



e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710

IJIRSET

International Journal of Innovative Research in
SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 11, Issue 9, September 2022

ISSN INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA

Impact Factor: 8.118

Spaceflight and Neuroscience

Dr Debopriya Ghosh¹

Department of Physiology, University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi, India

ABSTRACT: Being in space has a significant impact on the sensory-motor function of the body. Gaze is disrupted both during the process of acquiring a target and when moving around. It is evident from the dynamic postural responses that there is a connection between the length of a spaceflight and severity of postural ataxia. Furthermore, the autokinetic effect causes a slow drift of the perceived position of the surrounding environment. Other conditions affecting perception and locomotion are 'space motion sickness' (SMS) and orthostatic intolerance (OI). The effects on vision and posture suggest a relationship between duration of exposure to microgravity and severity of symptoms reported following return to Earth.

KEYWORDS: Orthostatic Intolerance, space motion sickness, autokinetic effect, Spaceflight, Locomotion control.

I. INTRODUCTION

Second and third order responses are largely used to study the brain mechanisms behind human spatial orientation and the adaptive adjustments made in response to the sensory rearrangements experienced during orbital flight. The following were measured as part of the Extended Duration Orbiter Medical Project (EDOMP) neuroscience studies: (1) eye movements during acquisition of either static or moving visual targets, (2) postural and locomotor responses prompted by unexpected movement of the support surface, (3) changes in the interaction of visual, proprioceptive, and vestibular information, (4) changes in the major postural muscles via descending pathways, or (5) changes in locomotor pathways. Situational awareness, which occurs when a crew member's perception of the attitude, position, or motion of the spacecraft or other objects in three-dimensional space, including one's own body's orientation, is consistent with actual physical events, can be defined as spatial orientation in spaceflight operations. Higher levels of processing in the central nervous system, which regulate eye movements, movement, and stable posture, are involved in this. Operational issues with spaceflight arise when compensatory actions are taken in reaction to erroneously perceived spatial orientation. In order to assess potential changes in an astronaut's ability to successfully land the Space Shuttle or perform an emergency post-landing egress following microgravity adaptation during space flights of varying lengths, neuroscience investigations were carried out concurrently with U.S. Space Shuttle flights. Future space flights may be impacted by the results of various sensory motor and spatial orientation tests, but we currently know little about how the human body adapts to spaceflight. Therefore, the development of effective countermeasures will largely depend on the findings from relevant neuroscience studies. Consequently, the goal of the neuroscience research aimed to identify adaptation alterations associated to spaceflight in a restricted subset of the sensorimotor systems that would be detrimental to mission accomplishment. As part of the EDOMP, the Neuroscience Laboratory at the Johnson Space Center (JSC) established three integrated Detailed Supplementary Objectives (DSO) to study spatial orientation and the corresponding compensatory reactions. The four main objectives were to: (1) create a normative database of vestibular and related sensory changes in response to spaceflight; (2) ascertain the underlying aetiology of neurovestibular and sensory motor changes associated with exposure to microgravity and the subsequent return to Earth; (3) immediately inform spaceflight crews about potential countermeasures that could enhance performance and safety during and after flight; and (4) ascertain the underlying aetiology of vestibular and related sensory changes in response to space

Using a standardised Sensory Perception Questionnaire [1-2] and Motion Sickness Symptom Checklist, crew members provided preflight, in-flight, and postflight reports on their own and surrounding motion perception as well as their experiences with motion sickness. These reports included quantitative assessments of perceived self motion and surrounding motion related to (1) voluntary head/body movements during flight, during takeoff, and immediately after takeoff, and (2) exposure to motion profiles in a tilt translation device (TTD) and a device for orientation and movement environments (DOME) located in the Preflight Adaptation Trainers (PAT) Laboratory at JSC [3]. Using a microcassette voice recorder, verbal descriptions of felt self motion and surround motion were recorded throughout flight, during entrance, and at wheels-stop. In this inquiry, four experiment prototypes were used. Before, two protocols employing the TTD-PAT device and the DOME-PAT device were carried out, both during and after the flight for data collecting and training purposes. A third technique that included voluntary head and torso motions was carried out both

