

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal) Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 7, Issue 5, May 2018

Project Financing Management Analysis in Improving Cost Performance in Road and Bridge Infrastructure Projects

Manlian Ronald A. Simanjuntak¹, Chivalrist Fandim M²

Professor in Construction Management, Universitas Pelita Harapan, Jakarta, Indonesia¹

Department of Master of Civil Engineering, Universitas Pelita Harapan, Jakarta, Indonesia²

ABSTRACT: In a construction project, a cost plan is one of the most important resources for the project development guide. It should be essential for cost plan through a cost estimated schedule for each of the cost needed in terms of time of project implementation. This research focused only on road and bridge infrastructure projects in Aceh, North Sumatra, West Sumatra and Riau. Project cost management is an effort on construction for an efficient and effective construction project. Effective is the results of resources usage and activities by the goals roomates involve; quality, cost, time and others. Means a decent and efficient usage of resources and the application of operating methods. Thus, the cost of project management in a construction project is a topic that can not be neglected Because, without project management, the construction will be hard as a planned, over cost, time and quality. This research is to examine the influence of the cost of project management for improving cost performance. The Research method used is a qualitative method of data analysis using secondary. By this research, will give a positive guidance for contractors to create the best cost project. The impact of this research will give a real reference for the easing process of the road and bridge construction.

KEYWORDS: Management, Project Cost, Road, Bridge, Construction, Efficient

I. INTRODUCTION

On Presidential Regulation 122 in 2016, the government is managing a program of priority infrastructure that has a significant influence on the economy both in central and local levels. One of the public road infrastructures includes roads, highways, bridges and bridge tolls. In a construction project, the cost is crucial because it can be a measure the success of a project. Cost control of construction is one of the most important charges in the construction management. Project Management Institute (1996) explains the factors that affect the project cost management, is resource planning, cost estimating, cost budgeting and cost control. The factor will adjust to be a variable and get results of the project cost management influence for improving the cost performance of road and bridge infrastructure projects. Moreover, this research will be analyzing the project cost management in improving the cost performance of road and bridge infrastructure projects.

This research assessed as a reference for a thesis with the ultimate goal of generating recommendations of management methods used in cost management to improve the cost performance, where boundaries of research are road and bridge infrastructure. Boundaries of research areas are road and bridge infrastructure projects in Aceh, Riau, West Sumatra and North Sumatra. The performance of construction project is how to achieve the project work by comparing the results of real work to estimate working on the job contract that approved by the assignor and the assignee. In the project cost management, there are factors that influence it:

1. Resources Planning

Including a wide range of resource requirement plan (especially material, human resources, costs, etc.) based on work activity within a project. This process is related to the cost estimation process.

2. Cost Estimating that involve a quantitative calculation of the costs that occur to complete the project.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 7, Issue 5, May 2018

- 3. Cost Budgeting, its got from the cost baseline which to judge the project performance. In cost budgeting, which must be considered include:
 - Project scope statement
 - WBS and explanations
 - Estimated cost of activities and supporting details
 - The project schedule
 - Resource calendar
 - Contract: related to any product or result has been purchased and cost detailed
- 4. Cost control, its includes monitoring of cost perfomance, the appropriate changes are included in the revised baseline cost and informed to stakeholders that the change will influence in cost changes.

II. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The methodology used in this research using four factors: resource planning, cost estimating, cost budgeting and cost control. Data obtained through the results of questionnaires by respondents who work in construction of roads and bridges. The research question is:

- 1. How did portrait road and bridge infrastructure projects in the province of Aceh, Riau, West Sumatra and North Sumatra?
- 2. What are the factors and variables of project cost management?
- 3. How to measure the cost performance on road and bridge infrastructure projects?
- 4. How the results of influence analysis of the project cost management for improving the cost perfomance?
- 5. Which recommendations could be formed by this research?

III.ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Variables Used in This Research

In this research, 4 factors are gathered from several literature with each factor consist as the following variables

Factor	variables
	1. Condition of Access Locations
	2. Material changes
	3. Availability of human resources
	4. Skills and labor productivity
	5. HR work can coordinate well
	6. Good Skills of HR
	7. Lack of material
Diamaina	8. The scarcity of material
Planning	9. Climate
Resources	10. Conflict between Labor
	11. The workers' strike
	12. Uncertainty field conditions
	13. The security Disorders
	14. Traffic congestion
	15. The lack of labor discipline
	16. The lack of supervision in the
	field
Estimated	17. Planning project costs

