



e-ISSN: 2319-8753 | p-ISSN: 2347-6710

IJRSET

International Journal of Innovative Research in
SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

Volume 13, Issue 4, April 2024

ISSN INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA

Impact Factor: 8.423

 9940 572 462

 6381 907 438

 ijirset@gmail.com

 www.ijirset.com

Enhancing Industrial Maintenance Management Through Integrated Safety Analysis: A comparison of Technical, Human, Organizational and Holistic Oriented Methods

Sumit Roy, Dr Kunal Sharma, Amit Gola

Director (S), RLI Faridabad, Haryana, India

Asst Director (S), RLI Faridabad, Haryana, India

Asst Director (S), RLI Faridabad, Haryana, India

ABSTRACT: The maintenance system is vital for ensuring operational continuity and safety in industrial settings, where workers are exposed to diverse risks including physical, chemical, biological, and psychosocial hazards. To address these risks, effective preventive measures are essential. This paper focuses on exploring the application of safety analysis methods within industrial maintenance management. It discusses common workplace hazards in maintenance, emphasizes the proactive role of safety analysis in risk identification and accident prevention, and presents key methodologies as tools to enhance safety and optimize maintenance practices. The findings aim to provide practical insights for maintenance managers and industry leaders to implement robust safety protocols and improve overall safety standards in industrial maintenance operations.

KEYWORDS: Maintenance management, industrial safety, preventive measures, workplace hazards, safety analysis, risk identification, accident prevention.

I. INTRODUCTION

Industries operating in competitive markets face significant pressure to minimize production cost, which often impacts non-value-added operations such as maintenance. Concurrently, businesses are striving to enhance manufacturing flexibility [1], necessitating improvements in maintenance system efficiency. Moreover, the rapid automation of manufacturing underscores the critical role of efficient maintenance departments and the strategic decision-making of managers. Effective maintenance management can notably enhance organizational efficiency, productivity, profitability and ensure the safety of personnel across various workstations. To achieve these goals, managers should utilize a range of health and safety problem-solving tools [2] for immediate use and throughout the lifespan of facilities.

Proper maintenance management holds the key to significantly improving an organization's efficiency, productivity, and profitability while also ensuring the safety of personnel across various workstations [3]. Managers can achieve these objectives by leveraging a variety of health and safety problem-solving tools, which are crucial for both immediate operational use and the long-term service life of facilities.

II. HAZARDS AT WORK IN MAINTENANCE

In maintenance work, there are several hazards that workers may encounter. These hazards can vary depending on the specific industry, equipment, and tasks involved [4]. In the context of industrial maintenance in India, workers engaged in various maintenance activities may encounter a range of occupational hazards, which align with global classifications but are influenced by local conditions and practices. These hazards, encompassing physical, chemical, biological, and psychosocial risks, pose significant health and safety concerns for maintenance personnel.

Physical hazards in Indian industrial maintenance include risks associated with machinery operation, such as contact with moving parts leading to injuries, falls from heights while working on structures or equipment, and ergonomic strains from lifting heavy objects or performing repetitive tasks [5]. Chemical hazards arise from exposure to various chemicals used in maintenance activities, such as cleaning agents, lubricants, or solvents, which can result in respiratory issues or skin conditions [6].

Biological hazards may stem from working in environments where mold, bacteria, or other contaminants are present, posing risks of infection or illness [7]. Psychosocial hazards in maintenance work, particularly in the context of India's industrial landscape, may include factors like high skill requirements, time pressures, and stress, which can lead to fatigue, errors, and ultimately, accidents [8].

Long-term exposure to these hazards can result in serious health problems like respiratory diseases, musculoskeletal disorders, and even occupational diseases such as asbestosis or cancer [9]. The prevalence of these health risks contributes to increased absenteeism rates and can lead to permanent disabilities among workers over time. The type and intensity of maintenance activities in Indian industries vary based on sector-specific needs, organizational policies, and management. Consequently, workers performing similar maintenance tasks may face distinct conditions depending on the sector, potentially resulting in varied consequences and risks associated with their work [10].

