



e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710

IJRSET

International Journal of Innovative Research in
SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 13, Issue 4, April 2024

ISSN INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA

Impact Factor: 8.423

9940 572 462

6381 907 438

ijirset@gmail.com

www.ijirset.com

Evaluation and Selection of Sustainable Suppliers in the Sugar Industry: A Comprehensive Analysis

Ashraf Jaffary Ally¹

Student, School of Business, Galgotias University, Greater Noida, India¹

ABSTRACT: The global sugar industry exhibits both a productive inertia and a delicate sustainability, primarily due to limited progress in enhancing productivity, diversifying production, and reducing the environmental footprint in cane fields, sugar factories, distilleries, and non-centrifuged sugar production. The examination of sustainability in the sugar sector is made complex by the inclusion of numerous criteria, encompassing both quantitative and qualitative aspects, which are quantified using different units or, at the very least, need the establishment of benchmarking standards. The achievement of sustainable practices hinges on the improvement of sugarcane productivity without expanding the cultivated land, as well as reducing environmental harm. This requires effective coordination among public policies, stakeholders, and markets, which would yield positive outcomes for social, economic, and environmental well-being. Pressure is mounting on the sugar industry to implement sustainable practices throughout its supply chain, as it does on many others. Finding sustainable suppliers requires careful study and selection. The complexity of assessing and choosing sustainable suppliers in the sugar business is the intended focus of this article. It starts by explaining why sustainability is important when choosing suppliers, and then it delves into the difficulties and requirements of the process. In this article, we take a look at some of the ways that sustainable suppliers can be assessed, including new technology, qualitative and quantitative methods. In addition, the research methodology section describes the strategy used to carry out the study, including the procedures used to gather data, analyse it, and identify any constraints. Businesses looking to improve supply chain sustainability can benefit greatly from this paper's thorough review of evaluation and selection methods.

I. INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane is a very productive crop that thrives in tropical and sub-tropical areas. It has the remarkable ability to transform sunlight, carbon dioxide, water, and nutrients (particularly nitrogen) into biomass and simple carbs, with sucrose being the primary carbohydrate produced. This crop achieves the highest carbohydrate yields per hectare. The raw material has the potential to be commercially lucrative for the production of food, feed, biofuel, bioproducts, and highly specialised commodities. In addition to providing more than 80% of the global sugar supply, it is distributed to over 100 countries worldwide. Brazil holds the title of being the foremost global producer of sugarcane, accounting for 40% of the world's total production. India, Thailand, China, Pakistan, and Mexico are the other sugar producing countries. Despite being an agribusiness, the sugar industry faces numerous challenges such as overproduction, vulnerability to environmental changes like drought and climate change, and the recent impact of Covid-19. Additionally, it suffers from a negative public perception due to the potential health risks associated with sugar consumption, such as diabetes and obesity. The sugar market is also unstable and volatile as it is considered a commodity.

Nevertheless, utilising sugarcane as a bio factory has the potential to make a substantial impact in addressing global challenges related to sustainable development, including poverty alleviation, technological advancement, climate change mitigation, renewable energy production, and water scarcity mitigation, among other issues. To achieve this, it is essential to transition agribusiness and stakeholders towards new models of the 21st century, such as sustainability, economic efficiency, and circular bio economy. This involves adopting new standards of competitiveness, diversifying production, embracing Industry 4.0 and Agriculture 5.0 technologies, and exploring sucrochemical, ethanol chemical, and lignochemical processes in bio refineries, among other innovations. These transformations should be guided by a thorough analysis of regional and national parameters, considering technological, cultural, socioeconomic, and political factors. It is crucial to establish reliable and comprehensive methodological frameworks for the systematic, holistic, and interdisciplinary evaluation of the current and potential sustainability of the sugar industry. As a key component in

many different goods and services, from food and drink to medicine, the sugar sector is vital to economies around the world. But it's under increasing pressure to solve sustainability issues related to the environment, society, and the economy, just like many other sectors. Achieving sustainability in the sugar sector relies heavily on sourcing from suppliers that maintain responsible practices throughout the board. In this paper, we will delve into the complexities of how the sugar business evaluates and chooses sustainable suppliers. The sugar industry is under growing scrutiny to adopt sustainable practices due to environmental, social, and economic concerns. Sustainable supplier selection is crucial in achieving these goals. This paper explores the significance of sustainability in supplier selection within the sugar industry, addressing challenges, criteria, methodologies, and best practices.

