

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal) Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 8, Issue 3, March 2019

Reliability Estimation of Strength Properties in Black Cotton Soils Stabilized with Glass Powder

Babatunde O.A¹, Sani J.E², Sambo A.H

Lecturer, Department of Civil Engineering, Nigerian Defence Academy, Kaduna State, Nigeria^{1&2}.

ABSTRACT:In this paper, laboratory results for compacted Black Cotton Soil partially replaced with glass powder were used to obtain reliability estimation of characteristic strength. Data obtained from Unconfined Compressive Strength and California Bearing Ratio results together with preliminary test were used to produce a predictive model. The reliability index values were obtained using a First Order Reliability Method (FORM) via a FORTRAN program. All variable factors such as liquid limit, optimum moisture content, glass powder, maximum dry density, produced safety indices less than 1 at Coefficient of Variation (COV) ranging from 0 -100 per cent. The result of the correlation shows that only glass powder shows a strong correlation with the Unconfined Compressive Strength with correlation coefficient value of 79% while the California Bearing Ratio shows a strong negative correlation with the maximum dry density with correlation coefficient value of -79%. The result of the reliability index shows for Unconfined Compressive Strength as the Coefficient of Variation increases safety index increases while for California Bearing Ratio, safety index decreases with increase in Coefficient of Variation.

KEYWORDS:Reliability analysis, Black cotton soil, Glass powder, California Bearing Ratio, Unconfined Compressive Stress.

I. INTRODUCTION

Reliability estimation carried out on a given soil sample ensures that the probability of the soil sample will perform its specified mission satisfactorily for the specified time when used according to the specified condition (Hamidu*et al.*, (2015); Sani *et al.*, (2017)).

The First Order Reliability Method (FORM) is one the prominent methods used in determining the reliability evaluations in structural and geotechnical reliability theories. The use of FORM method is widely utilized in engineering programming (for code calibrations) and in practice. This enable engineers to reduce the uncertainties associated with the design and construction of geotechnical structures. A good reliability studyincreases safety and cost of carrying out projects associated with the soil.

This paper focuses on the determining the best condition in which Black Cotton Soil (BCD)stabilized with glass powder will meet up to the energy level of British Standard Light (BSL).

II. RELATED WORK

Waste glass has been used as stabilizer forblack cotton soils or as partial replacements for engineering materials such as concrete cubes as seen in concrete tiles as seen inAyeni P, Babatunde O.A and Dahunsi B.O (2019). Subash et al (2016) went further to stabilize black cotton soil with glass and plastic granules. The need employ reliability to these results obtained becomes important, as they provide a safe working design and construction cateria when working with glass as a stabilizer or as a partial replacement product in the filed or while coding design software packages as required.

The reliability theory can be applied to cater for uncertainties in engineering designs. Also, the theory can be used to predict the effects of alterations these conditions can cause on its performance. This view is in line with researchers like Yisa and Sani (2014), Sani *et al.*, (2014), Yohanna*et al.*, (2019), Sani *et al.*, (2018).



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 8, Issue 3, March 2019

The swelling and shrinking characteristics of black cotton soil makes it known as an expansive soil. They are majorly sited in Northern Nigeria (Ola, 1983), however, India is home to the largest deposit of black cotton soilglobally. According Ingles and Metcalf (1972) gave requirement of $687 - 1373 \text{ kN/m}^2$ for sub base materials. This is a heavy clay soil, varying from clay to loam with clay contents of 40 to 50% (Mishra, 2013).

III. METHODOLOGY AND MATERIALS

The soil used in this study is dark grey in Colour and is known as black cotton soil, it was obtained along Gombe—Biu road in YamatuDeba local government Area of Gombe state using the method of disturbed sampling. The location lies along (latitude 10degrees 19'N and longitude11degrees 30'E).

Reliability Analysis: The various parameters that are associated with strength were measured while carrying out the experiments in the laboratory. These measured parameters include Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS), California Bearing Ratio (CBR), glass powder, Maximum Dry Density (MDD), Liquid Limit (LL), Optimum Moisture Content (OMC). According to Eberemu (2008), Stephen (2010) and Nwaiwu*et al.*, (2009), the MDD, Strength, and water content are normally assumed to have a lognormal distribution, while glass powder will have a normal distribution. Thus, a regression model for predicting laboratory UCS and CBR results was produced.

