

Volume 11, Issue 6, June 2022



**Impact Factor: 8.118** 









| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| www.ijirset.com | Impact Factor: 8.118|

||Volume 11, Issue 6, June 2022||

| DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2022.1106057 |

# Seismic Analysis of RCC Structural Building Resting on Sloping Ground with Different Zones

# Shendage Anjali Sunil, Prof. Autade N.A.

P.G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, KJEI's Trinity College of Engineering & Research, Pune,

Maharashtra, India

Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, KJEI's Trinity College of Engineering & Research, Pune,

Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT: In present project work to study various seismic responses of RC framed regular structure on flat ground compared with RC framed structure on sloping ground. In highly vulnerable earthquakes thrash frequently at different parts of the world causing demolition of life and all kind of structures so it is important to study different structures at different locations in India. In this project we taken RC framed structure of G + 11 storey on two different ground condition and also with two different zone of zone III and zone IV. And the performance is observed when building above sloping ground is compared with building above the flat ground. All building models are analyzed on ETABS 2016 software to study the graphs which differentiate between the displacement, storey drift, lateral load and base shear RC framed structure above the sloping ground and building above the flat ground by using response spectrum method.

KEYWORDS: RC framed structure, Flat ground, sloping ground, zones, response spectrum method

#### 1.INTRODUCTION

In India most part come under hilly area which is highly seismic. In hilly areas structures are generally built on sloping ground. When the hilly areas come under the different seismic zones, these buildings are highly endangered to the earthquakes. A building is resting on hilly slope region it is different from the buildings located at plane or flat surfaces. The buildings are situated in hilly areas are much more vulnerable to seismic environment. The various seismic zones buildings are steps back towards the hill slope and at the same time buildings may have plane ground also. Analysis of hilly slope buildings is somewhat different than the flat ground level buildings, since the column of such building rests at different levels on the slope.

Most cities that are lying in severe earthquake zones, building structures resting on hill slopes are more susceptible to the impact of an earthquake. Such structures may fail if they are not designed considering dynamic characteristics affecting for structures on hill slopes.

Economic development of hill areas in the last century has led to the reconsideration of building style, optimum use of construction material and method of construction. Due to scarcity of the plain land on hills, houses built on steep slopes, pose special structural and construction problems. RC framed structures constructed on hill slopes show different structural behaviour than on the plain ground. Because of steep slopes, buildings are constructed generally in step-back configuration. At the location of setbacks, an increase in the stress concentration has also been reported, when the building is subjected to seismic forces. Recent earthquakes, struck in hill regions viz., Nepal (2015), Sikkim (2011), Kashmir (2005), Uttarkashi (1990) and Bihar-Nepal (1988) have shown major casualties caused by design flaws and failures in RC as well as masonry structures.

Although, the researches carried out in past have provided a better view of structural behaviour of hill buildings but the performance of the hill building in different configurations has not been studied thoroughly. Also, IS 1893 (1984) and IS 1893 (Part 1): 2002; recommend that buildings with geometrical irregularity and or having irregular distribution of mass and stiffness should be analysed by modal analysis and torsional shear should be accounted separately, but fails to capture the true response of the structure. Thus, in order to get the realistic behaviour of hill buildings subjected to seismic load, a three-dimensional modelling of structure is required, considering real structural behaviour of




| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| www.ijirset.com | Impact Factor: 8.118|

||Volume 11, Issue 6, June 2022||

#### | DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2022.1106057 |

beams/columns, rigid slabs, infill masonry walls and RC shear walls, etc. Also, to incorporate the inelastic behaviours of hill buildings, linear and non-linear dynamic analysis should be carried out. In the present study three-dimensional modelling of two different configurations of hill buildings has been undertaken and the effect of plan aspect ratio has been parametrically studied by varying plan dimensions and height of the models. Results have been discussed in terms of static and dynamic properties of buildings such as shear forces induced in the columns at foundation level, fundamental time period, maximum top storey displacements, storey drifts and storey shear in buildings and compared with in the considered configurations of hill buildings.