It is widely acknowledged that maintenance work is inherently high-risk, with statistics indicating that a significant proportion of workplace accidents and fatalities are related to maintenance activities. This underscores the critical importance of ensuring safety during maintenance operations, not only to protect workers but also to safeguard overall productivity and operational continuity within Indian industrial settings. The strategic assurance of safety during maintenance activities emerges as a pivotal concern for companies seeking to optimize productivity while prioritizing employee well-being in the Indian industrial context.

III. EXPLORING THE INTEGRATION OF RISK ASSESSMENT INTO OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

The importance of safety analysis for hazards identification and accident prevention cannot be overstated in ensuring workplace safety and protecting the well-being of employees [11]. Safety analysis involves a systematic assessment of workplace activities, processes, and environments to identify potential hazards that could lead to accidents or injuries. By conducting safety analysis, organizations can proactively recognize and evaluate risks associated with specific tasks or operations, including physical, chemical, biological, ergonomic, and psychosocial hazards [12]. This comprehensive understanding of potential risks enables employers and safety professionals to implement effective preventive measures and control strategies to mitigate identified hazards [13].

Accident prevention is a primary goal of safety analysis. By identifying hazards through safety analysis, organizations can take targeted actions to eliminate or reduce risks before accidents occur [14]. This proactive approach helps in developing and implementing appropriate safety protocols, providing necessary training and resources to employees, and ensuring compliance with safety regulations and standards. Ultimately, safety analysis plays a critical role in fostering a culture of safety within workplaces, where employees are aware of potential risks, equipped with necessary safety measures, and empowered to actively contribute to accident prevention efforts [15]. This leads to a safer work environment, reduced incidents of accidents or injuries, improved employee morale, and enhanced overall productivity and efficiency within organizations.

Safety analysis serves as a fundamental pillar of occupational health and safety management, facilitating the systematic identification and assessment of workplace hazards to prevent accidents and protect workers. By conducting thorough safety analyses, organizations can proactively identify potential risks associated with tasks, equipment, or environments [16]. This includes evaluating physical hazards such as machinery-related risks or ergonomic strain, chemical hazards from exposure to toxic substances, biological risks like exposure to pathogens, and psychosocial factors such as stress or fatigue. Understanding these hazards through safety analysis enables organizations to prioritize and implement effective controls and safety measures tailored to specific workplace risks [17].

IV. REVIEW OF SAFETY ANALYSIS METHODS IN THE CONTEXT OF MAINTENANCE SAFETY REQUIREMENTS

Safety analysis methods are essential tools for identifying and managing risks associated with maintenance activities to ensure a safe working environment [18]. In the context of maintenance, where workers are exposed to diverse hazards, it is critical to review and understand various safety analysis methodologies for effective risk mitigation and accident prevention.



One key safety analysis method is Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA), which systematically examines potential failure modes of equipment or processes, assesses their potential consequences, and prioritizes preventive measures [19]. FMEA is particularly valuable in maintenance for identifying critical failure points and implementing targeted maintenance strategies to mitigate risks.

Another important method is Fault Tree Analysis (FTA), which analyzes potential system failures by modeling causal relationships between events and identifying the combination of events that could lead to accidents [20]. FTA helps in understanding complex interactions within maintenance systems and enables the development of preventive measures to interrupt fault pathways.

Additionally, Hazard and Operability Study (HAZOP) is used to systematically identify potential hazards and operability issues in systems or processes [21]. HAZOP involves multidisciplinary teams exploring deviations from design intentions to uncover hidden risks and vulnerabilities, making it a valuable tool for enhancing safety in maintenance operations.

In the context of safe maintenance needs, these safety analysis methods provide structured approaches to identify, assess, and prioritize risks associated with maintenance tasks. By integrating these methods into maintenance planning and execution, organizations can proactively address safety concerns, optimize maintenance practices, and minimize the likelihood of accidents or failures that could impact worker safety and operational continuity.

