

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal) Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 7, Issue 8, August 2018

# Experimental Study on Light Weight Concrete Using Polystyrene

Dr.V.Rajesh Kumar<sup>\*</sup>Mrs. Anne Chandra J<sup>#</sup>, Mr. G.L. Abishek<sup>#</sup>, Mr.Bravin Ebanesh V<sup>#</sup>, Mr. Lin G<sup>#</sup>, Mr. Jein Jenish<sup>#</sup>.

\* Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Mar Ephraem College of Engineering and Technology, Marthandam,

Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>#</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Mar Ephraem College of Engineering and Technology,

Marthandam, Tamil Nadu, India

**ABSTRACT:** Expanded polystyrene waste in a granular form is used as lightweight aggregate to produce lightweight structural concrete. The polystyrene aggregate concrete was produced by partially replacing coarse aggregate in the reference (normal weight) concrete mixtures with equal volume of the crushed polystyrene granules. The coarse aggregate replacement level used was 40%. Basically, polystyrene is disposal material from packaging industry. However, after being processed in a special manner, polystyrene can be expanded and used as lightweight concrete making material. Therefore, the use of expanded polystyrene beads in concrete is not only beneficial for engineering studies but also provide solution for the environmental problem. Experimental results indicate that the polystyrene aggregates can be successfully used to produce a lightweight material. The results also indicate that the workability of polystyrene waste aggregates concrete is good, and the strength characteristics are comparable to those of the conventional concrete.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Concrete is an essential material used in construction, in which 65% of its volume is occupied by coarse aggregates. Hence coarse aggregate plays a vital role in concrete. Yearly tones of huge rocks are blasted to get coarse aggregate, which we commonly use in concrete. Since coarse aggregate is responsible for overall strength of concrete, the demand for the same will be large in India in the upcoming years. Depletion of good quality rocks, their accessibility and legal clearance make difficult to get them in abundance in near future.

The three key ingredients for concrete are cement, water and aggregates. Commonly used aggregates are river sand as fine aggregate and natural gravel as Coarse aggregate.

# **OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY:**

The aim of the present investigation is:

- To replace coarse aggregate with thermocol in concrete manufacturing
- Find out a suitable proportion of thermocol with coarse aggregate to obtain light weight concrete as well as attain maximum compressive strength.

# **II. METHODOLOGY**

Selection of materials, Testing properties, Mix design (IS 10262-1982), Casting specimens, Testing of specimens, Observing and analysing results, Comparison of results, Summary and Conclusion



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

# Vol. 7, Issue 8, August 2018

### **III. LITERATURE REVIEW**

**Properties of Concretes Produced With Waste Thermocol as Fine Aggregate,** Asian journal of civil engineering (bhrc) vol. 14, no. 3 (2013) - D. Tavakolia et al...usedthermocol wastage in concrete leads to removal of those materials from environment. Besides, decreasing the use of raw materials, using the wastage is considered positive economically. Using thermocol wastage in concrete, with regard to reducing the costs and keeping the environment clean along with wastage management, and ameliorating the strength of structures, is an effective measure in sustainable development.

**Engineering Properties of Lightweight Concrete Containing Crushed Expanded Polystyrene Waste** byBen Sabaa and Rasiah Sri Ravindrarajah, inMaterials Research Society, December 1-3, 1997, Boston, USA: In this project he suggests the compressive strength was found to be more sensitive to the density than the modulus of elasticity. Drying shrinkage and creep are significantly increased if the polystyrene aggregate content is significant. Partial replacement of normal weight coarse aggregate with polystyrene aggregate changed the concrete properties in the following ways: unit weight, compressive strength and modulus of elasticity were reduced depending on the level of replacement.

**Preliminary Study On Concrete With Polystyrene Coarse Aggregate** By Mustafa Al Bakri and G. Che Mohd Ruzaidi, School Of Environmental Engineering, Universiti Malaysia Perlis, P.O Box 77, d/a PejabatPosBesar, 01007 Kangar, Perlis. The properties of the aggregates were also compared. Experimental results indicate that the polystyrene aggregates can be successfully used to produce a lightweight material. The results also indicate that the workability of polystyrene waste aggregates concrete is good and the strength characteristics are comparable to those of the conventional concrete. From the result he conclude Polystyrene waste can be transformed into useful coarse aggregate and the properties of polystyrene waste coarse aggregate are within the range values for concrete-making aggregates.