Table 1 Factors and Variables Research



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 7, Issue 5, May 2018

costs	18. The project schedule
	19. Delay in delivery Tools
	20. Lack of Equipment
	21. Use of an advance contract
	22. Ability Equipment
	23. The method of implementation of
	the corresponding
	24.Estimator behaviour of estimating
	costs
	25.The increase of material prices on
	the market
	26. Design changes (Addendum) of
	new work items
	27. Addity Contractors
	planners
	29. The design data is incomplete
	30. Material damage during shipment
	31. Change order
	32. Damage to the tool during storage
	33. Quality (quality) work less well
	34. The method of execution is
	wrong
	35. Delay of troubleshooting
	36. Not available tools as needed
	37. The curve S
	38. Budget Basics
	39. Delay of work implementation
	40. Design changes
	the design owner
	42. Financial Failure owner
	43. Addition of equipment rental fees
	44. The high cost of maintenance
Budgeting	tools
Cost	45. The poor quality of material
	46. Productivity and efficiency of
	material
	47. Conditions unsuitable equipment
	48. The process of request and
	approval of working drawings by
	49 The lack of accuracy design
	requires design review
	50. The delay in the request for
	payment by contractors
Cost control	51. Changes in budget



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 7, Issue 5, May 2018

52. Addition costs
53. Waiting of testing results by
owner
54. Payment mechanism by the
owner
55. Late payments from the
contractor to workers
56. Late payments from the
contractor to the supplier
57. Communications Problems
58. Coordination problems
59. The number of real jobs
60. Changes in government
regulations
61. Government policy that led to the
cessation of the project
62. Complicating the matter of
licensing
63. The existence of demand changes
in work already completed
64. Implementation in the field does
not match SOP
65. Remoteness material disposal site
project
66. Scheduling is less good than the
owner (the time provided too fast)
67. The delay in making the report
and administrative jobs

In this research study will research using SPSS and qualitative method. Primary data in this study were obtained directly from the respondents by spreading the question or questionnaire with multiple choice type questions. The reasons for the use of questionnaires as a data collection tool in this study are as follows:

- 1. The interpretation of the subject to the question posed to respondents is consistent with the intent of researchers.
- 2. In answering the questions, respondents could more freely because it is not influenced by the relationship between the mental attitudes of respondents to the researchers.
- 3. The collected data can be easily analyzed. Each submission will be given the scale of values according to selection criteria answers using a Likert scale.

Analysis of the data is the data processing activities after the data are collected and ready to be served in the form of writing or as a research report. The method of data analysis used in this study are:

Quantitative analysis

Used to analyze the data obtained from the figures. Quantitative analysis process is carried out in the following stages:

- a. Editing,
- b. Scoring
- c. Tabulating



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 7, Issue 5, May 2018



Figure 1 Flow Statistics with SPSS

Respondents Data Collection

This stage is the stage of collecting data from the questionnaire that was answered by respondents who have been determined, then will diolah with SPSS. Results of filling the questionnaire generate as much data as 61 respondents working in the field of construction, such as state-owned enterprises and private contractors. Characteristics of respondents in this study can be seen in terms of:

- 1. Gender Respondents :Most respondents male sex as much as 98.36%, while the remaining 1.64% are female.
- 2. Education :Most respondents educated S1 (85.25%), while the remaining 9.84% of respondents educated S2, D3 educated as much as 3.28% and as much as 1.64% of the respondents had high school education ..
- 3. Years of workin: Most respondents have been working for 5-10 years (50.82%), while the remaining 24.59% have worked 11-20 years, as much as 13.11% working <5 years and as much as 11.48% of respondents worked> 20 year.
- 4. Position:Based on the results of questionnaires, as much as 36.07% working as an Engineer, 31.15% as Site Manager, 24.59% as Project Manager, and 8:20% as a Senior Manager.

Data Processing



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 7, Issue 5, May 2018

In analyzing the problem using qualitative descriptive analysis, so that there are four important factors in the study are Factors Resource Planning, Estimated Cost Factor, Factors Budget, Factors Cost Control. These are 4 factors consisting of 67 independent variables and one dependent variable analysis will be done in the form of The reliability analysis, correlation analysis, intercorrelation Analysis, Factor Analysis and Regression Analysis with SPSS version 25.

Correlation analysis

In this study the correlation analysis is used Product Moment Correlation analysis because the data used in this study is numerical. The independent variable has a value of correlation $r \ge 0.4$. The independent variables that passed briefly correlation can be seen in Table 2 below:

variable v	ariable Information			
		0.502		
X1 X2	Location Access Conditions	0.502		
X2	Material changes	0.562		
X3	The availability of human resources	0.626		
X4	Skills and labor productivity	.660		
X5	HR work can coordinate well	0.578		
X7	Lack of material	0,700		
X8	scarcity of material	.631		
X11	Workers strike	0,523		
X12	Uncertainty field conditions	0.617		
X13	security breach	.545		
X14	Traffic congestion	.572		
X15	The lack of labor discipline	.557		
X16	The lack of supervision in the field	0,629		
X17	Planning project costs	.603		
X18	The project schedule	.546		
x19	Delays in delivery of equipment	0.592		
X20	Equipment shortages	0.565		
X25	Material price increase in the market	0.547		
NOC	Design changes (Addendum) new	0.541		
X20	work items			
X27	ability Contractors	.542		
X28	Late information from planners	.609		
X29	Design data is incomplete	0.578		
x33	Quality (quality) work less well	0,625		
X34	Incorrect execution method	0,532		
X35	Delay troubleshooting	.596		
x36	Not available tools as needed	0,509		
X38	Budget Basics	0,548		
X39	Delays in work	.570		
X40	Design Changes	0.555		
37.4.1	Non-fulfillment of initial planning in	520		
X41	the design owner	.539		
X43	Extra equipment rental fees	0.511		
V 40	Inaccuracies design requires design	0.609		
A49	review	0.008		
X51	Changes of budget	.628		
X55	Late payments from the contractor to	0.524		

Table 2	Variable	Correlation	Analy	sis R	esults
I doite 2	v un nuore	Conclation	1 Milui y	010 11	courto



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 7, Issue 5, May 2018

	workers	
X57	communication problems	0.575
X58	coordination problems	0.505
X59	Total real job	0,548
X61	Government policies that cause the cessation of the project	.570
X62	Complicating the matter of licensing	0,509
X64	Implementation on the ground does not match SOP	0.573
X66	Poor scheduling of the owner (the time provided too fast)	.653

Based on the result of intercorrelation analysis (correlation values> 0.6) can be seen from the 41 independent variables analyzed, only the remaining 9 variables that pass the test of intercorrelation. The variables are X1, X2, X3, X11, X12, X18, X19, X51, X57 that will proceed to the next analysis.

Factor analysis test conducted to establish some set of independent variables selected from the results of correlation and intercorrelation. Criteria of data that can be analyzed is data indicating factors KMO> 0,5 and Bartlet's test of significant Sphericity at <0.05. The following is a table of 3 KMO-MSA results of the factor analysis:

Table 3	8 KMO	and	Bartlet	Factor	Analysis	Results
14010 0			Durner	1 40001	1 11111 1 010	10000100

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Sampling Adequacy	.854	
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	222.768	
df		36
	.000	

Based on Table 3 above, the value KMO results of the factor analysis was 0.854, KMO value gained more than 0.5, this means that the factor analysis can be performed. Significant value gained from Bartlett test is 0,000 and this value is less than 0.05, which means there is a significant relationship between independent variables both inside and outside factors.

Linear Regression Analysis

The method used in this regression is a stepwise method, in which all independent variables analyzed are filtered so as to get the independent variables are actually able to explain the dependent variable. With SPSS, the following are the results of the regression analysis using stepwise method:

Table 4 Regression Analy	ysis
--------------------------	------

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin- Watson
1	0,628a	0394	0384	0678	
2	0,757b	0573	0558	0574	
3	0,800c	0640	0621	0531	
4	0,820d	0672	0648	0512	2,220



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal) Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 7, Issue 5, May 2018

- a. Predictors: (Constant), X51
- b. Predictors: (Constant), X51, X3
- c. Predictors: (Constant), X51, X3, x19
- d. Predictors: (Constant), X51, X3, x19, X12
- e. Dependent Variable: Y

According to the table above, the amount of regression model formed is 4, the predictor variables, ie variables, which means that the variable X51 X51 (Budget Amendment) contributes to the performance fee on road and bridge infrastructure projects amounted to 39.4%, while the X3 for 17.9%, x19 X12 6.7% and 3.2%, which is obtained from each increment factor. Variable X51, X3, x19 and X12 is able to explain the change in Y (performance fees) amounted to 67.2% while the remaining 32,% explained outside of these variables, so that in subsequent analysis.

Regression equations

The regression equation can be formed from the table of coefficients obtained from a linear regression analysis regression models were established. Here is a table of coefficients are formed from the multiple regression analysis with SPSS:

Coefficientsa							
Model		Coefficien	ts unstandardized				
		В	Std. Error	t	Sig.		
1	(Constant)	1,296	0492	2,635	0011		
1	X51	0723	0117	6192	0000		
	(Constant)	0398	0455	0876	0385		
2	X51	0527	0107	4946	0000		
	X3	0431	0088	4926	0000		
	(Constant)	-0068	0444	-0154	0878		
2	X51	0452	0101	4,466	0000		
3	X3	0328	0087	3774	0000		
	x19	0302	0092	3273	0002		
	(Constant)	-0167	0430	-0387	0700		
	X51	0388	0101	3,821	0000		
4	X3	0235	0093	2526	0014		
	x19	0282	0089	3,165	0003		
	X12	0211	0091	2,324	0024		
a. Dep	endent Variable: Y	•	•		•		