#### 3. SEISMIC ZONES



With the help of the past seismic history, Bureau of Indian Standards has grouped the country into four seismic zones namely,

Zone II: Low intensity zone-It covers about 40.93% area of the country. It consists of major parts of peninsular region and Karnataka Plateau.

Zone III: Moderate intensity zone-It covers about 30.79% area of the country. It consists of Kerala, Goa, Lakshadweep islands, remaining parts of Uttar Pradesh, Gujarat and West Bengal, Parts of Punjab, Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, Bihar, Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh, Maharashtra, Odisha, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu and Karnataka.

Zone IV: Severe intensity zone-It covers about17.49% area of the country. It consists of parts of Jammu and Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh, National Capital Territory (NCT) of Delhi, Sikkim, Northern Parts of Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, West Bengal, parts of Gujarat, small portions of Maharashtra near the coast and Rajasthan.

Zone V: Very severe intensity zone-It covers 10.79% area of the country. It consists of the entire north-eastern India, parts of Jammu and Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh, Uttarakhand, Rann of Kutch in Gujarat, part of North Bihar and Andaman & Nicobar Islands.

#### **II.OBJECTIVES**

- 1. To study the seismic behaviour of the differently configured structures on hill slope.
- 2. To compare the seismic behaviour of hill structures with regular structures on flat ground
- 3. To explore modifications in hill structures to avoid stiffness



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| www.ijirset.com | Impact Factor: 8.118|

||Volume 11, Issue 6, June 2022||

#### | DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2022.1106057 |

4. To compare base shear, deflection, lateral load and story drift of sloping ground building to flat ground building.

#### **III.METHODOLOGY**

Analysis of step-back buildings resting on the sloped terrain is done using ETABS 2016. Seismic parameters like maximum story displacement, story drift, lateral load and base shear are calculated.

- 1. Open new project.
- 2.Set the units and code of practice.
- 3.Create the model.
- 4.Define and assign member properties.
- 5.Define and assign support conditions.
- 6.Define and assign loads with load combinations.
- 7. Analyze the model.
- 8. Check the model.
- 9.Run the model.
- 10.Generate output results.

#### IV. ZONE WISE ANALYSIS OF STRUCTURE:

#### 4.1. Description and parameters of model:-

Type of frame	Special moment resisting RC frame SMRF) fixed at the base	
Seismic zones	III,IV	
Number of storey	G+10,G+5 storey	
Floor height	3 m	
Depth of Slab	150 mm	
Size of beam	(300 × 400) mm	
Size of column	(400 × 400) mm	
Spacing between frames in x- direction	3 m	
Spacing between frames in y- direction	3 m	
Materials	M 25 concrete, Fe 500 steel and	
Infill	Masonry	
Thickness of external infill walls	230 mm	
Thickness of external infill walls	115 mm	
Density of concrete	25KN/m3	
Density of infill	20 KN/m3	

Table 1- Description of model

Type of soil	Medium soil		
Seismic zone	As per IS (1893-2002)		
Seismic zone factor, Z	For zone III,IV: 0.16,0.24		
Importance Factor, I	1.2,1.2		
Response spectrum analysis	Linear dynamic analysis		
Plinth height above ground level	3 m		
Type of the building	SMRF(Special moment resisting RC frame		

Table 2 – Parameters of model



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| www.ijirset.com | Impact Factor: 8.118|

||Volume 11, Issue 6, June 2022||

| DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2022.1106057 |

#### 4.2. Materials used: -

#### 1) Concrete -

I) Concrete with following properties is considered for study. Characteristic compressive strength (fck) = 25 MPa

Poisons Ratio = 0.2

Density = 25KN/m3

Modulus of Elasticity (E) =  $5000 \text{ x} \sqrt{\text{fck}} = 25000 \text{ Mpa}$ 

#### 2) Steel-

II) Steel with following properties is considered for study. Yield Stress (fy) = 500 MPa Modulus of Elasticity (E) = 2x105MPa

#### 3) Masonry infill-

III) Clay burnt brick, Class A, confined unreinforced masonryCompressive strength of Brick, FM= 10 MPaModulus of Elasticity of masonry (Ei)= 550 x FM= 5500 MPa


Poisons Ratio = 0.15

# 4.3. Graphs and Results: -

# CONDITION NO.1 Slope:0° and 15°

Zone: III.

