

e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2320-6710

EDIA

International Journal of Innovative Research in SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

808

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 9, Issue 12, December 2020

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA

Impact Factor: 7.512

9940 572 462

6381 907 438

 \bigcirc

🖂 ijirset@gmail.com





| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.512|

||Volume 9, Issue 12, December 2020||

Homology Modeling and Structure Prediction of DNA-processing protein *DprA* isolated from *Frankia sp*.

Minisha Bagaria, Santosh Kumar*, Kuldip Dwivedi

Student, Department of Life Sciences, ITM University, Gwalior, M.P., India Asst. Professor, Department of Life Sciences, ITM University, Gwalior, M.P., India* Professor, Department of Environmental Science, Amity University, M.P., Gwalior, India

ABSTRACT: *Frankia sp. is* filamentous bacteria that grow by hyphal branching and tip extension. They produce three cell types during growth: vegetative hyphae, sporangiospores and the lipid-enveloped cellular structure known as "diazo-vesicles". Frankia sp. has the ability to form symbiotic nitrogen-fixing root nodules on certain woody angiosperms termed "*actinorhizal* plants". The vesicle cell type develops during N- starvation and contains the oxygen- labile nitrogenase. *Actinorhizal* plants have become increasingly important as climate changes threaten to remark the global landscape over the next decades. Most actinorhizal plants are capable of high rates of nitrogen fixation due to their capacity to establish root nodule. Symbiosis with nitrogen-fixing Frankia strains. One of these mechanisms is the induction of defense-related event's, whose precise role in a symbiotic interaction remains to be established. The physicochemical characterization of the response regulator protein isolated from Frankia sp. involved in the nitrogen fixation was done to interpret properties like Isoelectric point (pI), EC, AI, GRAVY and instability index. Homology modelling was performed to generate good quality models. The assessment of generated three-dimensional structure against structure verification tools PROCHECK and WHAT IF showed that the model generated by the SWISS MODEL was more acceptable to that by Geno3D. The structure was stable and could be used for further studies in environmental eradication.

KEYWORDS: Frankia sp., Actinorhizal, GRAVY, PROCHECK, Geno3D

I. INTRODUCTION

Frankia involved gram-positive and gram-variable actinomycetes which grow in hyphal form. The way like cyanobacteria and in divergence to most rhizobia, Frankia strains can fix N_2 in the free-living state also. Under fixed-N limitation and aerobic conditions, Frankia strains form special organs (spherical vesicles) for N2-fixation. These spherical vesicles have hyphae or short side hyphae at the ends. Vesicles are enveloped by multiple layers of hopanoids. In plants, the shape, septation, and sub cellular localization of Frankia vesicles are decided by the host plant, which do can direct bacterial differentiation. Frankia can also form multilocular sporangia^[1]. Frankia alni ACN14a strain was isolated from green alder (Alnus crispa) growing in Tadoussac, Canada. It comes under a member of the medium host range "Alnus" strains. This bacterium is able to facultative establish a nitrogen-fixing symbiosis with alder (Alnus spp.) and myrtle (Myrica spp.), as well as other plants in the Betulaceae and Myricaceae. This strain of Frankia alni ACN14a can be isolated from soils on all continents except Australia and Antarctica. It causes root hair deformation: it penetrates the cortical cells and induces the formation of nodules which resemble those induced by Rhizobium in legumes. These nodules are then colonized by vegetative hyphae (mycelium filaments) which differentiate into diazo-vesicles. It contains spherical, thickwalled cells that perform reductive nitrogen fixation and also protected from molecular oxygen by numerous layers of tightly stacked hopanoid lipids^[2]. DprA and Smf bind combinely to single-stranded DNA and then those proteins both selfinteract and after that they interact with RecA. DprA-RecA-ssDNA filaments are formed and then these filaments catalyze the homology-dependent formation of combined molecules. Escherichia coli ssDNA-binding protein (SSB) limits action of conversion of RecA to ssDNA, DprA hinders this barrier. DprA is a member of the recombination-mediator protein family, useful in natural bacterial transformation^[3].



