

| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.512|

||Volume 9, Issue 6, June 2020||

Bacteria Based Self-Healing of Concrete Using Curd & Chicken Manure

Rana Vishal¹, Sosa Dipesh², Suthar Pankaj³, Shah Hetvi⁴, Shaikh Abdul Haque⁵,

Prof. Mohammed Qureshi⁶

U.G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, RNGPIT, Surat, Gujarat, India¹

U.G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, RNGPIT, Surat, Gujarat, India²

U.G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, RNGPIT, Surat, Gujarat, India³

U.G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, RNGPIT, Surat, Gujarat, India⁴

U.G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, RNGPIT, Surat, Gujarat, India⁵

Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, RNGPIT, Surat, Gujarat, India⁶

ABSTRACT: Concrete is the most critical element applied in infrastructure/building. In recent researches it is found that some special types of bacteria are can be used as a tool for repair of cracks in already existing concrete structure. This new concrete is essential to repair its crack itself. It reduces the cost of maintenance. It is one such approach on which studies were carried out to investigate crack healing mechanism in enhancing strength and durability of concrete. Microbial activities of bacteria seal the cracks in concrete structure and have excellent resistance to corrosion.

KEYWORDS: Self-Healing Concrete, Bacterial Concrete, Bacillus Subtilis, Calcium Carbonate, Calcium Phosphate, Lactic Acid.

I. INTRODUCTION

The word concrete is derived from a latin word "Concretus" which means condensed and hardened. Concrete is used as building material. It is a homogeneous mixture of cement, sand, aggregate, water, air, admixtures etc.

Modern concrete has a shorter life span. Formation of cracks shortens the longevity of particular construction. Many researches had been attempted to improve concrete in order to get a better longevity among many other things. That's how the concept of self-healing finds its way to concrete.

The purpose of this research is to investigate the nature of microorganism Bacillus Subtilis for enhancement of selfhealing property and strength in concrete. Cracks in concrete are integral and are one of the implicit weaknesses of concrete. Water and other salts percolate through these cracks, corrosion introduce, and thus reduces the life of concrete. So there was a need to develop an inherent self-healing material which can remediate the cracks and fissures in concrete. The concrete structures have various durability issues due to the different conditions and it results to irretrievable damage to the structure and eventually reduction in the strength of concrete structure. Therefore, for enhance the mechanical properties of concrete structures cement is replaced by Bacillus Subtilis. For this purpose Bacillus Subtilis is replaced by 0% (Normal), 0.5 %, 1% & 1.5% by the weight of cement.

II. DEFINITION OF SELF-HEALING

Self healing means which repair itself. When the concrete cracks, and water enters the gap, it comes into contact with the bacteria and the food source, setting the healing process off. The bacteria then feed on calcium lactate, joining the calcium with carbonate to form limestone, fixing the crack.

III. CRACKS IN CONCRETE

Crack means to break or to make something break so that a line appears on the surface, but without breaking into pieces.



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.512|

||Volume 9, Issue 6, June 2020||

A. Types of Cracks

- 1. Sulphate attack cracks.
- 2. Loading cracks.
- 3. Plastic shrinkage cracks.
- 4. Drying shrinkage cracks.
- 5. D-cracking.
- 6. Thermal cracks.
- 7. Settlement cracks.
- 8. Corrosion cracks.

B. Causes of Cracks

Cracks in a building are of common occurrence. Building components develop cracks whenever stress in the components exceeds its strength. There are numerous causes of cracking in concrete, but most instances are related more to concrete specification and construction practices than by stresses due to induced forces.

Formation of cracks in concrete is mainly due to following reasons:

- 1. Thermal Changes
- 2. Elastic Deformation
- 3. Movement Due To Creep
- 4. Chemical Reactions
- 5. Foundation Movement & Settlement of Soil
- 6. Cracking Due To Vegetation

IV. PROCESS OF SELF-HEALING IN CONCRETE

Self-Healing in concrete is carried out by two processes.