The regression equations for both UCS and CBR are given in equations 1 and 2 respectively. Microsoft Excel 2016 was used as the tool for carrying out the required statistical analysis.

UCS = 1702.03 - 0.51GLASS + 491.69MDD - 5.22OMC - 28.92LL ... eqn1

CBR = 96.23 - 0.19GLASS - 20.48MDD - 0.58OMC - 0.65LL ... eqn2

In order to generate a field based predictive model for UCS, the essential statistical properties for BCS partially replaced with glass powder were incorporated into FORM 5, a FORTRAN programmer used to predict and evaluate the reliability levels of UCS. Table 1 shows the data used for the reliability analysis for Unconfined Compressive Strength.

S/N	Variables	Distribution Type	Mean E(x)	Standard	Coefficient of
				Deviation S(x)	Variation COV
					(%)
1	Unconfined compressive	Lognormal	1.108E2	3.885E1	35.06
	strength				
2	Glass Powder	Normal	4E0	3.16E0	79.00
3	Optimum Water Content	Lognormal	1.996E1	1.494E0	3.27
4	Maximum Dry Density	Lognormal	1.532E0	4.604E-2	7.52
5	Liquid Limit	Normal	7.74E1	1.129E0	16.48

Table 1: Unconfined Compressive Strength data used for FORM5 reliability analysis

Furthermore, to generate a field based predictive model for CBR, the essential statistical properties for BCS partially replaced with glass powder were also incorporated into FORM 5, which was used to predict and evaluate the reliability levels of CBR. Table 2shows the data used for the reliability analysis for California Bearing Ratio.

Table	2: 0	Californ	ia Be	aring	Ratio	data	used	for	FORM	5 relia	bility	analysis

S/N	Variables	Distribution Type	Mean E(x)	Standard	Coefficient of
				Deviation S(x)	Variation COV
					(%)
1	California Bearing Ratio	Lognormal	1.96E0	1.141E0	58.16
2	Glass Powder	Normal	4E0	3.16E0	79.00
3	Optimum Water Content	Lognormal	1.996E1	1.494E0	3.27
4	Maximum Dry Density	Lognormal	1.532E0	4.604E-2	7.52
5	Liquid Limit	Normal	7.74E1	1.129E0	16.48



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 8, Issue 3, March 2019

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Degree of Correlation on Glass Powder: The result produced avery strong correlation indicated that glass powder had a significant influence on the unconfined compressive strength of the stabilized black cotton soil. Fig. 1 shows A strong correlation value of 79% percent which is gotten by finding the square root of coefficient of determination R² shown in the graph.Therefore,positive relationship exists between the glass powder and the UCS values, while weak relationship exists between the glass powder and the CBR values. Fig. 2 shows a correlation value of 6.93%, this indicates a weak positive relationship exist. The trend line equations for both UCS and CBR graphs is in conformation with this view.



Fig. 1: Correlation of Glass powder on Unconfined Compressive Strength

Fig.2: Correlation of Glass powder on California Bearing Ratio

Degree of Correlation on Liquid Limit: Fig. 3 shows that a strong negative relationshipexist between the liquid limit and UCS values. A correlation value of -0.74 was calculated for the influence of liquid limit on UCS values. However, Fig. 4 indicates a moderate negative relationship existsbetween the liquid limit and the CBR value of the glass stabilized black cotton soil. A correlation value of -0.578 was obtained.



Fig. 3: Correlation of liquid limit on UnconfinedFig. 4: Correlation of liquid limit on California Bearing
RatioCompressive StrengthRatio

Degree of Correlation on Maximum Dry Density: Fig. 5 shows a moderate positive correlation (R = 52 %) existing between the maximum dry density and the UCS values, while in terms of the CBR values, a strong negative correlation (R = -79 %) exists between the maximum dry density and CBR values of the stabilized black cotton soil, as illustrated in Fig. 6



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal) Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

visit. <u>www.girset.com</u>





Fig. 5: Correlation of Maximum Dry Density on Unconfined Compressive Strength Fig. 6: Correlation of Maximum Dry Density on California Bearing Ratio

Degree of Correlation on Optimum Moisture Content: Fig. 7 shows that a moderately negative relationship exist between the optimum moisture content and the UCS values. A correlation value of -0.52 was calculated for the influence of optimum moisture content on USC values. However, Fig. 8 indicates no relationship exist between the optimum moisture content and the CBR value of the glass stabilized black cotton soil. A correlation value of -0.03 was record.





