

ISSN(Online): 2319-8753 ISSN (Print): 2347-6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal) Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 8, Issue 10, October 2019

Numerical Analysis of Three Span Continuous Bridge Deflection by Using Rotation

Tjatur Haripriambodo¹, Made Suangga²

Student, Master of Civil Engineering Program, Fac. of Engineering, Tarumanagara University, Jakarta, Indonesia¹

Lecturer, Master of Civil Engineering Program, Fac. of Engineering, Tarumanagara University, Jakarta, Indonesia²

ABSTRACT: Bridges are infrastructures that experience a dynamic and repetitive load in the long run, so that the condition has the potential to decrease over time. To ensure that bridges are always in service, a systematic effort is needed to monitor the condition of the bridge structure. To meet this demand, in the last few decades Structural Health Monitoring Systems (SHMS) have been introduced which describe a number of ways of monitoring that are implemented on a full scale in civil buildings that aim to assist operators to obtain information on civil service building on an ongoing basis. Among the structural parameters, vertical deflection is the most important parameter to be monitored both in the short and long term or measured in load tests for the purpose of predicting abnormal conditions and ensuring the overall safety of the bridge. This research is aimed at building a mathematical model of the span of the bridge, especially the three span continuous bridge. The method used is simulating deflection and bridge rotation through a two-dimensional structural analysis of bridges with the application of several positions of concentrated loads. Serial data deflection and rotation obtained are then processed into mathematical models of deflection and rotation equations using the analysis of the multiple regression equations.

KEYWORDS: Bridges, Structural Health Monitoring Systems, Deflection, Rotation

I. INTRODUCTION

Bridges are infrastructures that experience dynamic and repetitive burdens in the long run (Nugraha, 2017), consequently they have the potential to experience a deterioration over time. To ensure that the bridge is always in service, a systematic effort is needed to monitor the condition of the bridge structure. To meet this demand, in the last few decades Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) Systems have been introduced that describe a number of ways of monitoring that are applied at full scale to civilian buildings with the aim of assisting Operators to obtain information on civil service services in a sustainable manner. At present the structure being tested and monitored is increasing so that the knowledge of structural behavior is increasing as well. Deflection, rotation (angle rotation), and strain measured during load testing or long-term monitoring of the structure are used to detect indications of changes that are detrimental to the structure. The development and success of the implementation of a long-term structure monitoring system on the bridge has been widely reported by researchers. In recent years tiltmeters (also called inclinometers) are increasingly being used for construction monitoring, structural testing and monitoring the bridge's long-term performance (Ozakgul et al., 2009).

This present time the structure being tested and monitored is increasing therefore the knowledge of structural behavior is increasing as well. Deflection, rotation (angle rotation), and strain measured during load testing or long-term monitoring of the structure are used to detect indications of changes that are detrimental to the structure. The development and success of the implementation of a long-term structure monitoring system on the bridge has been widely reported by researchers. In recent years tiltmeters (also called inclinometers) are increasingly being used for construction monitoring, structural testing and monitoring the bridge's long-term performance (Ozakgul et al., 2009).

Several studies have been carried out by researchers using tiltmeter readings to predict structural parameters of existing structures. Among the structural parameters, vertical deflection is the most important parameter to be monitored in both



ISSN(Online): 2319-8753 ISSN (Print): 2347-6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 8, Issue 10, October 2019

the short and long term or measured in a load test for the purpose of predicting abnormal conditions and ensuring overall safety of the bridge. Very often, to directly measure bridge deflection is not easy, or even impossible due to physical or climatic conditions (Ozakgul et al., 2009).

For bridges over rivers, trains, or highways, direct measurement methods are not practical. The method of measuring bridge deflection using rotation data from a tiltmeter (inclinometer) is considered quite promising. This method offers a simple, practical and inexpensive method for measuring static and dynamic deflection of a span of a bridge under load, even for a span of a bridge that crosses heights. Hundreds of experiments and practical tests on simple and continuous bridges, utilizing dynamic and static loads, at various vehicle speeds, show that this method has very high precision, which provides authentic results for reception of newly built bridges and evaluating the safety of old bridges. This method does not require a fixed observation position as another deflection measurement method because the tiltmeter is mounted on the bridge directly, which greatly increases the measurement efficiency. The results obtained through the rotation method of this tiltmeter show as a potential method for measuring bridge deflection, so that the tiltmeter has significant engineering application values and a promising future (Hou et al., 2005).

