

e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710

TEDIA

International Journal of Innovative Research in SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 11, Issue 7, July 2022

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA

Impact Factor: 8.118

9940 572 462

6381 907 438

 \bigcirc



e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710 <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 8.118

Volume 11, Issue 7, July 2022

| DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2022.1107098 |

Evaluation of Bond Strength between Old and New Concrete in Structural Joints

Satyajeet Parihar¹, Prof. Bharati Changhode²

Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, GH Raisoni University Amravati, Maharashtra, India¹

Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, GH Raisoni University Amravati, Maharashtra, India²

ABSTRACT: Great security strength among overlay and substrate is a vital figure execution of substantial fixes. This proposal was pointed toward concentrating on the assessment of security strength between fix material and substrate at the connection point. Many factors, for example, surface harshness, presence of miniature breaks, compaction, restoring and so on impact the bond strength. The quality confirmation of the bond strength requires test strategies that can measure the security strength as well as recognize the disappointment mode. There have been various examinations prompted improvement of various test techniques. The powers which are applied in each test and the disappointment mode are significant to pick the appropriate test. An interpretive concentrate on test techniques is introduced. While this review can give independently helpful data on bond strength and bond portrayal, it likewise contains conversations about each test and correlation of test techniques.

KEYWORDS: Cracks, Curing, Compression, Concrete Repair

I. INTRODUCTION

Concrete fixing basically incorporates eliminating shaky cement and supplanting it with fix or overlay materials. One of the vital necessities for any sort of fix framework is to have sufficient security strength between the current substantial substrate and overlay all through the help life. At the point when a maintenance is played out, the distinctions in the properties of two materials will influence bond strength and stress circulation. Of specific significance are contrasts in shrinkage, versatile modulus and warm development.

The maintenance framework can be considered as a three stage composite framework: substrate, overlay and security zone. Bond zone here alludes to the point of interaction and area of bond plane. The bond zone should be fit for enduring the anxieties forced on the framework. Various variables significantly affect the bond strength and its honesty.

The quality confirmation of bond strength requires test techniques that can measure the bond strength as well as recognize the disappointment mode. There have been various examinations prompted advancement of various test techniques. The powers which are applied in each test and the disappointment mode are significant to pick the appropriate test. Tests are characterized both in research center and field. An interpretive concentrate on test techniques is introduced which orders and looks at the tests in light of the applied power, disappointment mode and reasonable significance of each test.

As the disappointment modes are either in pressure or in shear, two test techniques are more pertinent; initial one is "Pull off test" which is a pliable test and it very well may be performed both in situ and in the lab. The straightforwardness and precision of the test has made it the most famous test technique in projects. The subsequent one is "incline shear test", which has the shear disappointment mode and it very well may be done exclusively in the lab. The supposed Twist off test is a somewhat new test technique to evaluate the bond strength in the connection point. The upside of the test is the likelihood to perform it in the building site. The test actually needs further turns of events.

Targets

- This study was pointed toward covering the accompanying boundaries:
- The significance of bond strength in substantial fix projects
- Different variables impacting interlayer bond strength at the connection point of the substantial fixes
- Different test strategies to decide the security strength
- Conversations and correlation of test strategies



e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710 <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 8.118

Volume 11, Issue 7, July 2022

| DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2022.1107098 |

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

J. Revathy, et.al (2020)

A research center examination was led to deliver rich and flowablenano silica-based cementations networks that might be utilized for underlying fix and retrofit. To decide the strength and solidness characteristics of Nano-based concrete composites, research was finished. The flowablenano-silica cementitious mortar had a significant improvement in compressive strength in the scope of 7.5-half over the control concrete mortar, as per the discoveries. Cementitious lattices' parting elasticity improved from 2.28 to 3.98 MPa. When contrasted with the other mortar examples, nano-silica with a 3 percent content showed minimal measure of water ingestion. As per the discoveries, 3% nano-silica may be utilized to make a flowablenano SiO2 based mortar. It beat the opposition with regards to strength and solidness. In contrast with the control segment, ferrocement jacketed sections have a heap conveying limit of generally 40% and a 95 percent pivotal relocation. In all of the ferrocement jacketed segments, a flexible component of disappointment was noticed.

Murat Engindeniz, et.al (2020)

This examination incorporates a total modern writing search comparative with the presentation of non seismically planned supported cement footer section joints, as well as fix and reinforcing approaches, distributed somewhere in the range of 1975 and 2003. 1) epoxy fix; 2) evacuation and substitution; 3) concrete jacketing; 4) substantial workmanship unit jacketing; 5) steel jacketing and establishment of outside steel components; and 6) fortifying with fiber-built up polymeric (FRP) composite applications were among the procedures utilized. Every strategy for fix or reinforcing is inspected exhaustively, with an emphasis on the application subtleties, work prerequisites, pertinence reach, and execution. The overall advantages and disadvantages of every technique are investigated.