during flight and just after the landing's wheels stopped. During the mission's Shuttle entrance phase, a fourth protocol, consisting of head movements alone, was carried out. Using a standardised Sensory Perception Questionnaire [1-2] and Motion Sickness Symptom Checklist, crew members were asked about their experiences with self- and surround-motion perception as well as motion sickness before, during, and after the flight. The head was unrestrained and free to move in all planes throughout all stages of the investigation in a variety of experiment paradigms known as voluntary head movements (VHMs). Target acquisition, gaze stabilisation, pursuit tracking, and sinusoidal head oscillations were among the tasks that were investigated. Each of these four methods should be used when feasible was carried out on each subject during the whole trip. Targets were placed permanently at predictable angular distances in both the horizontal and vertical planes according to target acquisition standards, which utilised a cruciform translator system. Each target was colour labelled (20° green, 30° red, etc.) according to the degree of angular deviation from centre to make discrimination easier. In order to complete all target acquisition tasks, the subject had to look as quickly and precisely as they could from the central fixation point to a predetermined target indicated by the operator (right red, left green, up blue, etc.). This required using both their head and their eyes to acquire the target. A cruciform target display that was installed to the Shuttle middeck lockers was used to measure targets while they were in flight. In every instance, the face was suitably covered with surface electrodes, and both horizontal and vertical electrooculography were used to record eye movements (EOG). A triaxial rate sensor system fitted on goggles that were securely fastened to the head was used to track head motions. the two head turns (using a head-mounted laser)

In the gaze stabilisation technique, the patient deliberately sought to fixate their eyes on a recently observed wall-fixed object while their head was briefly rotated in one direction. With this straightforward paradigm, verbal instruction was used to manage the subject's conscious purpose, and the short stimulus encouraged mental set consistency throughout the testing procedure. The further benefit of this protocol's brief transitory stimuli was that they mimicked normal head movement patterns while limiting long-term adaptation effects. In preflight and postflight experiments for pursuit tracking, two distinct techniques were used: (1) Consistent pursuit of (2) Head-and-eye combined pursuit tracking. Additionally, both predictable sinusoidal stimuli and unexpected stimuli with randomly directed velocity steps were used in these procedures. These procedures were chosen to examine the interactions between the vestibulo-ocular reflex and the smooth pursuit eye movement system (VOR). To examine changes in the approach utilised to determine the relative contributions of eye and head movement in sustaining head-free gaze, sinusoidal chase tracking tasks were conducted at moderate (0.333 Hz) and high (1.4 Hz) frequencies. Position ramps that changed in direction, maximum displacement, and velocity were employed for the erratic pursuit tracking. With vision (1) intact, the subject maintained a fixation point in the primary frontal plane, and (2) occluded vision, the subject imagined the fixation point available with vision, sinusoidal head oscillations (head shakes) were made at 0.2, 0.8, and 2.0 Hz in both the horizontal and vertical planes. Unless otherwise stated, all 604-OI3 procedures were carried out a minimum of three times before takeoff, twice while in flight, and up to five times after landing. Usually, 10 days or less before takeoff, the last preflight test was conducted. Within 24 hours after orbital insertion and again within 24 hours of landing, in-flight measurements were carried out. The first measurement after takeoff occurred roughly two hours after wheels halt. Following the landing, measurements were taken 3, 5, 8, and 12 days later. Only when the participants' preflight baseline readings had not returned were the 5, 8, and 12-day postflight tests completed. Before, during, and after Shuttle flights of varied lengths, 40 crew members carried out two experiment procedures to complete DSO 605 in its entirety. By quantifying the reflex (open loop) reaction to abrupt, stability-threatening base of support changes, the earliest of these methods largely focused on reactive responses. The second protocol put a special emphasis on sensory integration by measuring the postural sway with normal, diminished, and changed sensory input during silent upright standing. Before flight, the two procedures were carried out by each participant on a minimum of three occasions in order to provide a reliable set of 1-g control data that could be used to assess postflight alterations. To record the whole sensory-motor readaptation time course following flying, each subject also conducted the two paradigms up to five times. Postflight testing were planned on a roughly logarithmic time scale over the next eight days, starting on landing day, as soon as the Orbiter wheels halt. Of the 40 astronauts who participated in the study, 11 had been on short (4–7 day) missions, 18 had been on medium (8–10 day) missions, and 11 had been on long (11–16 day) missions. Twenty-three of the individuals were seasoned (veterans), whereas seventeen were novices. Differences between preflight and postflight performance in all participants were used to estimate the impact of spaceflight on neural regulation of posture. From a statistical comparison of the performance of the short, medium, and long length mission participants, the impact of mission duration was deduced. By comparing the performance of rookie and experienced pilots statistically, it was possible to deduce the impact of prior spaceflight experience. The DSO 614 objectives were achieved by using five main procedures. The purpose of the first protocol was to ascertain if being exposed to the microgravity environment of spaceflight caused changes in eye-head-trunk coordination while moving about. In this