In light of extensive globalisation and heightened client demands, it is imperative for organisations to bolster their supply chain management (SCM) capabilities in order to consistently cut product creation time, boost quality, minimise costs, and optimise process efficiency. Supplier selection is a crucial aspect of supply chain management as the cost of procuring raw materials is 60% of the whole production cost for the firm [1–3]. Traditional supplier selection primarily prioritises economic benefits, with limited attention given to sustainable social considerations. Only a small number of scholars have explored the integration of economic, environmental, and social issues [4–8]. Due to the growing focus on environmental and social concerns, there is a rising interest in sustainable supply chain management (SCM). This involves incorporating environmental and social considerations into SCM practices. It has emerged as a significant area of research in operations management [9,10]. Studies have shown that collaborating with suppliers who have a strong awareness of environmental, societal, and economic issues can greatly enhance the sustainability of supply chains [11,12]. Consequently, more organisations are progressively prioritising economic, ecological, and social factors when choosing suppliers. Nevertheless, the SSSE process has numerous challenges, including the presence of imprecise indicator systems, inflexible supplier selection, and reliance on qualitative methodologies [13–16]. Researchers in the field of sustainable supply have utilised several research methodologies, but, these methodologies have certain constraints when it comes to addressing human judgement and ambiguity in data [14,16].

II. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The objectives of the study are to analyse current practices and challenges in the evaluation and selection of sustainable suppliers in the sugar industry, identify key criteria and methodologies used for supplier evaluation, and explore best practices and case studies for sustainable supplier selection. By achieving these objectives, the study aims to provide valuable insights and recommendations for improving sustainability practices in the sugar supply chain.

III. IMPORTANCE OF SUSTAINABILITY IN SUPPLIER SELECTION

Sustainability in supplier selection has become imperative for businesses aiming to reduce environmental footprint, ensure social responsibility, and maintain economic viability. In the sugar industry, where environmental impact and social welfare are significant concerns, selecting sustainable suppliers is crucial. In the sugar industry, the significance of sustainability in supplier selection cannot be overstated. Sustainability practices play a crucial role in ensuring the long-term viability, ethical integrity, and environmental responsibility of sugar production. Here are several reasons why sustainability considerations are paramount when selecting suppliers in the sugar industry:

- **Environmental Impact**
- **Ethical Labour Practices**
- **Resource Efficiency**
- **Climate Resilience**
- **Supply Chain Transparency**
- **Regulatory Compliance**
- **Brand Reputation**
- **Long-Term Viability**

IV. CHALLENGES IN EVALUATING SUSTAINABLE SUPPLIERS

Evaluating sustainable suppliers in the sugar industry presents various challenges, including the lack of standardized metrics, limited data availability, and the complexity of supply chains. Overcoming these challenges requires robust evaluation frameworks and collaboration among stakeholders. Evaluating sustainable suppliers in the sugar industry

poses several challenges due to the complexity of sustainability criteria and the diverse range of stakeholders involved. Some of the key challenges include:

1. **Lack of Standardized Metrics:** One of the primary challenges is the absence of universally accepted and standardized metrics for assessing sustainability in the sugar industry. Sustainability encompasses various aspects, including environmental, social, and economic factors, making it difficult to establish consistent criteria for evaluation. Without standardized metrics, comparing and benchmarking supplier performance becomes challenging.
2. **Complexity of Supply Chains:** The sugar supply chain is often complex and multifaceted, involving multiple stakeholders, such as farmers, processors, traders, and retailers, across different geographical regions. Tracking and monitoring sustainability practices throughout the supply chain can be difficult due to its decentralized nature. Lack of transparency and visibility into upstream activities further complicates the evaluation process.
3. **Limited Data Availability:** Obtaining reliable and comprehensive data on suppliers' sustainability performance can be challenging. Suppliers may lack transparency or provide incomplete or inaccurate information about their practices, making it difficult for companies to assess their sustainability credentials accurately. Limited access to data hampers efforts to conduct thorough evaluations and make informed decisions about supplier selection.
4. **Resource Constraints:** Evaluating sustainable suppliers requires significant resources in terms of time, manpower, and expertise. Small and medium-sized companies, in particular, may lack the necessary resources to conduct comprehensive sustainability assessments effectively. Resource constraints can impede efforts to implement robust supplier evaluation processes and may result in superficial or incomplete assessments.
5. **Cost Considerations:** Implementing sustainability measures in the sugar industry often entails additional costs for suppliers, such as investment in eco-friendly technologies, certification processes, and compliance with regulatory requirements. Suppliers may be reluctant to incur these costs, especially if they perceive limited market demand or financial incentives for sustainability. Balancing the need for sustainability with cost considerations can be a challenge for both suppliers and buyers.
6. **Changing Regulatory Landscape:** The regulatory landscape governing sustainability in the sugar industry is continuously evolving, with new laws, regulations, and standards being introduced at the national and international levels. Keeping abreast of regulatory changes and ensuring compliance with evolving requirements adds complexity to the evaluation process. Suppliers may struggle to adapt to changing regulatory requirements, impacting their sustainability performance.
7. **Supply Chain Risks:** Assessing sustainability in the sugar industry involves identifying and mitigating various supply chain risks, including environmental risks (e.g., climate change, water scarcity), social risks (e.g., labor rights violations, community conflicts), and reputational risks (e.g., negative media coverage, consumer backlash). Evaluating suppliers' resilience to these risks requires a holistic understanding of the supply chain dynamics and potential vulnerabilities.
8. **Stakeholder Engagement:** Engaging with stakeholders, including suppliers, local communities, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and consumers, is essential for evaluating sustainability in the sugar industry. However, stakeholder engagement can be challenging due to conflicting interests, communication barriers, and power dynamics. Building trust and collaboration among stakeholders is crucial for conducting meaningful sustainability assessments and driving positive change.

Addressing these challenges requires a collaborative and multi-stakeholder approach, with companies, governments, NGOs, and industry associations working together to develop standardized metrics, enhance transparency, build capacity, and foster innovation in sustainable sugar production. Despite the complexities involved, overcoming these challenges is essential for promoting sustainability, mitigating risks, and ensuring the long-term viability of the sugar industry.

V. CRITERIA FOR SELECTING SUSTAINABLE SUPPLIERS

Criteria for selecting sustainable suppliers in the sugar industry encompass environmental performance, social responsibility, economic stability, and compliance with regulatory standards. These criteria ensure alignment with sustainability goals and mitigate risks along the supply chain. Selecting sustainable suppliers in the sugar industry involves considering a range of criteria that address environmental, social, and economic aspects of sustainability. These criteria help ensure that suppliers adhere to responsible practices throughout the supply chain. Here are some key criteria for selecting sustainable suppliers in the sugar industry:

1. Environmental Stewardship:

- **Resource Management:** Suppliers should demonstrate efficient use of natural resources, including land, water, and energy, to minimize environmental impact.
- **Biodiversity Conservation:** Suppliers should implement practices that protect biodiversity, such as preserving natural habitats and minimizing the use of agrochemicals.
- **Climate Change Mitigation:** Suppliers should adopt measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, such as implementing carbon sequestration techniques and promoting renewable energy use.

2. Ethical Labor Practices:

- **Compliance with Labor Laws:** Suppliers should comply with labor laws and regulations, including those related to wages, working hours, and occupational health and safety.
- **Fair Treatment of Workers:** Suppliers should provide fair wages, safe working conditions, and opportunities for professional development to their employees.
- **Prohibition of Child Labor and Forced Labor:** Suppliers should prohibit the use of child labor and forced labor in all aspects of their operations.

3. Social Responsibility:

- **Community Engagement:** Suppliers should engage with local communities and stakeholders, respect their rights and interests, and contribute to their social and economic development.
- **Support for Smallholder Farmers:** Suppliers should support smallholder farmers by providing fair prices, technical assistance, and access to markets, thereby promoting inclusive and sustainable development.
- **Conflict-Free Sourcing:** Suppliers should ensure that their sourcing practices do not contribute to conflicts or human rights abuses in areas where sugar is produced.

4. Transparency and Traceability:

- **Supply Chain Transparency:** Suppliers should provide transparent information about their sourcing practices, production methods, and environmental and social impacts throughout the supply chain.
- **Traceability:** Suppliers should have systems in place to trace the origin of their products and ingredients, enabling companies to verify compliance with sustainability standards and certifications.