Varinder Singh, et.al. (2014)

The impact of retrofitting CFRP coats on the strength of shaft section intersections at first stressed to three different feelings of anxiety is accounted for in this paper. Under static stacking conditions, 9 RC bar section joints were inspected. CFRP reinforcing has been done for bar section joints previously pushed to three different feelings of anxiety. In two layers, the coats were given in a L-shape and at a 45-degree point to the intersection. The last burden has worked on because of the discoveries. conveying limit (7-12%) of the retrofitted radiates, when contrasted with the control radiates alongside an increment of 15% in yield load for every one of such outs ide shaft section joints

ParatibhaAggarwal, et.al (2015)

The impact of nano-silica expansion on the mechanical, strength, and microstructure attributes of glue, mortar, and cement are summed up in this survey paper. It depicts the present status of the nano-silica application in glue, mortar, and cement. At long last, the future pattern/capability of nano-silica in concrete based materials is analyzed, as well as its ramifications.

III. METHODOLOGY

Crack Repairs

Hairline breaks, breaks in walkways, openings in substantial walls or even little broken corners don't require enormous scope support. These are for the most part because of additional burdens applied to the surface, freezing and defrosting, or wearing issues. Typically in these cases basic apparatuses and materials are utilized. Break fixing is finished following this technique (Glenn Smoak, 2002);

a) Cleaning the region, involving cleanser on the off chance that the region is sleek

b) Widening and growth the breaks by mallet or cold etch (the break should be more extensive at the base than at the top, this is called undermining)

c) Removing all free material and brushing the region

d) Moistening the region and hanging tight for vanishing of surface water

e) Using a few pitches or glue and afterward grouting a Portland concrete mortar (all the fix should be filled once)

f) Smoothing and evening out the surface with scoop

g) Curing for certain days

Expulsion and Micro Cracks

To acquire appropriate bond strength the surface should be ready preceding playing out the overlay. In the maintenance works when the substrate is concrete before surface planning the weakened cement should be eliminated since it might harm the new layer and it needs more strength. It is likewise suggested when the substrate is rock, to eliminate the layer of artificially or precisely harmed rock before surface arrangement.



e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710 <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 8.118

||Volume 11, Issue 7, July 2022||

| DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2022.1107098 |

There are a few strategies to set up the surface. What's more, it is very essential to pick the most ideal way since it affects bond in the connection point.

A few strategies can eliminate a slender layer of cement, while others can eliminate material to a huge profundity. It is essential to think about that if the surface delivered by an energetic technique, for example pounding, the surface will be exceptionally harsh however miniature breaks will be instigated just underneath the pre-arranged surface, making it frail



Figure 1 A) Substrate surface partially chipped, B) Substrate surface prepared with steel brush

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mohr's Circle can be used to find stresses in different elements and angles. Figure 5.3 has shown elements under uniaxial compression and pure shear stresses and their related Mohr circles. Combining the failure envelope of a material and the stresses on the Mohr circle, it could be easier to understand the failure modes and the types of stresses needed for a specific material to meet the failure criteria

Figure 2 represents the typical failure envelope of the concrete as a brittle material in the plane states of stresses. According to the failure types the different test methods can be classified; the aim of each test method is to apply certain stresses in the certain directions to approach the failure and measure the failure stresses. It is important to place the bond surface in the correct angle in which the failure might happen.



Figure 2 Failure envelope of the concrete

Pulling off rect tension is applied to the disc. A calibrated hydraulic machine can be used. The tension force increase continues until the specimen fails. The failure mode and failure force are recorded.



Figure 3 Pull-off test procedure



e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710 www.ijirset.com | Impact Factor: 8.118

Volume 11, Issue 7, July 2022

| DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2022.1107098 |



Figure 4.Pull-off testing procedure; surface preparation, disc attachment, partial coring, pulling off (Brochure of German Instruments Co.)

Although little standardization has yet happened, the pull off test is a favored test in many codes. In the British Standard BS 1881 : Part 207(1992) the guidelines indicate that the center of coring should be at least one core diameter away from the edge and two core diameter from the other cores. Six valid tests are sufficient for a specific area according to BS. The BS loading rate is 0.05±0.03 MPa per second. The coefficient of variation around 10 percent is acceptable. The European Standard indicates that the core should be drilled one inch into the substrate.

Incline shear test

One of the most well-known kinds of holding tests is "Inclination Shear Test" in which the connection point is under consolidated condition of pressure and shear stresses. This test was first introduced as "Arizona Slant Shear Test" (Kreigh, 1976) and later after certain improvements was normalized in British Standard, BS 6319: Part 4 for testing the maintenance materials. ASTM C882-99 likewise furnishes the strategy of security estimation with a similar test technique. Wall and Shrive (1998) altered the test by utilizing crystal with the length multiple times the cross area aspect rather than the chamber. As much of the time the genuine anxieties in structures have the shear part, this test is addressing what is going on more near the building site.



Figure 5. Slant shear test

The thought behind the test is the thought for the normal compressive strength test. In compressive test, substantial disappointment occurs because of the shear breaks in the slope plane. The point of disappointment plane with flat heading is hypothetically somewhere in the range of 50° and 70° (Figure 4.5 C), so 60° could be a legitimate suspicion. Subsequently in this test strategy the connection point is put leaned with a similar point and a compressive power is applied to the framework.