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| www.ijirset.com | Impact Factor: 7.512|

||Volume 9, Issue 12, December 2020||

Genetic recombination in *Helicobacter pylori* involved in host adaptation of this gastric pathogen and uptake of DNA by natural transformation can make changes in virulence factors and antigenic variation as well. To annotate the mechanisms played in natural transformation we have to test two genes with homology to known competence genes (dprA and traG). Insertion mutants in these genes were produced in two different *H. pylori* strains and their competence by natural transformation can be compared to the wild-type. Mutation of the traG homolog will not reduce competence. Mutation of the dprA gene will impaired natural transformation in both the plasmid and the chromosomal DNA. Some data indicated that dprA and comB3 are very important parts in pathway of chromosomal and plasmid transformation^[4].

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

- **Retrieval of target sequence** The amino acid sequence of DNA-processing protein DprA isolated from Frankia sp. of 95 amino acids was obtained from the sequence database of NCBI (<u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/).It</u> was ascertained that the three-dimensional structure of the protein was not available in Protein Data Bank ^[5]. Hence the present work for developing the 3D model of the protein from Frankia sp. was undertaken.
- **Physico-chemical characterization** The values of theoretical isoelectric point (pI), molecular weight, total number of positive and negative residues, extinction coefficient, instability index, aliphatic index and grand average hydropathicity (GRAVY)^[6] (https://web.expasy.org/protparam/) were computed using the Expasy's ProtParam server^[7] (http://us.expasy.org/tools/protparam.html).
- Secondary structure prediction-Secondary structure ^[8] has been predicted using PHYRE2 ^[9] software (http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre2) where the FASTA format ^[10] of the sequence was given as input. SOPMA ^[11] was employed for calculating the secondary structural features of the protein sequence considered for this study.
- **Model building and quality assessment** The modeling of the three dimensional structure of the protein was done using two homology modeling programs, Geno3D ^[12] and SWISS MODEL ^[13]. The overall stereo chemical property of the protein was assessed by Ramachandran plot analysis ^[14]. The evaluation of structure models obtained from the two software tools was performed by using PROCHECK ^[15]. The models were further checked with WHAT IF ^[16] for standard bond length and bond angle determination.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The physicochemical parameters computed using Expasy's ProtParam tool for the DNA-processing protein DprA isolated from *Frankia sp.* had the molecular weight 10132.71D theoretical pI 11.01, a number of negatively charged residues (Asp+Glu) equal to 7, extinction coefficient 12615, instability index 33.32, aliphatic index 86.46 and grand average of hydropathicity (GRAVY) -0.40 which characterizes the physicochemical properties of the protein represented in Table 1. A protein having instability index smaller than 40 is predicted as stable, on the other hand, a value above 40 predicts that the protein may be unstable^[17]. Instability index of 33.32 indicates the stable nature of the protein. The high extinction coefficient (12615) indicates the presence of a high concentration of Cystine, Tryptophan and Tyrosine. The aliphatic index is generally considered as a positive factor for the increase in thermal stability. High aliphatic index (86.46) of the protein suggests that the protein may be stable for a wide temperature range. The Grand Average hydropathicity (GRAVY) value is low (-0.40) which increases the possibility of interaction with water.

S.No.	Parameters	Values
1.	Number of amino acids	95
2.	Molecular weight	10132.71
3.	Theoretical Pi	11.01
4.	Total number of negatively charged residues(Asp+Glu)	7
5.	Total number of negatively charged residues(Arg+Lys)	12
6.	Extinction coefficient	12615
7.	Extinction coefficient*	12490
8.	Instability index	33.32
9.	Aliphatic index	86.46
10.	Grand average of hydropathicity	-0.040

Table 1-Physicochemical	parameters com	puted using Expa	asy's ProtParam tool
--------------------------------	----------------	------------------	----------------------



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| www.ijirset.com | Impact Factor: 7.512|

||Volume 9, Issue 12, December 2020||

The secondary structure of DNA-processing protein DprA was predicted by two software namely SOPMA (Self Optimized Prediction Method with Alignment) and Phyre 2. SOPMA predicts around 69.5% of amino acids correctly to describe secondary structure prediction. Table 2 represents the results of SOPMA. These results shows higher number of random coils in comparison to other secondary structure elements (alpha helix, extended strand and beta turns) (Fig. 6). Default parameters (Window width: 17, similarity threshold: 8 and number of states: 4) were set by SOPMA software for secondary structure prediction. Secondary structure and disorder prediction was made using phyre 2 which is shown in figure 7.