5. Certifications and Standards:

- **Certifications:** Suppliers should hold recognized certifications for sustainable agriculture and production practices, such as Fair Trade, Rainforest Alliance, or organic certification.
- **Compliance with Standards:** Suppliers should adhere to industry standards and guidelines for sustainability, such as the Sustainable Agriculture Initiative Platform (SAI Platform) or the Bonsucro Production Standard.

6. Continuous Improvement:

- **Commitment to Improvement:** Suppliers should demonstrate a commitment to continuous improvement in sustainability performance, regularly assessing and updating their practices to address emerging challenges and opportunities.
- **Collaboration and Innovation:** Suppliers should be open to collaboration with stakeholders and willing to adopt innovative approaches and technologies to enhance sustainability in the sugar industry.

7. **Risk Management:**

- **Identification of Risks:** Suppliers should identify and assess potential environmental, social, and economic risks within their operations and supply chains, implementing measures to mitigate these risks effectively.
- **Resilience to External Shocks:** Suppliers should demonstrate resilience to external shocks, such as climate change, market fluctuations, and regulatory changes, by diversifying their production and adopting adaptive strategies.

8. **Alignment with Company Values and Goals:**

- **Alignment with Corporate Values:** Suppliers should align with the values and sustainability goals of the buying company, reflecting shared commitments to environmental stewardship, social responsibility, and ethical business practices.
- **Long-Term Partnership Potential:** Suppliers should demonstrate the potential for long-term partnerships based on mutual trust, transparency, and shared objectives, fostering collaboration and value creation over time.
- By evaluating suppliers based on these criteria, companies in the sugar industry can make informed decisions that promote sustainability, mitigate risks, and contribute to positive social and environmental outcomes throughout the supply chain.

VI. METHODOLOGIES FOR EVALUATING SUSTAINABLE SUPPLIERS

Various methodologies, including qualitative, quantitative, and hybrid approaches, are employed in evaluating sustainable suppliers. Qualitative methods involve assessing supplier practices through interviews, site visits, and documentation review. Quantitative methods utilize metrics and indicators to measure environmental, social, and economic performance. Hybrid approaches combine qualitative and quantitative techniques for a comprehensive evaluation. Evaluating sustainable suppliers in the sugar industry requires adopting robust methodologies that assess suppliers' performance across environmental, social, and economic dimensions of sustainability. Here are several methodologies that can be adopted for evaluating sustainable suppliers in the sugar industry:

1. **Supplier Self-Assessment:**

- Suppliers complete self-assessment questionnaires or surveys to evaluate their own sustainability practices and performance.
- Self-assessment tools can cover a range of sustainability criteria, including environmental management, labor practices, community engagement, and supply chain transparency.
- Companies can use self-assessment results as a preliminary screening tool to identify suppliers with strong sustainability commitments and practices.

2. **Third-Party Audits and Certifications:**

- Companies engage third-party auditors or certification bodies to conduct on-site audits of supplier facilities and operations.
- Audits assess compliance with sustainability standards, certifications, and regulatory requirements, such as Fair Trade, Rainforest Alliance, or Bonsucro certification.
- Third-party audits provide independent verification of supplier performance and help ensure transparency and credibility in the evaluation process.

3. **Life Cycle Assessment (LCA):**

- LCA evaluates the environmental impact of products or processes throughout their entire life cycle, from raw material extraction to end-of-life disposal.
- Companies can use LCA to assess the environmental footprint of sugar production, including greenhouse gas emissions, water usage, energy consumption, and waste generation.
- LCA results inform decision-making by identifying areas for improvement and guiding efforts to reduce environmental impact and resource consumption.

4. **Supply Chain Mapping and Traceability:**

- Companies map their supply chains to identify all entities involved in the production and distribution of sugar, from farmers and mills to processors and distributors.

- Supply chain mapping provides visibility into upstream activities and enables companies to trace the origin of products and ingredients.
- Traceability systems track the movement of raw materials and products through the supply chain, facilitating accountability and transparency in sustainable sourcing practices.