The Author conducted this research with the aim to obtain a model of deflection and rotation equations (angular turns) on a three span continuous bridge. Practitioners are expected to use this deflection and rotation equation, to estimate deflection based on rotation data from tiltmeter monitoring.

II. RELATED PAPERS

In this paper, it is presented two papers which relevant with Author paper.

II.1 Paper with the title of "Using Inclinometers to Measure Bridge Deflection"

This paper was published on Journal of Bridge Engineering, ©ASCE, September, 2005, written by Hou, X., Yang, X. and Huang, Q. This study contains experiments in the laboratory that provide comparison value of deflection obtained from the tiltmeter (inclinometer) and deflection which is read directly from a deflection meter (micrometer).

II.2 Paper with the title of "Load Testing of Bridges Using Tiltmeters"

This paper was presented in the SEM Annual Conference June 1-4, 2009 Albuquerque New Mexico USA ©2009 Society for Experimental Mechanics Inc, written by Ozakgul, K., Caglayan, O., Uzgider, E. This journal contains the results of experiments on a bridge mounted tiltmeter and deflection meter (Linear Variable Differential Transformer - LVDT) to further apply three vehicle load schemes. Rotation and deflection values obtained from the tool are transformed in the form of cubic spline deflection curves and finite difference analysis. Besides that, analysis was also carried out with the finite element 3-dimensional method using certain software to produce deflection.

III. TWO DIMENSION STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

The study began with a two-dimensional (2D) structural analysis of a single bridge girder modeled as a four-beam continuous beam, with a non-prismatic cross section type of girder. Span of bridge is 45 m along A-B, 80 m at B-C section and 45 m at span C-D. Two-dimensional modeling is presented in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Two dimension structure modeling

Two-dimensional structure analysis aims to get the value of deflection in the middle span and rotation in the support. The position of the deflection and rotation review is shown in the figure below.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 8, Issue 10, October 2019



Figure 2. Deflection and rotation monitored position

The two dimensional structure analysis of concentrated load is a parametric study by placing point loads of 50 tons, 20 tons and 10 tons, each with 12 load positions (PB), hence there are a total of 36 PB. For example, the first 50 ton (PB1), second (PB2) and third (PB3) load positions are presented below.



Figure 3. Load position example

Next step is a two-dimensional structure analysis using Finite Element Method (FEM) software. It results deflection $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)$ in cm and rotation $(\phi_A, \phi_B, \phi_C, \phi_D)$ in radians. It is shown on the following table.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 8, Issue 10, October 2019