This review of safety analysis methods underscores their importance in meeting the safety requirements of maintenance operations [22]. By leveraging these methodologies effectively, organizations can enhance their ability to maintain a safe working environment and achieve operational excellence in maintenance management.

Table 1: Examples of hazards (risks) identification methods

| Method | Description | Application |
|---|---|--|
| Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) | Systematic method for identifying potential failure modes of equipment or processes, assessing their effects, and prioritizing preventive actions to mitigate risks. | Used in maintenance to analyze failure modes of critical equipment and develop proactive maintenance plans. |
| Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) | Analytical technique that models the relationship between events leading to system failures, allowing identification of critical failure paths and associated risk factors. | Applied in maintenance to understand complex failure scenarios and design targeted risk mitigation strategies. |
| Hazard and Operability Study (HAZOP) | Systematic approach involving multidisciplinary teams to identify deviations from design intent, assess potential hazards, and propose measures to enhance system safety. | Used in maintenance to analyze processes, identify potential hazards, and improve operational safety measures. |
| Job Safety Analysis (JSA) | In-depth examination of individual tasks to identify potential hazards, assess risks, and determine appropriate control measures to ensure worker safety. | Commonly used in maintenance to assess risks associated with specific tasks and implement safety protocols. |
| Safety Inspections | Regular inspections of workplaces, equipment, and processes to identify hazards, unsafe conditions, or non-compliance with safety standards. | Essential in maintenance to proactively identify and address safety concerns before accidents occur. |
| Root Cause Analysis (RCA) | Methodical approach to identify underlying causes of accidents or failures, aiming to address fundamental issues and prevent recurrence of similar incidents. | Used in maintenance to investigate accidents, identify root causes, and implement corrective actions. |

Methods facilitating the understanding of a problem refer to systematic approaches or techniques used to gain a comprehensive understanding of a specific issue or challenge [23]. These methods aim to provide clarity, insight, and deeper comprehension of the problem, which is essential for effective problem-solving and decision-making.



Table 2: Examples of systematic approach

| Method | Description | Application |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Root Cause Analysis | A systematic approach to identify the underlying causes of a problem or event by asking "why" multiple times to trace back to the root cause. | Used in quality management to investigate incidents, defects, or failures and prevent recurrence. |
| SWOT Analysis | Examines Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats related to a problem or situation to assess internal capabilities and external factors. | Applied in strategic planning to understand organizational positioning and potential areas for improvement. |
| Fishbone Diagram (Ishikawa Diagram) | Visualizes potential causes contributing to a problem by categorizing factors into specific categories (e.g., people, process, equipment, environment). | Used in quality improvement to identify root causes of defects or issues within processes. |
| Brainstorming | A group creativity technique that generates a large number of ideas or solutions through free-flowing discussions and idea generation sessions. | Effective for problem-solving workshops to explore diverse perspectives and generate innovative solutions. |
| Process Mapping | Illustrates workflow or process steps visually to identify inefficiencies, bottlenecks, or areas of improvement within a process. | Applied in process improvement initiatives to streamline workflows and enhance operational efficiency. |
| Surveys and Questionnaires | Collects data and feedback from a targeted audience through structured questions to gather insights or assess perceptions related to the problem. | Used in market research or user experience studies to understand customer preferences or satisfaction levels. |
| Simulation and Modeling | Creates virtual models or simulations of the problem scenario to test different scenarios and predict potential outcomes. | Applied in engineering, finance, or operations to simulate complex systems and analyze decision impacts. |
| Case Studies and Literature Review | Analyzes existing cases, research papers, or literature related to similar problems to gain insights, learn from past experiences, and identify best practices. | Used in academia and business to understand historical contexts and learn from real-world examples. |
| Focus Groups | Conducts moderated group discussions with stakeholders or experts to explore opinions, attitudes, and experiences related to the problem. | Effective for market research or product development to gather qualitative insights and user feedback. |

The risk assessment process, as defined by the IEC/ISO 31010 (2009) standard, involves several key steps to systematically evaluate risks and determine their acceptability based on established criteria [24].