- 1. Bacteria- Based Process
- 2. Shape Memory Polymers

A . Bacteria-Based Process

The concept of bacteria-based self healing concrete was developed in the Netherlands, has received considerable interest from the press and is the subject of numerous UK research programmers.

Concrete often cracks due to a variety of processes and in certain circumstances can self-heal those cracks up to width of 0.3mm. Chemical additives can also be added to fresh concrete to improve the self-healing process.

The principle mechanism of bacterial crack healing is that the bacteria themselves act largely as a catalyst, and transform a precursor compound to a suitable filler material which effectively seals newly formed cracks. When bacteria spores are directly added to the concrete their lifetime was found to be limited.

B. Types of Bacteria Used In Concrete

- 1. Bacillus pasteurii
- 2. Bacillnes phaericus
- 3. Escherichia colli
- 4. Bacillus Subtilis
- 5. Bacillus cochnii
- 6. Bacillus pseodofirrius
- 7. Bacillus baloduaris



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.512|

||Volume 9, Issue 6, June 2020||



C . Classification of Bacteria

Figure 1 : Classification of bacteria

V. OUR METHODOLOGY

We used curd and chicken manure, as an economical alternative for a economical bacteria-based self-healing process in a concrete .We extracted water which floats up on curd. As this water is the best source of lactic acid. Also chicken manure contains huge amount of calcium carbonate and calcium phosphate.

We added chicken manure and curd to the concrete.Both the material we used was added to the concrete mix in proportion to total weight of Cement. (0.0%, 0.5%, 1.0% & 1.5%).

When these materials were used in concrete mix then, the lactic acid present in the curd will react with the calcium phosphate present in chicken manure, and forms calcium lactate.

Both the materials (Curd and Manure) are the very much effective alternatives of costly admixtures and chemicals that are already available in markets.

Advantages

- ✓ Improve compressive strength of concrete.
- \checkmark This concrete is economical.
- ✓ Less maintenance required.
- $\checkmark \qquad \text{One time expenditure.}$
- ✓ Reduce permeability of concrete.

Mix Design

By Doing all necessary test we have find the properties of the cement, fine aggregate , Coarse aggregate. We also make concrete mix design of M25 concrete as per IS 10262:2009.Our mix design by mass in proportion Cement : Fine Aggregate : Coarse Aggregate =1 : 1.36 : 2.86. we casted cube by adding four different proportions of Bacillus Subtillis (0.0 % , 0.5%, 1%, 1.5%) and the fixed amount of feed (Curd and Chicken manure) 40 ml each per 0.5% bacteria.

| Water | Cement | Fine Aggregate | Coarse Aggregate |
|-----------|-----------|----------------|------------------|
| 191.6 lit | 425.78 Kg | 578.08 Kg | 1220.09 Kg |
| 0.45 | 1 | 1.36 | 2.86 |

| Table 1 : | Mix | Proportion | By | Mass |
|-----------|-----|------------|----|------|
|-----------|-----|------------|----|------|

| Water | Cement | Fine aggregate | Coarse aggregate |
|----------|--------|----------------|------------------|
| 22.5 lit | 50 kg | 68 kg | 143 kg |

| Table 2 . | · Quar | ıtities | for | 1 | bag | of c | ement |
|-----------|--------|---------|-----|---|-----|------|-------|
|-----------|--------|---------|-----|---|-----|------|-------|



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| www.ijirset.com | Impact Factor: 7.512|

||Volume 9, Issue 6, June 2020||

Compressive Strength Test

Compressive strength of concrete is the strength of hardened concrete measured by the compression test. The compression strength of concrete is a measure of the concrete's ability to resist loads which tend to compress it.

We have test the cube for knowing the compressive strength of the cubes by the CTM machine at the 14 days and 28 days after the cube casted.

