communication takes place through eNB. Since eNB is not involved in communication with UEs when they are in the region of proximity, energy efficiency can be increased.

Fig.1. Different UE communication modes

Because of short communication range between a D2D pair, D2D communication helps in improving energy efficiency, throughput, delay, spectrum efficiency etc. Energy efficiency is defined as information bits per unit of energy. It is an essential requirement for the design of future wireless communication in addition to spectral efficiency. The applications of D2D communication include content sharing, gaming, traffic offloading, disaster relief, etc.

The main contribution of this paper can be summarized as follows:

1. We propose a EE optimization model for D2D communications by mode selection, power allocation, and spectrum partitioning.
2. The proposed technique is implemented using Branch and Bound algorithm considering the most required parameters for communication and we show the improvement of the proposed technique comparing with the early attempts to solve the EE optimization problems using Dinkelbach method.
3. Through simulation, we have shown the effectiveness of the proposed optimal D2D mode switching algorithm. We compare both algorithms in terms of device to device distance and EE using computer simulations. Result shows that the proposed algorithm offers near optimal performance with less computational cost.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the system model and problem formulation is described. In Section III, the energy efficiency analysis is described to solve the EE optimization problems. Then, we present simulation results in Section IV. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section V.

II. SYSTEM MODEL AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

For the analysis of our model we considered the D2D uplink EE is based on a MAC (Multi Access Channel) system composed of one BS and 3 users: UE1, UE2 and UE3. Where UE1 and UE2 have a directional D2D communication where UE1 the transmitter and UE2 the receiver and where UE3 has an uplink with BS. The system has a total bandwidth W shared by all users. The power radiated from the D2D transmitter user equipments is noted pdc. Let M information sources S1, . . . , SM intending to broadcast independent messages IS1, . . . , ISM respectively to N destination terminals D1, . . . , DN. K idle terminals R1, . . . , RK in the vicinity can be chosen as relays, operating in
Considering that cellular base stations -munications scheme of Device to Device -g with each other over a resource block used by a cellular user. The -D users to the cellular system, a pair of neighboring terminals

The work of [6] authors define the EE metric often encountered in subsequent works, data successfully transmitted (bit) over energy consumed (Joule). In [10] authors studied enabling D2D communication and showed that D2D communication increase the total system throughput in case of an LTE-A. The work of [8] derives the tradeoff between EE and spectral efficiency (SE) in device-to-device communications underlying cellular networks with uplink channel reuse. A distributed energy-efficient scheme was developed, given SE requirement and maximum power constraints. In this article we propose an uplink Energy Efficient communications scheme of Device to Device underlying cellular network. We used a non-cooperative game formulation to deal with the EE. We prove the existence and the uniqueness of Nash Equilibrium of that power control game. We compared two power allocation algorithms dealing with EE of D2D network.

Each eNodeB coverage area is spread all over its cell. Consider C cells, where each cell is covered by eNodeBs around it. The total number of all eNodeBs in this model is E. There are different types of MTCs in each cell, say T types. The devices are categorized into types based on their traffic characteristics as MTCs could be carrying signal data, voice, video and web traffic, etc. There are N devices in each type T, i.e., T = (1, 2, 3,..., N). We consider the case of two eNodeBs serving three areas. Area1 is served by eNodeB 1 only and area 2 is served by eNodeB 2 only. The overlapping area 3 is the common coverage area between area 1 and area 2 and can communicate with either eNodeB 1 or 2. In particular, if multiple concentrators are available, devices choose to communicate with only one eNodeB at a time. The particular channel realizations are transparent to the algorithms to be developed in the sequel, and the considered model is general enough to apply to many instances of communication systems in which a primary and a secondary link interfere with one another [9]. In D2D cellular communications, a pair of neighboring terminals bypasses the base station directly communicating with each other over a resource block used by a cellular user. The primary link is identified with the link between the cellular user and the base station, while the secondary link is represented by the D2D pair. The cellular user suffers no interference from other cellular users, since it uses a dedicated resource block, which is the case in present 4G systems (e.g. LTE-A networks).