Sensitivity of Black Cotton Soils stabilized with Glass powder: The sensitivity analysis for each of the independent variable that affects the strength of glass stabilized black cotton soil was performed by varying the assumed coefficient of variation (COV) ranging from 0-100% to obtain reliability indices. This β -value for the five independent variables that influences the strength includes the water content relative to optimum (WRO), maximum dry density, Liquid limit, Glass powder and plastic limit at compaction energy level of British standard light was obtained.

Effect of Unconfined Compressive Strength on Reliability Index: In Fig. 11, increase in safety indices were recorded with increase in the coefficient of variation. For various coefficient of variation used, a negative safety indices were produced. A significant increase in safety indices, from -12.6 to -2.29 were recorded for a corresponding 0 to 50% range of COV. However, minimal changes were recorded from 50 to 100 per cent variation of COV. This range of change in safety indicates that the variability of unconfined compressive strength does not affect the safety indices. Similar trend of increase in safety index with increase in COV for unconfined compressive strength is recorded by Sani *et al.*, ((2018)



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal) Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 8, Issue 3, March 2019



Fig. 11: Variation of reliability index with coefficient of variation for 7 days unconfined compressive strength.

Effect of Glass powder on Reliability Index of the 7 days unconfined compressive strength: Fig. 12 shows the effect of glass powder on the reliability index as the variation of coefficient of variation. A constant β -value of -11.3 was record as COV increases from 0 – 100%. The constant value of reliability index, indicates that the variability of the glass powder has no severe effect on the safety index.



Fig. 12: Variation of reliability index with coefficient of variation for Glass powder.

Effect of Liquid Limit on Reliability Index of the 7 days unconfined compressive strength: In Fig. 13, the effect of varying the COV on the reliability indices has been presented. The β -valuechanges with increase in the COV from 0 to 100 percent. The highest β -value was recorded at -1.16, for a corresponding 100 per cent coefficient of variation readings.



Fig. 13: Variation of reliability index with coefficient of variation for Liquid Limit

Effect of Maximum Dry Density on Reliability Index of the 7 days unconfined compressive strength: The effect of maximum dry density on the reliability index on the unconfined compressive strength shows that, increase in the COV produces a corresponding increase in the β -value (see Fig. 14). The β -value ranges from -11.6 to -5.03 for a



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 8, Issue 3, March 2019

variation of 0 to 100 COV. The highest β -value was recorded at -.503 for 100 percent COV value. Fig. 14 shows that, increase in the variability of maximum dry density do not produce a radical change in the safety index. Similar trend of increase in safety index with increase in COV for maximum dry density is recorded by Sani *et al.*, ((2018).



Fig. 14: Variation of reliability index with coefficient of variation for Maximum Dry Density.

Effect of Optimum water content on Reliability Index of the 7 days unconfined compressive strength: Fig. 15 indicates a constant β -value of -11.3, for 0 to 10% COV. This β -value is same with that of glass powder. An increase in the β -value occurs from 20 to 100 percent COV, with 100 percent COV having the highest β -value of -3.38. Similar trend of increase in safety index with increase in COV for maximum dry density is recorded by Sani *et al.*, ((2018)



Fig. 16 Variation of reliability index with coefficient of variation for optimum moisture content.

Effect of California Bearing Ratio on Reliability Index: Fig. 17 shows the effect of California Bearing Ratio on the reliability index as the variation of coefficient of variation. The graph shows an initial increase in the β -value from - 0.292 to -0.203, for 0-10 percent variation of COV. From 10 to 100 percent variation of COV, a general decrease in β -value was recorded. Additionally, an increase in the variability of CBR value produces a drastic change in the safety index. Similar trend of increase in safety index with increase in COV for maximum dry density is recorded by Sani *et al.*, ((2014)



Fig. 17 Variation of reliability index with coefficient of variation for California Bearing Ratio.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 8, Issue 3, March 2019

Effect of Glass powder on Reliability Index on California Bearing Ratio: Fig. 18 shows the effect of glass powder on the reliability index as the variation of coefficient of variation. A general increase in the β -value was observed for 0 to 100 per cent variation of COV. 100 per cent variability of COV produced the highest safety index of -0.27.