S.No.	Parameters	Value
1.	Alpha helix	38.95%
2.	3_{10} helix	0.00%
3.	Pi helix	0.00%
4.	Beta bridge	0.00%
5.	Extended strand	18.95%
6.	Beta turn	12.63%
7.	Bend region	0.00%
8.	Random coil	29.47%
9.	Ambiguous state	0.00%
10.	Other state	0.00%

Table 2- Calculated secondary structure elements by SOPMA

Three dimensional structures of proteins are predicted due to unavailability of such data. There is no experimental structure found for the protein considered. The three dimensional structure prediction of the protein was done using two programs Geno3D and Swiss Model. The results obtained from these programs were compared in table 3. This comparison suggests that the model generated by Swiss model (Fig. 1) is much better than the model generated by Geno3D due to presence of maximum residues (68.00%) in the most favoured region. Finally chosen model was visualized by Rasmol. The evaluation of predicted structure generated by SWISS MODEL for the stereochemical quality was done using Ramchandran plot (Fig. 2-Fig. 5), calculations done with the PROCHECK. The 38.95% of residues were found in the core right handed alpha helices(A), beta sheets (B) and left -handed alpha helix(L) region. 10.7% of residues were found in the allowed right- handed alpha helix (a), beta sheets (b) and left -handed alpha helices regions. The 0.7% of the residues were found in the generously allowed alpha helices (~a), beta sheets (~b), left handed alpha helices (~l) and epsilon (~p) regions. The 0.0% of the residues was found to be localized at the disallowed regions. Above results indicate to a good quality of predicted model. The analysis of modeled structure of DNA-processing protein DprA by structure verification server WHATIF revealed such RMS Z-score (0.7888) which was nearly meeting the required score for a high quality model.

Table 3 - Ramachandran plot calculation and Comparative analysis of the models from Geno3D and SWISS -model computed with the PROCHECK program

Server	Parameters	Value (%)
	Residues in the most Favoured Region	68.00%
Geno 3D	Residues in additionally allowed region	30.00%
	Residues in generously allowed region	2.00%
	Residues in disallowed region	0.00%
	Residues in the most Favoured Region	95.58%
Swiss Model	Residues in additionally allowed region	55.32%
	Residues in generously allowed region	1.53%
	Residues in disallowed region	0.08%



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.512| ||Volume 9, Issue 12, December 2020||



Fig 1: Structure generated by SWISS MODEL software

Ramachandran Plot generated by Geno 3D



& Structure generated by Geno 3D software



Ramachandran plots for all residue types

Fig 2: Ramachandran plot for all residues



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.512|

||Volume 9, Issue 12, December 2020||





Fig 3: Main chain parameters

Fig 4: Graph for side chain residues



Fig 5: Graph for residual properties



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.512| ||Volume 9, Issue 12, December 2020||

SOPMA RESULTS

Significant improvement in protein secondary structure prediction by consensus prediction from multiple alignments.

10 20 30 40 50 60 70

HRLLAEGTARMVTGPDDIRAHLDRT

hhe ehtt ccee eeccchhhhhhhht



Fig. 6: SOPMA results showing deviations, coils, helix and sheet

PHYRE 2 Result:



Fig. 7: Secondary structure generated by Phyre 2 software



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| www.ijirset.com | Impact Factor: 7.512|

||Volume 9, Issue 12, December 2020||

IV. CONCLUSION

On the basis of various structural and physiochemical parameters assessment, this can be concluded that the predicted three dimensional structure of DNA-processing protein DprA is stable. The Ramchandran plot data showed that nearly 68% of the residues for each protein lies in the core region. The maximum percentage of the residues lying in the core region represents the best stereo chemical quality of the protein structure which is further supported by its nearly 68% residues lying in the most favoured and allowed regions. 0.00 % residues lie in the disallowed regions which generally involve steric hindrance between the side chain C-beta methylene group and main chain atoms. Since the percentage residues lying in the disallowed region is much lower than its presence in core and allowed regions the structures generated show best stereochemical quality and stability. The three dimensional structures generated using SWISS Model software and validated by PROCHECK software showed the best identity, lowest energy in Kcal/mol and root mean square deviation (RMSD) were considered as the best optimized and superimposed model.