The following result has been found out by us

| Days | Percentage | Cube no. | Area(mm ²) | Peak Load (kN) | Strength (N/mm ²) | Average | |
|------|------------|----------|------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------|--|
| | | 1 | 21952 | 468.26 | 21.33 | | |
| | 0.0% | 2 | 22362 | 501.2 | 22.41 | 21.74 | |
| | | 3 | 22333 | 479.32 | 21.46 | 1 | |
| | | 1 | 22160 | 509.5 | 22.99 | | |
| | 0.5% | 2 | 21352 | 541 | 25.34 | | |
| 14 | | 3 | 21366 | 493.3 | 23.09 | | |
| 14 | | 1 | 23431 | 708.5 | 30.24 | | |
| | 1.0% | 2 | 23354 | 763.4 | 32.69 | 31.34 | |
| | | 3 | 22571 | 702 | 31.10 | | |
| | | 1 | 22046 | 614.6 | 27.88 | | |
| | 1.5% | 2 | 22635 | 608.2 | 26.87 | 28.40 | |
| | | 3 | 22571 | 687.2 | 30.45 | | |
| | | 1 | 23206 | 608.12 | 26.21 | | |
| | 0.0% | 2 | 22190 | 639.26 | 28.81 | 27.10 | |
| | | 3 | 22465 | 590.35 | 26.28 | | |
| | | 1 | 23788 | 671.8 | 28.24 | | |
| | 0.5% | 2 | 22443 | 660.8 | 29.44 | 30.30 | |
| 29 | | 3 | 22936 | 762.1 | 33.23 | | |
| 28 | | 1 | 22620 | 823.1 | 36.39 | | |
| | 1.0% | 2 | 22722 | 825.9 | 36.35 | 37.33 | |
| | | 3 | 22560 | 885.7 | 39.26 |] | |
| | | 1 | 22835 | 870.9 | 38.14 | | |
| | 1.5% | 2 | 22609 | 737.7 | 32.63 | 35.79 | |
| | | 3 | 22490 | 823.3 | 36.61 | | |

Table 3 : Compressive Strength of Cubes



Figure 2 : Compressive strength of concrete at 14 days



Figure 3 : Compressive strength of concrete at 28 days



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.512|

||Volume 9, Issue 6, June 2020||



| Sample | Length | Width | Dia | Area |
|--------|----------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Square | 0.0mm | 0.0 mm | 0.0 mm | 0 mm2 |
| Act | Load kN | | | Test No |
| Acc | | 2000,0 | | |
| 5 | 370.4 | 2700-0 | | |
| Pea | kload kN | 2400.0 | | |
| Fed | | 2100.0 | | |
| 5 | 385.1 | 1000.0 | | |
| | Kg/cm2 | 1508.0 | | |
| | 00 | 1200-0 | | |
| - | 0.0 | 909.0 | | |
| | N/mm2 | 600.8 | | |
| | 00 | | | |
| | 0.0 | | | 1 |
| Stop | Hold E | lack 82913154 | 92114132 92115119 | 02115148 021161 |

Figure 4 : Peak Load on the Cubes

VI. ULTRASONIC PULSE VELOCITY TEST

The ultrasonic pulse is generated by an electro acoustical transducer. ,When the pulse is induced into the concrete from a transducer, it undergoes multiple reflections at the boundaries of the different material phases within the concrete. It's generally used to find the presence of voids and cracks.