Fig. 18 Variation of reliability index with coefficient of variation for Glass powder.

Effect of Maximum Dry Density on Reliability Index on California Bearing Ratio: Fig. 19 shows the effect of California Bearing Ratio on the reliability index as the variation of coefficient of variation. The graph shows an initial increase in the β -value from -0.292 to -0.203, for 0-10 percent variation of COV. From 10 to 100 percent variation of COV, a general decrease in β -value was recorded. Additionally, an increase in the variability of CBR value produces a drastic change in the safety index.



Fig. 19: Variation of reliability index with coefficient of variation for maximum dry density.

Effect of Liquid Limit on Reliability Index on California Bearing Ratio: Fig. 20The graph shows a general increase in the β -value from -0.394 to -0.062, for 0-100 percent variation of COV. Additionally, an increase in the variability of liquid limit value produces non drastic change in the safety index.



Fig. 20: Variation of reliability index with coefficient of variation for Liquid Limit.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 8, Issue 3, March 2019

Effect of Optimum moisture content on Reliability Index on California Bearing Ratio: Fig. 21 shows the effect of California Bearing Ratio on the reliability index as the variation of coefficient of variation. The graph shows an initial increase in the β -value from -0.276 to -0.271, for 0-20 percent variation of COV. From 30 to 100 percent variation of COV, a general decrease in β -value was recorded. Additionally, an increase in the variability of optimum moisture content value produces a drastic change in the safety index.



Fig. 20: Variation of reliability index with coefficient of variation for Optimum moisture content.

Stochastically Model Assessment on the 7 days unconfined compressive strength: NKB report (1978) specified a safety index value of 1.0 as the minimum value for serviceability limit state design of structural components. Table 3 presents a summary of all safety index values of variable factors. For unconfined compressive strength, none of the variable factors meets the value of 1.0.

S/N	Variable Factors	Highest β -value	Acceptable Range of COV (%)
1	UCS (7 days Curing)	-1.59	Nil
2	Glass Powder	-11.3	Nil
3	Optimum Water Content	-3.38	Nil
4	Maximum Dry Density	-5.03	Nil
5	Liquid Limit	-2.16	Nil

Table 3: Stochastical Model Assessment of Acceptable Safety Index for Unconfined compressive strength

NKB report (1978) specified a safety index value of 1.0 as the minimum value for serviceability limit state design of structural components. Table 4 presents a summary of all safety index values of variable factors. For California Bearing Ratio, none of the variable factors meets the value of 1.0.

Table 4: Stochastical Model	Assessment of Acce	ptable Safety Ind	dex for California	Bearing Ratio
		pression servery inc		

S/N	Variable Factors	β -value	Acceptable Range of COV (%)
1	California Bearing Ratio	-0.196	Nil
2	Glass Powder	-0.270	Nil
3	Optimum Water Content	-0.271	Nil
4	Maximum Dry Density	-0.199	Nil
5	Liquid Limit	-0.062	Nil

V. CONCLUSION

A careful study of all safety index values obtained, indicates anon satisfactory safety indices. It is observed that all maximum β -value were significantly less than 1.0. A β -value \geq 1.0 is required for serviceability limit state design. The



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 8, Issue 3, March 2019

trends indicate that variable factors for CBR values such as MDD and OMCmust be strictly controlled when CBR is being considered in terms of variability of their COV. However, in considering UCS values, variable factors such as LL, MDD and OMC are not affected by COV as these factors are responsible for the strength gain in the stabilized black cotton soils.