V.ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to acknowledge ITM University, Gwalior for its timely support and also the groups who supported us directly or indirectly in making this research a success. We would also thank the software without whose support this work would have not been completed.

REFERENCES

- 1. Katharina Pawlowski, Birgitta Bergman, Plant Symbioses with Frankia and Cyanobacteria in Biology of the Nitrogen Cycle, 2007.
- Normand P., Lapierre P., Tisa L.S., Gogarten J.P., Alloisio N., Bagnarol E., Bassi C.A., Berry A.M., Bickhart D.M., Choisne N., Couloux A., Cournoyer B., Cruveiller S., Daubin V., Demange N., Francino M.P., Goltsman E., "Genome characteristics of facultatively symbiotic Frankia sp. strains reflect host range and host plant biogeography." Genome Res. 17:7-15(2007).
- 3. Isabelle Mortier-Barrière, Marion Velten, Pauline Dupaigne, Nicolas Mirouze, Olivier Piétrement, Stephen McGovern, Gwennaele Fichant, Bernard Martin, Philippe Noirot, Eric Le Cam, Patrice Polard, Jean-Pierre Claverys "A key presynaptic role in transformation for a widespread bacterial protein: DprA conveys incoming ssDNA to RecA".
- 4. L C Smeets, J J Bijlsma, E J Kuipers, C M Vandenbroucke-Grauls, J G Kusters. "The dprA gene is required for natural transformation of Helicobacter pylori".
- 5. RCSB. Biological Macromolecular Structures Enabling Breakthroughs in Research and Education.
- 6. Kyte J, Doolittle RF (1982) A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein. Journal of Molecular Biology 157: 105- 132.
- 7. Gasteiger E (2005) Protein Identification and Analysis Tools on the ExPASy Server. In: John M. Walker, The Proteomics Protocols Handbook, Humana Press 571-607.
- 8. Geourjon C, Deléage G (1995) SOPMA: signifi cant improvements in protein secondary structure prediction by consensus prediction from multiple alignments. Comput Appl. Biosci. 11: 681-684.
- 9. Lawrence A Kelley, Stefans Mezulis, Christopher M Yates, Mark N Wass & Michael J E Sternberg. The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis 2015.
- 10. William R. Pearson, Finding Protein and Nucleotide Similarities with FASTA 2016 Mar 24.
- 11. <u>C. Geourjon, G. Deléage</u> SOPMA: significant improvements in protein secondary structure prediction by consensus prediction from multiple alignments *Bioinformatics*, Volume 11, Issue 6, December 1995, Pages 681–684.
- 12. Combet C, Jambon M, Deleage G, Geourjon C (2002) Geno3D: Automatic comparative molecular modelling of protein. Bioinformatics 18: 213-214.
- 13. Arnold K, Bordoli L,Kopp J, Schwede T (2006) The SWISS-MODEL workspace: a web-based environment for protein structure homology modelling. Bioinformatics 22: 195-201.
- 14. Ramachandran GN, Ramakrishnan C, Sasisekhran V (1963) Stereochemistry of polypeptide chain configurations. Journal of Molecular Biology 7: 95-99.
- 15. Laskowski RA, Rullmannn JA, MacArthur MW, Kaptein R, Thornton JM (1996) AQUA and PROCHECK-NMR: programs for checking the quality of protein structures solved by NMR. Journal of Biomol. NMR 8: 477-486.
- 16. Vriend G (1990) WHAT IF: A molecular modeling and drug design program. J. Mol. Graph 8: 52-56.
- 17. Ken A. Dill, S. Banu Ozkan, M. Scott Shell, and Thomas R. Weikl The Protein Folding Problem 2008 Jul 3



Impact Factor: 7.512





INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY





www.ijirset.com