

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 10, October 2017

Concept of Downsizing

Prasun Kumar¹

Department of Management, Galgotias University, Yamuna Expressway Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India¹

prasun.kumar@Galgotiasuniversity.edu.in

ABSTRACT: Downsizing is the persistent decline in the workforce of a corporation by removing unproductive employees or units. Downsizing is a normal corporate procedure typically related to economic downturns and market collapse. Jobs are the most rapid means of cutting prices, and a whole company, sector or division is often able to sell properties during market consolidation. It is not necessarily unintended to downsizing. It is also used at other stages of the business cycle to create leaner, more efficient businesses. Eliminating any part of an organizational structure that is not directly adding any value to the final product is a production and management philosophy known as lean enterprise. Downsizing can also be carried out to align the firm's skill and talent with the broader market.

KEYWORDS: Downsizing, Layoffs, Productivity, Unproductive workers,

I. INTRODUCTION

Downsizing workers has been an integral part of corporate life over the last few decades. Global economic demands and changing demand dynamics have forced businesses, including those affiliated with human capital, to evaluate their cost systems critically[1]. Once mostly limited to the United States, the loss in workers in many countries has become the norm over time. By the ongoing crisis the majors of the downsizing is exacerbated. There has been a large amount of work cuts. In the USA alone, the number of jobs estimated to be rising in the near future is over 6.5 million "downsized" since the recession started in December 2007. The United States in 2008 The Labor Statistics Office reported 21,137 "mass layoffs" with over two million worker cuts in the United States. Many economies have had their share of work losses, even nations that historically have shunned downsizing activities. In recent year the number of workers in countries like Japan, Hong Kong, South Korea and Taiwan has fallen considerably. Also China's economic juggernaut, with heavy layoffs in different industries (e.g. export-oriented corporations and labor intensive small enterprises in Chinese coastal zones), has been affected. In Europe as well, wider redundancies have become prevalent, and in many countries contribute to an increase in unemployment. For eg, between March 2008 and March 2009 in Ireland the unemployment rate has risen from 5.6% to 10.6%, while during the same time Spain saw its numbers increase from 9.5% to 17.4% [2]. In the last few months, companies in a vast variety of sectors have relied on significant workforce reductions. In reality it is legitimate to consider workforce decline as one of the most far-reaching and critical management challenges of the present era due to its scale and effect.

Given the high importance of the decline in employees and their consequences, it is not shocking that both the scholarly and common business news have been heavily affected by the decrease in employees. As well as the rise in scholarly studies, downsizing has also been extensively discussed by the media[3]. "Experts" have recently debated whether downsizing workers yield anticipated gains in the form of reduced wages and increased efficiency. While businesses often perceive reduction in size as a way to boost efficiency, the fact is that attempts to reduce

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 10, October 2017

scale often fail to meet desirable economic goals. While reductions in physical and human resources or capital may require reductions, our appraisal is focused on the reduction of workers, which involves reduced staffing in the business climate. More formally, we describe downsizing as follows after examining predefinitions: downsizing workers is a proposed group of organizational policies and procedures aimed at decreasing staff with a view to enhancing corporate efficiency[4]. Author see downsizing as a deliberate event that incorporates a variety of corporate strategies and initiatives to boost business efficiency by reducing personnel

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Downsizing:

In the last 25 years, a substantial number of studies on the decline of organizations has been performed on two key research subjects that researchers consider. What precipitates the downsizing of employees? And (2) what decreases behavior/behavior/organizational efficiency from the viewpoint of the individual? This segment focuses primarily on the impact of reduction on perceptions and actions of individual workers. First of all, author will discuss the downsizing policies used by businesses[5]. In the second section the predicted and possible economic effects are quickly outlined for small companies. Finally, observational research would be reviewed concerning the consequences on remaining workers (managers and non-managers) of the organizational decline[6].