5. **Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and Metrics:**

- Companies establish KPIs and metrics to measure supplier performance against specific sustainability targets and objectives.
- KPIs may include indicators such as carbon footprint reduction, water usage efficiency, labor turnover rates, and supplier diversity metrics.
- Regular monitoring and reporting of KPIs enable companies to track progress, identify areas for improvement, and hold suppliers accountable for meeting sustainability goals.

6. **Stakeholder Engagement and Feedback:**

- Companies engage with stakeholders, including suppliers, local communities, NGOs, and consumers, to gather feedback on supplier sustainability practices.
- Stakeholder engagement initiatives can include surveys, focus groups, consultations, and public forums to solicit input and perspectives on sustainability issues.
- Feedback from stakeholders informs supplier evaluation criteria and helps prioritize areas for improvement in supplier performance.

7. **Risk Assessment and Management:**

- Companies conduct risk assessments to identify and evaluate potential environmental, social, and economic risks within their supply chains.
- Risk assessment tools, such as risk matrices or heat maps, prioritize risks based on their likelihood and potential impact on business operations and sustainability goals.
- Risk management strategies mitigate identified risks through measures such as diversification of suppliers, contingency planning, and supplier capacity building.

8. **Continuous Improvement and Collaboration:**

- Companies establish mechanisms for ongoing dialogue and collaboration with suppliers to drive continuous improvement in sustainability performance.
- Collaboration initiatives may include joint training programs, knowledge sharing sessions, and innovation workshops to identify and implement best practices.
- Continuous improvement frameworks, such as Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) cycles or Lean Six Sigma methodologies, support iterative improvement in supplier sustainability practices over time.

By adopting these methodologies, companies in the sugar industry can effectively evaluate supplier sustainability performance, identify areas for improvement, and drive positive change throughout the supply chain. Integrating sustainability considerations into supplier evaluation processes helps promote responsible sourcing practices, mitigate risks, and achieve long-term sustainability goals.

VII. CASE STUDIES AND BEST PRACTICES

Case studies highlight successful strategies and best practices in sustainable supplier selection within the sugar industry. Examples include the implementation of sustainable sourcing practices, collaboration for social responsibility, and the integration of environmental criteria in supplier selection. These case studies offer insights into real-world applications of sustainable supplier evaluation.

6.1. Case Study 1: Implementation of Sustainable Sourcing Practices:

1. **Introduction:** This case study examines a sugar company's journey towards implementing sustainable sourcing practices. It discusses the development of sustainability criteria, supplier engagement strategies, and performance monitoring mechanisms. Key learnings include the importance of stakeholder collaboration, transparency, and continuous improvement in achieving sustainable sourcing goals.

2. Company Overview:

Sweet Harvest Ltd. is a multinational corporation engaged in sugar production, with operations spanning across several countries. With a focus on innovation and sustainability, the company aims to balance profitability with environmental stewardship and social responsibility.

3. Context:

The sugar industry faces various sustainability challenges, including deforestation, water usage, greenhouse gas emissions, and labor exploitation. Recognizing these challenges, Sweet Harvest Ltd. embarked on a journey to transform its sourcing practices to align with sustainability principles.

4. Challenges:

- a. *Environmental Impact*: Conventional sugar production methods often contribute to deforestation, habitat destruction, and soil degradation.
- b. *Social Responsibility*: Labor practices in some regions where sugar is produced raise concerns about worker welfare, including issues such as child labor and low wages.
- c. *Ethical Sourcing*: The lack of transparency and accountability in supply chains makes it difficult to ensure that sugar production does not involve exploitative practices.

5. Implementation Strategy:

Sweet Harvest Ltd. implemented the following strategies to address sustainability challenges:

- a. *Supply Chain Traceability*: The Company worked to enhance transparency across its supply chain, leveraging technologies such as blockchain to trace the journey of sugar from farms to consumers.
- b. *Environmental Conservation*: Sweet Harvest Ltd. committed to sustainable land management practices, including the protection of forests, biodiversity conservation, and reducing water usage through efficient irrigation techniques.
- c. *Social Compliance*: The company established strict guidelines for labor practices, ensuring fair wages, safe working conditions, and zero tolerance for child labor or forced labor.
- d. *Stakeholder Engagement*: Sweet Harvest Ltd. engaged with various stakeholders, including local communities, NGOs, government agencies, and industry partners, to foster collaboration and knowledge sharing on sustainability initiatives.