Following result we have found out by us

| Cured berice (%) Cube No. | | | | | | | Average | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----|---|---|------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|------|------|------|----|
| | | Pulse velocity by Cross Probing before breaking Cube (km/s) | Pulse velocity by Cross Probing After breaking the cube following days (km/s) | | Before Breaking (km/s) | After Breaking the Cube (km/s) | | | | | |
| | | | | | 0 | 14 | 28 | | 0 | 14 | 28 |
| | | 1 | 4.26 | 2.57 | 2.57 | 2.57 | 4.24 | 2.61 | 2.61 | 2.61 | |
| | 0 | 2 | 4.23 | 2.73 | 2.73 | 2.73 | | | | | |
| | | 3 | 4.23 | 2.53 | 2.53 | 2.53 | | | | | |
| | | 1 | 4.23 | 2.72 | 2.93 | 3.35 | | | | | |
| | 0.5 | 2 | 4.24 | 2.59 | 2.92 | 3.17 | 4.26 | 2.63 | 2.85 | 3.19 | |
| 20 | | 3 | 4.31 | 2.59 | 2.71 | 3.04 | | | | | |
| 20 | | 1 | 4.27 | 2.82 | 3.34 | 3.86 | | | | | |
| | 1.0 | 2 | 4.26 | 2.68 | 3.11 | 3.64 | 4.23 2. | 2.74 | 3.16 | 3.78 | |
| | | 3 | 4.15 | 2.73 | 3.03 | 3.85 | | | | | |
| | | 1 | 4.20 | 2.75 | 2.92 | 3.39 | | 2.71 | | | |
| | 1.5 | 2 | 4.31 | 2.63 | 2.82 | 3.13 | 4.28 | | 2.91 | 3.27 | |
| | | 3 | 4.32 | 2.75 | 2.97 | 3.28 | | | | | |

Table 4 : Pulse Velocity by Cross Probing

Before testing the strength of concrete we conducted UPV test on cubes and after the breaking the cubes or the checking the strength of cubes we again conducted UPV test. After breaking the cubes by CTM we also test UPV on this cubes after 14 days and 28 days



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.512|

||Volume 9, Issue 6, June 2020||

As the UPV test gives the result of quality of concrete we can see after the 14 & 28 days the pulse velocity increases as it shows that the quality of concrete is also increase that describe somehow the void and crack in the concrete self healed.



Figure 5 : Pulse Velocity by cross Probing after breaking cubes



Figure 6 : Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity on Cubes

VII. CONCLUSION

> We conclude that the concrete cubes, which we casted by adding three different proportions of Bacillus Subtillis (0.5%, 1%, 1.5%) and the fixed amount of feed (Curd and Chicken manure) 40 ml each per 0.5% bacteria heals itself. > We've performed several tests on the cubes like determining strength of the cubes on compression testing machine (also with the use of this test we've generated cracks on cubes) and Ultrasonic pulse velocity test (to determine the quality of concrete).



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 7.512|

||Volume 9, Issue 6, June 2020||

> The graph of Pulse velocity to the days shows that the graph for 1.0 % is more faster healing cubes than other proportions.

> With the help of the above listed test, we conclude that the concrete cube with the 1% proportion of the bacterial mix is more effective (both in terms of strength and healing).

REFERENCES

1) H.M. Jonkers , E. Schlangen : "Bacteria based self-healing concrete" Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands

2) Tae-Ho Ahn and Toshiharu Kishi: "Crack Self-healing Behavior of Cementitious Composites Incorporating Various Mineral Admixtures" Journal of Advanced Concrete Technology Vol. 8, No. 2, 171-186, June 2010

3) Karthik Chintalapudi , Rama Mohan Rao. P: "Properties of bacterial-based self-healing concrete" International Journal of ChemTech Research CODEN (USA): IJCRGG ISSN: 0974-4290 Vol.9, No.02 pp 182-188, 2016

4) C. Mohanasundharam, R.Jeevakkumar, K.Shankar : "An Experimental Study on Performance of Bacteria in Concrete" International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer Science & Technology (IJIRCST) ISSN: 2347-5552, Volume-2, Issue-6, November-2014

5) Gehad A. M. Metwally ,Mohamed G. E. Mahdy ,Ahmed H. A. EL-Raheem : "Effectiveness of Bacillus Pasteurii on Properties of Self-Healing Concrete" IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE) e-ISSN: 2278-1684,p-ISSN: 2320-334X, Volume 17, Issue 1 Ser. IV (Jan - Feb 2020), PP 13-22