Table 3: Examples of risk assessment process

| Risk Assessment Method | Description | Application |
|------------------------|---|--|
| Direct Risk Assessment | Involves directly assessing individual risks based on expert judgment or historical data, assigning qualitative or quantitative scores to estimate risk severity. | Used in various industries for quick risk assessments, prioritizing risks based on immediate impact. |
| Risk Matrix | Organizes risks based on likelihood and consequence criteria, mapping them onto a matrix to visualize risk levels and prioritize mitigation efforts. | Widely used in risk management to assess and communicate risks based on severity and likelihood. |
| Bowtie Analysis | Utilizes a visual diagram resembling a bowtie to | Applied in high-risk industries to analyze |



| Risk Assessment Method | Description | Application |
|---|---|---|
| | illustrate potential hazards (left side) and corresponding preventive and mitigative controls (right side). | and manage risks comprehensively in a graphical format. |
| Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) | Systematically evaluates potential failure modes of components or processes, assessing their effects and prioritizing preventive actions based on risk severity. | Commonly used in engineering and manufacturing to improve reliability and reduce failure risks. |
| Hazard and Operability Study (HAZOP) | Involves multidisciplinary teams analyzing deviations from design intent to identify hazards and propose safety measures, emphasizing process safety and risk management. | Used in chemical, oil and gas, and manufacturing industries to assess process-related risks and hazards. |
| Quantitative Risk Assessment (QRA) | Utilizes mathematical models to quantify risks, estimating probabilities and consequences to assess overall risk levels and prioritize risk management strategies. | Applied in environmental impact assessments, project management, and safety engineering for quantitative risk analysis. |

Analyzing accidents and near misses is essential for identifying root causes, understanding contributing factors, and implementing corrective actions to prevent future incidents [25]. Several methods can be used to analyze accidents and near misses effectively.

Table 4: Examples of analyzing accidents and near misses

| Accident Analysis Method | Description | Application |
|---|---|---|
| Change Analysis (CA) | Analyzes differences between the accident (incident) and a normal situation to identify contributing factors related to changes or deviations. | Identifying critical deviations or changes that contributed to accidents or incidents. |
| Systematic Cause Analysis Technique (SCAT) | Utilizes special lists or structured approaches to systematically identify causes of accidents based on predefined categories or factors. | Systematically identifying root causes of accidents by categorizing contributing factors. |
| Sequentially Timed Events Plotting (STEP) | Detailed method for sequence analysis, plotting events and actors in a time diagram according to specific rules to analyze the chronological sequence of events leading to an accident. | Visualizing and analyzing the sequence of events leading to accidents, highlighting critical timelines and interactions. |
| Cognitive Reliability and Error Analysis Method (CREAM) | Focuses on analyzing human errors considering the situational and contextual factors that influence cognitive performance and reliability. | Understanding human performance factors that contributed to errors or failures in accident scenarios. |
| Accident Evolution and Barrier Function Method (AEB) | Examines the sequence of events involving technical and human errors, including barriers that could potentially prevent the progression of the accident sequence. | Identifying breakdowns in barriers and control functions that allowed accidents to progress, leading to system failures. |
| Safety Barrier Diagrams (SBD) | Presents and analyzes safety barriers designed to prevent accidents, providing a visual representation of critical safety controls and preventive measures. | Mapping and assessing safety controls and preventive measures to identify vulnerabilities and gaps in accident prevention strategies. |
| System-Theoretic Accident Model and Processes (STAMP) | Analyzes accidents from the perspective of information flow and control feedback within systems, emphasizing system-level factors contributing to accidents. | Investigating accidents by considering systemic interactions and feedback loops that influenced safety outcomes. |



| Accident Analysis Method | Description | Application |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| Man-Technology-Organization (MTO) | Explores sequences of events involving direct and underlying causes within a socio-technical system, considering safety barriers and organizational factors. | Analyzing accidents within complex socio-technical systems to identify organizational and technical factors influencing outcomes. |
| Acci-Map | Integrates accident sequence with organizational levels, depicting event flows and decision/information exchanges across different organizational levels. | Mapping accident sequences across organizational structures to understand decision-making and information flows during incidents. |