2. Downsizing Strategies:

Three ways have been identified to minimize implementation strategies: staff cutbacks, corporate restructuring and structural approaches. The plan for slashing workforce focused on reducing the number of workers and employed strategies like lay-offs, retrenchments, early termination, natural turnover, freezing, procurement deals, transfers and outsourcing[7]. Secondly, job redesign approach focused on reducing the number of workers, rather than slashing the number. Tactics such as reduction of responsibilities, organizational ranks, offices or branches, restructuring of activities and consolidation units were included. Thirdly, the systemic approach centered on the workers' workplace culture, perceptions and beliefs. Previous reports have found that most companies have used methods to decrease staff, while layoffs are the most effective way to reduce their workers' size[8].

3. The expected and Actual Economic Consequences of Downsizing:

For a variety of factors, companies implemented decreases in production, efficiency and competitiveness of organizations, the main reason being. While efficiency concerned the ability of an organization to do something different, efficiency means the ability of an organization to do the right things to thrive. Among the anticipated effects of organizations, the positive implications in terms of cost efficiency considerations were downsized[9]. Organizations are listed as lowering labor costs by reducing the efficiency of workers. Low cost rates, higher investment yields, higher income and equity values included anticipated economic benefits. The companies were able to increase their shareholders' worth, even because of their economic gains. In addition, lower overheads, smoother connectivity, improved entrepreneurial activity and enhanced efficiency were other advantages as a result of reduction. Additionally, advantages for the least senior, the less management tier and the flatter hierarchical systems can be realized in the form of lower hourly wages.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 10, October 2017

4. Impacts of Downsizing on surviving employees:

This section will examine the impacts of organizational downsizing on two categories of employees, namely managers and non-managers.

4.1 Impacts on surviving managers:

A variety of experiments have been carried out to determine the consequences on administrators of downsizing. The following research discusses observational findings on the effects of workplace downsizing for remaining administrators[10]. In particular, three major aspects have been identified: lengthy working hours, improved working regimes, broader positions and obligations, and management's job. The managers' attitudes towards corporate downsizing will consequently also be discussed.

4.2 Longer Working Hours and Intensified Work Regimes:

In a research, middle managers with UK downsizing expertise were studied, and their positions and nature were affected by these experience. Two stages of data processing have been separated. Step 1 featured a total of 50 case-study organizations, with main administrators (senior management and HR) and middle management interviews. During Phase II, up to ten interviews with middle executives in ten companies from the initial cohort were extensively examined. The research included a diverse variety of organizations including energy, brewing, finance, municipal authorities, health care, the military, civil services departments, construction, infrastructure, music, retail and delivery services from public and private sectors. Interviewed administrators indicated longer working hours and expanded labor schemes. The amount of hours employed, even in work and at home, during the nights and on weekends increased considerably. Most bosses, however, pointed out that their preference and the desire to do 'jobs' instead of presenters and to risk lack of work was the result of this long working hour.

4.3 Wider roles and responsibilities:

There have been two sentences of data processing. In the first phase, a senior manager and, on average, a middle manager was interviewed by eight general case studies. The second stage of the study included interviews with 20 middle management in the four case trials, two or three general managers, the staff and trainers and the leaders of the labor union in the public sector, one tech corporation, one automobile company, a new public sector and an automotive spare company. The findings of the interviews found that medium-sized management roles were more common, had more duties and responsibilities.

III. CONCLUSION

In short, the corporation, in order to provide workers with a sense of openness, should provide employees with more detail on the application of reduction in their business. This helps the person to decide whether or not it wants to find another job to give him a sense of where he or she is. The organization should warn workers in advance of downsizing plans so employees can prepare for themselves mentally and financially, because it is impossible to pursue work elsewhere.