6)Ms. Snehal Walke , Ms.Vishakha Bade , Ms.Geetanjali Bankar , Ms.Khatke Radhika , Ms.Mundhe Priti : "BEHAVIOUR OF BACTERIAL CONCRETE BY VARYING TYPES OF BACTERIA" Proceedings of Conference on Advances on Trends in Engineering Projects (NCTEP-2019) In Association with Novateur Publications IJIERT-ISSN No: 2394-3696

7)Senthil vel.M, **Balamurugan.S**, **Navaneetha.B**: "Experimental study on self healing concrete by using bacteria(Escherichia coli) " International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research Volume 10, Issue 3, March-2019 ISSN 2229-5518

8)Ankit Ghatiya , Dr. Umesh Pendharkar : "Experimental Investigation on Mechanical Properties of Self-healing Concrete by using Bacillus Pseudofirmus" International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) Volume: 04 Issue: 11 | Nov -2017, e-ISSN: 2395-0056 , p-ISSN: 2395-0072

9) Simranjit singh raina, Er. Sandeep Singla, Dr. Vs Batra : "Comparative analysis of compressive strength and water absorption in bacterial concrete" IJEDR 2018 | Volume 6, Issue 3 | ISSN: 2321-9939

10)Suman R. Tabiyar, **Dr. V.R. Patel**, **Krishna Nai3**: " A Review on Experimental Study of Bacterial based Self-Healing Concrete" International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) ISSN: 2321-9653; IC Value: 45.98; Volume 6 Issue VII, July 2018

11)S. Dinesh, **R. Shanmugapriyan**, **S.T. Namitha Sheen**: "A Review on Bacteria - Based Self-Healing Concrete " Imperial Journal of Interdisciplinary Research (IJIR) Vol-3, Issue-1, 2017 ISSN: 2454-1362,

12) Ashwinkumar A. Kalaje, Prof. M. Manjunath Prof. Santosh A. Kadapure : "An Experimental Investigation on the Strength and Durability Aspects of Bacterial Concrete with Fly Ash" An Experimental Investigation on the Strength and Durability Aspects of Bacterial Concrete with Fly Ash, IISTE, ISSN 2224-5790 (Paper), Vol.6, No.6

13) Kartik M. Gajjar: "A Study of Performance of Bacillus Lentus on Concrete Cracks" Volume: 2, ISSN - 2250-1991

14) Mohit Goyal, P. Krishna Chaitanya : "Behaviour of Bacterial Concrete as Self-Healing Material" IJETAE, ISSN 2250-2459, ISO 9001:2008

15)Dilja Rose Joseph, Life John: "Strength Assessment of Fly Ash Modified Microbial Concrete" IJESC, Volume 7 Issue No.4

16)Mayur Shantilal Vekariya Prof. Jayeshkumar Pitroda: "Bacterial Concrete new era for construction industry" IJETT, Volume 4

17) Vijeth N Kashyap, Radhakrishna (2013) : " A Study on effect of bacteria on cement components" IJRET, eISSN: 2319-1163

18) Etaveni Madhavi Dr. Rahul Naik (2016): "Strength Properties of a Bacterial Concrete with Flyash and GGBS" International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT) ISSN: 2278-0181 Vol. 5 Issue 02, February-2016

19) Yingzi Yang, Michael D. Lepech , En-Hua Yang , Victor C. Li : "Self-healing of Engineered Cementitious Composites (ECC)" Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2125, USA, 2009.

20) Li, V.C., Lim Y.M. and Chan Y-W. : "Feasibility study of a passive smart self-healing cementitious composite" Composites Part B 29B (1998) 819-827

21) IS 10262 (2009): "Guidelines for concrete mix design proportioning" [CED 2: Cement and Concrete]

22) IS 516 (1959): "Method of Tests for Strength of Concrete" [CED 2: Cement and Concrete]

23) IS 13311-1 (1992): "Method of Non-destructive testing of concrete, Part 1: Ultrasonic pulse velocity" [CED 2: Cement and Concrete]