### IV. MATERIALS FOR CONCRETE

Concrete is a composite material consisting of binder, which is typically cement, coarse and fine aggregates, which are usually stone, sand, water and **polystyrene**. These comprise the constituent materials of concrete. More concrete consumption leads to lack of concrete ingredients.

### POLYSTYRENE

Expanded polystyrene waste from the packaging industry, in crushed and graded form, can be used as aggregate in concrete mixtures. Depending upon the amount of expanded polystyrene (density of about 60 kg/m<sup>3</sup>) aggregate used, lightweight concrete with a wide range of densities from 1000 to 2000 kg/m<sup>3</sup> can be obtained for structural and non-structural applications.

# PROPERTIES OF POLYSTYRENE

| Formula :                       | (C8H8) n              |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Density :                       | 1.05g/cm <sup>3</sup> |
| Melting point:                  | $240^{\circ}C$        |
| Radiation resistance:           | good                  |
| Water absorption over 24 hours: | < 0.4                 |

#### ADVANTAGES OF POLYSTYRENE

Increasing compressive and flexural strength.Reduced permeability.It can be cast into molds with fine detail. It can be transparent. Can also be coloured. Very economical. Can be aerated (with  $CO_2$ ) to make excellent insulator. Can be foamed between card to make lightweight rigid panels. In its various forms it is usually easy to work. It is versatile - uses range from cutlery to explosives. Can be recycled - thermoplastic so can be remoulded indefinitely. It is very lightweight so we can easily carry and install anywhere. It is moisture resistant.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

# Vol. 7, Issue 8, August 2018

#### MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Specific Gravity Test for Cement<br/>Specific gravity of cement = 3.15Specific Gravity Test for AggregatesDetermination of specific gravity of fine aggregate<br/>Specific gravity of sand = 2.4Determination of specific gravity of coarse aggregate<br/>Specific gravity of Coarse aggregate = 2.72

# **Table:1 MIX PROPORTION**

| WATER  | CEMENT | FINE AGGREGATE | COARSE AGGREGATE |
|--------|--------|----------------|------------------|
| 191.61 | 383 kg | 546 kg         | 1187 kg          |
| 0.49   | 1      | 1.49           | 3.42             |

# EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE TEST ON FRESH CONCRETE

| Table:2 Slump cone test      |     |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| Description Slump value (mm) |     |  |  |  |
| Normal concrete              | 147 |  |  |  |
| Thermocoal 40%               | 134 |  |  |  |

### **Table:3 Flow Table Test**

| Description     | Flow value (mm) |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Normal concrete | 68              |
| Thermocoal 40%  | 51              |

### TEST FOR HARDENED CONCRETE Compressive Strength Normal Conventional Concrete:

| S.no | Load<br>(kN) | Size of cube             | Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | Average Compressive Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|------|--------------|--------------------------|---|--|
| 1.   | 320          | 0.15 x<br>0.15 x<br>0.15 | 14.22                                       |  |
| 2.   | 350          | 0.15 x<br>0.15 x<br>0.15 | 15.55                                       | 15.00  |
| 3.   | 320          | 0.15 x<br>0.15 x<br>0.15 | 14.22                                       |  |

### Table:4 Compressive Strength for cube at 3 days of curing



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

# Vol. 7, Issue 8, August 2018

#### Table:5 Compressive Strength for cube at 7 days of curing

| S.no | Load<br>(kN) | Size of cube          | Compressive Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | Average Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|
| 1.   | 480          | 0.15 x 0.15 x<br>0.15 | 21.33                                    |   |
| 2.   | 460          | 0.15 x 0.15 x<br>0.15 | 20.44                                    | 20.88   |
| 3.   | 470          | 0.15 x 0.15 x<br>0.15 | 20.88                                    |   |

#### Table:6 Compressive Strength for cube at 28 days of curing

| S.no | Load<br>(kN) | Size of cube       | Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | Average Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|------|--------------|--------------------|---|---|
| 1.   | 950          | 0.15 x 0.15 x 0.15 | 42.22                                       |   |
| 2.   | 700          | 0.15 x 0.15 x 0.15 | 31.11                                       | 36.29   |
| 3.   | 800          | 0.15 x 0.15 x 0.15 | 35.55                                       |   |

#### Table:7 Compressive Strength for cylinder at 3 days of curing

| S.no | Load<br>(kN) | Size of cylinder | Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | Average Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|------|--------------|------------------|---|---|
| 1.   | 170          | 0.15 x 0.3       | 1.2   |   |
| 2.   | 190          | 0.15 x 0.3       | 1.34  | 1.27  |
| 3.   | 180          | 0.15 x 0.3       | 1.27  |   |