V. Classification Based on Method Orientation

Safety analysis methods can be classified into different orientations based on their focus and approach. This classification helps categorize methods according to their primary emphasis, providing insights into the specific aspects of safety management they address [26].

1. **Technical-Oriented Methods:** Methods with a technical orientation focus on analyzing how the system functions in terms of technical equipment and processes. These methods often examine equipment reliability, failure modes, and engineering controls to identify potential safety hazards and improve system reliability.
2. **Human-Oriented Methods:** Human-oriented methods center on understanding the contribution of human factors to the success or failure of a system. These methods delve into human performance, cognitive factors, decision-making processes, and training effectiveness to mitigate human error and enhance safety culture.
3. **Organizational-Oriented Methods:** Organizational-oriented methods explore how organizational structure, policies, and procedures influence safety outcomes. These methods assess management systems, safety leadership, communication practices, and compliance with safety standards to optimize organizational safety performance.
4. **Combined-Holistic-Oriented Methods:** Combined-holistic-oriented methods emphasize the interactions and interdependencies between various elements within the work environment. These methods integrate technical, human, and organizational perspectives to provide a comprehensive understanding of safety risks and system vulnerabilities, enabling holistic risk management and safety improvement efforts.

Comparison of Technical, Human, Organizational and Holistic Oriented Method in risk assessment in Industrial Maintenance Management



| Table I | | | | Table II | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Technical-Oriented Methods | Hazards Identification | Methods Facilitating Risk Assessment | Accident Investigation Technique | Human-Oriented Methods | Hazards Identification | Methods Facilitating Risk Assessment | Accident Investigation Technique |
| Event Analysis (EA) | X | X | X | Event Analysis (EA) | X | X | X |
| Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) | X | X | | Job Safety Analysis (JSA) | X | | |
| Hazard and Operability Study (HAZOP) | X | | | Accident Evolution Model (AEM) | X | | |
| Task Analysis (TA) | X | | | Sequentially Timed Events Plotting (STEP) | | | X |
| Event Tree Analysis (ETA) | | X | X | Accident Evolution and Barrier Function Method (AEB) | | | X |
| Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) | | X | | | | | |

| Table III | | | | Table IV | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Organizational-Oriented Methods | Hazards Identification | Methods Facilitating Risk Assessment | Accident Investigation Technique | Holistic-Oriented Methods | Hazards Identification | Methods Facilitating Risk Assessment | Accident Investigation Technique |
| Management Oversight and Risk Tree (MORT) | X | | | Change Analysis (CA) | X | | X |
| Decision Tree Risk Evaluation (DRE) | | X | | Process Hazard Analysis (PHA) | X | X | |
| Accident Mapping (Acci-Map) | X | | | Safety Barrier Analysis (SFA) | | X | |
| | | | | Cognitive Reliability and Error Analysis (CRA) | | | X |
| | | | | Man-Technology-Organization (MTO) | | | X |

- "X" indicates that the method is applicable or commonly used for the respective purpose (Hazards Identification, Risk Assessment, Accident Investigation).
- Acronyms for each method are explained based on their respective categories and applications.]

Above comparison provides a comprehensive overview of safety analysis methods categorized by different orientations, enabling organizations to identify suitable methods based on specific safety management objectives and areas of focus. Each table highlights methods that emphasize technical aspects, human factors, organizational structures, and holistic approaches to safety analysis, facilitating effective hazard identification, risk assessment, and accident investigation within diverse organizational contexts.