REFERENCES

- Atsuko, S., Satoru, K.A., Hata, T., S., Hayashi, T., "Possibility for Solidification of Peaty Soil by Using Microbes", International Journal of 1. GEOMATE, Vol. 10, Issue 22, pp.2071-2076 Geotec, Const. Mat and Env. ISSN:2186-2990, 2016. Ayeni, P., Babatunde, O.A., and Dahunsi B.O., "Partial Replacement of Granites with Glass Chips (Glassorazzo) in Concrete Tiles."
- 2. International Journal of Scientific & Research Volume 10, Issue 1, January-2019. ISSN 2229-5518, 2019.
- Bordoloi, S., Garg A., Sekharan, S "A Review of Physio-Biochemical Properties of Natural Fibers and Their Application in Soil 3. Reinforcement", Advances in Civil Engineering Materials, Vol.6, No.1, pp.323-359. ISSN:2379-1357, 2017.
- Gaw, B., Zamora, S., Albano, L.D., Tao, M., "Soil Reinforcement With Natural Fibers For Low-Income Housing Communities." Bachelor's 4. Thesis, Worcester Polytechinic Institute, Worcester, Ma, Usa, 2011.
- Ghosha, S.K., Bhattacharyya, R., Mondal, M.M., "Potential Applications of Open Weave Jute Geotextile (Soil Saver) In Meeting Geotechnical 5. Difficulties, Procedia Engineering, Vol 200, pp200-205, 2017.
- 6. Hamidu, H. I., Sani J. E., Bello A. O., and Yisa G. L. "Reliability Estimate of Unconfined Compressive Strength of Black Cotton Soil stabilized with Bagasse Ash and Cement Kiln Dust." International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 6, Issue 8, Pp. 1507 - 1521. ISSN 2229-5518, 2015.
- 7. Hemalatha and Parwate "Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Amended Fly Ash as an Expansive Soil Stabilizer." Soils Found, 2017.
- 8. Ingles and Metcalf, Review of Physio-Biochemical Properties, 1972.
- Murat, A.O., "Improvement in Bearing Capacity of a Soft Soil by Addition of Fly Ash." Procedia Eng. Advance in Transportation Geotechnics 9. 3. The 3rd International Conference on Transportation Geotechnics. Vol 143, pp.498-505, 2016.
- 10. Ola, (1974) Effect of Adding Natural Pozzolana On Geotechnical Properties of Lime-Stabilized Clayey Soil.
- 11. Sani J. E., Bello A. O. and Nwadiogbu, C. P. "Reliability Estimate of Strength Characteristics of Black Cotton Soil Pavement Sub-Base stabilized with Bagasse Ash and Cement Kiln Dust." IISTE Civil and Environmental Research ISSN 2224-5790 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0514 (Online) Vol.6, No.11, pp 115 - 135, 2014.
- 12. Sani, J.E., Yohanna, P., Etim, K.R., Osinubi, J.K., Eberemu, O.A., Reliability evaluation of optimum moisture content of tropical black clay treated with locust bean waste ash as road pavement sub-base material. J. Geotech. Geol. Engi. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-017-0256-2 2017
- 13. Sani, J. E., Yohanna, P. and Chukwujama, I. A. Effect of rice husk ash admixed with treated sisal fibre on properties of lateritic soil as a road construction material, Journal of King Saud University - Engineering Sciences, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksues.2018.11.001 Pp. 1- 8.,2018.
- 14. Subash, K., Sukesh, S., Sreerag, R., Dilna, S, V., Deeraj, A.D., Jino, J., "Stabilization of Black Cotton Soil using Glass and Plastic Granules", International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology, Vol.5, Issue04, ISSN: 2278-0181, 2016.
- 15. Swaidani A., Hamoud, I., Meziab, A., "Effect of Adding Natural Pozzolana On Geotechnical Properties of Lime-Stabilized Clayey Soil", Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering. Vol 8, Issue 5, pp714-725, 2016.
 16. Yohanna, P., Oluremi, J.R., Eberemu, A.O., Osinubi, K.J. and Sani, J.E. Reliability Assessment of Bearing Capacity of Cement-Iron Ore
- Tailing Blend Black Cotton Soil for Strip Foundations. GeotechGeolEng Volume 37, Issue 2, pp 915–929. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10706-018-<u>0660-2</u>, 2019.
 17. Yisa, G. L. and Sani, J. E. "Reliability Estimate of Strength Characteristic of Iron Ore Tailing Stabilized Lateritic Soil for Road Pavement Sub-
- Base Materials." Electronic Journal of Engineering, 19:4177-4192, Bund.S, 2014.