# a) Displacement Graph-








Figure 3 – Displacement of EQY



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 8.118|

||Volume 11, Issue 6, June 2022||

| DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2022.1106057 |

## b) Lateral loads graph:




Figure 4 – Lateral loads of EQX

Figure 5- Lateral loads of EQY

# c)Storey Drift graph-




Figure 6- storey drift of EQX




Figure 7- storey drift of EQY



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 8.118|

||Volume 11, Issue 6, June 2022||

| DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2022.1106057 |

Table 3 – Base shear Result:

15 DEGREE	EQX	328.7766	KN
15 DEGREE	EQY	329.6412	KN
0 DEGREE	EQX	339.791	KN
0 DEGREE	EQY	339.791	KN

CONDITION NO. 2 Slope:0° and 30°

Zone: IV.

#### a) Displacement graph-




Figure 8- displacement of EQX




Figure 9- displacement of EQY

#### b) Lateral load Graph: -




Figure 10- lateral loads of EQX




Figure 11-lateral loads of EQY



| e-ISSN: 2319-8753, p-ISSN: 2320-6710| <u>www.ijirset.com</u> | Impact Factor: 8.118|

||Volume 11, Issue 6, June 2022||

| DOI:10.15680/IJIRSET.2022.1106057 |

#### V. CONCLUSION

- The present study discusses the comparison between behaviour of sloping ground building and flat ground building under seismic load conditions.
- All the models are geometrically modelled and analyzed by using response spectrum method.
- For both sloping ground building and flat ground building we compares factors like zone III with zone IV, 5 story with 10 story, sloping 15° angle with 30° angle.
- As the slope of ground increases displacement, lateral load and story drift are also increaseand base shear is decreases.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Birajdar B.G and Nalwade S.S, Seismic Analysis of Hill Buildings Resting on Sloping Ground, 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, 2004, pp. 1472
- 2. Rayyan- Hasan Siddiqui and H. S. Vidyadhara, Seismic Analysis of Earthquake Resistant Multi Bay Multi Storied 3D RC Frame, International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT), 2013 ISSN: 2278-0181 Vol. 2 Issue 10.
- 3.Ravikumar C M and Babu Narayan K S, Effect of Irregular Configurations on Seismic Vulnerability of RC Buildings, Architecture Research, 2012.
- 4. SUNIL SINGH RAWAT Behaviour of RCC buildings on hill slopes under seismic loads 2015 SUNILSINGH RAWAT.
- 5. Achin Jain and Dr. Rakesh Patel, Analysis of building constructed on sloping ground for different types of soil, International Journal for Technological Research In Engineering, 2017, Volume 4, Issue 12.
- **6.** Agarwal P. and Shrikhande M. (2006), "Earthquake resistant design of structures", Prentice- Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi, India.
- 7. Annigeri Satish and Jain Ashok K, Torsional provisions for asymmetrical multistorey buildings in IS: 1893, International journal of structures, Paper No.139, vol. 14 No. 2, 1994, pp. 115-142.
- 8. I.S. 456-1993, Indian standard code of practice for plain and reinforced concrete (fourth revision), Bureau of Indian standards, New Delhi.
- 9. I.S. 1893(Part 1)-2002, Criteria for earthquake resistant design of structure, general provision and building, Bureau of Indian standards, New Del













# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY







📵 9940 572 462 🔕 6381 907 438 🔀 ijirset@gmail.com