Given the multitude of developed safety analysis methods, specific criteria should be applied when selecting the most appropriate methods for analysis. The initial decision should be whether the subject of analysis is a system or an event (such as a workplace accident). For system analysis, it is essential to specify the system reference model, distinguishing between static models (sets of elements) and dynamic models (process systems).

It's important to note that while some methods may provide specific recommendations for safety improvement measures, others may not. However, developing such recommendations is often necessary regardless of the method used. Each method typically covers a specific part of the risk landscape within a work environment and may have distinct advantages and disadvantages.

The methods presented in Tables I, II, III, and IV of this study, despite their varying purposes and orientations, can all be considered universal due to their extensive application across different problem domains. To conduct a comprehensive analysis, it is advisable to utilize II-III methods based on complementary principles. This approach ensures a more thorough and holistic understanding of safety risks and facilitates effective safety improvement strategies tailored to specific contexts and challenges.

VI. CONCLUSION

Maintenance systems play a critical role not only in sustaining operational efficiency and productivity but also in safeguarding the health and safety of workers across various industries. The integration of human-centric approaches into maintenance practices reflects a broader understanding of the interconnectedness between technical reliability and human factors, emphasizing the need for comprehensive safety measures.

The repercussions of inadequate maintenance practices extend beyond immediate operational setbacks, often manifesting in severe consequences such as accidents, health issues, and environmental damage. As such, management of maintenance systems bears the responsibility of prioritizing safety through strategic preventive measures tailored to mitigate identified risks. Safety analysis methods, as highlighted in this study, serve as invaluable tools for

systematically identifying and characterizing risks within maintenance systems. By leveraging these methods, organizations can proactively assess potential hazards, enhance risk awareness, and develop targeted interventions to promote a culture of safety and continuous improvement.