APPENDIX

18. Zakikhani, P. Zahari, R. Sultan, M.T.H. Majid, D.L. Extraction and Preparation of Bamboo Fiber-Reinforced Composites., 2005.

PROGRAM FLOOR

THIS PROGRAM EVALUATES THE RELIABILITY ANALYSIS OF GLASS C

- POWDER ON ENGINEERING PROPERTIES OF BLACK COTTON SOIL С BY USING UNCONFNED COMPRESSION STRENGTH
- IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H,O-Z) EXTERNAL GFLOOR
- DIMENSION X(5),EX(5),SX(5),VP(10,5),COV(5,5),ZES(3),
- UU(5),EIVEC(5,5),IV(2,5),des(5)
- CHARACTER*10 PRT
- COMMON/CFLOOR/E
- DATA EX/6.87D2,4.0D0,1.53D0,1.996D1,1.8146D1/, + SX/3.885D1,3.16D0,5.0D-2,1.5D0,2.99D0/,
- N/5/,NC/5/,NE/5/,IRHO/0/

READ(*,*)VAR

SX(5)=VAR*EX(5)/100.

WRITE(*,*)'ENTER THE COEFF. OF VAR. FOR X(5) IN %>'



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

Vol. 8, Issue 3, March 2019

do 707 k=1,8 NAUS=7 ICRT=0 OPEN(7,FILE='TIMI.RES',STATUS='OLD',ERR=10) GOTO 20 10 OPEN(7,FILE='TIMI.RES',STATUS='NEW') 20 CALL YINIT (N,IV,VP,IRHO,COV,NC) IV(1,1)=3IV(1,2)=2 IV(1,3)=3 IV(1,4)=3IV(1,5)=2DO 100 I=1,N X(I) = EX(I)VP(8,I)=1.D0 100 CONTINUE V1=1.D0 BETA=1.D0 WRITE (NAUS,5000) 5000 FORMAT (////,6X,70('*'),/,30X,'F O R M 5',/,6X,70('*'),/, +'SAFETY CHECK ON TREATED FOUNDRY, 5 VARIABLES:') CALL YKOPF (NAUS, N, IV, EX, SX, VP, IRHO) WRITE (ICRT,*) ' START OF FORM5 WRITE (ICRT,*) ' STOCHASTIC MODEL :' CALL YKOPF (ICRT, N, IV, EX, SX, VP, IRHO) PRT=' COV ' CALL YMAUS (NAUS,NC,N,COV,PRT) CALL FORM5 (N, IV, EX, SX, VP, GFLOOR, IRHO, COV, NC, EIVEC, NE, V1, NAUS, BETA, X, UU, ZES, IER) С POTENTIAL LOSS IS HERE DETERMINED С PF = YPHINF(-BETA)PL = (0.95*(1.0-EXP(-50.*PF)))*PF*100. WRITE(*,*)'POTENTIAL LOSS =',PL C C WRITE(NAUS,*)'POTENTIAL LOSS =',PF PRT=' UU ' CALL YFAUS (NAUS,N,UU,PRT) PRT=' ZES CALL YFAUS (NAUS, 6, ZES, PRT) do 777 i=1,n des(i)=x(i)/ex(i) 777 continue write(naus,888)(des(i),i=1,n),E WRITE(NAUS,504) write(NAUS,505)(uu(i)/beta,i=1,n) 504 FORMAT(/,3X,'ALPHA VECTOR:') 505 format(3x,/3X,6(2X,E10.2)/) 888 format(3x,//7f10.2/3x,6f10.2/3x,'aspect ratio for joist =',f8.2/ 10x,10('*')/) +С WRITE(NAUS,*)'POTENTIAL LOSS =',PL WRITE(NAUS,*)'POTENTIONAL FAILURE=',PF 707 continue WRITE (ICRT,*) ' END OF FORM5 : IER =',IER WRITE (ICRT,*) ' RESULTS SEE FILE TIMI.RES' STOP END SUBROUTINE GFLOOR (N, X, FX, IER) IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H,O-Z) DIMENSION X(N) COMMON /CFLOOR/E IF (X(1).GT.0.)THEN FX =(X(1))-(1702.03-0.51*X(2)+491.69*X(3)-5.22*X(4)-