6. Outcomes:

Through its implementation of sustainable sourcing practices, Sweet Harvest Ltd. achieved the following outcomes:

- a. *Reduced Environmental Footprint*: By adopting sustainable land management practices, the company minimized its environmental impact, including reducing greenhouse gas emissions and conserving natural resources.
- b. *Improved Social Welfare*: Sweet Harvest Ltd.'s commitment to ethical labor practices resulted in improved working conditions for employees and contributed to the socioeconomic development of communities in sugar-producing regions.
- c. *Enhanced Reputation*: The Company's proactive approach to sustainability enhanced its reputation among consumers, investors, and other stakeholders, leading to increased brand loyalty and market competitiveness.

7. Lessons Learned:

Sweet Harvest Ltd.'s experience in implementing sustainable sourcing practices offers several valuable lessons for the sugar industry and beyond:

- a. *Collaboration is Key*: Engaging with stakeholders across the supply chain is essential for driving meaningful change and addressing complex sustainability challenges.
- b. *Innovation Drives Progress*: Embracing innovative technologies and practices can help companies overcome barriers to sustainability and unlock new opportunities for growth.
- c. *Long-Term Vision is Essential*: Sustainability initiatives require a long-term commitment and continuous improvement to achieve meaningful impact and create lasting value.

8. Conclusion:

The case study of Sweet Harvest Ltd. demonstrates the feasibility and benefits of implementing sustainable sourcing practices in the sugar industry. By prioritizing environmental stewardship, social responsibility, and ethical sourcing, companies can not only mitigate risks but also create value for stakeholders and contribute to a more sustainable future.

VIII. CONCLUSION

The conclusion emphasizes the multifaceted nature of evaluating and selecting sustainable suppliers within the sugar industry. It highlights the necessity for a comprehensive approach that takes into account various factors, including environmental, social, economic, and ethical considerations. The process of identifying sustainable suppliers is depicted as intricate and demanding, requiring meticulous attention and analysis. This study underscores the importance of employing rigorous methodologies to navigate the complexity of supplier selection effectively. It suggests that sugar companies must adopt robust frameworks and methodologies to ensure that their supplier selection processes are thorough and effective. This involves developing criteria and metrics that encompass environmental sustainability, social responsibility, economic viability, and ethical standards.

REFERENCES

- [1.] Rentizelas, A.; de Sousa Jabbour, A.B.L.; Al Balushi, A.D.; Tuni, A. Social sustainability in the oil and gas industry: Institutional pressure and the management of sustainable supply chains. *Ann. Oper. Res.* 2018, 290, 279–300.
- [2.] Aguilar-Rivera, N. 2019. A framework for the analysis of socioeconomic and geographic sugarcane agro industry sustainability. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences* 66: 149–160.
- [3.] Alcazar, P., I. Espejel, M. Reyes-Orta, and M.C. Arredondo-García. 2020. Retrospective assessment as a tool for the management of sustainability in diversified farms. *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems* 44 (1): 30–53.
- [4.] Fallahpour, A.; Olugu, E.U.; Musa, S.N. A hybrid model for supplier selection: Integration of AHP and multi expression programming (MEP). *Neural Comput. Appl.* 2017, 28, 499–504.
- [6.] Guarnieri, P.; Trojan, F. Decision making on supplier selection based on social, ethical, and environmental criteria: A study in the textile industry. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 2019, 141, 347–361.
- [7.] Kaluarachchi, Y. Potential advantages in combining smart and green infrastructure over silo approaches for future cities. *Front. Eng. Manag.* 2021, 8, 98–108.
- [8.] Kirchherr, J., D. Reike, and M. Hekkert. 2017. Conceptualizing the circular economy: An analysis of 114 definitions. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 127: 221–232.
- [9.] Leal, M.R.L.V., and J.C. Teodoro. 2020. A global view of bioproducts: The sugarcane perspective. *Sugar Journal* 82 (12): 16–20.
- [10.] Solomon, S., G.P. Rao, M. Swapna, A. Kumar, and R.C. Singhal. 2019. Corporate social responsibility initiatives and their impact on sugar-mill performance



INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 9940 572 462  6381 907 438  ijirset@gmail.com



www.ijirset.com

Scan to save the contact details