Load Post (PB)	δ_1	δ2	δ_3	ф _А	фв	¢c	φ₀
PB 1	-1.91172	1.387462	-0.25877	0.002351	-0.00078	0.000222	-0.00019
PB 2	-2.31561	1.871753	-0.34871	0.001982	-0.00105	0.000298	-0.00026
PB 3	-1.30275	1.377962	-0.25656	0.00099	-0.00077	0.000219	-0.00019
PB 4	0.186514	-0.38675	0.072182	-0.00014	0.000159	-6.2E-05	0.000053
PB 5	0.320549	-0.87809	0.166623	-0.00024	0.000274	-0.00014	0.000122
PB 6	0.389708	-1.40484	0.276479	-0.00029	0.000333	-0.00024	0.000202
PB 7	1.382397	-7.02418	1.948541	-0.00101	0.001182	-0.00167	0.001431
PB 8	0.833114	-4.39044	1.602746	-0.00061	0.000712	-0.00137	0.001182
PB 9	0.216547	-1.16024	0.559542	-0.00016	0.000185	-0.00048	0.000414
PB 10	-0.25656	1.377962	-1.30275	0.00019	-0.00022	0.000774	-0.00099
PB 11	-0.34871	1.871753	-2.31561	0.000256	-0.0003	0.001053	-0.00198
PB 12	-0.25877	1.387462	-1.91172	0.000188	-0.00022	0.000783	-0.00235
PB 13	-1.14703	0.832477	-0.15527	0.001411	-0.00047	0.000133	-0.00011
PB 14	-0.46312	0.374351	-0.06974	0.000396	-0.00021	0.00006	-5.1E-05
PB 15	-0.78165	0.826777	-0.15394	0.000594	-0.00046	0.000131	-0.00011
PB 16	0.559542	-1.16024	0.216547	-0.00041	0.000477	-0.00019	0.00016
PB 17	0.961647	-2.63426	0.499868	-0.00071	0.000821	-0.00043	0.000367
PB 18	1.169124	-4.21451	0.829438	-0.00086	0.000999	-0.00071	0.000607
PB 19	0.829438	-4.21451	1.169124	-0.00061	0.000709	-0.00100	0.000859
PB 20	0.499868	-2.63426	0.961647	-0.00037	0.000427	-0.00082	0.000709
PB 21	0.216547	-1.16024	0.559542	-0.00016	0.000185	-0.00048	0.000414
PB 22	-0.15394	0.826777	-0.78165	0.000114	-0.00013	0.000464	-0.00059
PB 23	-0.20922	1.123052	-1.38937	0.000154	-0.00018	0.000632	-0.00119
PB 24	-0.15527	0.832477	-1.14703	0.000113	-0.00013	0.00047	-0.00141
PB 25	-0.38234	0.277492	-0.05176	0.00047	-0.00016	0.000044	-3.8E-05
PB 26	-0.46312	0.374351	-0.06974	0.000396	-0.00021	0.00006	-5.1E-05
PB 27	-0.26055	0.275592	-0.05131	0.000198	-0.00016	0.000044	-3.8E-05
PB 28	1.865141	-3.86747	0.721824	-0.00138	0.001591	-0.00062	0.000533
PB 29	3.205491	-8.78088	1.666228	-0.00236	0.002737	-0.00142	0.001224
PB 30	0.389708	-1.40484	0.276479	-0.00029	0.000333	-0.00024	0.000202
PB 31	0.276479	-1.40484	0.389708	-0.00012	0.000236	-0.00033	0.000286
PB 32	0.166623	-0.87809	0.320549	-0.00020	0.000142	-0.00027	0.000236
PB 33	0.072182	-0.38675	0.186514	-5.3E-05	0.000062	-0.00016	0.000138
PB 34	-0.02952	0.158584	-0.12809	0.000022	-2.5E-05	0.000089	-9.5E-05
PB 35	-0.06974	0.374351	-0.46312	0.000051	-0.00006	0.000211	-0.00040
PB 36	-0.05176	0.277492	-0.38234	0.000038	-4.4E-05	0.000157	-0.00047

Table 1. Deflection and rotation from two dimensional analysis

IV. MULTIPLE REGRESSION ANALYSIS

The results obtained from the two-dimensional structure analysis are processed using the regression method to get the deflection - rotation equation model. The general equation of linear multiple regression follows the formula below.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 8, Issue 10, October 2019

 $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_k x_k$

The general equation of quadratic multiple regression follows the formula below.

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_1 x_2 + \beta_4 x_1^2 + \beta_5 x_2^2$$

To obtain satisfactory results, the elasticity lines obtained from the structure analysis of two dimensions are grouped according to their shape.

In elasticity line of group I, A-B and C-D span curve are below the structure line. Figure 4. shows the group I.



Figure 4. Shape of group I elasticity line

In elasticity line of group II, A-B and C-D span curve are above the structure line. The shape of group II presented below.



Figure 5. Shape of group II elasticity line

In multiple regression analysis, deflection (δ) is treated as dependent variable and rotation (ϕ) is as independent variable. The followings are equation which show relationship between deflection and rotation, resulting from regression analysis (Eq 1 – Eq 4). This paper only shows the result for deflection in span B-C (δ_2) and group I.