Table 17 Table Regression Analysis

According to the table 17 above, the regression equation between the variables X51, X3, x19 and X12 with Y as follows:

Y = -0.167 + 0.388 + 0.235 X3 X51 + 0.282 + 0.211 x19 X12

by:

Y = Performance fees

X51 = Change of budget

X3 = Availability of human resources

x19 = Delay delivery tool



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal) Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 7, Issue 5, May 2018

X12 = Uncertainty field conditions

IV. CONCLUSION

Project finance management factors are factors that can be inferred resource planning, cost estimating factors, factors budget and cost control factor. To improve the cost performance on road and bridge infrastructure projects that must be considered is the change in the budget (X51), the availability of human resources (X3), delays in the delivery charge (x19) and uncertainty in the field (X12).Recommendations that can be used to increase the cost performance on infrastructure projects of roads and bridges that can be deduced from the four variables are planning budget to include unexpected expenses into the budget, the implementation of the work in the field must also attach to detail the amount of human resources required, minimizing delivery delay problem by hiring tool tool long ago before the time of execution in the field, and the contractor must be able to think about how to uncertainty in the field can be controlled though a bit but have a major impact on the progress of work culminate in the performance of the project cost. This research is very necessary to continue with the approach of other studies such as: Research using the perception of the other party in road and bridge infrastructure projects, in relation to the performance fee, Objects infrastructure projects other than roads and bridges

REFERENCES

- [1]. "Construction Planning and Schedulling."The Associated General Contractors of America
- [2]. Pilcher : Principles of Construction Management."Mc Graw Hill
- [3]. Husen,A,...And Mochta, K. "Earned Valued Method for Evaluating of Project Schedule and Cost Overrun", International Seminar of Quality in Research2011, Denpasar Bali, University ofIndonesia, 2011
- [4]. Chris Hendrikson and TUng Au; Project Management for Construction; Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1989.
- [5]. Riyaadl, et al. Analisis Eskalasi Biaya (Penyesuaian Harga) pada Kontrak Multi Years (Studi Kasus: Proyek Pembangunan Jembatan Kelinjau II Kabupaten Kutai Timur Kalimantan Timur). 2017. Jurnal Teknik Sipil Fakultas Teknik Universitas Brawijaya
- [6]. Simanjuntak, Ebeneser dan Syahrizal. Analisa Waktu dan Biaya Optimum pada Proyek Konstruksi Jembatan. 2015. Jurnal Departemen Teknik Sipil Universitas Sumatera Utara
- [7]. Fachrurrazi, et al. Rencana Anggaran Biaya dan Metode Pelaksanaan pada Proyek Pembangunan Jembatan Lamnyong Kota Banda Aceh. 2017. Jurnal Diploma 4 Perancangan Jalan dan Jembatan Politeknik Negeri Lhokseumawe
- [8]. Bakar, Abu. Estimasi Biaya dengan Menggunakan "Cost Significant Model" pada Pekerjaan Jembatan Rangka Baja di Proyek Pembangunan Jalan Lintas Selatan Provinsi Jawa Timur. 2014. Jurnal Teknik Sipil Untag Surabaya Vol. 7, No.1, hal 1-10
- [9]. Aryono, Liston Hari. Evaluasi Pengendalian Biaya dan Waktu menggunakan Metode CPM pada Proyek Jembatan Limpas Pengkol Kecamatan Karanggede Kabupaten Boyolali. 2014. Jurnal Teknik Sipil FT Universitas Muhamaddiyah Surakarta
- [10]. Handayani, et al. Analisis Pengendalian Biaya Proyek pada Kontraktor Sedang (Grade 4 dan 5) di Yogyakarta. 2016. Jurnal Teknik Sipil Universitas Sebelas Maret.
- [11]. Qojaroh dan Wahyono, Agus Hari. Analisis Faktor-Faktor yang Berpengaruh terhadap Tercapainya Target Waktu dan Biaya pada Proyek Jembatan Gedeg di Ruas Jalan Tol Kertosono-Mojokerto. 2017. Jurnal Info Manpro Volume 8.1
- [12]. Sjawal, Mansur. Analisis Risiko Biaya Pelaksanaan pada Proyek Konstruksi Jembatan di Provinsi Papua. 2012. Jurnal Teknik Sipil FTSP Universitas Sains dan Teknologi Jayapura
- [13]. Azhari, et al. Faktor-faktor Risiko yang Mempengaruhi Kinerja Kontraktor pada Pelaksanaan Proyek Infrastruktur di Kabupaten Aceh Jaya. 2014. Jurnal Teknik Sipil Pascasarjana Universitas Syiah Kuala Volume 3, No.1
- [14]. Project Management Institute, "Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK Guide) Fifth Edition", 2013