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Website: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 6, Issue 10, October 2017

REFERENCES

- [1] D. K. Datta, J. P. Guthrie, D. Basuil, and A. Pandey, "Causes and effects of employee downsizing: A review and synthesis," *Journal of Management*. 2010, doi: 10.1177/0149206309346735.
- [2] K. S. Cameron, "Strategies for successful organizational downsizing," *Hum. Resour. Manage.*, 1994, doi: 10.1002/hrm.3930330204.
- [3] M. F. R. Kets De Vries and K. Balazs, "The downside of downsizing," *Hum. Relations*, 1997, doi: 10.1177/001872679705000102.
- [4] I. J. Leitch and M. D. Bennett, "Genome downsizing in polyploid plants," in *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 2004, doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.2004.00349.x.
- [5] R. D. Nixon, M. A. Hitt, H. O. U. K. Lee, and E. Jeong, "Market reactions to announcements of corporate downsizing actions and implementation strategies," *Strateg. Manag. J.*, 2004, doi: 10.1002/smj.423.
- [6] W. F. Cascio, "Downsizing and its Downsizing and its," *Soc. Hum. Resour. Manag.*, 2009.
- [7] E. Bernard, L. A. O. Penna, and E. Araújo, "Downgrading, downsizing, degazettement, and reclassification of protected areas in Brazil," *Conserv. Biol.*, 2014, doi: 10.1111/cobi.12298.
- [8] P. K. Lozins Miller, "Downsizing," *J. Intraven. Nurs.*, 1998, doi: 10.4324/9780429327582-10.
- [9] M. Kivimäki, J. Vahtera, J. Pentti, and J. E. Ferrie, "Factors underlying the effect of organisational downsizing on health of employees: Longitudinal cohort study," *Br. Med. J.*, 2000, doi: 10.1136/bmj.320.7240.971.
- [10] W. F. Cascio and P. Wynn, "Managing a downsizing process," *Human Resource Management*. 2004, doi: 10.1002/hrm.20034.
- [11] Prachi Dewal, Gagandeep Singh Narula and Vishal Jain, "A Survey of Intrusion Detection Systems and Secure Routing Protocols in Wireless Sensor Networks", *International Journal For Research in Emerging Science and Technology*, Vol. 3, No. 1, January, 2016, page no. 16 - 20 having ISSN No. 2349-7610.
- [12] Prachi Dewal, Gagandeep Singh Narula and Vishal Jain, "Detection and Prevention of Black Hole Attacks in Cluster based Wireless Sensor Networks", 10th INDIACOM; INDIACOM-2016, 3rd 2016 International Conference on "Computing for Sustainable Global Development", 16th – 18th March, 2016 having ISBN No. 978-9-3805-4421-2, page no. 3399 to 3403.
- [13] Prachi Dewal, Gagandeep Singh Narula, Anupam Baliyan and Vishal Jain, "Security Attacks in Wireless Sensor Networks: A Survey", CSI-2015; 50th Golden Jubilee Annual Convention on "Digital Life", held on 02nd to 05th December, 2015 at New Delhi, published by the Springer under ICT Based Innovations, Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing having ISBN 978-981-10-6602-3.
- [14] Nisha Pandey, B. S. Chowdhary, Bhagwan Das, D. M. Akbar Husain, Vishal Jain, Tanesh Kumar, "Design of Data Processing Device on Low Power SPARTAN6 FPGA", *International Journal of Control and Automation (IJCA)*.
- [15] Sujeet Pandey, Puneet Tomar, Lubna Luxmi Dhirani, D. M. Akbar Hussain, Vishal Jain, Nisha Pandey, "Design of Energy Efficient Sinusoidal PWM Waveform Generator on FPGA", *International Journal of Signal Processing, Image Processing and Pattern Recognition (IJSIP)*, Vol. 10 No. 10, October, 2017, page no. 49-58 having ISSN No. 2005-4254.
- [16] P. Lavanya, R. Meena, R. Vijayalakshmi, Prof. M. Sowmiya, Prof. S. Balamurugan, "A Novel Object Oriented Perspective Design for Automated BookBank Management System", *International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering*, Vol.3, Issue 2, February 2015.