



e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710

# IJIRSET

International Journal of Innovative Research in  
**SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY**



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 11, Issue 6, June 2022

**ISSN** INTERNATIONAL  
STANDARD  
SERIAL  
NUMBER  
INDIA

Impact Factor: 8.118



9940 572 462



6381 907 438



ijirset@gmail.com



www.ijirset.com

# Hazard Analysis for Tower Crane Erection and Dismantling

Nagarajan. B. N<sup>1</sup>, Thanumalaya Perumal.S<sup>2</sup>

P.G. Student, Department of Industrial Safety, FX Engineering College, Tirunelveli India<sup>1</sup>

Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical, FX Engineering College, Tirunelveli, India<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT:** Tower cranes are using in many hierarchy buildings and constructions works. Tower cranes are main tool in material shifting process. It's used to savings in time and man power in construction and Mechanical industrials. Tower crane erection and dismantling time many hazards are having in those processes. Will use to prevent that hazard by use of JHA and HIRA methods. Tower crane mishaps regularly happen in the development business, frequently bringing about setbacks. The use of pinnacle cranes includes numerous stages including establishment, utilization, climbing, and destroying. In addition, the perils related with the utilization of pinnacle cranes can change and be proliferated during stage variation. Notwithstanding, past investigations certainly stand out to the distinctions and danger spreads between stages. In this examination, these risks are researched during various development stages. The engendering of risks between stages is investigated to foster fitting wellbeing the executive's conventions as indicated by every particular stage. At last, measures are proposed to keep away from an unfriendly effect between the stages. A consolidated technique is likewise proposed to distinguish peril proliferation, which fills in as a source of perspective and adds to somewhere safe and secure administration and mishap counteraction during various pinnacle crane works in the development cycle

## I. INTRODUCTION

Tower crane is the help of the development business; however tower crane mishaps are still excessively incessant. In spite of the critical advancement in tower crane wellbeing research, framework thinking- based approaches are deficient. The point of this paper is to break down tower crane wellbeing from a complex socio specialized framework viewpoint through executing both subjective and quantitative investigation strategies. Qualities of five pinnacle crane wellbeing framework parts are summed up with another structure involved five framework put together levels based with respect to Rasmussen's gamble the board hypothesis. 56 contributing elements of pinnacle crane wellbeing were recognized. The Accimap Technique was applied to subjectively fabricate a conventional model for tower crane well being, which thoroughly presents the frameworks levels and easygoing ways of the contributing variables. An overview was directed to quantitatively explore the pinnacle crane security framework. Nine fundamental aspects and 25 basic elements were found relating to the pinnacle crane wellbeing framework. These outcomes give another focal point to tower crane wellbeing and contribute new frameworks thinking applications in tower crane security the executives.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Loads of examination has been completed on tower crane security, which incorporates mishap investigation, meetings and reviews of building destinations as well as demonstrating examination (Shin, 2015).

Research on the activity period of pinnacle cranes wellbeing is bountiful. Beavers et al. (2006) broke down crane mishaps happening in the USA somewhere in the range of 1997 and 2003 and observed that low security execution of the crane drivers and slingers were the primary driver of crane mishaps.

Sertyesilisik et al. (2010) examined the lifting activity in Britain and found that experience and security information on the lifting group should have been reinforced.

Cap and Fung (2011) found the principal factors affecting pinnacle crane wellbeing were carelessness or misconception of members, lacking preparation, staggered subcontracting frameworks and timetable tension. Their poll overview and organized interviews showed arrangement of safe frameworks and security programs exhaustive examination,



successful correspondence and arrangement of wellbeing and a review to explore security factors impacting tower crane activity and distinguished 21 variables gathered into four classifications: project conditions (hindrances and blocked site, electrical cables, blind lifts, covering cranes, sight distance and point, taxi ergonomics, length of work shift, different dialects, administrator helps and sort of burden); climate (winds, climate and perceivability); human component (administrator capability, administrator character, business source, and director character and sign individual experience); security the board (site-level administration, organization level administration and upkeep the executives).

### III. METHODOLOGY

1. HIRA – Hazard Identification and risk assessment for TowerCrane
2. JHA – Job hazard analysis for TowerCrane

#### HIRA – HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT FOR TOWER CRANE:

(TASK/OPERATIONAL STEPS Job/Activities/Plant/ Materials or Process (Source of Hazard?))	HAZARD DESCRIPTION (Unsafe Act or Condition?)	BASIC CAUSE OF HAZARD (Personal, job, environment factors?)	INITIAL RISK RATING				Current Control Measures Engineering & Administrative
			F	P	S	RR	
1). Installing of the rails.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Heavy Steel</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Heavy steel can cause foot injuries if fall on employees feet</li> <li>Nip and pinch Points</li> </ul>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Employees to be made aware when lifting and placing the rails</li> <li>Constant supervision from supervisor while tasks commence</li> </ul>
2). Assemble crane under carriage on the previously constructed rail track	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not using the correct tools for the job and failure to use correct PPE</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Heavy steel can cause foot injuries if fall on employees feet</li> <li>Nip and pinch points</li> </ul>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Supervisor to ensure the correct tools and PPE to be used for the job</li> </ul>