Linear deflection – rotation equation by using two rotation data $\delta_{2(2)} = 0.0056 - 1385.27\phi_B + 1385.37\phi_C$	(Eq. 1)
Linear deflection – rotation equation by using four rotation data $\delta_{2(4)} = 0.014 - 404.37\phi_A + 1355.08\phi_B + 132.414\phi_C + 1.092\phi_D$	(Eq. 2)
Quadratic deflection – rotation equation by using two rotation data $\delta_{2(2)} = -0.00083 - 1385.62\phi_B + 1386.02\phi_C + 17797935\phi_B\phi_C + 4664245\phi_B^2 + 4664071\phi_C^2$	(Eq. 3)
Quadratic deflection – rotation equation by using four rotation data $\delta_{2(4)} = 0.00016 - 5.40\phi_A - 1391.51\phi_B + 1392.50\phi_C + 5.75\phi_D + 1267614\phi_A\phi_B + 4871375\phi_A\phi_C + 353757\phi_A\phi_D + 18378033\phi_B\phi_C + 4830413\phi_B\phi_D + 1245808\phi_C\phi_D - 2291.71\phi_A^2 + 3778053\phi_B^2 + 3763058.40\phi_D^2$	5996Ø _C ² – (Eq. 4)

To test the accuracy of the regression equation that has been obtained from the regression analysis, a comparison is executed by entering the rotation values into the regression equation, then the deflection value resulting from the regression equation is compared to the Finite Element Method (FEM) deflection.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 8, Issue 10, October 2019

The comparison by using two data rotation is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Comparison of FEM deflection with deflection of the regression equation linear and quadratic, 2 data rotation, span B-C ($\delta_{2(2)}$), group I

Load	FEM	Linear			Quadratic		
Post (PB)		Regresi	FEM-Reg	(FEM-Reg)/FEM	Regresi	FEM-Reg	(FEM-Reg)/FEM
PB 1	1.387462	1.3916483	0.0041863	0.30%	1.387518	5.60852E-05	0.0%
PB 2	1.871753	1.8709586	0.0007944	0.04%	1.872314	0.000560946	0.0%
PB 3	1.377962	1.3750248	0.0029372	0.21%	1.376246	0.001716063	0.1%
PB 10	1.377962	1.3750806	0.0028814	0.21%	1.376374	0.001588348	0.1%
PB 11	1.871753	1.8710345	0.0007185	0.04%	1.872441	0.000687577	0.0%
PB 12	1.387462	1.3917047	0.0042427	0.31%	1.387646	0.0001840	0.0%
PB 13	0.832477	0.8347617	0.0022847	0.27%	0.835033	0.002555764	0.3%
PB 14	0.374351	0.3748454	0.0004944	0.13%	0.373819	0.0005320	0.1%
PB 15	0.826777	0.8236793	0.0030977	0.37%	0.826065	0.00071179	0.1%
PB 22	0.826777	0.8237128	0.0030642	0.37%	0.826165	0.000612062	0.1%
PB 23	1.123052	1.1229476	0.0001044	0.01%	1.12210	0.000951485	0.1%
PB 24	0.832477	0.8347956	0.0023186	0.28%	0.835133	0.00265622	0.3%
PB 25	0.277492	0.277875	0.000383	0.14%	0.278744	0.001252312	0.5%
PB 26	0.374351	0.3748454	0.0004944	0.13%	0.373819	0.0005320	0.1%
PB 27	0.275592	0.2751045	0.0004875	0.18%	0.274629	0.00096319	0.3%
PB 34	0.158584	0.1573613	0.0012227	0.77%	0.157422	0.00116174	0.7%
PB 35	0.374351	0.3748606	0.000510	0.14%	0.373873	0.000478221	0.1%
PB 36	0.277492	0.2778864	0.0003944	0.14%	0.278786	0.001293913	0.5%
Average			0.001701	0.22%		0.0010274	0.20%

unit: cm

While the comparison by using four data rotation is shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Comparison of FEM deflection with deflection of the regression equation linear and quadratic, 4 data rotation, span B-C (δ_{2} (4), group I