Moving forward, it is imperative for stakeholders in maintenance management to embrace a holistic approach that addresses both technical and human dimensions of safety. This includes fostering a culture of safety awareness, investing in training programs, and leveraging advanced analytical methods to anticipate and mitigate emerging risks. In conclusion, the methods presented in this paper offer practical frameworks for enhancing safety within maintenance systems. By adopting a proactive stance towards risk management and safety enhancement, organizations can fortify their operations, protect their workforce, and contribute to sustainable and resilient industrial environments.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. & K. ara, "Manufacturing flexibility and variability: an overview. Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management," *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, pp. 15(6), 466-478., 2004.
- [2] c. Behm and D. Powell, "SH&E Problem Solving: Are Higher-Order Controls Ignored?," *Prof. Safety*, vol. 59 (02), p. 34-40., 2014.
- [3] C. P. A. A. S. & A. F. Au-Yong, "Enhancing building maintenance cost performance with proper management of spare parts. Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering," *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, vol. 22(1), pp. 51-61, 2016.
- [4] A. C. Z. I. N. M. R. M. N. A. W. A. M. & K. I. F. M. Ahmad, "Hazard and risk of highway maintenance works: case study of PLUS expressways.," in *MATEC Web of Conferences* (Vol. 66, p. 00106). EDP Sciences., 2016.
- [5] J. A. J. S. B. T. A. G. S. J. P. & A. M. Thangam, "Industrial hazards and safety measures—An empirical study," *Materials Today*, vol. 60, pp. 834-838, 2022.
- [6] S. W. J. & K. C. Jung, "Analysis of severe industrial accidents caused by hazardous chemicals in South Korea from January 2008 to June 2018," *Safety Science*, vol. 124, p. 104580, 2020.
- [7] V. C. VC Moreno, "Integrated hazard identification within the risk management of industrial biological processes," *Safety Science*, vol. 103, pp. 340-351, 2018.
- [8] L. A. & M. G. E. Derdowski, "Psychosocial factors and safety in high-risk industries: A systematic literature review," *Safety Science*, vol. 157, p. 105948, 2023.
- [9] L. B. S. A. C. S. R. D. M. F. F. & A. C. Bauleo, "Long-term exposure to air pollutants from multiple sources and mortality in an industrial area: a cohort study," *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, vol. 76(1), pp. 48-57, 2019.
- [10] F. M. A. S. F De Pace, "A comparison between two different approaches for a collaborative mixed-virtual environment in industrial maintenance," *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, vol. 6, p. 18, 2019.
- [11] S. A. S. H. S. A. E Pereira, "Identification and association of high-priority safety management system factors and accident precursors for proactive safety assessment and control," *Journal of Management in Engineering*, vol. 34, no. 1, p. 040170, 2018.
- [12] B. P. L. D. S. W Wijeratne, "Identification and assessment risks in maintenance operations," *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 384-405, 2014.
- [13] Y. F. K. L. P. Z. NM Pilanawithana, "Framework for measuring resilience of safety management systems in Australian building repair and maintenance companies," *Journal of safety research*, vol. 85, pp. 405-418, 2023.
- [14] A. L. B. E. B. A. E. & A. O. Tunçel, "Safety analysis of fire and explosion (F&E) accidents risk in bulk carrier ships under fuzzy fault tree approach," *Safety science*, vol. 158, p. 105972, 2023.
- [15] P. O. D. C. D. A. A. A. O. O. E. I. O. & U. G. S. Odili, "THE IMPACT OF TECHNICAL SAFETY AND INTEGRITY VERIFICATION ON PROJECT DELIVERY AND ASSET PERFORMANCE," *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 555-568., 2024.
- [16] F. M. G. & A. V. Psarommatis, "Envisioning maintenance 5.0: Insights from a systematic literature review of Industry 4.0 and a proposed framework," *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, vol. 68, pp. 376-399., 2023.
- [17] F. B. H. & P. L. Fraboni, "Evaluating organizational guidelines for enhancing psychological well-being, safety, and performance in technology integration," *MDPI Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 10, p. 8113, 2023.
- [18] Z. & M. R. Amin, "Bowtie analysis for risk assessment of confined space at sewerage construction project," *Progress in Energy and Environment*, pp. 22-34, 2023.
- [19] A. & E. H. ERDİL, "FAILURES PREVENTION METHODS FOR SUSTAINABILITY OF PRODUCTION-FAILURE MODES AND EFFECT ANALYSIS IN A BUSINESS: A CASE STUDY," *Research Journal of Business and Management*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 134-151, 2023.



- [20] A. L. B. E. B. A. E. & A. O. Tunçel, "Safety analysis of fire and explosion (F&E) accidents risk in bulk carrier ships under fuzzy fault tree approach," *Safety Science*, vol. 158, p. 105972, 2023.
- [21] P. E. & A. I. Prima, "Analysis of occupational health and safety through hazard and operability study (HAZOP) method with ranking system from OHS risk assessment and control," in *AIP Conference Proceeding*, 2023.
- [22] J. C. I. & H. M. Lee, "Dynamic simulation for process hazard analysis: Affordances and limitations in the application to complex process systems," *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, vol. 87, p. 105232, 2024.
- [23] J. M. L. Y. J. & C. C. H. Runji, "Systematic literature review on augmented reality-based maintenance applications in manufacturing centered on operator needs," *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing-Green Technology*, , vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 567-585, 2023.
- [24] O. M. T. J. & M. D. M. Rikhotso, "An evaluation of occupational health risk assessment methodologies from South African enterprises: Noise risk assessment field study," *Occupational Health Southern Africa*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 65-74, 2023.
- [25] A. & D. G. Ammar, "Evaluation of Near-Miss Reporting Program Perceived by Employees' Challenges and Opportunities," in *International Conference on Transportation and Development* , 2023.
- [26] H. L. M. S. J. & W. S. Dui, "Importance measure-based resilience management: Review, methodology and perspectives on maintenance.," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, p. 109383, 2023.



INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 9940 572 462  6381 907 438  ijirset@gmail.com



www.ijirset.com

Scan to save the contact details