#### Table:8 Compressive Strength for cylinder at 7 days of curing

| S.no | Load<br>(kN) | Size of cylinder | Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | Average Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|------|--------------|------------------|---|---|
| 1.   | 350          | 0.15 x 0.3       | 2.47  |   |
| 2.   | 355          | 0.15 x 0.3       | 2.51  | 2.53  |
| 3.   | 370          | 0.15 x 0.3       | 2.61  |   |

# Table:9 Compressive Strength for cylinder at 28 days of curing

| S.no | Load<br>(kN) | Size of cylinder | Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | Average Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|------|--------------|------------------|---|---|
| 1.   | 450          | 0.15 x 0.3       | 3.18  |   |
| 2.   | 460          | 0.15 x 0.3       | 3.25  | 3.24  |
| 3.   | 470          | 0.15 x 0.3       | 3.3   |   |



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

#### Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

### Vol. 7, Issue 8, August 2018

### PARTIALLY REPLACEMENT OF COARSE AGGREGATE BY POLYSTYRENE:

#### Table:10 Compressive Strength for cube at 3 days of curing

| S.no | Load<br>(kN) | Size of cube       | Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | Average Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|------|--------------|--------------------|---|---|
| 1.   | 80           | 0.15 x 0.15 x 0.15 | 3.55  |   |
| 2.   | 85           | 0.15 x 0.15 x 0.15 | 3.77  | 3.77  |
| 3.   | 90           | 0.15 x 0.15 x 0.15 | 4.00  |   |

#### Table:11 Compressive Strength for cube at 7 days of curing

| S.no | Load<br>(kN) | Size of cube       | Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | Average Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|------|--------------|--------------------|---|---|
| 1.   | 150          | 0.15 x 0.15 x 0.15 | 6.67  |   |
| 2.   | 160          | 0.15 x 0.15 x 0.15 | 7.11  | 7.03  |
| 3.   | 165          | 0.15 x 0.15 x 0.15 | 7.33  |   |

#### Table:12 Compressive Strength for cube at 28 days of curing

| S.no | Load<br>(kN) | Size of cube       | Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | Average Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|------|--------------|--------------------|---|---|
| 1.   | 500          | 0.15 x 0.15 x 0.15 | 22.22                                       |   |
| 2.   | 520          | 0.15 x 0.15 x 0.15 | 23.11                                       | 22.96   |
| 3.   | 530          | 0.15 x 0.15 x 0.15 | 23.55                                       |   |

#### Table:13 Compressive Strength for cylinder at 3 days of curing

| S.no | Load<br>(kN) | Size of cylinder | Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | Average Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|------|--------------|------------------|---|---|
| 1.   | 70           | 0.15 x 0.3       | 0.49  |   |
| 2.   | 90           | 0.15 x 0.3       | 0.63  | 0.59  |
| 3.   | 95           | 0.15 x 0.3       | 0.67  |   |

### Table:14 Compressive Strength for cylinder at 7 days of curing

| S.no | Load<br>(kN) | Size of cylinder | Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | Average Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|------|--------------|------------------|---|---|
| 1.   | 140          | 0.15 x 0.3       | 0.99  |   |
| 2.   | 145          | 0.15 x 0.3       | 1.02  | 0.98  |
| 3.   | 135          | 0.15 x 0.3       | 0.95  |   |

#### Table:15 Compressive Strength for cylinder at 28 days of curing

| S.no | Load<br>(kN) | Size of cylinder | Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) | Average Compressive<br>Strength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|------|--------------|------------------|---|---|
| 1.   | 200          | 0.15 x 0.3       | 1.41  |   |
| 2.   | 205          | 0.15 x 0.3       | 1.45  | 1.42  |
| 3.   | 200          | 0.15 x 0.3       | 1.41  |   |



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

# Vol. 7, Issue 8, August 2018

### COMPARISON

Comparison of Normal Concrete And Polystyrene Added Concrete

| Table:16 - For Cube: |                                |  |  |  |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| S.NO                 | WEIGHT OF NORMAL CONCRETE (kg) | WEIGHT OF POLYSTYRENE MIXED<br>CONCRETE (kg) |  |  |
| 1                    | 8.6                            | 6.3  |  |  |
| 2                    | 8.7                            | 5.8  |  |  |
| 3                    | 8.5                            | 5.9  |  |  |

| Table:17 - For Cylinder: |                               |   |  |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| S.NO                     | WEIGHT OF NORMAL CONCRETE(kg) | WEIGHT OF POLYSTYRENE MIXED<br>CONCRETE(kg) |  |
| 1                        | 13.2                          | 10.5  |  |
| 2                        | 13.0                          | 10.4  |  |
| 3                        | 13.2                          | 10.5  |  |