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 8, Issue 3, March 2019

28.92*X(5)) + IER = 0ELSE FX = 1.D + 20IER = 1ENDIF RETURN END PROGRAM FLOOR C THIS PROGRAM EVALUATES THE RELIABILITY ANALYSIS OF GLASS С POWDER ON ENGINEERING PROPERTIES OF BLACK COTTON SOIL C BY USING CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H,O-Z) EXTERNAL GFLOOR DIMENSION X(5), EX(5), SX(5), VP(10,5), COV(5,5), ZES(3), UU(5),EIVEC(5,5),IV(2,5),des(5) CHARACTER*10 PRT COMMON/CFLOOR/E DATA EX/4.0D1,4.0D0,1.53D0,1.996D1,1.8146D1/, + SX/1.145D0,3.16D0,5.0D-2,1.5D0,2.99D0/, + N/5/,NC/5/,NE/5/,IRHO/0/ WRITE(*,*)ENTER THE COEFF. OF VAR. FOR X(5) IN %.....>' READ(*,*)VAR SX(5)=VAR*EX(5)/100. do 707 k=1,8 NAUS=7 ICRT=0 OPEN(7,FILE='TIMI2.RES',STATUS='OLD',ERR=10) GOTO 20 10 OPEN(7,FILE='TIMI2.RES',STATUS='NEW') 20 CALL YINIT (N,IV,VP,IRHO,COV,NC) IV(1,1)=3 IV(1,2)=2IV(1,3)=3 IV(1,4)=3 IV(1,5)=2 DO 100 I=1,N X(I) = EX(I)VP(8,I)=1.D0 100 CONTINUE V1=1 D0 BETA=1.D0 WRITE (NAUS,5000) 5000 FORMAT (////,6X,70('*'),/,30X,'F O R M 5',/,6X,70('*'),/, +'SAFETY CHECK ON TREATED FOUNDRY, 5 VARIABLES:') CALL YKOPF (NAUS, N, IV, EX, SX, VP, IRHO) WRITE (ICRT,*) ' START OF FORM5 WRITE (ICRT.*) ' STOCHASTIC MODEL :' CALL YKOPF (ICRT, N, IV, EX, SX, VP, IRHO) PRT=' COV ' CALL YMAUS (NAUS,NC,N,COV,PRT) CALL FORM5 (N, IV, EX, SX, VP, GFLOOR, IRHO, COV, NC. EIVEC, NE, V1, NAUS, BETA, X, UU, ZES, IER) С С POTENTIAL LOSS IS HERE DETERMINED PF = YPHINF(-BETA)PL = (0.95*(1.0-EXP(-50.*PF)))*PF*100.WRITE(*,*)'POTENTIAL LOSS =',PL C WRITE(NAUS,*)'POTENTIAL LOSS =',PF PRT=' UU CALL YFAUS (NAUS,N,UU,PRT) PRT=' ZES CALL YFAUS (NAUS, 6, ZES, PRT) do 777 i=1,n des(i)=x(i)/ex(i)



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

Vol. 8, Issue 3, March 2019

777 continue write(naus,888)(des(i),i=1,n),E WRITE(NAUS,504) write(NAUS,505)(uu(i)/beta,i=1,n) 504 FORMAT(/,3X,'ALPHA VECTOR:') 505 format(3x,/3X,6(2X,E10.2)/) 888 format(3x,//7f10.2/3x,6f10.2/3x,'aspect ratio for joist =',f8.2/ 10x,10('*')/) + С WRITE(NAUS,*)'POTENTIAL LOSS =',PL WRITE(NAUS,*)'POTENTIONAL FAILURE=',PF 707 continue WRITE (ICRT,*) ' END OF FORM5 : IER =',IER WRITE (ICRT,*) ' RESULTS SEE FILE TIMI2.RES' STOP END SUBROUTINE GFLOOR (N, X, FX, IER) IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H,O-Z) DIMENSION X(N) COMMON /CFLOOR/E IF (X(1).GT.0.)THEN FX = (X(1))-(96.23-0.186*X(2)-20.475*X(3)-0.584*X(4)-+ 0.652*X(5)) IER = 0ELSE FX = 1.D+20IER = 1ENDIF RETURN END