procedure, subjects were instructed to walk on a motorised treadmill at 6.4 km/h for 20 seconds while maintaining visual fixation on a centrally situated, earth-fixed target that was either placed 2.0 m or 0.30 m from the eyes. In addition, some of the tests were carried out while the subject was subjected to periodic visual occlusion. Using a video-based motion analysis method, we were able to identify the kinematics of the head as well as the trunk while the animal was moving. The second protocol, which also utilised a treadmill, aimed to investigate the strategies that are used for maintaining gaze stability during postflight locomotion. This was accomplished by analysing the lower limb joint kinematics that were recorded during preflight and postflight testing, as well as the contribution that lower limb movement had on the head-eye-trunk coordination that was obtained in the first protocol. The third protocol was developed to offer a systematic assessment of possible modifications in neuromuscular activity patterns related with postflight locomotion. This inquiry was intended to take place after the animal had completed its flight. Before and after flying, the participant was required to walk on a treadmill at a speed of 6.4 kilometres per hour while fixating on a visual target that was 30 centimetres away from their eyes. Electromyography was performed on the surface of a selection of the lower limb muscles, and the data was then standardised with respect to the mean amplitude and the temporal connection to heel striking. Protocol 4 investigated the effects of changes in walking speed and spatial orientation skills. Performance after having spent time in space. Before and after the flight, the participant was tasked with carrying out a goal-directed locomotion paradigm that included traversing a triangle route with and without the use of their eyesight. This model entailed using the inputs from a variety of sensory systems and allowed for the quantification of a number of important factors during a natural walking job. These included the participant's ability to orient themselves, their walking velocity, and their postural stability. In the sixth prototype, participants were asked to test their ability to make a standing leap from a height of 18 centimetres with either their eyes open or closed. There were three separate experiments done for each visual condition. The measurements of the body segments were gathered with the use of a motion analysis system that was video-based.

II. CONCLUSION

Studies prove that being in space has a significant impact on the sensory-motor function of the body. Gaze is disrupted both during the process of acquiring a target and when moving around. It is evident from the dynamic postural responses that there is a connection between the length of the trip, the number of previous spaceflights experienced, and the severity and length of postural ataxia.

REFERENCES

1. Harm DL, Parker DE. Perceived self-orientation and self-motion in microgravity, after landing and during preflight adaptation training. *J Vestib Res, Equil& Orient* 1993; 3:297-305.
2. Reschke MF, Bloomberg JJ, Paloski WH, Harm DL, Parker DE. Physiologic adaptation to space flight: neurophysiologic aspects: sensory and sensory-motor function. In: Nicogossian AE, Leach CL, Pool SL, editors. *Space Physiology and Medicine*. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger; 1994. p 261-85.
3. Harm DL, Parker DE. Preflight adaptation training for spatial orientation and space motion sickness. *J Clinical Pharmacology* 1994; 34:618-27.



INNO  SPACE
SJIF Scientific Journal Impact Factor
Impact Factor: 8.118



ISSN  INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 9940 572 462  6381 907 438  ijirset@gmail.com



www.ijirset.com

Scan to save the contact details