In the seminal work of [6] authors define the EE metric often encountered in subsequent works, data successfully transmitted (bit) over energy consumed (Joule). In [10] authors studied enabling D2D communication and showed that D2D communication increase the total system throughput in case of an LTE-A. The work of [8] derives the tradeoff between EE and spectral efficiency (SE) in device-to-device communications underlying cellular networks with uplink channel reuse. A distributed energy-efficient scheme was developed, given SE requirement and maximum power constraints. In this article we propose an uplink Energy Efficient communications scheme of Device to Device underlying cellular network. We used a non-cooperative game formulation to deal with the EE. We prove the existence and the uniqueness of Nash Equilibrium of that power control game. We compared two power allocation algorithms dealing with EE of D2D network.
III. ENERGY EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS

The achievable energy efficiency, denoted by $\xi_{EE}$, is defined as the average successful transmission data rate between the sources and the destinations, in bits per unit of bandwidth by using one joule energy. For D2D communication, the sum of the power of all bands should equal the total transmission power, which is determined by D2D terminals[4]. To calculate $\xi_{EE}$, we use $P_{out}$ to denote the system outage probability, i.e., the probability that the communication fails or at least one destination cannot successfully obtain all the source messages. Then the system achievable spectral efficiency $\eta_{SE}$ is defined as

$$\eta_{SE} = (1 - P_{out,k})(P + P_{dc}) + P_{out,k}P_{idle}$$

(1)

Where $R = MR + M + K$ is the average transmission rate of the $M$-source D2D network ($M + K$ is the number of time slots consumed to complete transmission) [4]. In addition, we denote the total power consumption of the system by $P_{total}$. Clearly, $P_{total}$ consists of two parts: the sources’ power consumption and relays’. The former can be expressed by $M(P + P_{dc})$, where $P$ is transmit power of each D2D device and $P_{dc}$ represents circuit power of the device. The total power consumption is calculated using the following equation.

$$P_{total} = M(P + P_{dc}) + \sum_{k=1}^{K}((1 - P_{out,k})(P + P_{c}) + P_{out,k}P_{idle})$$

(2)

If the user equipment terminals are not in the proximity of service i.e they are in need of cellular BS to communicate. Hence we use the following equation to find total power.

$$P_{total} = M(P + P_{c}) + \sum_{k=1}^{K}((1 - P_{out,k})(P + P_{c}) + P_{out,k}P_{idle})$$

(3)

Now the system energy efficiency can be expressed by [4]

$$\xi_{EE} = \frac{\eta_{SE}}{P_{total}}$$

(4)

Equations (11) and (12) state the target rate that should be kept on the network and the largest power consumption setup (all traffic is carried over power consuming cellular links) respectively. In order to reduce this consumption, we allow some of the cellular users to switch to D2D transmission mode (decrease $\lambda c$ and increase $\lambda d$) as shown in Algorithm 1. The output of this procedure is the combination ($\lambda c$, $\lambda d$) that attains the original network throughput at the target $P+S$.

The proposed BB algorithm can be essentially perceived to be an improved version of the exhaustive enumeration method, where the candidate solutions to the problem are enumerated systematically in order to find the optimal solution that maximize the objective function. Specifically, we can interpret the set of candidate solutions as a rooted tree, where the root and the branches represent the full set and subsets of the solution set, respectively

**Algorithm: The Branch and Bound Algorithm**

Input: $M$, $P$, $P_{dc}$, $P_{out,k}$, $P_{idle}$, $P_{total}$;
Output: $\xi_{EE}$;

1: Initialize the D2D distance between user equipments;
2: Calculate $P_{out,k}$;
3: while (the devices in the proximity of service)
4: Find $\eta_{SE}$ and $P_{total}$ using equation (2) and (3)
5: do
6: $\xi_{EE} = \frac{\eta_{SE}}{P_{total}}$
7: if (the devices are not in the proximity of service) then
8: Find $\eta_{SE}$, $P_{total}$ and $\xi_{EE}$ using equation (2), (4) and (5)
9: else
10: Update the previously obtained $\xi_{EE}$
11: end if
12: end while
13: return $\xi_{\text{EE}}$

All branches of the tree are explored and before enumerating the candidate solutions of a branch, we estimate the upper bound of the objective function in this branch. If the upper bound is not greater than the best function value found so far, then this branch is discarded, namely pruned from the search space. After all branches are explored, the solution that yields the maximum value is regarded as the final optimal solution. Obviously, whether a branch will be pruned or not is not predictable. If the optimal solution is acquired in the first branch, then all the unexplored branches will be pruned to reduce computational complexity. All the elements that are necessary to calculate the energy efficiency are attained.

### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEM AND CHANNEL PARAMETERS</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pathloss model for D2D users</td>
<td>$38.46 + 20 \log_{10}(d_d)$ dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathloss model from C to D2D receivers</td>
<td>$15.3 + 37.6 \log_{10}(d_c)$ dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between D2D users ($d_d$)</td>
<td>20 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between C and D2D receivers ($d_c$)</td>
<td>200 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit power ($P_c$)</td>
<td>100 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idle power ($P_{\text{Idle}}$)</td>
<td>100 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall penetration loss between C and D2D receivers</td>
<td>20 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Bandwidth ($B$)</td>
<td>15 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise power spectrum density ($N_0/B$)</td>
<td>-174 dBm/Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The parameters related to Energy efficiency and Spectral efficiency with their values are shown in Table 1. The initial distance between D2D user equipments is taken as 20m and increased to 200m. The circuit power consumption is 100mW and it increases as the distance between user equipments increases. The other parameters are taken as given in [3].

### IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

The average transmission data rate $\bar{R}$ is chosen to be 3 bits/Hz/s. By changing $P$, Fig. 2 displays $\xi_{\text{EE}}$ versus D2D distance between user equipments, when $\bar{R}= 3$ bits/Hz/s. Fig.2 (a) shows the variation of energy efficiency with D2D distance for the proposed technique. Fig.2 (b) shows the variation of energy efficiency with D2D distance for the iterative technique. It is seen that the proposed scheme achieves considerably larger energy efficiency than the iterative transmission scheme. For a small value of $P_{\text{out}}$ (e.g., $P_{\text{out}} \leq 10^{-2}$), direct transmission requires much larger transmit power $P$ to reach the outage probability target, compared with the network-coding cooperative scheme. Again, the performance gap becomes more significant when $N$ increases. In addition, it is interesting to see that when $P_{\text{out}}$ is large, direct transmission may outperform the proposed scheme. The performance of the proposed scheme is illustrated using simulation results. In this simulation, we put the distance between the D2D receiver and the D2D transmitter 20 meters, we change randomly the position of the cellular user $N$ times and we perform an average calculation for $N$.

We perform the simulation for execution time as a function of number of iteration of the cellular user $N$. Results in Figure (2) show that the proposed algorithm gives high energy efficiency. In this article we consider the power
dissipation as a constant, but in reality if terminal executes heavy calculations, this imply an increase of power dissipation also.

In this simulation we compare performances in terms of spectrum efficiency and EE of the proposed algorithm with the algorithm which uses an iterative method when the distance changes between the D2D receiver and transmitter. From the simulation result we can see that the energy efficiency is 950Mbits/joule when the UEs are at distance of 20m from the proposed technique and it is 700Mbits/joule from the iterative method. Hence there is a high increase in energy efficiency from the proposed technique.
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**Fig.2.** (a) Energy efficiency versus D2D distance (Proposed technique) (b) Energy efficiency versus D2D distance (Dinkelbach method)

For each D2D distance d, i.e 20m we performed an average calculation. Simulations in Fig.2(a) and (b), represents the EE variation in terms of distance show naturally that the nearest distance is the highest EE is. From these results we can observe that the energy efficiency is greatly increased using the proposed method than other method.

**V. CONCLUSION**

This work helps to obtain a high energy efficient D2D communication system for LTE-A network. The LabVIEW tool is used to find the solutions to the power allocation problems. Simulation results shows that the proposed algorithm (Branch and Bound algorithm) offers lower complexity than the iterative algorithm and gives near optimal results in terms of energy efficiency. We have presented an analytical method to calculate the achievable energy efficiency of the proposed scheme. Our results have shown that, the proposed scheme possesses the potential of significantly reducing the average energy consumption in delivering information. In this paper we assumed all the D2D channel coefficients to have the same variance. If practical environments with complex propagation characteristics are taken into consideration, the benefit of the network-coding based user cooperation strategy would be more notable.
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