<p><b>3).Install 3 x mast sections by means of crane</b> a)Selection of equipment</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Defective equipment</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Selection of faulty rigging equipment and inspections not done can lead to:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Serious injury to employees,</li> <li>property damage</li> <li>Fatalities</li> <li>LTI's</li> <li>Production Loss</li> <li>Costs to company</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	3	3	3	27	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All rigging equipment will be selected by a competent person</li> <li>Competency certificates will be on file</li> <li>All serial numbers and SWL has to be visible on equipment.</li> <li>Color coding tags has to be visible</li> <li>Lifting equipment that does not meet the safe for work requirement must be removed and to be locked up in quarantine until repaired</li> <li>Lifting equipment that has been condemned for any reason or unsafe for use due to wear and tear must be discarded and removed</li> </ul>
<p><b>4)Rigger/ Banksman/Slinger</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Incompetency</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Serious injuries to employees</li> <li>Property damage</li> <li>Fatalities</li> <li>LTI's</li> <li>Costs to company</li> </ul>	3	3	3	27	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rigger must be medically fit and strong to handle lifting gear</li> <li>Rigger must be trained and declared competent by a registered training provider in the general principles of rigging</li> <li>Must have a good</li> </ul>

							understanding in the safe working and effects of loads loads
							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rigger must be capable of directing the safe movement of the crane and loads to ensure the safety of all personnel</li> </ul>
5.)Crane Operator	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Incompetent crane operator</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Property damage</li> <li>• Fatalities</li> <li>• LTI's</li> <li>• Costs to company</li> </ul>	3	3	3	27	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Operator must have a competency certificate before he can operate</li> <li>• Operator must be declared medical fit for his job function</li> <li>• Operator must be sufficiently trained in the mechanical appreciation of the machine to do routine maintenance and recognize faults</li> </ul>

**JHA – JOB HAZARD ANALYSIS FOR TOWER CRANE:**

Sl. No.	Sequence of the Job	Potential Hazards	Protective measures to be taken
1	Shifting of tower crane material from store area to work spot	1. Fall of tower crane material while shifting	<input type="checkbox"/> All tower cranes' part should be firmly tied with trailer body rope & turn buckles should be provided for extra tension. <input type="checkbox"/> Packing should be provided at all sharp edges.
		2. Hand/Finger cut injury	<input type="checkbox"/> Pre job briefing about the job to be done for the employees. <input type="checkbox"/> Safety appliances like safety helmets, safety gloves, safety shoes provided and used. <input type="checkbox"/> While slinging check the material is in steady condition. <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient illumination (100 lux) should be ensured at loading /unloading area.
		3. Toppling of crane/trap in the soil.	<input type="checkbox"/> Authorized operator shall be deployed for crane operation. <input type="checkbox"/> Soil stability / unevenness of the crane accessible area shall be checked before parking the crane. <input type="checkbox"/> No load shall be lifted beyond SWL (Safe Working Load) <input type="checkbox"/> Only authorized signalman shall give signal for material handling.
		4. Failure of lifting tools/tackles & fall of material/sudden boom down/hit of hook block with	<input type="checkbox"/> Inspect all the tools/tackles visually on daily basis or before starting job like wear and tear.



		boom end by over lift/less No. of falls/kinking effect/bird gage/locking failure /over stress in lifting sling.	<input type="checkbox"/> Ensure that only tested tools and tackles are in use. <input type="checkbox"/> Overloading shall be avoided. <input type="checkbox"/> All safety gadgets in the crane shall be ensured before deploying the crane. <input type="checkbox"/> Mechanical condition of crane shall be checked daily. <input type="checkbox"/> Authorized riggers to be deployed for rigging activity. <input type="checkbox"/> Make shift arrangement should be avoided.
--	--	---	---

#### IV. CONCLUSION

This paper examines the entire course of the pinnacle crane on the building site. The risks of each stage are distinguished through an orderly examination strategy. The outcomes show the distinctions and relations between the risks of stages. The outcomes can give a reference to tower crane mishap counteraction. The principal ends and commitment of this examination are as per the following:

The examination found that JHA joined with HIRA is a compelling technique for risk investigation during the various stages. For the most part, in this examination, the pinnacle crane dangers that can emerge at the building site during various stages were dissected. The risk ID and arrangement methodology utilized in this examination are subjective and are essentially appropriate for relative and cycle examination of various stages. In resulting research, quantitative estimations will be examined for additional characterization of these perils

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Coral-Enriquez, S. Pulido-Guerrero, and J. Cortés-Romero, "Vigorous aggravation dismissal based control with expanded state thunderous spectator for influence decrease in unsure pinnacle cranes," *International Journal of Automation and Computing*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 812-827, 2019. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
2. E. Brunesi, S. Peloso, and R. Pinho, "Shake-table testing of a full-scale two-story precast divider section divider structure," *Earthquake Spectra*, vol. 35, no. 4, p. 1583, 2019. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
3. W. Zhou, T. Zhao, W. Liu, and J. Tang, "Pinnacle crane security on building destinations: a complex sociotechnical framework point of view," *Safety Science*, vol. 109, pp. 95-108, 2018. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
4. Shapira and B. Lyachin, "Recognizable proof and examination of variables influencing wellbeing on building destinations with tower cranes," *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, vol. 135, no. 1, pp. 24-33, 2009. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
5. J. E. Beavers, J. R. Moore, R. Rinehart, and W. R. Schiver, "Crane-related fatalities in the development business," *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, vol. 132, no. 9, pp. 901-910, 2006. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
6. G. Raviv, B. Fishbain, and A. Shapira, "Breaking down risk factors in crane-related close miss and mishap reports," *Safety Science*, vol. 91, pp. 192-205, 2017. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar



**INNO SPACE**  
SJIF Scientific Journal Impact Factor  
**Impact Factor: 8.118**



**ISSN** INTERNATIONAL  
STANDARD  
SERIAL  
NUMBER  
**INDIA**



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 **9940 572 462**  **6381 907 438**  **ijirset@gmail.com**



[www.ijirset.com](http://www.ijirset.com)

Scan to save the contact details