Load	EEM	Linear			Quadratic		
Post (PB)	FEM	Regresi	FEM-Reg	(FEM-Reg)/FEM	Regresi	FEM-Reg	(FEM-Reg)/FEM
PB 1	1.387462	1.387461	1.023E-06	0.00%	1.387472	9.67E-06	0.00%
PB 2	1.871753	1.871780	2.673E-05	0.00%	1.871754	1.0074E-06	0.00%
PB 3	1.377962	1.3782494	0.0002874	0.02%	1.377969	7.2358E-06	0.00%
PB 10	1.377962	1.3782836	0.0003216	0.02%	1.377946	1.60E-05	0.00%
PB 11	1.871753	1.8717909	3.791E-05	0.00%	1.871752	8.7061E-07	0.00%
PB 12	1.387462	1.387420	4.167E-05	0.00%	1.387477	1.5077E-05	0.00%
PB 13	0.832477	0.8323148	0.0001622	0.02%	0.832443	3.3795E-05	0.00%
PB 14	0.374351	0.3751583	0.0008073	0.22%	0.374338	1.2687E-05	0.00%
PB 15	0.826777	0.8256752	0.0011018	0.13%	0.82675	2.7125E-05	0.00%
PB 22	0.826777	0.8256957	0.0010813	0.13%	0.826829	5.1714E-05	0.01%
PB 23	1.123052	1.123473	0.000421	0.04%	1.123051	1.0562E-06	0.00%
PB 24	0.832477	0.832290	0.0001866	0.02%	0.83243	4.7334E-05	0.01%
PB 25	0.277492	0.2771741	0.0003179	0.11%	0.277555	6.2824E-05	0.02%
PB 26	0.374351	0.3751583	0.0008073	0.22%	0.374338	1.2687E-05	0.00%
PB 27	0.275592	0.2758909	0.0002989	0.11%	0.275655	6.2756E-05	0.02%
PB 34	0.158584	0.1579846	0.0005994	0.38%	0.158455	0.00012859	0.08%
PB 35	0.374351	0.3751606	0.000810	0.22%	0.374367	1.6325E-05	0.00%
PB 36	0.277492	0.2771661	0.0003259	0.12%	0.277545	5.3493E-05	0.02%
Average		0.000424	0.10%		3.11E-05	0.01%	

unit: cm



ISSN(Online): 2319-8753 ISSN (Print): 2347-6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, **Engineering and Technology**

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com Vol. 8, Issue 10, October 2019

In Table 2, the average difference of the quadratic regression equation is 0.20%, smaller than the linear regression (0.22%). In Table 3, it is shown the average difference of the quadratic regression equation is 0.01%, while the average difference of linear equation is 0.1%.

We can also summerize that the accuracy by using four data rotation is higher than two data rotation.

V. CONCLUSION

In the preparation of the deflection - rotation regression equation modeling two-dimensional structures, in order to obtain accurate results, the data must be grouped according to the shape of the elasticity line. Others, the deflection equation - quadratic rotation from two-dimensional structure modeling gives more accurate results than the linear deflection - rotation equation. Finally, the use of rotational data with four data rotation (4 tiltmeter) from twodimensional structural modeling gives more accurate results than rotational data with two data rotation (2 tiltmeter). Author strongly recommends to verify this result againts three dimension structure analysis.

REFERENCES

- Brownjohn, J., M., W., "Structural Health Monitoring of Civil Structures", Philosophical Transactions Royal Society A, published online on 13 [1] Desember 2006
- [2] Hou, X., Yang, X. and Huang, Q., "Using Inclinometers to Measure Bridge Deflection, Journal of Bridge Engineering", ©ASCE, September, pp.1, 2005
- [3] Nugraha, Widi, "Evaluation of Fatigue Life of Steel Elements of Composite Type Standard Bridges Using WIM Data", Pusjatan Journal, The Republic of Indonesia, Ministry of Public Works and Public Housing, Research and Development Center, Road and Bridge Research and Development Center, Vol.34, Issue:1, pp.1, 2017 Ozakgul, K., Caglayan, O., Uzgider, E., "Load Testing of Bridges Using Tiltmeters", Proceedings of the SEM Annual Conference June 1-4,
- [4] 2009 Albuquerque New Mexico USA ©2009 Society for Experimental Mechanics Inc., pp.1, 2009
- [5] Sousa, H., Cavadasa, F., Henriquesa, A., Bentob, J., Figueirasa, J., "Bridge Deflection Evaluation using Strain and Rotation Measurements", Article in Smart Structures and Systems, April 2013.