Test results for pull-off test and torsion test (Silfwerbrand, 2003)

| Reference | Pull of tests | | | | Torsion test | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------|-----------|---------|--------------|---------|-----------|---------|--|--|--|
| | Number | Average | Number of | Avarage | Number | Avarage | Number of | Avarage | | | |
| | of cores | failure | interface | failure | of cores | failure | interface | failure | | | |
| | | stress | failure | stress | | stress | failure | stress | | | |
| | | (MPa) | | (MPa) | | (MPa) | | (MPa) | | | |
| Caste in place concrete | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| KTH Lab | 15 | 1.98 | 1 | 2.23 | 9 | 3.97 | 0 | - | | | |
| (Waterjet) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| KTH Lab | 16 | 1.10 | 5 | 0.96 | 9 | 3.42 | 0 | - | | | |
| (hammer) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| KTH Lab | 8 | 2.38 | 3 | 1.73 | 5 | 4.51 | 1 | 3.93 | | | |
| (sandbl.) | | | | | | | | | | | |



e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710 <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 8.118

||Volume 11, Issue 7, July 2022||

DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2022.1107098

| Trolhatten (waterjet) | 10 | 1.46 | 2 | 1.50 | 10 | 3.50 | 0 | - | | |
|--------------------------|----|------|---|------|----|------|---|---|--|--|
| Shotcrete | | | | | | | | | | |
| KTH Lab (waterjet) | 16 | 1.72 | 0 | - | 16 | 3.35 | 0 | - | | |
| Gnosjo (waterjet) | 9 | 0.38 | ? | - | 9 | 2.85 | ? | - | | |

V. CONCLUSION

Test strategies conversation

The quality affirmation of bond strength requires test strategies that can measure the security strength as well as distinguish the disappointment mode. There have been various examinations prompted improvement of various test strategies. The powers which are applied in each test and the disappointment mode are significant to pick the appropriate test. Tests are characterized both in research center and field.

There is as yet an inquiry that should be responded to, what sort of test is proper overall for the genuine ventures? Back to the five classifications of holding tests, the shear tests and roundabout ductile test have least fame among the tests. They all should be finished in the lab and there are additionally different impediments with them as it has been talked about previously. The wind off test is by all accounts a legitimate test; it is an in-situ test strategy and shear force is applied, yet the test is moderately new yet needs to have greater turn of events and scientific examinations. Incline shear test and pull off test are very well known. Without a doubt the draw off test is the most famous and world generally acknowledged one. Since the test is straightforward, simple to set up, and in-situ test. Moreover, time and monetary issues are likewise significant in a task, which is one of the principal reasons of spread use of pull-off test, since it tends to be performed and prompted the outcome in a brief time frame. Furthermore, since substantial materials generally show shortcoming in strain, an elastic test is in need.

In situations when there is plausible to pick between these two, the creator's thought is to utilize the test which is more appropriate to the circumstance of the building site. For instance in the maintenance of asphalt or extension deck there is consistently a compressive power joined with shear, and there is less strain. Be that as it may, for certain cases the strain is higher. Obviously in the circumstance that both strain and pressure exist the shortcoming is from the elastic side..

REFERENCES

- [1] American Society for Testing and Materials. (1999). Standard Test Method for Bond Strength of Epoxy-Resin System Used with Concrete by Slant Shear, ASTM C 882-99. Philadelphia, PA: ASTM International Standards.
- [2] American Society for Testing and Materials. (2004). Standard Test Method for Splitting Tensile Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens, ASTM C 496/C 496M. Philadelphia, PA: ASTM International Standards.
- [3] American Society for Testing and Materials. (2004). Standard Test Method for Tensile Strength of Concrete Surfaces and the Bond Strength or Tensile Strength of Concrete Repair and Overlay Materials by Direct Tension (Pull-off Method), ASTM C1583/C1583M. Philadelphia, PA: ASTM International Standards.
- [4] Atzeni, C., Massidda, L., and Sanna, U. (1993). Dimensional Variations, Capillary Absorption and Freeze-Thaw Resistance of Repair Mortars Admixed with Polymers. Cement and Concrete Research, 23, 301-308.
- [5] Austin, S., Robins, P., and Pan, Y. (1995). Tensile Bond Testing of Concrete Repairs. Material and Structures, 28, 249-259.
- [6] Austin, S., Robins, P., and Pan, Y. (1999). Shear Bond Testing of Concrete Repairs. Cement and Concrete Research, 29, 1067-1076.
- [7] Beaupre, D. (1999). Bond Strength of Shotcrete Repair. Shotcrete Magazine, 1, (2), 12-15.
- [8] Bonaldo, E., Barros, J.A.O and Lourenco, P.B. (2005). Bond Characterization between Concrete Substrate and Repairing SFRC Using Pull-Off Testing. International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives, 25, 463-474.
- [9] British Standards. (1984). Slant Shear Test Method for Evaluating Bond Strength of Epoxy Systems, BS 6319, Part 4. London: British Standard Institution BSI
- [10] Cement Concrete and Aggregates Australia. (2006). Compaction of Concrete. Sydney: CCAA.





Impact Factor: 8.118





INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY





www.ijirset.com