# Comparison chart of Normal conventional Concrete with Polystyrene added concrete for 3, 7 and 28 days: Fig:1 - For Cube:



### **Fig:2 - For Cylinder:**



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 7, Issue 8, August 2018



#### V. CONCLUSION

In the present Investigation, concrete with 40 percentage replacement of polystyrene was prepared. The grade of concrete prepared for the experimental study was  $M_{20}$ . The fresh and hardened properties of concrete were studied. The results show that the strength of light weight concrete is less comparable with normal conventional concrete but the weight of the concrete is less. This shows that the light weight concrete can be used for the partition wall and also for the compound walls.

#### REFERENCES

[1] Ali A.Sayadi, Juan V.Tapia, Thomas R.Neitzert, G. Charles Clifton "Effects of expanded polystyrene (EPS) particles on fire resistance, thermal conductivity and compressive strength of foamed concrete" Construction and Building Materials, Volume 112, 1 June 16, Pages 716-724

[2] Sri Ravindrarajah, R. and Tuck, A. J. 1993. Lightweight concrete with expanded polystyrene beads. Civil Engineering Monograph No. C.E. 93/1 M.E. University of Technology.Sydney.

[3] Eydzah, et al "A Review on Recycled Expanded Polystyrene Waste as Potential Thermal Reduction in Building Materials" 2011.

[4] Acierno, S., Carotenuto, C. and Pecce M. Compressive and Thermal Properties of Recycled EPS Foams – PolymerPlastic technology and Engineering. 2010, 49: 13-19

[5] Al- Homoud, M.S. Performance characteristic and practical applications of common building thermal insulation materials, Building and Environment. 2005, 40;353-366

[6] Cengel, Y.A. Introduction to thermodynamics and heat transfer, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1997

[7] Datta, S and David, J.L. Polymeric Compatibilizers :Used and benifits in Polymer Blends. Munich, Vienna and New York : Hanser/Gardner Publications, Inc., Cincinnati. 1996.

[8] Doroudiani, S. and Omidian, H. Environment, health and safety concerns of decorative mouldings made of expanded polystyrene in buildings – Building and Environment. 2010, 45: 647-654

[9] Diamant, R.M.E. Thermal and Acoustic Insulation. 1st ed. Butterworths Litho Preparation Department, Cambridge: Butterworths. 1986.

[10] Drain, K.F., Murphy, W.R. and Otterburn, M.S. Polymer Waste – Resource Recovery - Conservation & Recycling.1981, 4(4): 201-218

[11] Finnveden, G., Johansson, J., Lind, P. And Moberg, A. J.Cleaner Production. 2005, 13:213.

[12] Frost and Sullivan Web Site "Solid Waste Recycling – The Malaysian Perspective, Available at: http://www.frost.com/prod/servlet/market-insight-top.pag?docid=92830160, Accessed 22 April 2011

[13] Gentel, C.R. and Lacey, M.R. Design of a novel insulated construction material, Materials & Design. 1999,20; 311-315



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

#### Vol. 7, Issue 8, August 2018

[14] Maharana, T., Negi, Y.S., and Mohanty, B. Review Article: Recycling of Polystyrene, Polymeric- Plastics Technology and Engineering. 2007, 46;729-736

[15] Melo, C.K., Soares, M., Melo, P.A. and Pinto J.C. In Situ Incorporation of Recycled Polymer in Suspension Polymerizations – 10th International Symposium and Process Systems Engineering – PSE Rita Maria de Brito Alves, Claudio Augusto Oller do Nascimento and EvaristoChalbaudBiscaia Jr. (Editors), 2009.

[16] Morgan AB, Chu, L.L, Harris J.D. A Flammability Performance Comparison between Synthetic and Natural Clays in Polystyrene Nanocomposites. Fire and Materials. 2005, 29; 213

[17] Noguchi, T. and Kazuki.H.T. A new recycling system for expanded polystyrene using a natural solvent. A new recycling technique.Packaging Technology. 1998, 11: 39-44